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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE REFERENCE DOCUMENT ON BEST AVAILABLE TECHNIQUES IN THE CEMENT, LIME AND MAGNESIUM OXIDE MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES

INTRODUCTION

The BAT (Best Available Techniques) Reference Document (BREF) entitled ‘Cement, Lime and Magnesium Oxide Manufacturing Industries’ reflects an information exchange carried out under Article 17(2) of Directive 2008/1/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council (IPPC Directive). This Executive Summary describes the main findings, and provides a summary of the principal BAT conclusions and the associated consumption and emission levels. It should be read in conjunction with the Preface, which explains this document’s objectives; how it is intended to be used and legal terms. This Executive Summary can be read and understood as a standalone document but, as a summary, it does not present all the complexities of the full document. It is therefore not intended as a substitute for this full document as a tool in BAT decision making.

SCOPE OF THIS DOCUMENT

This document addresses the industrial activities specified in Section 3.1 of Annex I to Directive 2008/1/EC, namely:

‘3.1. Installations for the production of cement clinker in rotary kilns with a production capacity exceeding 500 tonnes per day or lime in rotary kilns with a production capacity exceeding 50 tonnes per day or in other furnaces with a production capacity exceeding 50 tonnes per day.’

Additionally to the cement and lime industry, this document covers the manufacture of magnesium oxide by using the dry process route.

This BREF document has three chapters, one for the cement industry, one for the lime industry and one for the manufacture of magnesium oxide by using the dry process route based on mined natural magnesite (magnesium carbonate MgCO₃). Each of these chapters has seven sections according to the general outline and guide for writing BREFs. In addition to the basic manufacturing activities of the three industrial activities mentioned above, this document covers the associated activities which could have an effect on emissions or pollution. Thus, this document includes activities from the preparation of raw materials to the dispatch of the finished products. Certain activities, e.g. quarrying/mining and shaft kilns for cement clinker production, are not covered because they are not considered to be directly associated with the primary activity.

CEMENT INDUSTRY

Key environmental issues

Cement is a basic material used for building and civil engineering construction. The production of cement in the European Union stood at 267.5 million tonnes in 2006, equivalent to about 10.5 % of world production.

In 2008 there were 268 installations producing cement clinker and finished cement in the European Union with a total of 377 kilns. In addition, there were a further 90 grinding plants (cement mills) and two clinker plants without mills. A typical kiln size has come to be around 3000 tonnes clinker/day.

The clinker burning process is the most important part of the process in terms of the key environmental issues for cement manufacture: energy use and emissions to air. Depending on the specific production processes, cement plants cause emissions to air and land (as waste). In specific rare cases, emissions to water may occur. Additionally, the environment can be affected by noise and odours. The key polluting substances emitted to air are dust, nitrogen oxides and sulphur dioxide. Carbon oxides, polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans, total organic carbon, metals, hydrogen chloride and hydrogen fluoride are emitted as well. The type
Executive Summary

and quantity of air pollution depend on different parameters, e.g. inputs (the raw materials and fuels used) and the type of process applied.

To produce 1 tonne of clinker, the typical average consumption of raw materials in the EU is 1.52 tonnes. Most of the balance is lost from the process as carbon dioxide emissions to air in the calcination reaction (\(\text{CaCO}_3 \rightarrow \text{CaO} + \text{CO}_2\)).

**Applied processes and techniques**

After the mining, crushing, grinding and homogenisation of raw materials, the first step in cement manufacture is calcination of calcium carbonate followed by the reaction of the resulting calcium oxide together with silica, alumina, and ferrous oxide at high temperatures to form clinker. The clinker is then ground or milled together with gypsum and other constituents to produce cement. Naturally occurring calcareous deposits such as limestone, marl or chalk provide the source for calcium carbonate. Silica, iron oxide and alumina are found in various ores and minerals. Several types of wastes can also be used as partial replacements for the natural raw materials.

The cement industry is an energy intensive industry with energy typically accounting for about 40 % of production costs (i.e. excluding capital costs but including electricity costs). Various conventional fossil and waste fuels can be used to provide the thermal energy demand required for the process. In 2006, the most commonly used fuels were petcoke, coal and different types of waste, followed by lignite and other solid fuels, fuel oil, and natural gas.

Basically, characteristics of the clinker burning process itself allow the use of wastes as raw materials and/or as fuels. Clinker burning takes place in a rotary kiln which can be part of a wet or dry long kiln system, a semi-wet or semi-dry grate preheater (Lepol) kiln system, a dry suspension preheater kiln system or a preheater/precalciner kiln system. In 2008, about 90 % of Europe's cement production was from dry process kilns, a further 7.5 % of production was accounted for by semi-dry and semi-wet process kilns, with the remainder of European production, about 2.5 %, coming from wet process kilns. The wet process kilns operating in Europe are generally expected to be converted to dry process kiln systems when renewed, as are semi-dry and semi-wet process kiln systems.

**LIME INDUSTRY**

**Key environmental issues**

Lime is used in a wide range of products, for example as a fluxing agent in steel refining, as a binder in building and construction, and in water treatment to precipitate impurities. Lime is also used extensively for the neutralisation of acidic components of industrial effluent and flue-gases. In 2004, the European production market represented almost 25 million tonnes of lime for a total European production of 28 million tonnes including commercial and captive lime production, which accounted for 20 % of the world’s total lime production.

In 2003, there were approximately 211 installations producing lime in the EU-27 (excluding captive lime production) and in 2006, there were a total of 597 kilns producing commercial lime, of which 551 (or about 90 %) were shaft kilns. Typical kiln size lies between 50 and 500 tonnes per day for shaft kiln types. Lime production generally uses between 1.4 and 2.2 tonnes of limestone per tonne of saleable quicklime. Consumption depends on the type of product, the purity of the limestone, the degree of calcination and the quantity of waste products. Most of the balance is lost from the process as carbon dioxide emissions to air.

The lime industry is a highly energy intensive industry with energy accounting for up to 60 % of total production costs. Kilns are fired with gaseous fuels (e.g. natural gas, coke oven gas), solid fuels (e.g. coal, coke/anthracite) and liquid fuels (e.g. heavy/light fuel oil). Furthermore, different types of wastes are used as fuels, e.g. oil, plastics, paper, animal meal, sawdust.

The key environmental issues associated with lime production are air pollution and the use of energy. The lime burning process is the main source of emissions and is also the principal user
of energy. The secondary processes of lime slaking and grinding can also be of significance. Depending on the specific production processes, lime plants cause emissions to air, water and land (as waste). Additionally, the environment can be affected by noise and odours. The key polluting substances emitted to air are dust, nitrogen oxides, sulphur dioxide and carbon monoxide. Polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and polychlorinated dibenzofurans, total organic carbon, metals, hydrogen chloride and hydrogen fluoride can be relevant depending on the raw materials and fuels used.

**Applied processes and techniques**

The term ‘lime’ includes quicklime and slaked lime and is synonymous with the term ‘lime products’. Quicklime, or burned lime, is calcium oxide (CaO). Slaked lime consists mainly of calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)₂) and includes hydrated lime (dry calcium hydroxide powder), milk of lime and lime putty (dispersions of calcium hydroxide particles in water).

The lime making process consists of the burning of calcium and/or magnesium carbonates to liberate carbon dioxide and to obtain the derived oxide (CaCO₃ → CaO + CO₂). The calcium oxide product from the kiln is generally crushed, milled and/or screened before being conveyed to silo storage. From the silo, the burned lime is either delivered to the end user for use in the form of quicklime, or transferred to a hydrating plant where it is reacted with water to produce slaked lime.

**MANUFACTURE OF MAGNESIUM OXIDE (DRY PROCESS ROUTE)**

**Key environmental issues**

Magnesium oxide (MgO/magnesia) is the most important industrial magnesium compound and is mainly used in the steel and refractory industry, but also in many other industrial sectors. Different types of magnesium oxide are produced by using the dry process route, such as dead burned magnesia (DBM), caustic calcined magnesia (CCM), fused magnesia (FM).

The world’s production of magnesite was around 12.5 million tonnes in 2003. In the EU-27, about 2.3 million tonnes were produced in 2003 which was 18.4 % of the world’s production. In 2003, the world’s production of MgO by using the dry process route was around 5.8 million tonnes. In 2008 in the EU-27, on the basis of the information available, there were only nine producers of magnesium oxide (dry process route) using 14 plants. The number of kilns per plant was one to three, except for one producer who operates eight kilns in a single plant.

The manufacture of MgO is energy intensive as MgO, and particularly DBM, is manufactured at very high temperatures. The energy demand for MgO production ranges between 6 and 12 GJ/t MgO and is determined by different factors. In 2008, natural gas, petroleum coke and fuel oil were used as fuels.

The key environmental issues associated with magnesium oxide production are air pollution and the use of energy. The firing process is the main source of emissions and is also the principal user of energy. Depending on the specific MgO production processes, plants cause emissions to air, water and land (as waste). Additionally, the environment can be affected by noise and odours. The key polluting substances emitted to air are dust, nitrogen oxides, sulphur dioxide and carbon oxides (CO, CO₂).

**Applied processes and techniques**

Raw magnesite is mined, crushed, ground or milled and sieved before being fired. More than 98 % of the mined magnesite is used for the production of the different magnesia products. The chemical reaction of de-acidifying magnesite is endothermic and depends on a high firing temperature. Several firing processes and firing steps are needed to produce the different types of magnesium oxide CCM, DBM and/or FM. Several kiln types are used, such as multiple hearth furnaces, shaft kilns or rotary sintering kilns. For the production of fused magnesia special electric arc kilns are used.
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Techniques to consider in the determination of BAT

Important issues for the implementation of IPPC in the cement, lime and magnesium oxide industries are reduction of emissions to air; efficient energy and raw material usage; minimisation, recovery and recycling of process losses/waste; as well as effective environmental and energy management systems.

The issues above are addressed by a variety of process integrated measures/techniques and end-of-pipe techniques, taking into account their applicability in the cement, lime or magnesium oxide sectors. The measures/techniques that are included in this document are those that are considered to have the potential to achieve, or to contribute to, a high level of environmental protection. In this context, for the cement industry approximately 36 techniques to consider for pollution prevention and control are presented (Section 1.4), for the lime industry approximately 24 techniques (Section 2.4) and for the magnesium oxide industry using the dry process route approximately 16 techniques (Section 3.4).

Best available techniques

The BAT sections (Sections 1.5, 2.5 and 3.5) identify those techniques that are BAT for the cement, lime or magnesium oxide industries in a general sense, based mainly on the information from Sections 1.4, 2.4 or 3.4, taking into account the definition of best available techniques (Art. 2(12) of the IPPC Directive) and the considerations listed in Annex IV to the IPPC Directive. The BAT sections also suggest consumption and emission values that are associated with the use of BAT. As described in the Preface, the BAT sections do not propose emission limit values. For installation covered by the IPPC Directive, it is up to the competent authority to determine the emission limit values in the permit on the basis of BAT.

It has to be noted that in this Executive Summary, the BAT conclusions of this document are only presented as summaries. To read the relevant full BAT conclusion, see Sections 1.5, 2.5 and 3.5 of this document. Furthermore, it has generally to be noted, when co-incinerating waste, the requirements of the Waste Incineration Directive (WID) have to be met [59, European Union, 2000].

Summary of BAT for the cement industry

| Environmental management (BAT 1 in Section 1.5.1) | • implement and adhere to an Environmental Management System (EMS) that incorporates, as appropriate to the local circumstances, the features as listed in BAT 1 in Section 1.5.1 |
| General primary measures/techniques (BAT 2, 3, 4 in Section 1.5.2) | • achieve a smooth and stable kiln process, operating close to the process parameter set points, that is beneficial for all kiln emissions as well as the energy use by applying the measures/techniques listed in BAT 2 a, b in Section 1.5.2 |
| | • carry out a careful selection and control of all substances entering the kiln in order to avoid and/or reduce emissions (BAT 3 in Section 1.5.2) |
| | • carry out monitoring and measurements of process parameters and emissions on a regular basis which are listed in BAT 4 a – e in Section 1.5.2 |
| Process selection (BAT 5 in Section 1.5.3.1) | • for new plants and major upgrades, apply a dry process kiln with multistage preheating and precalcination. Under regular and optimised operational conditions, the associated BAT heat balance value is 2900 – 3300 MJ/tonne clinker (BAT 5 in Section 1.5.3.1) |
| Energy consumption (BAT 6, 7, 8, 9 in Section 1.5.3.2) | • reduce/minimise thermal energy consumption by applying a combination of the measures/techniques as listed in BAT 6 a – f in Section 1.5.3.2 |
| | • reduce primary energy consumption by considering the reduction of the clinker content of cement and cement products (BAT 7 in Section 1.5.3.2) |
| | • reduce primary energy consumption by considering cogeneration/combined heat and power plants if possible, on the basis of useful heat demand, within energy regulatory schemes where economically viable (BAT 8 in Section 1.5.3.2) |
| | • minimise electrical energy consumption by applying the measures/techniques individually or in combination as listed BAT 9 a, b in Section 1.5.3.2 |
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### Summary of BAT for the cement industry

| Waste quality control (BAT 10 a – c in Section 1.5.4.1) | • apply quality assurance systems to guarantee the characteristics of wastes and to analyse any waste that is to be used as raw material and/or fuel in a cement kiln for parameters/criteria listed in BAT 10 a. – III. in Section 1.5.4.1  
• control the amount of relevant parameters for any waste that is to be used as raw material and/or fuel in a cement kiln, such as chlorine, relevant metals (e.g. cadmium, mercury, thallium), sulphur, total halogen content (BAT 10 b in Section 1.5.4.1)  
• apply quality assurance systems for each waste load (BAT 10 c in Section 1.5.4.1) |
| --- | --- |
| Waste feeding into the kiln (BAT 11 a – f in Section 1.5.4.2) | • use the appropriate feed points to the kiln in terms of temperature and residence time depending on kiln design and kiln operation (BAT 11 a in Section 1.5.4.2)  
• feed waste materials containing organic components that can be volatilised before the calcining zone into the adequately high temperature zones of the kiln system (BAT 11 b in Section 1.5.4.2)  
• operate in such a way that the gas resulting from the co-incineration of waste is raised in a controlled and homogeneous fashion, even under the most unfavourable conditions, to a temperature of 850 °C for 2 seconds (BAT 11 c in Section 1.5.4.2)  
• raise the temperature to 1100 °C, if hazardous waste with a content of more than 1 % of halogenated organic substances, expressed as chlorine, is co-incinerated (BAT 11 d in Section 1.5.4.2)  
• feed wastes continuously and constantly (BAT 11 e in Section 1.5.4.2)  
• stop co-incinerating waste for operations such as start-ups and/or shutdowns when appropriate temperatures and residence times cannot be reached, as noted in BAT 11 a – d (BAT 11 f in Section 1.5.4.2) |
| Safety management for the use of hazardous waste materials (BAT 12 in Section 1.5.4.3) | • apply safety management for the handling, e.g. storage, and/or feeding of hazardous waste materials, such as using a risk based approach according to the source and type of waste, for the labelling, checking, sampling and testing of waste to be handled (BAT 12 in Section 1.5.4.3) |
| Diffuse dust emissions (BAT 13 a, b in Section 1.5.5.1) | • minimise/prevent diffuse dust emissions by applying the measures/techniques individually or in combination which are listed in BAT 13 a, b in Section 1.5.5.1 (measures/techniques for dusty operations and bulk storage areas) |
| Channelled dust emissions from dusty operations (BAT 14 in Section 1.5.5.2) | • apply a maintenance management system which especially addresses the performance of filters of these sources. Taking this management system into account, BAT is to reduce channelled dust emissions from dusty operations to less than 10 mg/Nm³ (BAT-AEL), as the average over the sampling period (spot measurement, for at least half an hour) by applying dry exhaust gas cleaning with a filter.  
For small sources (<10000 Nm³/h) a priority approach has to be taken into account |
| Dust emissions from kiln firing processes (BAT 15 in Section 1.5.5.3) | • reduce dust (particulate matter) emissions from flue-gases of kiln firing processes by applying dry exhaust gas cleaning with a filter. The BAT-AEL is <10 – 20 mg/Nm³, as the daily average value. When applying fabric filters or new or upgraded ESPs, the lower level is achieved |
| Dust emissions from cooling and milling processes (BAT 16 in Section 1.5.5.4) | • reduce dust (particulate matter) emissions from flue-gases of cooling and milling processes by applying dry exhaust gas cleaning with a filter. The BAT-AEL is <10 – 20 mg/Nm³, as the daily average value or average over the sampling period (spot measurements for at least half an hour). When applying fabric filters or new or upgraded ESPs, the lower level is achieved |
1.5.8) Metal emissions (BAT 25 in Section 1.5.6.5)

- keep the emissions of Hg, Cd, and Pb from the flue-gases of the kiln firing processes low by applying the measures/techniques which are listed in BAT 25 a – f in Section 1.5.6.5 individually or in combination (i.e., primary measures/techniques and/or staged combustion (conventional or waste fuels), also in combination with a precalciner and the use of optimised fuel mix, SNCR, SCR, subject to appropriate catalyst and process development in the cement industry). The following emission levels of metals are BAT-AELs (BAT 25 in Section 1.5.6.5):
### Summary of BAT for the cement industry

| Process losses/waste (BAT 27 in Section 1.5.9) | • re-use collected particulate matter in the process, wherever practicable, or utilise these dusts in other commercial products, when possible |
| Noise (BAT 28 in Section 1.5.10) | • reduce/minimise noise emissions from the cement manufacturing processes by applying a combination of the measures/techniques which are listed in BAT 28 a–h in Section 1.5.10 |

### Summary of BAT for the lime industry

| Environmental management (BAT 29 in Section 2.5.1) | • implement and adhere to an Environmental Management System (EMS) that incorporates, as appropriate to the local circumstances, the features as listed in BAT 29 in Section 2.5.1 |
| General primary measures/techniques (BAT 30, 31, 32 in Section 2.5.2) | • achieve a smooth and stable kiln process, operating close to the process parameter set points, that is beneficial for all kiln emissions as well as the energy use by applying the measures/techniques which are listed in BAT 30 a, b in Section 2.5.2 |
| • carry out a careful selection and control of substances entering the kiln in order to reduce and/or avoid emissions (BAT 31 in Section 2.5.2), |
| • carry out monitoring and measurements of process parameters and emissions on a regular basis as listed in BAT 32 a–d in Section 2.5.2 |
| Energy consumption (BAT 33, 34 in Section 2.5.3) | • reduce/minimise thermal energy consumption by applying a combination of the measures/techniques which are listed in BAT 33 a–c in Section 2.5.3. The following thermal energy consumption levels are associated with BAT (33 in Section 2.5.3):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kiln type</th>
<th>Thermal energy consumption&lt;sup&gt;1)&lt;/sup&gt; GJ/t</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long rotary kilns (LRK)</td>
<td>6.0 – 9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary kilns with preheater (PRK)</td>
<td>5.1 – 7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallel flow regenerative kilns (PFRK)</td>
<td>3.2 – 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annular shaft kilns (ASK)</td>
<td>3.3 – 4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed feed shaft kilns (MFSK)</td>
<td>3.4 – 4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other kilns (OK)</td>
<td>3.5 – 7.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1)</sup> Energy consumption depends on the type of product, the product quality, the process conditions and the raw materials.

| Consumption of limestone (BAT 35 in Section 2.5.4) | • minimise limestone consumption by applying the measures/techniques which are listed in BAT 35 a, b in Section 2.5.4 individually or in combination |
| Selection of fuels (BAT 36 in Section 2.5.5) | • carry out a careful selection and control of fuels entering the kiln, such as selecting fuels with low contents of sulphur (for rotary kilns in particular), nitrogen and chlorine in order to avoid/reduce emissions |
| Waste quality control (BAT 37 a, b in Section 2.5.5.1.1) | • apply quality assurance systems to guarantee the characteristics of wastes and to analyse any waste that is to be used as fuel in a lime kiln for the parameters/criteria listed BAT 37 a I.–a III. in Section 2.5.5.1.1 |
| • control the amount of relevant parameters for any waste that is to be used as fuel in a lime kiln, such as total halogen content, relevant metals (e.g. total chromium, lead, cadmium, mercury, thallium), and sulphur |
| Waste feeding into the kiln (BAT 38 a–e in Section 2.5.5.1.2) | • use appropriate burners for feeding suitable wastes depending on kiln design and kiln operation (BAT 38 a in Section 2.5.5.1.2) |
| • operate in such a way that the gas resulting from the co-incineration of waste is raised in a controlled and homogeneous fashion and even under the most unfavourable conditions, to a temperature of 850 °C for 2 seconds (BAT 38 b in Section 2.5.5.1.2) |
| • raise the temperature to 1100 °C if hazardous wastes with a content of more than 1 % of halogenated organic substances, expressed as chlorine, are co-incinerated (BAT 38 c in Section 2.5.5.1.2) |
| • feed wastes continuously and constantly (BAT 38 d in Section 2.5.5.1.2) |
| • stop co-incinerating waste for operations such as start-ups and/or shutdowns when appropriate temperatures and residence times cannot be reached, as mentioned in BAT 38 b–c (BAT 38 e in Section 2.5.5.1.2) |
| Safety management for the use of hazardous waste materials (BAT 39 in Section 2.5.5.1.3) | • apply safety management for the handling, e.g. storage, and/or feeding of hazardous waste materials (see Section 2.4.4) (BAT 39 in Section 2.5.5.1.3) |
| Diffuse dust emissions (BAT 40 in Section 2.5.6.1) | • minimise/prevent diffuse dust emissions by applying the measures/techniques which are listed in BAT 40 a, b in Section 2.5.6.1 individually or in combination |
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### Summary of BAT for the lime industry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kiln type</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>BAT-AEL (daily average value, stated as NO₂)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PFRK, ASK, MFSK, OSK</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>100 – &lt;350&lt;sup&gt;1)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRK, PRK</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>&lt;200 – &lt;500&lt;sup&gt;2)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1)</sup> The higher ranges are related to the production of dolime and hard burned lime
<sup>2)</sup> For LRK and PRK with shaft producing hard burned lime, the upper level is up to 800 mg/Nm³
<sup>3)</sup> Where primary measures as indicated in a) I. above are not sufficient and where secondary measures are not available

When SNCR is applicable,
- apply measures/techniques which are listed in BAT 45 a, b in Section 2.5.7.2
- keep the emissions of NH₃ slip from the flue-gases as low as possible, but below 30<sup>1)</sup> mg/Nm³, as the daily average value. The correlation between the NOₓ abatement efficiency and the NH₃ slip has to be considered (see Section 2.4.6.1.4, Figure 2.50)
- (BAT 45 c in Section 2.5.7.2)

<sup>1)</sup> This BAT-AEL is related to experiences taken from one lime installation (four kilns)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kiln type</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>BAT-AEL (daily average value, SO₂ expressed as SO₂)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PFRK, ASK, MFSK, OSK, PRK</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>&lt;50 – &lt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRK</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>&lt;50 – &lt;400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1)</sup> The level depends on the initial SO₂ level in the exhaust gas and on the reduction measure/technique used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kiln type</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>BAT-AEL (daily average value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PFRK, OSK, LRK, PRK</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>&lt;500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1)</sup> Level can be higher depending on raw materials used and/or type of lime produced, e.g. hydraulic lime

When using electrostatic precipitators (ESPs), minimise the frequency of CO trips by applying the measurements/techniques which are listed in BAT 48 a – c in Section 2.5.7.4.2

---

**Channelled dust emissions from dusty operations (BAT 41 in Section 2.5.6.2)**
- apply a maintenance management system which especially addresses the performance of filters of these sources. Taking this management system into account, BAT is to reduce channelled dust emissions from dusty operations to less than 10 mg/Nm³ (BAT-AEL), as the average over the sampling period (spot measurements, for at least half an hour) by applying fabric filters or to <10 – 20 mg/Nm³ (BAT-AEL), as the average over the sampling period (spot measurements, for at least half an hour) by applying wet scrubbers.

Wet scrubbers are mainly used for hydrating lime plants. It has to be noted that for small sources (<10000 Nm³/h) a priority approach has to be taken into account.

**Dust emissions from kiln firing processes (BAT 42 in Section 2.5.6.3)**
- reduce dust (particle matter) emissions from the flue-gases of kiln firing processes by applying exhaust gas cleaning with a filter (see Section 2.4.5.3). By applying fabric filters, the BAT-AEL is less than 10 mg/Nm³, as the daily average value. By applying ESPs or other filters, the BAT-AEL is less than 20 mg/Nm³, as the daily average value.

In exceptional cases where the resistivity of dust is high, the BAT-AEL could be higher, up to 30 mg/Nm³, as the daily average value.

**General primary measures/techniques for reducing gaseous compounds (BAT 43 in Section 2.5.7.1)**
- reduce the emissions of gaseous compounds (i.e. NOₓ, SOₓ, HCl, CO, TOC/VOC, metals) from the flue-gases of kiln firing processes by applying the primary measures/techniques which are listed in BAT 43 a – c in Section 2.5.7.1 individually or in combination

**NOₓ emissions (BAT 44, 45 in Section 2.5.7.2)**
- reduce the emissions of NOₓ from the flue-gases of kiln firing processes by applying the measures/techniques which are listed in BAT 44 a, b in Section 2.5.7.2 individually or in combination. The following emission levels of NOₓ are BAT-AELs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kiln type</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>BAT-AEL (daily average value, stated as NO₂)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PFRK, ASK, MFSK, OSK</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>100 – &lt;350&lt;sup&gt;1)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRK, PRK</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>&lt;200 – &lt;500&lt;sup&gt;2)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1)</sup> The higher ranges are related to the production of dolime and hard burned lime
<sup>2)</sup> For LRK and PRK with shaft producing hard burned lime, the upper level is up to 800 mg/Nm³

When SNCR is applicable,
- apply measures/techniques which are listed in BAT 45 a, b in Section 2.5.7.2
- keep the emissions of NH₃ slip from the flue-gases as low as possible, but below 30<sup>1)</sup> mg/Nm³, as the daily average value. The correlation between the NOₓ abatement efficiency and the NH₃ slip has to be considered (see Section 2.4.6.1.4, Figure 2.50)
- (BAT 45 c in Section 2.5.7.2)

<sup>1)</sup> This BAT-AEL is related to experiences taken from one lime installation (four kilns)

**SOₓ emissions (BAT 46 in Section 2.5.7.3)**
- reduce the emissions of SOₓ from the flue-gases of kiln firing processes by applying the measures/techniques which are listed in BAT 46 a – c in Section 2.5.7.3 individually or in combination. The following emission levels of SOₓ are BAT-AELs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kiln type</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>BAT-AEL (daily average value, SO₂ expressed as SO₂)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PFRK, ASK, MFSK, OSK, PRK</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>&lt;50 – &lt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRK</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>&lt;50 – &lt;400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1)</sup> The level depends on the initial SO₂ level in the exhaust gas and on the reduction measure/technique used

**CO emissions (BAT 47 in Section 2.5.7.4.1)**
- reduce the emissions of CO by applying the primary measures/techniques which are listed in BAT 47 a, b in Section 2.5.7.4.1 individually or in combination. The following emission levels of CO are BAT-AELs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kiln type</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>BAT-AEL (daily average value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PFRK, OSK, LRK, PRK</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>&lt;500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1)</sup> Level can be higher depending on raw materials used and/or type of lime produced, e.g. hydraulic lime

**Reduction of CO trips (BAT 48 in Section 2.5.7.4.2)**
- when using electrostatic precipitators (ESPs), minimise the frequency of CO trips by applying the measurements/techniques which are listed in BAT 48 a – c in Section 2.5.7.4.2
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kiln type</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>BAT-AEL (average over the sampling period)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LRK$^{1,2}$, PRK$^{1,2}$</td>
<td>mg/Nm$^3$</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASK$^{1,2}$, MFSK$^{1,2}$, PFRK$^{2}$</td>
<td>mg/Nm$^3$</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$ Level can be higher depending on the raw materials used and/or the type of lime produced, e.g. hydraulic lime

$^2$ In exceptional cases, the level can be higher

### Summary of BAT for the lime industry

- **Total organic carbon** (BAT 49 in Section 2.5.7.5)
  - reduce the emissions of TOC from the flue-gases of the kiln firing processes by applying the measures/techniques which are listed in BAT 49 a, b in Section 2.5.7.5 individually or in combination.
  - The following emission levels of TOC are BAT-AELs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metals</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>BAT-AEL (average over the sampling period)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>mg/Nm$^3$</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Sigma (\text{Cd, Tl})$</td>
<td>mg/Nm$^3$</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Sigma (\text{As, Sb, Pb, Cr, Co, Cu, Mn, Ni, V})$</td>
<td>mg/Nm$^3$</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Low levels were reported (see Sections 2.3.3.1, 2.3.3.10, and 4.3.4 when applying measures/techniques as mentioned in BAT 52)

- **Hydrogen chloride (HCl) and hydrogen fluoride (HF)** emissions (BAT 50 in Section 2.5.7.6)
  - when using wastes, reduce the emissions of HCl and the emissions of HF by applying the primary measures/techniques as listed in BAT 50 a, b in Section 2.5.7.6.
  - The BAT-AEL for HCl is <10 mg/Nm$^3$, as the daily average value or the average value over the sampling period (spot measurements, for at least half an hour) and the BAT for HF is <1 mg/Nm$^3$, as the daily average value or the average value over the sampling period (spot measurements, for at least half an hour)

- **PCDD/F emissions** (BAT 51 in Section 2.5.8)
  - prevent or reduce the emissions of PCDD/F by applying the primary measures/techniques which are listed in BAT 51 a – c in Section 2.5.8 individually or in combination.
  - The BAT-AELs are <0.05 – 0.1 ng PCDD/F I-TEQ/Nm$^3$, as the average over the sampling period (6 – 8 hours)

- **Metal emissions** (BAT 52 in Section 2.5.9)
  - minimise the emissions of metals from the flue-gases of the kiln firing processes by applying the measures/techniques which are listed in BAT 52 a – d in Section 2.5.9 individually or in combination.
  - When using wastes, the following emission levels of metals are BAT-AELs:

- **Process losses/waste** (BAT 53 a, b in Section 2.5.10)
  - re-use collected dust/particulate matter in the process wherever practicable (BAT 53 a in Section 2.5.10)
  - utilise dust, off specification quicklime and hydrated lime in selected commercial products (BAT 53 b in Section 2.5.10)

- **Noise** (BAT 54 in Section 2.5.11)
  - reduce/minimise noise emissions from the lime manufacturing processes by applying a combination of the measures/techniques which are listed in BAT 54 a – o in Section 2.5.11

### Summary of BAT for the magnesium oxide industry

- **Environmental management** (BAT 55 in Section 3.5.1)
  - implement and adhere to an Environmental Management System (EMS) that incorporates, as appropriate to the local circumstances, the features as listed in BAT 55 in Section 3.5.1

- **General primary measures/techniques** (BAT 56 in Section 3.5.2)
  - carry out monitoring and measurements of process parameters and emissions on a regular basis as listed in BAT 56 a – c in Section 3.5.2

- **Energy consumption** (BAT 57, 58 in Section 3.5.3)
  - reduce thermal energy consumption depending on the process and the products to 6 – 12 GJ/t by applying a combination of the measures/techniques which are listed in BAT 57 a – c in Section 3.5.3
  - minimise electrical energy consumption by applying the measures/techniques which are listed in BAT 58 a, b in Section 3.5.3 individually or in combination

- **Diffuse dust emissions** (BAT 59 in Section 3.5.4.1)
  - minimise/prevent diffuse dust emissions by applying measures/techniques for dusty operations individually or in combination

- **Channelled dust emissions from dusty operations** (BAT 60 in Section 3.5.4.2)
  - reduce channelled dust emissions from dusty operations to less than 10 mg/Nm$^3$ (BAT-AEL), as the average over the sampling period (spot measurements, for at least half an hour), by applying flue-gas cleaning with a filter. It has to be noted that for small sources (<10000 Nm$^3$/h), a priority approach has to be taken into account

- **Dust emissions from kiln firing process** (BAT 61 in Section 3.5.4.3)
  - reduce dust (particulate matter) emissions from the flue-gases of kiln firing processes to <20 – 35 mg/Nm$^3$ (BAT-AEL), as the daily average value or average over the sampling period (spot measurements, for at least half an hour), by applying exhaust gas cleaning with a filter
Executive Summary

Summary of BAT for the magnesium oxide industry

| General primary measures/techniques for reducing gaseous compounds (BAT 62 in Section 3.5.5.1) | • reduce the emissions of gaseous compounds (i.e. NOx, HCl, SOx, CO) from flue-gases of kiln firing processes by applying the primary measures/techniques which are listed in BAT 62 a – c in Section 3.5.5.1 individually or in combination |
| NOx emissions (BAT 63 in Section 3.5.5.2) | • reduce the emissions of NOx from the flue-gases of kiln firing processes to <500 – <1500 mg/Nm³ (BAT-AEL), as the daily average value stated as NOx, by applying a combination of the measures/techniques which are listed in BAT 63 a, b in Section 3.5.5.2. The higher BAT-AEL values are related to the high temperature DBM process |
| CO emissions (BAT 64 in Section 3.5.5.3.1) | • reduce the emissions of CO from the flue-gases of kiln firing processes to <50 – 1000 mg/Nm³ (BAT-AEL), as the daily average value by applying a combination of the measures/techniques which are listed in BAT 64 a – c in Section 3.5.5.3.1 |
| Reduction of CO trips (BAT 65 in Section 3.5.5.3.2) | • by applying ESP, minimise the number of CO trips by applying the measures/techniques which are listed in BAT 65 a – c in Section 3.5.5.3.2 |
| SOx emissions (BAT 66 in Section 3.5.5.4) | • reduce the emissions of SOx from the flue-gases of kiln firing processes by applying a combination of the primary and secondary measures/techniques which are listed in BAT 66 a - c in Section 3.5.5.4: The following emission levels of SOx are BAT-AELs: |
| Process losses/waste (BAT 67, 68, 69 in Section 3.5.6) | • re-use collected particulate matter (various types of magnesium carbonate dusts) in the process wherever practicable (BAT 67 in Section 3.5.6) |
| • when various types of collected magnesium carbonate dusts are not recyclable utilise these dusts in other marketable products, when possible (BAT 68 in Section 3.5.6) |
| • re-use sludge resulting from the wet process of the flue-gas desulphurisation in the process or in other sectors (BAT 69 in Section 3.5.6) |
| Noise (BAT 70 in Section 3.5.7) | • reduce/minimise noise emissions from magnesium oxide manufacturing processes by applying a combination of the measures/techniques which are listed in BAT 70 a – j in Section 3.5.7 |
| Use of wastes as fuels and/or raw materials (BAT 71 in Section 3.5.8) | • when using wastes, o select suitable wastes for the process and the burner (BAT 71 a in Section 3.5.8) |
| • apply quality assurance systems to guarantee the characteristics of wastes and to analyse any waste that is to be used for the criteria which are listed in BAT 71 b in Section 3.5.8 |
| • control the amount of relevant parameters for any waste that is to be used, such as total halogen content, metals (e.g. total chromium, lead, cadmium, mercury, thallium) and sulphur (BAT 71 c in Section 3.5.8) |

Conclusions, recommendations, research and technical development

The conclusions and recommendations for the cement, lime and magnesium oxide industry contain information on the milestones in developing this document, the degree of consensus reached on the BAT proposals for the cement, lime and magnesium oxide industries and the information gaps that still exist. A high level of consensus was reached and no split views were recorded. The web-site of the European IPPC Bureau contains further information and guidance on the functioning of the information exchange and the procedure for BREFs review.

The EC is launching and supporting, through its RTD programmes, a series of projects dealing with clean technologies, emerging effluent treatment and recycling technologies and management strategies. Potentially these projects could provide a useful contribution to future BREF reviews. Readers are therefore invited to inform the European IPPC Bureau of any research results which are relevant to the Scope of this document (see also the Preface of this document).
PREFACE

1. Status of this document


This document forms part of a series presenting the results of an exchange of information between EU Member States and industries concerned on best available technique (BAT), associated monitoring, and developments in them. It is published by the European Commission pursuant to Article 17(2) of the Directive, and must therefore be taken into account in accordance with Annex IV of the Directive when determining “best available techniques”.

2. Relevant legal obligations of the IPPC Directive and the definition of BAT

In order to help the reader understand the legal context in which this document has been drafted, some of the most relevant provisions of the IPPC Directive, including the definition of the term “best available techniques”, are described. This description is given for information only. It has no legal value and does not in any way alter or prejudice the actual provisions of the IPPC Directive.

The purpose of the IPPC Directive is to achieve integrated prevention and control of pollution arising from the activities listed in its Annex I, leading to a high level of protection of the environment as a whole. The legal basis of the Directive relates to environmental protection. Its implementation should also take account of other European Community objectives such as the competitiveness of the Community’s industry thereby contributing to sustainable development.

More specifically, the Directive provides for a permitting system for certain categories of industrial installations requiring both operators and regulators to take an integrated, overall view of the potential of the installation to consume and pollute. The overall aim of such an integrated approach must be to improve the design, construction, management and control as well as decommissioning of industrial processes so as to ensure a high level of protection for the environment as a whole. Central to this approach is the general principle given in Article 3 of the Directive which states that operators should take all appropriate preventative measures against pollution, in particular through the application of the best available techniques enabling them to improve their environmental performance.

The term “best available techniques” is defined in Article 2(12) of the Directive as “the most effective and advanced stage in the development of activities and their methods of operation which indicate the practical suitability of particular techniques for providing in principle the basis for emission limit values designed to prevent and, where that is not practicable, generally to reduce emissions and the impact on the environment as a whole.” Article 2(12) goes on to clarify further this definition as follows:

- ‘techniques’ shall include both the technology used and the way in which the installation is designed, built, maintained, operated and decommissioned
- ‘available techniques’ means those developed on a scale which allows implementation in the relevant industrial sector, under economically and technically viable conditions, taking into consideration the costs and advantages, whether or not the techniques are used or produced inside the Member State in question, as long as they are reasonably accessible to the operator;
- ‘best’ means those most effective in achieving a high general level of protection of the environment as a whole.
Furthermore, Annex IV to the Directive contains a list of ‘considerations to be taken into account generally or in specific cases when determining best available techniques ... bearing in mind the likely costs and benefits of a measure and the principles of precaution and prevention’. These considerations include the information published by the Commission pursuant to Article 17(2) of the Directive.

Competent authorities responsible for issuing permits are required to take account of the general principles set out in Article 3 of the Directive when determining the conditions of the permit. These conditions must include emission limit values, supplemented or replaced where appropriate by equivalent parameters or technical measures. According to Article 9(4) of the IPPC Directive, these emission limit values, equivalent parameters and technical measures must, without prejudice to compliance with environmental quality standards, be based on the best available techniques, without prescribing the use of any technique or specific technology, but taking into account the technical characteristics of the installation concerned, its geographical location and the local environmental conditions. In all circumstances, the conditions of the permit must include provisions on the minimisation of long-distance or transboundary pollution and must ensure a high level of protection for the environment as a whole.

Member States have the obligation, according to Article 11 of the Directive, to ensure that competent authorities follow or are informed of developments in the best available techniques.

### 3. Objective of this document

Article 17(2) of the Directive requires the Commission to organise ‘an exchange of information between Member States and the industries concerned on best available techniques, associated monitoring and developments in them’, and to publish the results of the exchange.

The purpose of the information exchange is given in recital 27 of the Directive, which states that ‘the development and exchange of information at Community level about best available techniques:

- should help to redress the technological imbalances in the Community
- should promote the worldwide dissemination of limit values and techniques used in the Community
- should help the Member States in the efficient implementation of this Directive.’

The Commission (Environment DG) established an information exchange forum (IEF) to assist the work under Article 17(2) of the Directive and a number of technical working groups have been established under the umbrella of the IEF. Both IEF and the technical working groups include representation from Member States and industry as required in Article 17(2) of the Directive.

The aim of this series of documents, which will be continually reviewed and updated, is to reflect accurately the exchange of information which has taken place as required by Article 17(2) of the Directive and to provide reference information for the permitting authority to take into account when determining permit conditions. By providing relevant information concerning best available techniques, these documents should act as valuable tools to drive environmental performance.

### 4. Information sources

This document represents a summary of information collected from a number of sources, in particular, through the expertise of the groups established to assist the Commission in its work under Article 17(2) of the Directive, and verified by the Commission services. The work of the contributors and the expert groups is gratefully acknowledged.
5. How to understand and use this document

The information provided in this document is intended to be used as an input to the determination of BAT in specific cases. When determining BAT and setting BAT-based permit conditions, account should always be taken of the overall goal to achieve a high level of protection for the environment as a whole.

The rest of this section describes the type of information that is provided in each section of this document.

As outlined in the Scope, this document is structured in a different way when compared with a standard BREF. This document contains three cornerstone chapters: Chapter 1 – cement industry, Chapter 2 – lime industry and Chapter 3 – magnesium oxide industry by using the dry process route.

Within these three chapters, standard BREF sections are built as follows:

- Sections 1 and 2 of each chapter provide general information on the industrial sector concerned and on the industrial processes used within the sector
- Section 3 of each chapter provides data and information concerning current consumption and emission levels reflecting the situation in existing installations in operation at the time of writing
- Section 4 of each chapter describes in more detail the emissions reduction and other techniques that are considered to be most relevant for determining BAT and BAT-based permit conditions. This information includes the consumption and emission levels considered achievable by using the technique, some idea of the costs and the cross-media issues associated with the technique. It also includes the extent to which the technique is applicable to the range of installations requiring IPPC permits, for example new, existing, changed, large or small installations. Techniques that are generally seen as obsolete are not included
- Section 5 of each chapter presents the techniques and the consumption and emission levels that are considered to be compatible with BAT in the sector (see for more details the introduction to Section 5 of each chapter). The purpose is thus to provide general indications regarding the consumption and emission levels that can be considered as an appropriate reference point to assist in the determination of BAT-based permit conditions or for the establishment of general binding rules under Article 9(8) of the Directive. It should be stressed, however, that this document does not propose emission limit values. The determination of appropriate permit conditions will involve taking account of local, site-specific factors such as the technical characteristics of the installation concerned, its geographical location and the local environmental conditions. In the case of existing installations, the economic and technical viability of upgrading them also needs to be taken into account. Even the single objective of ensuring a high level of protection for the environment as a whole will often involve making trade-off judgements between different types of environmental impact, and these judgements will often be influenced by local considerations. Although an attempt is made to address some of these issues, it is not possible for them to be considered fully in this document. The techniques and levels presented in Sections 1.5, 2.5 and 3.5 will therefore not necessarily be appropriate for all installations. On the other hand, the obligation to ensure a high level of environmental protection including the minimisation of long distance or transboundary pollution implies that permit conditions cannot be set on the basis of purely local considerations. It is, therefore, of the utmost importance that the information contained in this document is fully taken into account by permitting authorities
- Section 6 of each chapter describes emerging techniques.
All comments and suggestions should be made to the European IPPC Bureau at the Institute for Prospective Technological Studies at the following address:
European Commission
Institute for Prospective Technological Studies
Edificio Expo
C/ Inca Garcilaso, 3
E-41092 Seville, Spain

Telephone: +34 95 4488 284
Fax: +34 95 4488 426
E-mail: JRC-IPTS-EIPPCB@ec.europa.eu
Internet: http://eippcb.jrc.ec.europa.eu

6. **Dynamic nature of BAT and review of BAT reference documents (BREFs)**

BAT is a dynamic concept because new measures/techniques may emerge, technologies are still developing, or new environmental processes are being successfully introduced in the industry. Since the elements of BAT change over time and industry develops, BREFs have to be reviewed and updated as appropriate.

The original BREF on CL was adopted by the European Commission in 2001. This document is the result of the first review of the CL BREF.
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SCOPE

This document addresses the industrial activities specified in Section 3.1 of Annex I to Directive 2008/1/EC, namely:

“3.1. Installations for the production of cement clinker in rotary kilns with a production capacity exceeding 500 tonnes per day or lime in rotary kilns with a production capacity exceeding 50 tonnes per day or in other furnaces with a production capacity exceeding 50 tonnes per day.”

This document covers the processes involved in the production of cement and lime as well as the use of wastes as raw materials and/or fuels.

Additionally, this document covers the manufacture of magnesium oxide by using the dry process route based on mined natural magnesite (magnesium carbonate MgCO$_3$).

The wet process route using magnesium chloride as the starting material is not covered within this document because of the differences in the use of process structure, techniques and raw materials. This process is covered within the Reference Document on Best Available Technique for the Manufacture of Large Volume Inorganic Chemicals – Solids and Others Industry (LVIC-S) [108, European Commission, 2006].

The Waste Incineration Directive [59, European Union, 2000] defines (in Article 3, point 5) a co-incineration plant as any stationary or mobile plant whose main purpose is the generation of energy or production of material products and:

- which uses wastes as a regular or additional fuel; or
- in which waste is thermally treated for the purpose of disposal.

However, the cement industry is capable of using:

- waste fuels with significant calorific value; and
- waste materials without significant calorific value but with mineral components used as raw materials that contribute to the intermediate product clinker; and
- waste materials that have both a significant calorific value and mineral components [104, HOLCIM/GTZ, 2006].

Therefore, the term ‘co-incineration’ is not fully adequate for the purpose of this document as it may not cover all the uses of waste within the cement sector. The terminology ‘use of waste as fuel and/or raw material’ is used to cover all three recovery processes mentioned above.

In addition to basic manufacturing activities of the three industrial activities mentioned above, i.e. cement, lime, magnesium oxide, this document covers the associated activities which could have an effect on emissions or pollution. Thus, this document includes activities from the preparation of raw materials to the dispatch of the finished products.

Certain activities are not covered because they are not considered to be directly associated with the primary activity. For example, quarrying and shaft kilns for cement clinker production are not covered.
Scope

The main operations covered by the descriptions are:

- raw materials – storage and preparation
- fuels – storage and preparation
- use of wastes as raw materials and/or fuels, quality requirements, control and preparation
- the kiln systems, kiln firing processes and emissions reduction techniques
- products – storage and preparation
- packaging and dispatch.

It has to be noted that in 2006 for the industrial sectors covered by this document (cement, lime and magnesium oxide), data were collected for the EU-25. Furthermore in 2007, information and data have partly been updated for the EU-27.

The structure of this document

Because of different products and processes involved, the structure of this document is described as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preliminary part:</th>
<th>Executive Summary, Preface, Scope of the document</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 1</td>
<td>General description of the cement industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>including a generic part, a process part, and an emerging techniques part as well as a final part with conclusions and recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 2</td>
<td>General description of the lime industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>including a generic part, a process part, and an emerging techniques part as well as a final part with conclusions and recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 3</td>
<td>General description of the magnesium oxide industry, dry process route</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>including a generic part, a process part, and an emerging techniques part as well as a final part with conclusions and recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplementary part:</td>
<td>References, Glossary, Annexes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Within Chapters 1 to 3, standard BREF sections are built as described in the Preface.
1 CEMENT INDUSTRY

1.1 General information about the cement industry

Cement is a finely ground, non-metallic, inorganic powder, and when mixed with water forms a paste that sets and hardens. This hydraulic hardening is primarily due to the formation of calcium silicate hydrates as a result of the reaction between mixing water and the constituents of the cement. In the case of aluminous cements, hydraulic hardening involves the formation of calcium aluminate hydrates.

Cement is a basic material for building and civil engineering construction. In Europe the use of cement and concrete (a mixture of cement, aggregates, sand and water) in large civic works can be traced back to antiquity. Portland cement, the most widely used cement in concrete construction, was patented in 1824. Output from the cement industry is directly related to the state of the construction business in general and therefore tracks the overall economic situation closely.

As shown in Figure 1.1, world cement production has grown steadily since 1950, with increased production in developing countries, particularly in Asia, accounting for the lion’s share of growth in world cement production in the 1990s.

![Cement production in the EU-27 and in the world since 1950](image)

Figure 1.1: Cement production in the EU-27 and in the world from 1950 to 2006

[72, CEMBUREAU, 2006-2008,], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]
In 2006, world production of cement stood at 2540 million tonnes. Table 1.1 shows the distribution of cement production by geographic regions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>Other America</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Asia</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>CIS</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU-27</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>Oceania</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Europe</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.1: World cement production by geographic regions in 2006
[72, CEMBUREAU, 2006-2008,]

Producers in the European Union have increased cement output per man per year from 1700 tonnes in 1970 to 3500 in 1991. This increase in productivity is a result of the introduction of larger scale production units. These use advanced operation automation and therefore require fewer, but more highly qualified staff. The number of people employed in the cement industry in the European Union (EU-27) was about 54000 in 2005. Figure 1.2 shows the estimated workforce of the cement industry in the EU from 1975 to 2005 along with employment figures for the EU-25. The figures related to the years before 1991 do not include employees from the former East Germany.

In 2006, cement production in the EU-25 totalled 267.5 million tonnes and consumption 260.6 million tonnes. 38 million tonnes of cement were imported and 32 million tonnes exported. These figures include trade between EU countries.
Production and consumption of cement in the EU-25 in 2005 is shown in Figure 1.3.

![Cement production and cement consumption in 2005](image)

**Figure 1.3:** Cement production inclusive of exported clinker and cement consumption in the EU-25

[72, CEMBUREAU, 2006-2008,]

The world’s five largest cement producers are Lafarge, Holcim, Cemex, HeidelbergCement and Italcementi. Apart from producing cement, these companies have also diversified into several other building material sectors such as aggregates, concrete products, plasterboard, etc.

Cement is mainly delivered by producers to their customers by road in the EU and there is a limit to the distance over which it can delivered by road under normal trading conditions due to costs of road transport and the relatively low unit selling price of cement. The maximum distance over which cement is transported by road is generally said to be between 200 and 300 km. However, where cement plants are located near water (sea, inland waterways), transport over longer distances is more common. Furthermore, having easy access to rail networks facilitates transport over longer distances in certain circumstances. Global trade does exist and in some cases it is economically viable to ship cement around the world. The presence of cement terminals (e.g. floating terminals) has contributed to the increase of imports of cement into EU markets from countries outside the EU. International competition is mainly a threat for individual plants, and within the EU increasing imports from Eastern Europe do affect local market conditions. It has been estimated that, in 2007, Greece, Italy, Portugal, Spain, southern France and the UK as a whole were regarded as areas open to imports. These areas represent 60% of the EU’s cement production in volume. Denmark, Norway and Sweden are also vulnerable. In 2005, 15.5 million tonnes were imported into the EU from countries with no carbon constraint compared to 13.5 million tonnes in 2004.
There are 268 installations producing cement clinker and finished cement in the EU-27. In addition, there are two clinker plants (kilns) without mills and 90 grinding plants (cement mills) without kilns (cement mills without kilns are not covered by this document), as shown in Table 1.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EU Member State</th>
<th>Cement plants – with kilns –</th>
<th>Cement plants – with cement mills only –</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Belgium¹</td>
<td>BE 5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>BG 5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>CZ 6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>DK 1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>DE 38</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>EE 1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>IE 4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>EL 8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>ES 37</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>FR 33</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>IT 59</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>CY 2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>LV 1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>LT 1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg¹</td>
<td>LU 1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>HU 4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td>MT</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>NL 1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>AT 9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>PL 11</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>PT 6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>RO 8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>SI 2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>SK 6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>FI 2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>SE 3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>UK 14</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Including one clinker plant

Table 1.2: Number of cement plants in the EU-27
[72, CEMBUREAU, 2006-2008,]

There are a total of 377 kilns in the EU-27, but in 2007, not all were in operation. In recent years, typical kiln size has come to be around 3000 tonnes clinker per day, and although kilns of widely different sizes and ages exist, very few kilns have a capacity of less than 500 tonnes per day.

In 2007, about 90 % of Europe's cement production is from dry process kilns, a further 7.5 % of production is accounted for by semi-dry and semi-wet process kilns, with the remainder of European production – about 2.5 % – now coming from wet process kilns. The choice of manufacturing process is primarily motivated by the nature of the available raw materials.
The European standard (EN 197-1) for common cement, lists 27 different cement types into five groups. In addition, there is a range of special cements produced for particular applications. The European standard for cement produced in the EU-27 is shown in Table 1.4 while Table 1.3 shows the percentages of each type of cement supplied to domestic markets in 2005 in the EU-25. Furthermore, typical compositions of grey cement are shown in Table 1.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of cement</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CEM II Portland – composite</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>58.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM I Portland</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>27.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM III Blast furnace slag</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM IV Pozzolanic</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM V Composite cement and other cements</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.3: Domestic deliveries by type of cement in the EU-25
[72, CEMBUREAU, 2006-2008,]
## Composition (percentage by mass\(^1\))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main constituents</th>
<th>Clinker</th>
<th>Blast furnace slag</th>
<th>Silica fume</th>
<th>Pozzolana</th>
<th>Fly ash</th>
<th>Burnt shale</th>
<th>Limestone</th>
<th>Minor additional constituents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>D(^2)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main types</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CEM I</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portland cement</td>
<td>CEM I</td>
<td>95 – 100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CEM II</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portland – slag cement</td>
<td>CEM II/A-S</td>
<td>80 – 95</td>
<td>6 – 20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CEM II/B-S</td>
<td>65 – 79</td>
<td>21 – 35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portland – silica fume cement</td>
<td>CEM II/A-D</td>
<td>90 – 94</td>
<td>6 – 10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portland – pozzolana cement</td>
<td>CEM II/A-P</td>
<td>80 – 94</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6 – 20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CEM II/B-P</td>
<td>65 – 79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21 – 35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CEM II/A-Q</td>
<td>80 – 94</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6 – 20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CEM II/B-Q</td>
<td>65 – 79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21 – 35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portland – fly ash cement</td>
<td>CEM II/A-V</td>
<td>80 – 94</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6 – 20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CEM II/B-V</td>
<td>65 – 79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21 – 35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CEM II/A-W</td>
<td>80 – 94</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6 – 20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CEM II/B-W</td>
<td>65 – 79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21 – 35</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portland – burnt shale</td>
<td>CEM II/A-T</td>
<td>80 – 94</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6 – 20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CEM II/B-T</td>
<td>65 – 79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21 – 35</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portland – limestone cement</td>
<td>CEM II/A-L</td>
<td>80 – 94</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6 – 20</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CEM II/B-L</td>
<td>65 – 79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21 – 35</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Percentage by mass.
### Composition (percentage by mass\(^1\))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main constituents</th>
<th>Clinker</th>
<th>Blast furnace slag</th>
<th>Silica fume</th>
<th>Pozzolana</th>
<th>Fly ash</th>
<th>Burnt shale</th>
<th>Limestone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>D(^2)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM II/A-LL</td>
<td>80 – 94</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM II/B-LL</td>
<td>65 – 79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portland – composite cement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM II/A-M</td>
<td>80 – 94</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM II/B-M</td>
<td>65 – 79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM III</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blast furnace cement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM III/A</td>
<td>35 – 64</td>
<td>36 – 65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM III/B</td>
<td>20 – 34</td>
<td>66 – 80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM III/C</td>
<td>5 – 19</td>
<td>81 – 95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM IV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pozzolanic cement(^3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM IV/A</td>
<td>65 – 89</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11 – 35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM IV/B</td>
<td>45 – 64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36 – 55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite cement(^3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM V/A</td>
<td>40 – 64</td>
<td>18 – 30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18 – 30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM V/B</td>
<td>20 – 38</td>
<td>31 – 50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31 – 50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) The values in this table refer to the sum of the major and minor additional constituents.

\(^2\) The proportion of silica fumes is limited to 10 %.

\(^3\) In Portland - composite cements CEM II/A-M and CEM II/B-M, in pozzolanic cements CEM IV/A and CEM IV/B and in composite cements CEM V/A and CEM V/B the main constituents other than clinker shall be declared by designation of the cement.

\(^4\) Limestone up to 50 % TOC

\(^5\) Limestone up to 20 % TOC

Table 1.4: European standard in the EU-27 for types of cement and their composition [149, CEN/EN 197-1, 2000]
### Chapter 1

8 Cement, Lime and Magnesium Oxide Manufacturing Industries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IUPAC nomenclature(^1)</th>
<th>CAS number</th>
<th>EC number</th>
<th>Mol. formula</th>
<th>Mol. weight</th>
<th>Typical concentration (% w/w)</th>
<th>Concentration range (% w/w)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A Tricalcium silicate</td>
<td>12168-85-3</td>
<td>235-336-9</td>
<td>3CaO(\cdot)SiO(_2)</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>40 – 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Dicalcium silicate</td>
<td>10034-77-2</td>
<td>233-107-8</td>
<td>2CaO(\cdot)SiO(_2)</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10 – 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Tricalcium aluminate</td>
<td>12042-78-3</td>
<td>234-932-6</td>
<td>3CaO(\cdot)Al(_2)O(_3)</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0 – 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D Tetracalcium aluminoferrite</td>
<td>12068-35-8</td>
<td>235-094-4</td>
<td>4CaO(\cdot)Al(_2)O(_3)(\cdot)Fe(_2)O(_3)</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0 – 20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Composition information – main constituents

| E Calcium oxide          | 1305-78-8 | 215-138-9 | CaO          | 1 | 0 – 3 |
| F Magnesium oxide       | 1309-48-4 | 215-171-9 | MgO          | 2 | 0 – 5 |
| G Dipotassium sulphate  | 10233-01-9 | 233-558-0 | K\(_2\)SO\(_4\) | 1 | 0 – 2 |
| H Disodium sulphate     | 7757-82-6 | 231-820-9 | Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) | 0.5 | 0 – 1 |

Number of non-specified impurities: <1 w/w %

Additives not available

\(^1\) International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry nomenclature

\(^2\) Calcium oxide is found in free form as ‘free lime’. CaO is also present bound in the four main phases A-B-C-D


---

**Table 1.5: Chemical composition of grey cement**

[103, CEMBUREAU, 2006]

Besides grey Portland cement, other specifications of cement are also produced, such as white cement. Except for colour, this type of cement has the same properties as grey cement. For white cement production, only materials which do not have a negative effect on the colour of this special type of cement are used. Table 1.6 shows some examples of parameters corresponding to white cement of the American and European markets. The local demand for whiteness will influence these parameters. Furthermore, the great difference is shown in the Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) content with respect to the range of grey cement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>White cement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO(_2)</td>
<td>22.5 – 23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(_2)O(_3)</td>
<td>2.3 – 6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(_2)O(_3)</td>
<td>0.19 – 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>66.3 – 68.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.48 – 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO(_3)</td>
<td>0.65 – 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.24 – 0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K(_2)O</td>
<td>0.12 – 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss on ignition (%)</td>
<td>0.50 – 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na(_2)O</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential compound composition (%)</td>
<td>69.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(_3)S (3 CaO SiO(_2))</td>
<td>69.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(_2)S (2 CaO SiO(_2))</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(_3)A (3 CaO Al(_2)O(_3))</td>
<td>8.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(_4)AF (4 CaO Al(_2)O(_3), Fe(_2)O(_3))</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.6: Examples of chemical compositions of white cement clinker**

[103, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [118, Germany, 2007], [119, Sobolev, 2001]
The whiteness of this type of cement is one of the most important characteristics. Different specifications are used for the whiteness of this cement according to different national standards [118, Germany, 2007], [119, Sobolev, 2001].

The cement industry is an energy intensive industry with energy typically accounting for about 40% of operational costs, i.e. excluding capital costs but including electricity costs. Traditionally, the primary solid fossil fuel used was coal. A wide range of other solid, liquid or gaseous fossil fuels are used, such as petroleum coke, lignite, natural gas and oil (heavy, medium or light fuel oil). In addition to these traditional types of fossil fuels, the cement industry has been using large quantities of waste fuels or biomass fuels, for more than 15 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of fuel</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Petcoke (fossil)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>38.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal (fossil)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petcoke and coal (fossil)1)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel oil including HVFO2)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lignite and other solid fuels (fossil)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural gas (fossil)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste fuels</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.7: Fuel consumption expressed as a percentage of heat generation by the cement industry in the EU-27
[72, CEMBUREAU, 2006-2008], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]

The emissions from cement plants which cause greatest concern and which need to be dealt with are dust, nitrogen oxides (NOx) and sulphur dioxide (SO2). Other emissions to be considered are volatile organic compounds (VOCs), polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDDs) and dibenzofurans (PCDFs) as well as hydrogen chloride (HCl). Also emissions of carbon oxides (CO, CO2), hydrogen fluoride (HF), ammonia (NH3), benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene (BTEX), polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAH), metals and their compounds, noise and odours may be considered under special circumstances.

Conventional raw materials and fuels are replaced by suitable waste and/or biomass and are used in the cement manufacturing process.

The cement industry is also a capital intensive industry. The cost of a new cement plant is equivalent to around three years’ turnover, which ranks the cement industry among the most capital intensive industries. The profitability of the cement industry is around 10% as a proportion of turnover (on the basis of pre-tax profits before interest repayments).
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1.2 Applied processes and techniques in cement manufacturing

The basic chemistry of the cement manufacturing process begins with the decomposition of calcium carbonate (CaCO\textsubscript{3}) at about 900 °C to leave calcium oxide (CaO, lime) and liberated gaseous carbon dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2}); this process is known as calcination. This is followed by the clinkering process in which the calcium oxide reacts at a high temperature (typically 1400 - 1500 °C) with silica, alumina, and ferrous oxide to form the silicates, aluminates, and ferrites of calcium which comprise the clinker. The clinker is then ground or milled together with gypsum and other additives to produce cement.

There are four main process routes for the manufacture of cement – the dry, semi-dry, semi-wet and wet processes:

- in the dry process, the raw materials are ground and dried to raw meal in the form of a flowable powder. The dry raw meal is fed to the preheater or precalciner kiln or, more rarely, to a long dry kiln
- in the semi-dry process, dry raw meal is pelletised with water and fed into a grate preheater before the kiln or to a long kiln equipped with crosses
- in the semi-wet process, the slurry is first dewatered in filter presses. The filter cake is extruded into pellets and fed either to a grate preheater or directly to a filter cake dryer for raw meal production
- in the wet process, the raw materials (often with a high moisture content) are ground in water to form pumpable slurry. The slurry is either fed directly into the kiln or first to a slurry dryer.

The choice of process is, to a large extent, determined by the state of the raw materials (dry or wet). A large part of world clinker production is still based on wet processes. However, in Europe, more than 90 % of production is based on dry processes thanks to the availability of dry raw materials. Wet processes are more energy consuming, and thus more expensive. Plants using semi-dry processes are likely to change to dry techniques whenever expansion or major improvement is required. Plants using wet or semi-wet processes normally only have access to moist raw materials, as is the situation in Denmark and Belgium, and to some extent in the UK.

All processes have the following sub-processes in common:

- raw materials – storage and preparation
- fuels – storage and preparation
- use of wastes as raw materials and/or fuels, quality requirements, control and preparation
- the kiln systems, kiln firing processes and emissions reduction techniques
- products – storage and preparation
- packaging and dispatch.

The process of manufacturing white cement is similar to grey Portland cement production. The process includes the selection of raw materials, storage and preparation, fuel storage and preparation, firing of clinker in a kiln system, whitening/cooling, and grinding under precisely controlled conditions through the stages of the process in order to avoid contamination and undesired changes of the product. However, the combination of cooling and whitening is the main technological difference. These steps are used and needed in order to improve the whiteness of this special type of cement and to provide a uniform colour.

A typical process flow diagram from a cement plant is shown in Figure 1.4.
Figure 1.4: General overview of a cement manufacturing process
[103, CEMBUREAU, 2006]
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1.2.1 Raw materials and their winning

Naturally occurring calcareous deposits, such as limestone, marl or chalk, provide the source for calcium carbonate. Silica, iron oxide and alumina are found in various ores and minerals, such as sand, shale, clay and iron ore.

Winning of nearly all of the natural raw materials involves mining and quarrying operations. The materials are most often obtained from open surface quarries. The operations necessary include rock drilling, blasting, excavation, hauling and crushing. Useful information regarding mining/quarrying can be found in the Reference Document on Best Available Techniques for Management of Tailings and Waste-Rock in Mining Activities [47, European Commission, 2004].

The main raw materials, like limestone, chalk, marl and shale or clay, are extracted from quarries. In most cases, the quarry is close to the plant. After primary crushing, the raw materials are transported to the cement plant for storage and further preparation. Other raw materials, such as bauxite, iron ore, blast furnace slag or foundry sand, are brought in from elsewhere.

Raw materials have to show and meet characteristics, chemical elements and components which are necessary for the clinker burning process and they may affect the production process and clinker quality. Table 1.8 shows example ranges from chemical analyses and characteristics of raw materials and cement raw meals for the production of cement clinker. Apart from the main components, these raw materials also contain a number of metals that are listed in Table 1.9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Limestone, lime marl, chalk</th>
<th>Clay</th>
<th>Sand</th>
<th>PFA(^2)</th>
<th>Fe source</th>
<th>Raw meal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(mass %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO(_2)</td>
<td>0.5 – 50</td>
<td>33 – 78</td>
<td>80 – 99</td>
<td>40 – 60</td>
<td>0.5 – 30</td>
<td>12 – 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(_2)O(_3)</td>
<td>0.1 – 20</td>
<td>7 – 30</td>
<td>0.5 – 7</td>
<td>20 – 30</td>
<td>0.2 – 4</td>
<td>2 – 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(_2)O(_3)</td>
<td>0.2 – 5.9</td>
<td>4.0 – 15</td>
<td>0.0 – 4</td>
<td>5 – 15</td>
<td>50 – 93</td>
<td>1.5 – 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn(_2)O(_3)</td>
<td>0.02 – 0.15</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.1 – 4</td>
<td>0.0 – 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(_2)O(_3) and Mn(_2)O(_3)</td>
<td>0.1 – 10</td>
<td>2 – 15</td>
<td>0.5 – 2</td>
<td>19 – 95</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>20 – 55</td>
<td>0.2 – 25</td>
<td>0.1 – 3</td>
<td>2 – 10</td>
<td>0.1 – 34</td>
<td>40 – 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.2 – 6</td>
<td>0.3 – 5</td>
<td>0.3 – 0.5</td>
<td>1.0 – 3</td>
<td>0.5 – 7</td>
<td>0.3 – 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K(_2)O</td>
<td>0 – 3.5</td>
<td>0.4 – 5</td>
<td>0.2 – 3</td>
<td>1 – 5</td>
<td>0.1 – 1</td>
<td>0.1 – 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na(_2)O</td>
<td>0.0 – 1.5</td>
<td>0.1 – 1.5</td>
<td>0.0 – 1</td>
<td>0.2 – 1.5</td>
<td>0.1 – 1</td>
<td>0.1 – 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO(_3)(^1)</td>
<td>0.0 – 0.7</td>
<td>0.0 – 4</td>
<td>0.0 – 0.5</td>
<td>0.0 – 1</td>
<td>0 – 3</td>
<td>0 – 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0.0 – 0.6</td>
<td>0.0 – 1</td>
<td>Traces</td>
<td>0.0 – 0.5</td>
<td>0.0 – 0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO(_2)</td>
<td>0.0 – 0.7</td>
<td>0.2 – 1.8</td>
<td>0.0 – 0.5</td>
<td>0.5 – 1.5</td>
<td>0.0 – 3</td>
<td>0.0 – 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(_2)O(_5)</td>
<td>0.0 – 0.8</td>
<td>0.0 – 1.0</td>
<td>0.0 – 0.1</td>
<td>0.5 – 1.5</td>
<td>0.0 – 1</td>
<td>0.0 – 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrO(_2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCO(_3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss on ignition (CO(_2) + H(_2)O), LOI 950(^3)</td>
<td>2 – 44</td>
<td>1 – 20</td>
<td>5 – 5</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>0.1 – 30</td>
<td>32 – 36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Total content of sulphur, expressed as SO\(_2\)
\(^2\) Pulverised fly ash
\(^3\) LOI 950 = loss on ignition

Table 1.8: Chemical analyses of raw materials and cement raw meal for the production of cement clinker

[60, VDI 2094 Germany, 2003], [81, Castle Cement UK, 2006], [90, Hungary, 2006], [103, CEMBUREAU, 2006]
Table 1.9: Metals in raw materials and raw meal
[60, VDI 2094 Germany, 2003]

Waste also can replace conventional raw materials. Regarding the use of wastes as raw material see Section 1.2.4.2.

For white cement production, the availability of highly pure raw material, such as purity of Si, Ca and Al sources, is essential. Raw materials, e.g. highly pure limestone, types of white clays, kaolin, quartz sand, feldspar, diatomaceous earth, are selected with low contents of metals such as iron and manganese. The metal oxides influence the whiteness of the product and are one of the determining factors. For the production of high-grade white cement, the chemical composition of the raw materials is essential and examples are shown in Table 1.10. However, the proportions of these components also need to meet the requirements of the firing process. To improve the burnability, sometimes mineralisers are used. Mineralisers known and used are fluxing agents, such as fluorides (generally CaF₂) [119, Sobolev, 2001], [120, Spain, 2007].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Clay and argillite</th>
<th>Limestone, marl and chalk</th>
<th>Raw meal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mg/kg DS(^1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimony</td>
<td>Sb</td>
<td>No data available</td>
<td>1 – 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>As</td>
<td>13 – 23</td>
<td>0.2 – 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beryllium</td>
<td>Be</td>
<td>2 – 4</td>
<td>0.05 – 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>10 – 40</td>
<td>0.3 – 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>0.02 – 0.3</td>
<td>0.04 – 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>20 – 109</td>
<td>1.2 – 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt</td>
<td>Co</td>
<td>10 – 20</td>
<td>0.5 – 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>No data available</td>
<td>3 – 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>No data available</td>
<td>&lt;250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>11 – 70</td>
<td>1.5 – 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>0.02 – 0.15</td>
<td>&lt;0.01 – 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>Se</td>
<td>No data available</td>
<td>1 – 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tellurium</td>
<td>Te</td>
<td>No data available</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thallium</td>
<td>Tl</td>
<td>0.7 – 1.6</td>
<td>0.05 – 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanadium</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>98 – 170</td>
<td>4 – 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin</td>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>No data available</td>
<td>&lt;1 – 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>59 – 115</td>
<td>10 – 40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) DS: dry substance

Table 1.10: Examples of chemical compositions of raw materials for white cement clinker production
[119, Sobolev, 2001], [120, Spain, 2007], [138, Italy, 2007]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raw materials</th>
<th>Chemical components in the raw materials (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limestone</td>
<td>&lt;0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaolin (suitable)</td>
<td>0.4 – 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz sand (pure)</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others(^1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Italy
1.2.2 Raw materials – storage and preparation

Preparation of the raw materials is of great importance to the subsequent kiln system both in getting the chemistry of the raw feed right and in ensuring that the feed is sufficiently fine.

1.2.2.1 Storage of raw materials

The need to use covered storage depends on climatic conditions and the amount of fines in the raw material leaving the crushing plant. In the case of a 3000 tonnes/day plant these buildings may hold between 20000 and 40000 tonnes of material. An example of covered raw material storage is shown in Figure 1.5.

![Figure 1.5: Example of covered raw material storage](image)

The raw material fed to a kiln system needs to be as chemically homogeneous as practicable. This is achieved by controlling the feed into the raw grinding plant. When the material from the quarry varies in quality, initial preblending can be achieved by stacking the material in rows or layers along the length (or around the circumference) of the store and extracting it by taking cross-sections across the pile. When the material from the quarry is fairly homogeneous, simpler stacking and reclaiming systems can be used.

Raw materials used in relatively small quantities, mineral additions for example, may alternatively be stored in silos or bunkers. Any raw materials with potentially harmful properties to public health and to the environment must be stored and prepared according to individual specific requirements.

1.2.2.2 Grinding of raw materials

Accurate metering and proportioning of the mill feed components by weight is important for achieving a consistent chemical composition. This is essential for steady kiln operation and a high quality product. Metering and proportioning are also important factors in the energy efficiency of the grinding system. The predominant metering and proportioning equipment for raw material feed to mills is the apron feeder followed by the belt weigh feeder.

For white cement production, care has to be maintained during milling operations in order to prevent introduction of different pieces or small quantities of metals providing colour. Furthermore, the selection of grinding media and mill liners is important in order to avoid contamination of the raw mix with iron. Special steels or ceramic materials are used for the essential parts of the equipment. To improve the whiteness, humidity control of the raw mix is used and the grinding time is reduced by using any accelerating/surface active admixtures,
which, however, could be different from commercially available grinding aids [120, Spain, 2007]. In some cases, granulating equipment is recommended for the final stage of the raw mix preparation process, especially when using a fluidised bed kiln for firing [119, Sobolev, 2001].

1.2.2.2.1 Grinding of raw materials – dry and semi-dry kiln systems

The raw materials, in controlled proportions, are ground and mixed together to form a homogeneous blend with the required chemical composition. For dry and semi-dry kiln systems, the raw material components are ground and dried to a fine powder, making use mainly of the kiln exhaust gases and/or cooler exhaust air. For raw materials with a relatively high moisture content, and for start-up procedures, an auxiliary furnace may be needed to provide additional heat.

Typical dry grinding systems used are:

- tube mill, centre discharge
- tube mill, airswept
- vertical roller mill
- horizontal roller mill (only a few installations in operation).

Other grinding systems are used to a lesser extent. These are:

- tube mill, end discharge in closed circuit
- autogenous mill
- roller press, with or without crushers (dryers).

The fineness and particle size distribution of the product leaving a raw grinding system is of great importance for the subsequent burning process. The target given for these parameters is achieved by adjusting the separator used for classifying the product leaving the grinding mill. For dry classification, air separators are used. The newest generation, rotor cage type separators, have several advantages. These are:

- lower specific energy consumption of the grinding system (less over-grinding)
- increased system throughput (efficiency of particle separation)
- more favourable particle size distribution and product uniformity.

1.2.2.2.2 Grinding of raw materials – wet or semi-wet kiln systems

Wet grinding is only used in combination with wet or semi-wet kiln systems. The raw material components are ground with added water to form a slurry. To achieve the slurry fineness required and in order to comply with modern quality demands, closed circuit milling systems are the main option.

The wet process is normally preferred whenever the raw material has a moisture content of more than 20 % by weight. Raw materials such as chalk, marl or clay, which are sticky and have an inherently high moisture content, are soft and as a first stage of preparation they may be ground in a wash mill. Water and crushed material are fed to the wash mill and broken down into slurry by shearing and impact forces imparted by the rotating harrows. When sufficiently fine, the material passes through screens in the wall of the wash mill and is pumped to storage. To achieve the required slurry fineness, further grinding in a tube mill is usually required, especially if an additional raw material such as sand is to be added.
To reduce kiln fuel consumption, water addition during raw material grinding is controlled so that the amount used is the minimum necessary to achieve the required slurry flow and pumpability characteristics (32 to 40 % w/w water). Chemical additives may act as slurry thinners permitting the water content to be reduced.

### 1.2.2.2.3 Raw meal or slurry homogenisation and storage

Raw meal or slurry leaving the raw grinding process requires further blending/homogenisation to achieve optimum consistency of the raw mix prior to being fed to any type of kiln system. The raw meal is homogenised and stored in silos, the raw slurry in either tanks or silos.

For raw meal transport to storage silos, pneumatic and mechanical systems are used. Mechanical conveyors normally require a higher investment cost but have much lower operating costs than pneumatic conveying systems. A combination of air-slide or screw/chain conveyors with a belt bucket elevator is the most commonly used conveying system.

### 1.2.3 Fuels – storage and preparation

Various fuels (conventional and waste) can be used to provide the heat and the energy required for the process. Different types of conventional fuels are mainly used in cement kiln firing; such as:

- solid fuels, e.g. coal as well as petcoke and lignite, and in some cases oil shale
- liquid fuels, e.g. fuel oil including highly viscous fuel oil (HVFO)
- gaseous fuels, e.g. natural gas.

The main ash constituents of these fuels are silica and alumina compounds. Furthermore, ashes may also contain traces of metals. These combine with the raw materials to become part of the clinker. This needs to be allowed for in calculating the raw material proportion and so it is desirable to use fuel with a consistent, though not necessarily low, ash content. Examples of chemical analyses of metals in coal used in Germany are shown in Table 1.11.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Hard coal/brown coal mg/kg DS&lt;sup&gt;1)&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antimony</td>
<td>Sb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>As</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beryllium</td>
<td>Be</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Pb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>Cd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>Cr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt</td>
<td>Co</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>Cu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>Mn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>Ni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>Hg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>Se</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tellurium</td>
<td>Te</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thallium</td>
<td>Tl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanadium</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin</td>
<td>Sn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>Zn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.1 – 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5 – 273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1 – 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5 – 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 – 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 – 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>82 – 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;1 – 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1 – 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.6 – 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2 – 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1 – 5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 – 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.8 – 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 – 220</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1)</sup> DS: dry substance

Table 1.11: Chemical analyses of metals in coal

[60, VDI 2094 Germany, 2003]
The main fossil fuels used in the European cement industry are petcoke and coal. Costs normally preclude the use of natural gas or oil, but the selection of fuels depends on the local situation (such as the availability of domestic coal). However, the high temperatures and long residence times in the kiln system implies considerable potential for the destruction of organic substances (see Section 1.2.4.1). This makes a wide variety of less expensive fuel options possible, in particular different types of wastes. The use of wastes has been increasing over the last few years (see Section 1.2.4).

In order to keep heat losses to a minimum, cement kilns are operated at the lowest reasonable excess oxygen levels. This requires highly uniform and reliable fuel metering and fuel presentation in a form allowing easy and complete combustion. These conditions are fulfilled by all liquid and gaseous fuels. For pulverised solid fuels, good design of hoppers, conveyors and feeders is essential to meet these conditions. The main fuel input (65 – 85 %) usually consists of easily combustible fuel, whereas the remaining 15 – 35 % may be fed in coarsely crushed or lump form.

For white cement production, fuel selection has to be made very carefully in order to avoid elements in the fuel ash that could be incorporated in the clinker and could therefore alter the desired colour of the white cement. In 2007, selected waste fuels were used on a limited scale for white cement production.

### 1.2.3.1 Storage of conventional fuels

Raw coal and petcoke are stored similarly to raw materials; thus, in many cases, in covered stores. Outside storage in large, compacted stockpiles is used for long term stocks. Such stockpiles may be seeded with grass to prevent rainwater and wind erosion. Drainage to the ground from outside storage has shown to be a problem. However, sealed concrete floors under the stockpiles make it possible to collect and clean the water that drains off. Normal good practice in terms of compaction and stockpile height needs to be observed when storing coal with a relatively high volatile matter content in order to avoid the risk of spontaneous ignition when stored for long periods.

Pulverised coal and petcoke are stored exclusively in silos. For safety reasons (i.e. the danger of explosions being triggered by smouldering fires and static electricity spark-overs), these silos have to be of the mass flow extraction type and have to be equipped with standard safety devices.

Fuel oil is stored in vertical steel tanks. These are sometimes insulated to help keep the oil at a pumpable temperature (50 to 60 °C). They may also be equipped with heatable suction points to maintain the oil at the correct temperature locally.

Natural gas is not stored at the cement plant. The international high pressure gas distribution network acts as a gas storage facility.

### 1.2.3.2 Preparation of conventional fuels

Solid conventional fuel preparation (crushing, grinding and drying) is usually carried out on site. Coal and petcoke are pulverised to about raw meal fineness in grinding plants using equipment similar to the raw material grinding plants. The fineness of the pulverised fuel is important – too fine and flame temperatures can be excessively high, too coarse and poor combustion can occur. Low volatility or low volatiles contents of the solid fuel will need finer grinding. If sufficient hot air for drying is not available from the kiln or from the cooler, an auxiliary furnace may be needed. Special features have to be incorporated to protect the equipment from fires and explosions.
Three main types of coal milling and grinding systems are used:

- tube mill, airswept
- vertical roller or ring-ball mill
- impact mill.

Ground solid fuel may be fired directly into the kiln, but in modern installations it is usually stored in silos to allow the use of more thermally efficient burners (indirect firing) using low primary air.

Solid fuel grinding, storage and firing systems have to be designed and operated so as to avoid the risk of explosion or fire. The primary requirements are to control air temperatures properly, and to avoid the accumulation of fine material in dead spots exposed to heat.

Fuel oil preparation: In order to facilitate metering and combustion, the fuel oil is brought to 120 – 140 °C, resulting in a viscosity reduction to 10 – 20 cSt. Additionally, the pressure is increased to 20 – 40 bar.

Natural gas preparation: Prior to combustion the gas pressure has to be brought from the pipeline pressure of 30 – 80 bar down to the plant’s network pressure of 3 – 10 bar and then reduced again to the burner’s supply pressure of around 1 bar (overpressure). The first pressure reduction step is accomplished in the gas transfer station where consumption metering also takes place. To avoid freezing of the equipment as a result of the Joule-Thompson effect, the natural gas is preheated before passing through the pressure reduction valve.

Alternatively, the pressure reduction can be accomplished by passing the gas through a gas expansion turbine connected to a power generator. Thus, some of the energy required for gas compression can be recovered.

1.2.4 Use of waste

The European cement industry recovers a substantial amount of waste-derived fuels, which replace fossil fuels up to a level of more than 80 % in some plants. This enables the cement industry to contribute further to the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions and to the use of fewer natural resources.

The ban on the landfilling of unprocessed waste in some EU Member States has led to an increasing number of mechanical and mechanical biological waste treatment plants being put into operation. As a consequence, the utilisation of pretreated waste fractions is an issue of growing concern. After suitable treatment, individual waste fractions can meet the requirements for environmentally compatible re-use in cement plants. The cement industry of the EU-27 has been involved in the recovery of selected waste streams in cement plants for many years. This industry, traditionally a substantial user of non-renewable natural resources, minerals and fossil fuels, is committed to using waste for the conservation of these resources without, at the same time, producing final wastes [103, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007].

Since waste treatment is not covered in this document, useful information regarding waste treatment can be found in the Reference Document on the Best Available Techniques for the Waste Treatments Industries. Furthermore, the requirements of existing European and national regulations have to be considered, e.g. when co-incinerating waste, the requirements of the Waste Incineration Directive (WID) have to be met [59, European Union, 2000].
1.2.4.1 General aspects

Different types of waste materials can replace primary raw materials and/or fossil fuels in cement manufacturing and will contribute to saving natural resources. Basically, characteristics of the clinker burning process itself allow environmental beneficial waste-to-energy and material recycling applications. The essential process characteristics for the use of waste can be summarised as follows:

- maximum temperatures of approx. 2000 °C (main firing system, flame temperature) in rotary kilns
- gas retention times of about 8 seconds at temperatures above 1200 °C in rotary kilns
- material temperatures of about 1450 °C in the sintering zone of the rotary kiln
- oxidising gas atmosphere in the rotary kiln
- gas retention time in the secondary firing system of more than 2 seconds at temperatures of above 850 °C; in the precalciner, the retention times are correspondingly longer and temperatures are higher
- solids temperatures of 850 °C in the secondary firing system and/or the calciner
- uniform burnout conditions for load fluctuations due to the high temperatures at sufficiently long retention times
- destruction of organic pollutants due to the high temperatures at sufficiently long retention times
- sorption of gaseous components like HF, HCl, SO₂ on alkaline reactants
- high retention capacity for particle-bound heavy metals
- short retention times of exhaust gases in the temperature range known to lead to ‘de-novo-synthesis’ of PCDD/F
- complete utilisation of fuel ashes as clinker components and hence, simultaneous material recycling (e.g. also as a component of the raw material) and energy recovery
- product specific wastes are not generated due to a complete material utilisation into the clinker matrix; however, some cement plants in Europe dispose of bypass dust
- chemical-mineralogical incorporation of non-volatile heavy metals into the clinker matrix [60, VDI 2094 Germany, 2003], [76, Germany, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007].

Investigations show that in rotary kilns hazardous substances are better absorbed by material and clinker than in other types of kilns, e.g. shaft kilns [75, Estonia, 2006], [76, Germany, 2006].

A wide range of different types of wastes are used as raw materials and/or as fuels. Before considering the use of waste materials, different basic principles have to be considered, such as appropriate selection of waste materials and an extensive analysing procedure of wastes and pretreatment. Treatment of these wastes has to be carried out in order to keep quality standards of the clinker, because the fuel ashes are fully captured in the clinker. The decision on what type of waste can finally be used in a certain plant cannot be answered uniformly.

Considerations and decisions have to be based on the clinker production process and the operation conditions, the raw materials and fuel compositions, the feeding points, the flue-gas cleaning technique used, the given waste management problems and the requirements of existing European and national regulations, e.g. the Waste Incineration Directive (WID) [59, European Union, 2000].

As a basic rule, wastes accepted as fuels and/or raw materials must give the following added value to the cement kiln:

- calorific value from waste material
- material value from waste material.
Furthermore, volumes and categories of wastes have to be considered as well as physical and chemical compositions, characteristics and pollutants. Waste-derived fuels used by the cement industry are derived exclusively from selected waste streams, which usually require a pretreatment, e.g. shredding, blending, grinding and homogenisation, and an appropriate quality assurance. Preparation of waste materials is usually carried out at waste treatment plants.

*Feed points for inserting waste into the kiln*

Wastes used as raw materials are typically fed to the kiln system in the same way as conventional raw materials, e.g. via the normal raw meal supply.

As described in Section 1.2.5.1, different feed points can be used to insert fuels into the cement kiln. These feed points can also be used for feeding wastes as fuels and/or raw materials into the cement production process. It has to be noted that the way the fuels are fed into the kiln is very important because this can have an effect on the emissions. Of these feed points, there is, in general, only one way in which the flue-gases from fuels pass the highest temperature zone of the kiln, namely by feeding them through the main burner. Related to other feed points, temperature and residence time depends on kiln design and kiln operation, as described above.

Wastes, which are fed through the main burner, will be decomposed in the primary burning zone, at high temperatures of up to 2000 ºC. Multi-channel burners are designed for the use of different types of fuels including waste fuels. Wastes fed to a secondary burner, preheater or precalciner will be burned at lower temperatures, which is not always enough to decompose halogenated organic substances. Volatile components in material that is fed at the upper end of the kiln or as lump fuel can evaporate. These components do not pass the primary burning zone and may not be decomposed or bound in the cement clinker. Therefore, the use of waste containing volatile metals (mercury, cadmium, thallium) or volatile organic compounds can result in an increase of the emissions of mercury, cadmium, thallium or organic emissions (e.g. VOCs) when improperly used. Consideration should be given to these waste materials containing components that can be volatilised at lower temperatures before the calcining zone (e.g. hydrocarbons, solvents, waste oils). They have to be fed into the adequately high temperature zones of the kiln system.

*Energy efficiency by using waste*

The cement industry has achieved an improvement in energy efficiency regarding fuels used in the cement manufacturing processes and will continue to develop alternative means for improvements. However, the calorific values of the waste fuels which are used in the process are very important quality requirements necessary to receive an improvement in energy efficiency and a positive input to the thermal process that supports calcination [76, Germany, 2006], [89, ERFO, 2005], [103, CEMBUREAU, 2006].

*Impacts by using wastes*

Information about impacts on the emissions behaviour by using waste materials can be found in Section 1.3.4.13 and information about impacts on product quality can be found in Section 1.3.4.14 of this document.

The monitoring of parameters and emissions for using wastes as fuels and/or raw materials can be found in Section 1.3.9. Furthermore, plant specific data for, e.g. using wastes, can be found in Section 4.2.2 of this document.
1.2.4.2 Use of wastes as raw materials

The chemical suitability of wastes used as raw materials is important and they have to provide the constituents required for the production of clinker. Primary desired chemical elements are lime, silica, alumina and iron as well as sulphur, alkalis and others which can be classified into different groups according to their chemical composition. The use of wastes as raw materials as in the clinker burning process involves the substitution of sulphur and the oxides contained in the wastes used as raw materials. These include calcium oxide (CaO), silica (SiO₂), alumina (Al₂O₃) or iron oxide (Fe₂O₃) for the respective raw material constituents. Power station ash (fly ash), blast furnace slag, and other process residues can be used as partial replacements for the natural raw materials. Table 1.12 shows the types of wastes most frequently used as raw materials in the production of cement in Europe in 2006.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fly ash</th>
<th>Blast furnace slag</th>
<th>Silica fume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iron slag</td>
<td>Paper sludge</td>
<td>Pyrite ash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spent foundry sand</td>
<td>Soil containing oil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artificial gypsum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.12: Types of waste frequently used as raw materials in the European cement industry [8, CEMBUREAU, 2001], [91, CEMBUREAU, 2006]

Other waste materials are supplied as so-called ‘inter-ground’ additions to the grinding plants. Fly ash can be used both as raw material in the production of clinker (mainly for its content of alumina) and as an inter-ground addition for cement. Fly ash can replace up to 50 % of the Portland cement clinker; however, it may contain mercury. Furthermore, suitable industrial gypsum lends itself for use as a sulphate component. An overview of wastes used as raw materials classified into different groups according to their chemical composition is shown in Table 1.13.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raw material group</th>
<th>Examples of wastes used as raw materials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca group</td>
<td>Industrial lime (waste limestone)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lime slurries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carbide sludge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sludge from drinking water treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si group</td>
<td>Spent foundry sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe group</td>
<td>Blast furnace and converter slag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pyrite ash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Synthetic hematite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Red mud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al group</td>
<td>Industrial sludge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si-Al-Ca group</td>
<td>Fly ash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slags</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crusher fines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S group</td>
<td>Industrial gypsum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F group</td>
<td>CaF₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Filter sludge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.13: Example list of wastes used as raw materials classified to their chemical composition and used in cement kilns in the EU-25 [76, Germany, 2006], [91, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [103, CEMBUREAU, 2006]

Like ash from conventional fuels, the ash from waste fuels provides mineral components for the cement clinker. The ternary diagram in Figure 1.6 shows the composition of different fuel ash and wastes used as raw materials, for which the contents of the main components CaO, SiO₂, Fe₂O₃ and Al₂O₃ are represented. As shown, the clinker has a defined composition which is crucial to the characteristic hydraulic properties of the cement. This means that all raw materials...
and fuel ash must be carefully matched in terms of mineral composition and feed rate to obtain the desired clinker composition.

The wastes used as raw materials enter the clinker burning process or the calciner via the raw meal path or via the kiln inlet and/or the calciner. During the preheating phase in the preheater, organic components may be released from the kiln feed, because of lower temperatures, which are not always enough to decompose halogenated organic substances. When processing these waste raw materials, these must be checked for potential emissions of volatile organic constituents and the feed point selected accordingly, e.g. kiln burner.

Spent foundry sand with a high volatile content, for example, should be fed to the kiln inlet. The residual organic binder used in chemically bonded sand cast systems can be decomposed in the preheater. However, a short residence time of the material in the low temperature phase of the preheater ensures that volatiles are not emitted. Pretreatment of spent foundry sand, such as the separation of dust, can reduce the content of heavy metals. By using industrial gypsum and fly ash, the feeding of gypsum takes place in the grinding plant. The recoverable calorific value of carbon rich ash, i.e. up to 20 % of carbon is possible, can be used in the cement clinker process [76, Germany, 2006], [91, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007].

Requirements to consider in the selection and use of waste as raw material:

- the waste consists primarily of the clinker components
- low volatile heavy metal concentration, i.e. mercury, thallium and other types of metals have to be taken into account
- regular monitoring of inputs, e.g. used waste materials by sampling and analysis.

Figure 1.6: Ternary CaO, SiO\(_2\) and Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)+Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) diagram for cement clinker and the ash constituents of different raw materials and fuels [76, Germany, 2006]
1.2.4.3 Use of wastes as fuels

Conventional fuels, such as fossil fuels, can be replaced by waste fuels, i.e. waste fuels derived from pretreated and sorted waste fractions including solid and liquid recovered fuels, and/or biomass. A wide range of different types of wastes are used as fuels including the remaining ashes. Waste materials can be solid, liquid or pasty and are defined by their origin, e.g. industrial, agricultural and municipal sources. Consumption of waste fuels is described in Section 1.3.3.3 and impacts on the emissions behaviour are described in Section 1.3.4.13.

1.2.4.3.1 Types of waste fuels

The clinker burning process offers good conditions for using different types of waste materials replacing parts of conventional fuels (see Section 1.2.4.1). As listed in Table 1.14, different types of wastes are used as fuels in European cement kilns which are categorised as hazardous and non-hazardous wastes. As these calorific waste materials can replace primary fuel in cement kilns, a consistent waste quality is essential (e.g. adequate calorific value, metal, halogen (e.g. chlorine) and ash content, the waste has to be suitable for the burners). There is a constant increase in the use of waste fuels in clinker production; however, the increase in the use of non-hazardous waste is more significant than in the use of hazardous waste [74, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [75, Estonia, 2006], [76, Germany, 2006], [92, Austria, 2006].

Table 1.14 presents a list of the use of waste fuels clustered into 14 groups. These groups span several EWC listings and a full list of the whole grouping structure along with waste categories and waste descriptions can be found in Table 4.1 of Section 4.2.1. Furthermore, examples of calorific values of several types of wastes can be found in Table 1.20 of Section 1.3.3.3 [74, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [98, European Commission, 2000], [103, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group Nr.</th>
<th>Types of waste fuels (hazardous and non-hazardous)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Wood, paper, cardboard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Textiles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Plastics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Processed fractions (e.g. RDF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Rubber/tyres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Industrial sludge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Municipal sewage sludge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Animal meal, fats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Coal/carbon waste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Agricultural waste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Solid waste (impregnated sawdust)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Solvents and related waste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Oil and oily waste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Others</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Each grouping spans several EWC listings, see Table 4.1 in Section 4.2.1

Table 1.14: Different types of wastes used as fuels in EU-27 cement kilns in 2003 and 2004 [74, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]
1.2.4.3.1.1 Solid waste fuels

Not every combustible solid waste is suitable as fuel in the clinker burning process. Solid waste can be a homogenous or an inhomogeneous mixture of very diverse origins and components, such as:

- combustible fractions, e.g. paper, cardboard, plastics, rubber, and wood residues
- varying amounts of inert materials containing organic fractions, e.g. sand, stone, ceramics, ferrous/non-ferrous metals and organic wet materials
- hazardous fractions, e.g. tar, resins, impregnated sawdust, or non-hazardous materials.

Waste, like mixed municipal waste, mixed commercial waste or mixed construction, demolition waste and some solid hazardous waste has to be pretreated in waste management facilities before being used as fuels. The extent of the waste treatment operation, such as sorting, crushing, and pelletising, depends on the waste fuel application.

Solid fuel preparation techniques vary considerably depending on the source and type of the waste, and on the requirements of the cement industry. One important requirement results from the used transfer and firing system to convey waste fuel into a kiln:

- the main firing system (at the kiln head/outlet, injection of waste fuels via lances): highly abrasive wastes such as dried sludge and unusual particle shapes and sizes can produce operational problems. When pneumatic transfer systems are used to convey solid waste fuel in the kiln, plugging and damage to rotating parts can be avoided (the system functions entirely without moving parts). The amount of conveying air injected into the kiln along with the waste is negligible in terms of kiln combustion stoichiometry. Greater particle sizes dictate large pneumatic conveyor lines and blowers. Therefore, important processing steps are the size reduction and the soft pelletising of the waste fuel. (Typically, the particle size is no larger than 25 mm). The advantage of compacting by soft pelletising is the improvement of flow and dosage characteristics of the fuel

- the secondary firing system (the fuel is fed via the kiln inlet, the riser between the rotary kiln inlet and the lowest cyclone stage or the calciner): the size restriction for solid waste fuels is not important for the secondary firing system. Even whole tyres can be introduced via the kiln inlet or via mid kiln technology. Furthermore, waste with a high ash content can be used.

Different types of solid wastes are used, e.g.:

- non-hazardous waste as listed in Table 1.14, group number 1 – 10
- hazardous waste listed in Table 1.14, group number 11 – 13.

Figure 1.7, Figure 1.8 and Figure 1.9 show examples of pretreated waste mixtures used in cement plants, such as:

- hazardous waste impregnated sawdust
- waste fuel based on paper, textiles both pre and post consumer which is manufactured from polythene film, photographic film, paper, polypropylene, packaging materials and plastics
- waste fuel consisting of household waste, screened paper, cardboard, wood, carpet, textiles and plastics which is a solid, clean and non-hazardous fuel.

The wastes are analysed and especially prepared for the use in cement kilns [45, Schorcht, 2006], [81, Castle Cement UK, 2006], [107, Belgium, 2006].
1.2.4.3.1.2 Liquid waste fuels

Liquid waste fuels can be prepared by blending or pretreating different wastes like used solvents, paint residues or waste oil with suitable calorific values in special waste management facilities. More useful information regarding waste treatment can be found in the Reference Document on the Best Available Techniques for the Waste Treatments Industries [48, European Commission, 2005].

Consideration has to be given to some liquid hazardous wastes (e.g. solvents) when handling liquid waste fuels, e.g. storage, feeding, in order to avoid emissions of organic compounds. Several techniques exist, e.g. vapour recovery which is used where required. Vapour recovery systems are operated in a way which ensures that the flow of organic substances is permitted only upon connection of the vapour recovery system, and that the vapour recovery system and attached facilities release no gas to the air during normal operation, with the exception of releases necessary for safety reasons [76, Germany, 2006], [81, Castle Cement UK, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007].

1.2.4.3.2 Quality requirements of waste and input control

Waste materials used as raw materials and/or as fuels in cement kilns have to reach different quality standards because they are fully captured in the clinker and to minimise negative effects on air emissions. A constant waste quality is essential. To guarantee the characteristics of the waste fuel, a quality assurance system is required. In particular, this includes provisions for the sampling, the sample preparation, the analysis and the external monitoring. More useful information can be found in the technical specifications of the European Committee for Standardisation, such as CEN/TC 343 ‘Solid Recovered Fuels’. Furthermore, the requirements of existing European and national regulations have to be considered. When co-incinerating waste, the requirements of the Waste Incineration Directive (WID) have to be met, e.g. requirements regarding reception procedures carried out by the operator when using hazardous waste [59, European Union, 2000]. As a basic rule for quality requirements, wastes accepted as fuels and/or raw materials have to give the following added values to the cement kiln:

- calorific value from waste material
- material value from waste material.

Waste with adequate calorific value can replace primary fuel in cement kilns. It has to be noted that the calorific values of these wastes vary widely (see Section 1.3.3.3).
Preparation of different types of combustible wastes or wastes with separable calorific fractions for use as fuel is usually performed outside the cement plant. These wastes are normally prepared by the supplier or by waste treatment specialists’ organisations in special waste management facilities in such a way that they can directly be used in cement kilns without any additional treatment on the cement plant. Additionally, before being used in the cement kiln, the delivered waste material is checked and analysed regularly by the cement plant personnel as well. Special laboratory equipment is used for checking different quality characteristics.

The techniques used to prepare and blend certain waste fuel qualities depend on the characteristics of the material input and the requirements of the users. Even waste materials like production specific wastes, are treated and blended prior to use in waste facilities to ensure a homogeneous mixture with nearly constant qualities, such as thermal properties and chemical composition. Only in some cases can wastes be just used as they are delivered without further processing, for example used tyres or used oil. Any inhomogeneous wastes, like mixed solid wastes from different sources or separated fractions from mixed municipal waste, require higher monitoring efforts to attain a reliable quality with a constant low pollutant input.

Important characteristics and parameters for waste fuels are calorific value along with a content of water, ash, sulphur, chlorine and heavy metals (especially mercury, cadmium and thallium). Additionally, the suitability for the burners is important. Chlorine may have a negative impact on the production process. The acceptable chlorine concentration therefore depends on the individual situation on site; however, this concentration is kept as low as possible in order to avoid operational problems in the kiln system, e.g. blockage in the preheater. In cases when a high volume of chlorine is input, usually a chlorine bypass might be necessary to avoid corrosion, blockings, shutdown, etc. (see Section 1.2.5.4.1). Typical chlorine concentrations range from <0.5 – 2 %. Individual systems and specifications have been developed in the EU-27 to assess and control the suitability of waste fuels, e.g. lists have been developed of maximum pollutant values allowed for selected wastes to be used for the clinker burning process. Plant specific aspects have been taken into consideration for the specifications which are based both on requirements of plant specific processes and permits. Furthermore, specifications determine technical norms for delivering liquid waste fuels. An example of an internal specification for waste fuels is described in Section 4.2.2.1 and Table 4.9. Furthermore, examples of input criteria for different substances for suitable waste fuels being accepted in some EU countries are given in Section 4.2.2.1.1.

Other systems used are mainly focused on the content of metals (see Section 1.2.4.3.2.1). It has to be noted that other materials can be used as well which do not meet all of these conditions. In the case of using sewage sludge or wood waste, the mercury input has to be monitored regularly because of the possible mercury emissions.

Furthermore, the waste fuel has to be available in a sufficient quantity. Source selection is the first action to take into account for mono streams to reduce materials that might cause operation or quality problems in the cement process.

The quality requirements of the products should be considered regarding the selection of fuels (conventional or waste). There are consequently limits on the types and amounts of waste fuels suitable for use in the production of cement which is highly dependent on site-specific circumstances [75, Estonia, 2006], [76, Germany, 2006], [89, ERFO, 2005], [103, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007].

Useful information regarding waste treatment can be found in the Reference Document on Best Available Techniques for the Waste Treatments Industries, where, e.g. examples of specifications of a waste that can be accepted as fuel in some countries’ cement kilns are described [48, European Commission, 2005].
Concentrations of metals vary with the waste origin. In many European countries, regulators and/or industry have produced lists of the maximum allowable substance values for different wastes that can be used as fuels and/or raw materials. Examples of typical metal concentration ranges and typical input criteria regarding different substances for suitable waste fuels from different countries in Europe can be found in Section 4.2.2.1.1. Different values may also be found in other authorised environmental performance covering specific wastes. However, no agreed threshold limit value exists, as different criteria are applied, depending on the local situation. The criteria applied may include:

- national environmental policies and legislation
- significance of the impact of the cement industry in the context of regional industrial development
- efforts to harmonise regional environmental laws and standards
- levels of substances in traditional raw materials and wastes
- plant conditions and emissions
- treatment alternatives for available wastes
- required minimum calorific values
- requirements for cement quality.

1.2.4.3.3 Storage and handling of waste

Usually, waste fuels are prepared in special waste management facilities. The delivered wastes need to be stored at the cement plant and then proportioned for feeding to the cement kiln. Since supplies of waste suitable for use as fuel tend to be variable whilst waste material markets are rapidly developing, it is advisable to design multipurpose storage/preparation plants.

Liquid waste fuels are mostly hazardous wastes. This has to be taken into account when handling liquid waste fuels, e.g. storage, feeding (see Section 1.2.4.3.1.2) [76, Germany, 2006]. Furthermore, safety management for potentially self-igniting materials may be considered when using waste fuels derived from pretreated and sorted waste fractions.

Useful information regarding storage of materials can also be found in the Reference Document on Best Available Techniques on Emissions from Storage [96, European Commission, 2006].

1.2.5 Clinker burning

This part of the process is the most important in terms of emissions potential and of product quality and cost. In clinker burning, the raw meal (or raw meal slurry in the wet process) is fed to the rotary kiln system where it is dried, preheated, calcined and sintered to produce cement clinker. The clinker is cooled with air and then stored.

In the clinker burning process, high process temperatures are required to convert the raw material mix into cement clinker. It is essential to maintain kiln charge temperatures in the sintering zone of the rotary kilns at between 1400 and 1500 °C, and the flame temperature at about 2000 °C. Also, the clinker needs to be burned under oxidising conditions. Therefore, an excess of air is required in the sintering zone of a cement clinker kiln.

For white cement production, the firing process leads to temperatures in the sintering zone of up to 1600 °C, depending on the composition of the raw material mix and the desired composition of the final product. Flame temperatures of higher than 2000 °C are required because of the absence of fusing elements in the raw materials which could alter the colour of the product. Generally, reducing conditions are kept in order to avoid oxidation of some elements that could colour the clinker. Furthermore, the selection of a no-ash fuel and talc-magnesite or other...
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magnesite based refractories with spinel binder is essential to avoid the contamination of the clinker. The quality of a white cement is strongly affected by the production technique [119, Sobolev, 2001], [120, Spain, 2007], [138, Italy, 2007].

Since the rotary kiln was introduced in around 1895 it has become the central part of all modern clinker producing installations. The vertical shaft kiln is still used for the production of lime, but only in a few countries is it in use for the production of cement clinker, and in these cases only at small-scale plants.

The first rotary kilns were long wet kilns, where the whole heat consuming thermal process takes place in the kiln itself. With the introduction of the dry process, optimisation led to techniques which allowed drying, preheating and calcining to take place in a stationary installation rather than in the rotary kiln, as shown in Figure 1.10 above.

The rotary kiln consists of a steel tube with a length to diameter ratio of between 10:1 and 38:1. The tube is supported by two to seven (or more) support stations, has an inclination of 2.5 to 4.5 % and a drive rotates the kiln about its axis at 0.5 to 5.0 revolutions per minute. The combination of the tube’s slope and rotation causes material to be transported slowly along it. In order to withstand the very high peak temperatures, the entire rotary kiln is lined with heat resistant bricks (refractories). All long and some short kilns are equipped with internals (chains, crosses, lifters) to improve heat transfer.

Transient build-ups of material can occur around the inner surface of the kiln depending on the process and raw materials, etc. These are known as rings and can occur at the feed end (gypsum rings), near the sintering zone (clinker rings) or the product exit end (ash rings). The latter two types can break away suddenly and cause a surge of hot, poor quality material to leave the kiln which may be reprocessed or have to be rejected as waste. The cyclones and grates of preheater kilns may also be subject to the build-up of material which can lead to blockages.

For these types of kilns it has to be noted that problems may be encountered in cases where an excessive input of circulating elements (chlorides, sulphur, alkalis) from the feed and/or fuel (see Sections 1.2.4.3.2 and 1.2.5.3.4) occurs.
1.2.5.1 Kiln firing

The fuel introduced via the main burner produces the main flame with flame temperatures of around 2000 °C. For process optimisation reasons, the flame has to be adjustable within certain limits. In a modern indirectly fired burner, the flame is shaped and adjusted by the primary air (10 – 15 % of total combustion air).

Potential feed points for supplying fuel to the kiln system are:

- via the main burner at the rotary kiln outlet end
- via a feed chute at the transition chamber at the rotary kiln inlet end (for lump fuel)
- via secondary burners to the riser duct
- via precalciner burners to the precalciner
- via a feed chute to the precalciner (for lump fuel)
- via a mid kiln valve in the case of long wet and dry kilns (for lump fuel)
- via the end of the Lepol grid.

Coal/petcoke firing plants are of both indirect- and direct-firing types. Direct-firing plants operate without fine-coal storage and fine-coal metering. The pulverised fuel is blown directly into the kiln with the mill sweeping air acting as the carrier and as (flame shaping) the primary air. Direct firing plants have a number of drawbacks. In particular, kiln system heat losses are around 200 – 250 MJ/tonne clinker (6 to 8 % higher on modern kiln systems). Thus, direct firing is seldom installed today.

Fuel oil is, at adequate viscosity and pressure, discharged via an atomiser nozzle into the kiln in order to form, e.g. the main flame. Flame shaping is mainly accomplished via multi-channel burners with the oil atomiser head in a central location.

Kiln burners for natural gas, too, are designed according to the multi-channel principle, the gas thereby replacing not only coal or fuel oil, but also primary air.

Multi-channel burners are also designed for the use of different types of waste fuels (see Section 1.2.4). An example is shown in Figure 1.11.

![Example of a multi-channel burner](image-url)
1.2.5.2 Long rotary kilns

Long rotary kilns can be fed with slurry, crushed filter cakes, nodules or dry meal and are thus suitable for all process types. The largest long kilns have a length to diameter ratio of 38:1 and can be more than 200 m long. These huge units produce around 3600 tonnes/day using the wet process (Belgium, US, Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS)). Long rotary kilns are designed for drying, preheating, calcining and sintering, so that only the feed system and cooler have to be added. The upper part of the long kilns is equipped with chain curtains and fixed installations to improve heat transfer.

Wet process kilns, used since 1895, are the oldest type of rotary kilns in use for producing cement clinker. Wet raw material preparation was initially used because homogenisation was easier with liquid material. Wet kiln feed typically contains 32 to 40 % water. This is necessary to maintain the liquid properties of the feed. This water must then be evaporated in the specially designed drying zone at the inlet section of the kiln where a significant portion of the heat from fuel combustion is used. This technique has high heat consumption with the resulting high quantities of combustion gas and water vapour emissions.

Long dry kilns were developed in the US based on batch type dry homogenising systems for raw material preparation. Because of the high fuel consumption, only a few have been installed in Europe.

1.2.5.3 Rotary kilns equipped with preheaters

Rotary kilns equipped with preheaters have a typical length to diameter ratio of between 10:1 and 17:1. There are two types of preheaters: grate preheaters and suspension preheaters.

1.2.5.3.1 Grate preheater technique

Grate preheater technique, perhaps better known as the Lepol kiln, was invented in 1928. It represented the first approach to letting part of the clinkering process take place in a stationary installation outside the kiln. This allowed the rotary kiln to become shorter and so reduced the heat losses and increased energy efficiency.

![Grate preheater](image)

Figure 1.12: Grate preheater
[39, Ullmann's, 1986]

In the grate preheater (see Figure 1.12), nodules made from dry meal on a noduliser disc (semi dry process) or from wet slurry filter cakes in an extruder (semi-wet process) are fed onto a horizontal travelling grate which travels through a closed tunnel. The tunnel is divided into a hot gas chamber and a drying chamber by a partition with an opening for the grate. A fan draws the
exhaust gas from the rotary kiln into the top of the preheater, through the nodules layer in the hot gas chamber, and then through the cyclones of the intermediate dust collector. In these cyclones large dust particles, which would otherwise cause wear to the fan, are removed. The next fan then draws the gas into the top of the drying chamber, through the moist layer of nodules, and finally pushes it out into the dust collector. In order to achieve optimum thermal efficiency, the semi-wet grate preheaters can be equipped with triple-pass gas systems, and cooler waste air is used for raw material drying. The maximum unit size to have been built is 3300 tonnes/day for a semi-wet kiln system.

The rotary kiln exhaust gas enters the preheater with a temperature of 1000 – 1100 ºC. As it flows through the layer of material in the hot gas chamber, the exhaust gas cools down to 250/300 ºC, and it leaves the drying chamber at 90 – 150 ºC. The material to be burned reaches a temperature of about 150 ºC in the drying chamber and 700 – 800 ºC in the heating chamber.

### 1.2.5.3.2 Suspension preheater technique

The invention of the suspension preheater in the early 1930s was a significant development. Preheating and even partial calcination of the dry raw meal (dry/semi-wet processes) takes place by maintaining the meal in suspension with hot gas from the rotary kiln. The considerably larger contact surface allows almost complete heat exchange, at least theoretically.

Various suspension preheater systems are available. Examples are shown in Figure 1.13 and Figure 1.14. They usually have between four and six cyclone stages, which are arranged one above the other in a tower 50 – 120 m high. The uppermost stage may comprise two parallel cyclones for better dust separation. The exhaust gases from the rotary kiln flow through the cyclone stages from the bottom upwards. The dry powdery raw material mixture is added to the exhaust gas before the uppermost cyclone stage. It is separated from the gas in the cyclones and rejoins it before the next cyclone stage. This procedure repeats itself at every stage until finally the material is discharged from the last stage into the rotary kiln. This alternate mixing, separation and remixing at a higher temperature is necessary for optimal heat transfer.
1.2.5.3.3 Shaft preheaters

A considerable number of shaft preheaters were built following the introduction of suspension preheater technique, given its theoretically superior heat exchange properties. However, the difficulty of ensuring an even distribution of meal to gas meant that actual performance was far worse than expected, and technique using shaft stages alone was eventually abandoned in favour of hybrid systems with cyclone stages or pure multistage cyclone preheaters. Some of those hybrids are still in operation; however, most of them have been converted to pure cyclone preheaters.

A shaft stage is considerably less sensitive to build-up problems than a cyclone stage, which can be an advantage for the bottom stage in cases where excessive quantities of circulating elements (chlorides, sulphur, and alkalis) are present. Hybrid preheaters with a bottom shaft stage are still available for new plants.

Typical capacities of shaft preheater kilns are up to 1500 tonnes/day, whereas hybrid systems can produce 3000 tonnes/day or more.

1.2.5.3.4 Four stage cyclone preheater

The four stage cyclone preheater kiln system (suspension preheater, see Figure 1.13) was standard technique in the 1970s when many plants were built in the 1000 to 3000 tonnes/day range. The exhaust gas, which has a temperature of around 300 – 400 °C at the top preheater cyclone stage is normally used for raw material drying.

When the meal enters the rotary kiln, calcination is already about 30 % completed because the kiln feed is already heated to a temperature of approx. 850 °C by using the exhaust gases. Severe problems have been encountered with four stage preheaters in the past in cases where inputs of circulating elements (chlorides, sulphur, alkalis) from the feed and/or fuel were excessive. Highly enriched cycles of these elements lead to build-ups in cyclones and duct walls, which frequently cause blockages and kiln stops lasting several days. A kiln gas bypass, i.e. extraction of part of the particulate laden gas stream leaving the kiln so that it bypasses the cyclone system, is frequently used as a solution to the problem. This bypass gas is cooled to condense the alkalis and is then passed through a dust collector before discharge. Whilst in some regions it is necessary for the control of clinker alkali levels to send the bypass dust and part of the kiln dust to landfill, in all other cases it is fed back into the production process.

Almost all four stage suspension preheaters operate with rotary kilns with three supports. This has been the standard design since around 1970. Kilns with diameters from 3.5 to 6 m have been built with length to diameter ratios in the range 13:1 to 16:1. Mechanically simpler than the long wet and dry kilns, it is probably the most widely used kiln type today.

1.2.5.4 Rotary kilns with preheater and precalciner

The precalcination technique has been available to the cement industry since about 1970. In this procedure, the heat input is divided between two points. Primary fuel combustion occurs in the kiln burning zone. Secondary burning takes place in a special combustion chamber between the rotary kiln and the preheater. In this chamber up to 65 % of the total fuel can be burned in a typical precalciner kiln. This is because of the significantly longer retention time of the hot meal, the kiln exhaust gases in the bottom area of the cyclone preheater and the use of additional tertiary air. The energy is basically used to calcine the raw meal, which is almost completely calcined when it enters the kiln. Calcination levels of well above 90 % can be achieved. Hot air for combustion in the calciner is ducted from the cooler. Material leaves the calciner at about 870 °C. Gas and solids temperature profiles in a cyclone preheater kiln system are shown in Figure 1.15.
Figure 1.15: Gas and solids temperature profiles in a cyclone preheater kiln system [60, VDI 2094 Germany, 2003]

Figure 1.14 shows this procedure applied to a kiln with a suspension preheater. In principle, secondary burning can also be applied in a kiln with a grate preheater. For a given rotary kiln size precalcining increases the clinker capacity.

Kiln systems with up to five cyclone preheater stages and a precalciner are considered standard technique for new dry process plants. An example is shown in Figure 1.16. For the use of waste as fuels, the calciner technique is shown in Figure 1.17.

Figure 1.16: Example of a separate line/calciner downdraft [81, Castle Cement UK, 2006]

Figure 1.17: Example of waste feed points into a calciner [81, Castle Cement UK, 2006]
The size of a new plant is primarily determined by predicted market developments, but also by the economy of scale. A typical unit capacity for new plants in Europe today is from 3000 to 5000 tonnes/day. Technically, larger units up to 15000 tonnes/day are possible, and in 2007, several 10000 tonnes/day kilns are in operation in Asian markets.

Earlier precalciner systems had only four preheater stages with accordingly higher exhaust gas temperatures and fuel consumption. Where natural raw material moisture is low, six stage preheaters can be the preferred choice, particularly in combination with fabric filter dedusting.

Where excessive input of circulating elements is present, a kiln gas bypass is required to maintain continuous kiln operation. However, due to the different gas flow characteristics, a bypass in a precalciner kiln is much more efficient than in a straight preheater kiln.

In spite of the fact that the meal enters the kiln 75 to 95 % calcined, most precalciner kilns are still equipped with a rotary kiln with a calcining zone, i.e. with an L/D ratio of 13:1 to 16:1 as in the case of the straight preheater kilns.

1.2.5.5.1 Bypass systems

With raw materials as well as with fuels (including wastes) containing chlorine, sulphur and alkalis being fed to the kiln system, the internal circulation between the kiln and the preheater acts as an enrichment cycle. At higher concentrations, such a cycle causes deposit formation in the area of the kiln inlet, the calciner and the two bottom stages. As a uniform kiln operation with minimised disturbances is the basis for energy efficient clinker production, shutdowns resulting from coating formation should be avoided. Hence a high circulation of alkalis, chlorine and, to a lower extent, sulphur enforces the use of a gas bypass at the kiln inlet. By removing part of the process gas not only are chlorine, sulphur and alkalis discharged, but also other substances. The removal of hot raw material and hot gas leads as a consequence, to a higher specific energy consumption of about 6 – 12 MJ/tonne clinker per per cent of removed kiln inlet gas. Typical bypass rates are of up to 15 % for chlorine bypass and of up to 70 % for a sulphur bypass. The inherent scrubbing of SOx extracted along with the bypass gas is governed by:

- the amount of reactive lime
- the retention time at higher temperatures of >300 °C
- the retention time at lower temperatures of <200 °C in the presence of water (vapour).

The bypass might create additional emissions depending on its configuration and additional thermal energy may be used [76, Germany, 2006], [86, EURITS, 2006], [89, ERFO, 2005], [103, CEMBUREAU, 2006].

1.2.5.5 Shaft kilns

A few shaft kilns are used for cement production in Europe. Kilns of this type consist of a refractory-lined, vertical cylinder 2 – 3 m in diameter and 8 – 10 m high. They are fed from the top with raw meal pellets and fine grained coal or coke. The material being burned travels through a short sintering zone in the upper, slightly enlarged part of the kiln. It is then cooled by the combustion air blown in from the bottom and leaves the lower end of the kiln on a discharge grate in the form of clinker.

Shaft kilns produce less than 300 tonnes of clinker a day. They are only economic for small plants, and for this reason their number has been diminishing.
1.2.5.6 Kiln exhaust gases

In all kiln systems, the exhaust gases are finally passed through an air pollution control device (electrostatic precipitator or fabric filter) for separation of the dust before going to the main stack.

In the dry processes, the exhaust gases can be at a relatively high temperature and may provide heat for the raw mill when it is running (compound operation). If the raw mill is not running (direct operation), the gases are normally cooled with water sprays in a conditioning tower before going to the dust collector, both to reduce their volume and to improve their precipitation characteristics.

1.2.5.6.1 CO trips

Carbon monoxide can arise from any organic content in the raw materials and, occasionally, due to the incomplete combustion of fuel. The contribution from the raw materials, due to preheating, will be exhausted with the kiln gases.

Control of CO levels is critical in cement (and lime) kilns when ESPs are used for particulate abatement, to ensure concentrations are kept well below the lower explosive limit. If the level of CO in the ESP rises above a certain level as shown in Table 1.38 (see Section 1.4.5.3), then the electrical system is tripped (switched off) to eliminate the risk of explosion. This leads to unabated particulate releases from the kiln. CO trips can be caused by unsteady state operation of the combustion system. This sometimes occurs when feeding solid fuels, so solid fuel feeding systems must be designed to prevent surges of fuel into the burner. The moisture content of solid fuels is a particularly critical factor in this respect and must be carefully controlled to prevent hold ups or blockages in the fuel preparation and feeding systems.

A guideline concerning the control of CO trips can be found in Section 4.2.6.

1.2.5.7 Clinker coolers

The clinker cooler is an integral part of the kiln system and has a decisive influence on performance and economy of the pyroprocessing plant. The cooler has two tasks: to recover as much heat as possible from the hot (1450 °C) clinker so as to return it to the process; and to reduce the clinker temperature to a level suitable for the equipment downstream.

Heat is recovered by preheating the air used for combustion in the main and secondary firing as close to the thermodynamic limit as possible. However, this is hindered by high temperatures, the extreme abrasiveness of the clinker and its wide granulometric range. Rapid cooling fixes the mineralogical composition of the clinker to improve the grindability and optimise cement reactivity.

Typical problems with clinker coolers are thermal expansion, wear, incorrect airflows and poor availability, which work against the above requirements. There are two main types of coolers: rotary and grate.

For white cement production, other types of coolers are used, tailor made to the specificities of the plant in order to retain the reducing conditions during clinker cooling. An oxygen-free atmosphere in the first stage of whitening and the rapid cooling by water spray are used for improvement of the clinker quality. Rapid cooling of white clinker with water proceeds a significant improvement of whiteness. The contamination of white clinker with chromophoric elements and the strong oxidation during the cooling phase has to be avoided [119, Sobolev, 2001], [120, Spain, 2007], [138, Italy, 2007].
1.2.5.7.1 Rotary coolers

1.2.5.7.1.1 Tube coolers

The tube cooler uses the same principle as the rotary kiln, but for reversed heat exchange. Arranged at the outlet of the kiln, often in reverse configuration, i.e. underneath the kiln, a second rotary tube with its own drive is installed. After kiln discharge, the clinker passes a transition hood before it enters the cooler, which is equipped with lifters to disperse the product into the airflow. Cooling of the airflow is determined by the air required for fuel combustion. Apart from the speed, only the internals can influence the performance of the cooler. Optimisation of lifters must consider heat exchange (dispersion pattern) versus dust cycle back to the kiln.

1.2.5.7.1.2 Planetary (or satellite) coolers

The planetary or satellite cooler is a special type of rotary cooler. Several cooler tubes, typically 9 to 11, are attached to the rotary kiln at the discharge end as shown in Figure 1.18. The hot clinker enters through openings in the kiln shell arranged in a circle at each point where a cooler tube is attached. The quantity of cooling air is determined by the air required for fuel combustion and enters each tube from the discharge end, allowing countercurrent heat exchange. As for the tube cooler, internals for lifting and dispersing the clinker are essential. There are no variable operating parameters. High wear and thermal shock, in conjunction with dust cycles, mean high clinker exit temperatures and sub-optimum heat recovery are not unusual. The clinker exit temperature can only be further reduced by water injection into the cooler tubes or onto the shell.

Because it is practically impossible to extract tertiary air, the planetary cooler is not suitable for precalcination. However, secondary firing with up to 25 % fuel in the kiln riser area is possible.

Figure 1.18: Example of a planetary cooler
[45, Schorcht, 2006], [90, Hungary, 2006]
1.2.5.7.2 Grate coolers

Cooling in grate coolers is achieved by passing a current of air upwards through a layer of clinker (clinker bed) lying on an air-permeable grate. Two ways of transporting the clinker are applied: travelling grate and reciprocating grate (steps with pushing edges).

Since the hot air from the aftercooling zone is not used for combustion, it is available for drying purposes, e.g. raw materials, cement additives or coal. If not used for drying, this cooler waste air must be properly dedusted.

1.2.5.7.2.1 Travelling grate coolers

In this type of cooler, clinker is transported by a travelling grate. This grate has the same design features as the preheater grate (Lepol). Cooling air is blown by fans into compartments underneath the grate. Advantages of this design are an undisturbed clinker layer (no steps) and the possibilities of exchanging plates without a kiln stop. Due to its mechanical complexity and poor recovery resulting from limited bed thickness (caused by the difficulty of achieving an effective seal between the grate and walls), this design ceased to be used in new installations in around 1980.

1.2.5.7.2.2 Reciprocating grate coolers

Clinker transport in the reciprocating grate cooler is affected by stepwise pushing of the clinker bed by the front edges of alternate rows of plates. Relative movement of front edges is generated by hydraulic or mechanical (crankshaft) drives connected to every second row. Only the clinker travels from the feed end to the discharge end, but not the grate.

The grate plates are made from heat resistant cast steel and are typically 300 mmWG wide and have holes for the air to pass through them.

Cooling air is insufflated from fans at 300 – 1000 mmWG via compartments located underneath the grate. These compartments are partitioned from one another in order to maintain the pressure profile. Two cooling zones can be distinguished:

- the recuperation zone, from which the hot cooling air is used for combustion of the main burner fuel (secondary air) and the precalciner fuel (tertiary air)
- the aftercooling zone, where additional cooling air cools the clinker to lower temperatures.

Key features of modern cooler technique are (depending on supplier):

- modern plates with built-in, variable or permanent, pressure drop, permeable to air but not to clinker
- forced plate aeration via ducts and beams
- individually adjustable aeration zones
- fixed inlet
- fewer and wider grates
- roller crusher
- heat shields.

The largest units in operation have an active surface of about 280 m² and a cooling capacity of 10000 tonnes clinker per day. Typical operational challenges with these coolers are segregation and uneven clinker distribution leading to an air-clinker imbalance, fluidisation of fine clinker (red river) and also build-ups (snowmen) and less than ideal lifetime of the plates.
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1.2.5.7.2.3 Third generation of grate coolers

Introduction and development of modern technique reciprocating grate coolers started around 1983. The design aimed to eliminate the difficulties with conventional coolers thus coming a step closer to optimum heat exchange and also more compact coolers using less cooling air and smaller dedusting systems.

In the 2000s, a new generation representing a completely new concept of cooling clinker emerged in the cement industry. The basic idea was to develop a cooler in which the conveying of clinker and air distribution systems are separated and optimised. Compared with the reciprocating grate coolers, sealing air is eliminated and the distribution of air is optimised for all modes of operation.

Key features of these types of coolers are (depending on supplier):

- one inclined or horizontal fixed grate
- clinker conveying by cross bars, tracks, walking floors or similar devices, separate from air distribution
- clinker stays in place and does not fall through to the under grate compartment
- elimination of sealing air and automatic control of air distribution
- clinker transport efficiency is improved with a better control of difficulties related to fluidisation of fine clinker (red river).

These types of coolers are suitable to be in operation with the largest clinker capacities of cement kilns.

1.2.5.7.3 Vertical coolers

A dust free aftercooler called a gravity cooler or a G-cooler was developed to be installed after a planetary cooler or short grate recuperator/cooler. The cooling air never comes into contact with the clinker as heat exchange is affected by the clinker descending over transverse steel tubes in the clinker bed, which in turn are cooled by air blown through them.

1.2.5.8 Cogeneration

Generation of electrical power and using excess heat from the cement production process can be applied in cement plants.

Normally the majority of heat emitted from a cement clinker kiln is used for the drying and dry grinding processes as follows:

- raw material drying and grinding/milling
- slag drying
- sand drying
- fuel drying and grinding.

Multistage suspended cyclone preheater plants – with or without a precalciner – are designed to suit the nature of the available raw materials. When the raw materials are very wet, the off-gas of the grate cooler is also used for material processing.

The lime-based raw materials need, depending on geology and seasonal rainfall, varying levels of heat for driving off the moisture. At times though, some heat is in excess for the above-mentioned process needs. It has to be noted that the excess heat availability and subsequently the heat recovery technique has to take this variability into account.
Besides district heating, excess heat can also be used for electrical power generation. Normally, these processes are operated with water which needs to operate economically at relatively high steam temperature/pressure if electrical power is to be generated.

For the first time in a German cement kiln, the Organic Rankine Cycle (ORC) process for the cogeneration of power from low temperature waste heat has been applied. This process is essentially based on the use of pentane as the motive medium which evaporates to steam at significantly lower temperatures than water. The particular advantages are the simple operation, the compact structure and the relatively high levels of efficiency which can be achieved with heat sources below 275 °C. Therefore, generation of electrical power by using excess heat from the cement production process can be regarded as a technically feasible alternative to power generating plants using steam if certain preconditions are applied.

The results available from the one German cement plant indicate that 1.1 MW electrical power can be generated with the given mode of operation. This was achieved by a clinker cooler with a waste heat output of exhaust air of 14 MW and an exhaust gas temperature of 300 °C [76, Germany, 2006], [78, E. Baatz + G. Heidt, 2000], [79, Germany, 2001], [133, CEMBUREAU/Federhen, 2007].

Example plants and more information concerning the recovery of excess heat from clinker coolers or kiln off-gases for district heating, the cogeneration of electric power via the conventional steam cycle process, as well as the ORC process in operation can be found in Section 1.4.2.4 and in Section 4.2.3.

1.2.6 Cement grinding and storage

1.2.6.1 Clinker storage

Clinker and other cement components are stored in silos or in closed sheds. Larger stocks can be stored in the open if the necessary precautions against dust formation are taken.

The most common clinker storage systems are:

- longitudinal stores with gravity discharge (limited live stock)
- circular stores with gravity discharge (limited live stock)
- clinker storage silos (high live stock; problems with ground vibrations can occur during clinker withdrawal from the silo at certain silo levels)
- clinker storage domes (limited live stock).

1.2.6.2 Cement grinding

Portland cement is produced by intergrinding cement clinker and sulphates such as gypsum and anhydrite. In blended cements (composite cements) there are other constituents, such as granulated blast furnace slag, fly ash, natural or artificial pozzolanas, limestone, or inert fillers. These will be interground with the clinker or may need to be dried and ground separately. (Grinding plants may be at separate locations from clinker production plants.)

The kind of cement grinding process and the plant concept chosen at a specific site depend on the cement type to be produced. Of special importance are the grindability, the humidity and the abrasive behaviour of the compounds of the cement type produced.

Most mills work in a closed circuit, that is, they can separate cement with the required fineness from the material being ground and return coarse material to the mill.
For white cement production, final grinding is one of the most essential steps along with the selection of appropriate types of gypsum with high purity. For increasing the fineness and for decreasing the grinding time, an appropriate grinding aid (up to 1%) is used, which results in an increase of whiteness of between 5 – 7%. Furthermore, finely ground microfillers like white marble or quartz glass, pure silica gel, mica or tale, kaolin (or metakaolin) or powders with traces of TiO₂ could be used in relatively small quantities [119, Sobolev, 2001].

### 1.2.6.2.1 Metering and proportioning of the mill feed

The accuracy and reliability of metering and proportioning of the mill feed components by weight is of great importance for maintaining a high energy efficiency of a grinding system. The predominant metering and proportioning equipment for the material feed to mills is the belt weigh feeder.

### 1.2.6.2.2 Grinding of cement

Due to the variety of cement types required by the market, the latest grinding systems equipped with a dynamic air separator predominate.

Commonly used finish grinding systems are:

- tube mills, closed circuit (mineral addition is rather limited, if not dry or pre-dried)
- vertical roller mills (best suited for high mineral additions due to its drying capacity, best suited for separate grinding of mineral addition)
- roller presses (mineral addition is rather limited, if not dry or pre-dried).

Other finish grinding systems used are:

- tube mill, end discharge in open circuit
- tube mill, end discharge in closed circuit with mechanical air separator or cyclone air separator of older generations
- horizontal roller mills.

*Ball mills* (type of a *tube mill*) are available in tube diameters of up to 6 m and tube lengths of up to 20 m. Steel balls of different sizes are used depending on the expected grinding fineness. This type of mill is relatively easy to operate under stable operating conditions and has a high operating reliability and availability. To a limited extent also mineral additions with certain moisture contents can be dried by passing hot gases to the mill and using the heat from the grinding process. However, compared with other mill types, ball mills have a higher specific energy consumption and rank last in energy efficiency.

The working principle of *vertical roller mills* is based on the action of two to four grinding rollers supported on hinged arms and riding on a horizontal grinding table or grinding bowl. It is suited especially for simultaneous grinding and drying of cement raw materials or slag since vertical roller mills can handle relatively high moisture contents in the mill feeds. The transition time for materials through the mill is short enough to prevent prehydration of the cement clinker, e.g. in the case of slag cement grinding.

The *high pressure twin roller mill* still needs a comparatively high degree of maintenance. High pressure twin roller mills are often used in conjunction with ball mills.
A more recent development in cement grinding is the *horizontal roller mill*. This consists of a short horizontal shell supported on hydrodynamic or hydrostatic bearings. The shell is rotated via a girth gear. Inside the shell is a horizontal roller which is free to rotate and can be pressed hydraulically onto the shell. The material to be ground is fed into one or both ends of the shell, and passes between the roller and the shell several times. The crushed material leaving the mill is transported to a separator, with the oversize fraction being returned to the mill.

A comparison of key characteristics from grinding technique examples are shown in Table 1.19 in Section 1.3.3.2.

### 1.2.6.2.3 Grinding of mineral additions

Mineral additions are usually ground together with the clinker and gypsum. The decision to grind them separately basically depends upon the following factors:

- the percentage of mineral additives in the final product and in cement production as a whole
- whether a spare mill system is available
- whether there is a considerable difference in the grindability of the clinker and mineral additives
- the moisture content of the mineral additives.

If pre-drying of the mineral additives is required, dryer systems can be employed using either kiln exhaust gases and/or cooler exhaust air or an independent hot gas source.

*Intergrinding systems*

Any of the grinding systems mentioned for the dry/semi-dry grinding of raw materials can be used for intergrinding mineral additives with clinker and gypsum. However, most systems place limits on the moisture content of the feed mixture – 2 % maximum or 4 % if a hot gas source is used. For higher moisture contents, the systems require pre-drying of the mineral additives in a dryer. An exception is the vertical roller system, which is capable of handling moisture contents of up to 20 %, but still requires a hot gas source.

*Separate grinding*

For separate grinding of mineral additives, the systems for the dry/semi-dry grinding of raw materials can be used. However, the same applies for the systems with regard to the moisture content of the additive mixtures and pre-drying may be required.

### 1.2.6.2.4 Separation by particle size distribution

The particle size distribution of the product leaving the cement grinding system is of great importance for the cement quality. The specification of these parameters is achieved by adjusting the separator. The latest separators of the rotor cage type have several advantages over previous designs, such as:

- lower specific energy consumption by the system (less overgrinding)
- increase of system throughput (efficiency)
- possibility of product cooling
- higher flexibility for adjustments in product fineness
- better control of particle size distribution, better product uniformity.
1.2.6.2.5 Reduction of chromates – chromium (VI)

Since cement is produced from natural raw materials, its chromium content may vary considerably, depending on the circumstances, but it is unavoidable. The main sources of chromium in Portland cement are the natural raw materials like limestone, sand and in particular, clay. Minor sources include fuels (conventional (fossil) and waste). Consequently, as a result of natural variations in the chemical composition of the earth’s crust, the chromium content may vary considerably. A part of the chromium will be present in a water soluble form, the so-called hexavalent chromium (chromium (VI)).

In 2003, an epidemiological assessment from the National Institute of Occupational Health regarding the occurrence of allergic dermatitis in workers in the construction industry related to the content of chromium (VI) in cement was made. This assessment said that ‘the main sources of chromium in finished cement are the raw materials, the refractory bricks in the kiln and the chromium grounding media. The relative contribution from these sources may vary, depending on the chromium content of the raw materials and on the manufacturing conditions. In a study of clinker grinding with chrome alloy balls of 17 – 28 % chromium, the hexavalent chromium of the cement increased to over twice that present in the original clinker.’

When dissolved in water, chromium (VI) may penetrate unprotected skin. This water soluble chromium (VI) may cause allergic dermatitis, also called ‘cement eczema’. ‘Cement eczema’ also covers another type of dermatitis: irritant dermatitis, caused by the alkaline nature of cement mixed with water.

The EU Directive on chromates (2003/53/EC) restricted the marketing and use of cement with more than 0.0002 % (2 ppm) soluble chromium (VI). In general for the cement industry, it is not possible to reduce the chromate content in cement at the input phase, as the main sources are the raw materials. Therefore in 2007, the only way to reduce the water soluble chromium (VI) in cement is to add a reducing agent to the finished products. The main reducing agents used in Europe are ferrous sulphate and tin sulphate [86, EURITS, 2006], [103, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [111, European Union, 2003].

1.2.6.3 Storage of cement

Both pneumatic and mechanical conveying systems can be used for transporting cement to storage silos. Mechanical systems normally have a higher investment cost but a much lower operating cost than pneumatic transport. A combination of air-slide or screw/chain conveyors with a chain bucket elevator is the most commonly used conveying system.

Different cements are stored separately in silos. Usually various silos are required for the storage of cements. However, new silo designs allow the storage of more than one type of cement in the same silo. The silo configurations used for cement storage are:

- single-cell silos with a discharge hopper
- single-cell silos with a central cone
- multi-cell silos
- dome silos with a central cone.

Compressed air is used to initiate and maintain the cement discharge process from these silos via aeration pads located at the bottom of the silo.

1.2.7 Packaging and dispatch

Cement is transferred from the silos either directly into bulk road, rail or ship tankers, or to a bag packaging station.
### 1.3 Current consumption and emission levels

It has to be noted that, if not otherwise mentioned in this document, for this section concerning the cement industry, the standard conditions for measurements of volume flows and concentrations of flue-gases are related to the following definitions which are also stated in the Glossary:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m³/h</th>
<th>volume flow: if not otherwise mentioned in this document, the volume flows refer to 10 vol-% oxygen and standard state</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>concentration: if not otherwise mentioned in this document, the concentrations of gaseous substances or mixtures of substances refer to dry flue-gas at 10 vol-% oxygen and standard state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>standard state</td>
<td>refers to a temperature of 273 K, a pressure of 1013 hPa and dry gas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, it has to be noted that the emissions ranges refer to a reference oxygen level of 10 % although the actual oxygen level within the firing process is much lower than 10 %, e.g. 3 %. The calculation formula for calculating the emissions concentration is shown below:

\[
E_R = \frac{21 - O_R}{21 - O_M} \times E_M
\]

- \( E_R \) (mg/Nm³): emissions concentration related to the reference oxygen level \( O_R \)
- \( O_R \) (vol %): reference oxygen level
- \( E_M \) (mg/Nm³): emissions concentration related to the measured oxygen level \( O_M \)
- \( O_M \) (vol %): measured oxygen level

Additional useful information on monitoring can be found in the Reference Document on the General Principles of Monitoring (MON) [151, European Commission, 2003].

The main environmental issues associated with cement production are emissions to air and energy use. Waste water discharge is usually limited to surface run off and cooling water only and causes no substantial contribution to water pollution. The storage and handling of fuels is a potential source of contamination of soil and groundwater.

The purpose of a mass balance is to evaluate the mass components entering and exiting the system taking into account the law of mass conservation. The evaluation of all mass balance items requires a previous knowledge of process data like raw materials and fuel compositions, gas streams, atmospheric data, etc. In a cement plant, with a system consisting of raw mill, preheater, kiln and cooler, the following input and output flows are important:

- **input flows**:
  - raw materials (conventional and/or waste)
  - energy (fuels (fossil and/or waste and/or biomass), electrical energy)
  - water (including fuel moisture, raw material moisture, air moisture and water injection in raw mill)
  - air (primary air, transport air, cooling air and leak air)
  - auxiliary agents (mineral additions, packaging material)

- **output flows**:
  - clinker
  - process losses/waste (filter dusts)
  - emissions to air (e.g. dust, \( \text{NO}_x, \text{SO}_x \); see also mass balance Figure 1.19)
  - emissions to water (in rare cases)
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The input data are the following:

- dry process; five stage preheater, precalciner, grate cooler, vertical raw mill
- fuel: 100% petroleum coke
- heat consumption: 3300 kJ/kg clinker
- petcoke (net) calorific value (NCV): 33500 kJ/kg fuel
- kiln feed: 1.66 kg/kg clinker; standard kiln feed chemical composition
- raw material factor: 1.52 kg/kg clinker and 5% moisture
- air moisture: 1%
- raw mill:
  - leak air: 30%
  - water injection: 0.5% kiln feed
- clinker/cement factor: 0.8.

A mass balance for the production of 1 kg of cement using the dry process with petcoke as the fuel is shown in Figure 1.19.

![Mass balance for 1 kg cement](image)

**Figure 1.19**: Mass balance for the production of 1 kg cement using the dry process [103, CEMBUREAU, 2006]

1.3.1 Consumption of water

Water is used at a number of stages during the production process. In only some cases, water is used for the preparation of raw material, in clinker burning and cooling processes, such as the cooling of gases, as well as in the technological process for slurry production. In the semi-dry process, water is used for pelletising the dry raw meal. Plants using the wet process use more water (per tonne of cement produced) in preparing the kiln feed slurry and a typical water consumption of 100 – 600 litres water per tonne clinker is reported. Furthermore, for special applications, water is used for clinker cooling and a water usage of around 5 m³/hour has been reported. In most cases, the water consumed is not potable water [45, Schorcht, 2006], [75, Estonia, 2006], [81, Castle Cement UK, 2006], [103, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [120, Spain, 2007].
1.3.2 Consumption of raw materials

Cement manufacture is a high volume process. The figures in Table 1.15 indicate typical average consumptions of raw materials for the production of cement in the European Union. The figures in the final column are for a plant with a clinker production of 3000 tonnes/day or 1 million tonnes/year, corresponding to 1.23 million tonnes cement per year based on the average clinker content in European cement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials (dry basis)</th>
<th>Per tonne clinker</th>
<th>Per tonne cement</th>
<th>Per year per Mt clinker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Limestone, clay, shale, marl, other</td>
<td>1.57 t</td>
<td>1.27 t</td>
<td>1568000 t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gypsum, anhydrite</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.05 t</td>
<td>61000 t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral additions</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.14 t</td>
<td>172000 t</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.15: Consumption of raw materials in cement production [9, CEMBUREAU, 1997 November]

1.3.2.1 Consumption of wastes as raw materials

The use of wastes as raw materials in the clinker burning process can replace a relatively large amount of raw materials (see also Section 1.2.4). The quantities of wastes used as raw materials in clinker production have more than doubled since 2001. In 2004, waste raw materials used in clinker production allowed the cement industry to make a direct saving of almost 14 million tonnes of conventional raw materials, which is equivalent to about 6.5 % of the natural raw materials needed.

However, these waste raw materials have to show and meet characteristics, chemical elements and components which are necessary for the clinker burning process. These waste materials may have an impact on the emissions behaviour of the process and an effect on the emissions. Impacts on emissions are discussed in Section 1.3.4.13. Table 1.16 lists the consumption of wastes used as raw materials characterised by chemical elements used by the EU-27 in 2003 and 2004 for clinker production. Figure 1.20 shows the share used by 20 countries of the EU-27.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Desired (primary) chemical elements</th>
<th>Examples of waste streams</th>
<th>Quantities 2003 (million tonnes)</th>
<th>Quantities 2004 (million tonnes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>Spent foundry sand</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>Industrial lime, Lime slurries, Carbide sludge, Sludge from drinking water treatment</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>Pyrite cinder, Synthetic hematite, Red mud</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>3.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si-Al-Ca-Fe</td>
<td>Fly ash, Slags, Crusher fines</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>3.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>Industry by-product gypsum</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S group</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F group</td>
<td>CaF₂, Filter sludge</td>
<td>13.10</td>
<td>13.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.16: Wastes used as raw materials characterised by chemical elements used in cement manufacturing in the EU-27 in 2003 and 2004 [74, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [103, CEMBUREAU, 2006]
1.3.3 Use of energy

The cement industry is a highly energy consuming industry, depending on the process used. The use of fuels and electricity are the two main types of energy used in cement manufacture. Energy efficiency (both thermal and electrical) has been a priority for many decades for the European cement industry as part of the overall business decisions which are driven by economic and technological needs [103, CEMBUREAU, 2006].

1.3.3.1 Thermal energy demand

The theoretical thermal (fuel) energy demand for cement clinker production is determined by the energy required for the chemical/mineralogical reactions of the clinker burning process (1700 to 1800 MJ/tonne clinker) and the thermal energy required for raw material drying and preheating, mainly depending on the moisture content of the raw material as given by the examples shown in Table 1.17. In modern preheater kilns, the number of cyclones can be limited by the chemical composition of the raw material.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Raw material moisture (%) w/w</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cyclone stages</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enthalpy required for drying</td>
<td>MJ/t clinker</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.17: Examples of thermal energy required for drying the raw meal [137, Klein/Hoenig, 2006]
The actual thermal (fuels) demand for different kiln systems and kiln sizes is within the ranges shown in Table 1.18, as well as the fuel energy use for special cement production. Practical experience shows that the energy consumption of plants using the dry process, with multistage cyclone preheaters and precalcining kilns starts at about 3000 and can reach more than 3800 MJ/tonne clinker (as an annual average). The variations in this range are due to shutdowns and start-ups of the systems and e.g. because of different raw material properties. Table 1.17 gives an estimation of the influence of the raw material moisture on the thermal energy demand for cyclone preheater kilns.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specific thermal energy demand (MJ/tonne clinker)</th>
<th>Process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3000 – &lt;4000</td>
<td>For the dry process, multistage (three to six stages) cyclone preheaters and precalcining kilns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3100 – 4200</td>
<td>For the dry process rotary kilns equipped with cyclone preheaters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3300 – 5400</td>
<td>For the semi-dry/semi-wet processes (Lepol kiln)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>up to 5000</td>
<td>For the dry process long kilns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000 – 6400</td>
<td>For the wet process long kilns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3100 – 6500 and higher</td>
<td>For shaft kilns and for the production of special cements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.18: Fuel energy use in cement manufacturing in the EU-27
[75, Estonia, 2006], [76, Germany, 2006], [84, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [92, Austria, 2006], [120, Spain, 2007], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]

Figure 1.21 shows the fuel energy use in the cement industry in the EU-27 in 2004 without a distinction being made between the different processes (dry, semi-dry/-wet or wet) and fuels (fossil and/or waste) used. Approximately 30 cement plants in the EU-27 are high consumers of fuel energy with more than 5000 MJ/tonne clinker, e.g. for the production of special cement such as white cement (see also Table 1.18). Energy consumption for white cement production is affected by a higher temperature which is needed for producing this type of white clinker in comparison to the production of other types of clinker (grey clinker) [75, Estonia, 2006], [84, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [120, Spain, 2007].

![2004 Fuel energy use (MJ/tonne clinker)](image)

Figure 1.21: Fuel energy use in the EU-25 cement industry in 2004
[84, CEMBUREAU, 2006]
Different parameters can influence the specific energy consumption, such as [92, Austria, 2006]:

- size and plant design
  - three to six cyclone stages
  - calciner
  - tertiary air
  - compound operation of the mill
  - length to diameter ratio of the kiln
  - type of clinker cooler
- throughput of the kiln
- moisture content of raw materials and fuels
- raw material properties, such as burnability
- specific calorific value of the fuels
- type of clinker
- homogenising and precise metering of kiln feed material and fuels
- optimisation of process control including flame cooling
- bypass rate.

When changing the fuel mix used, the specific energy consumption per tonne of clinker can vary due to various reasons, depending on the type of fuels (conventional or waste fuel), their parameters, e.g. moisture content, reactivity or coarseness, and the calorific value of the fuels used.

One of the main impacts on energy consumption is due to the preparation of fossil fuels like coal or lignite which are, in many cases, partly or completely dried outside of the kiln system, even outside of the cement plant. Lignite, for example, can be extracted with a moisture content of above 50% and needs to be dried before delivery to the cement plant. When replacing dried fuels by fuels having a higher moisture content, an increase of the energy consumption per tonne of clinker in the kiln system is possible, but can be globally compensated by the energy saved from the avoided drying and transport of fossil fuels.

On the issue of fuel moisture, the efficiency of the process varies depending on the type of fuel drying used, such as fuel drying by using waste heat from the process or effectively drying the fuel inside of the kiln, which will require more energy for removing the moisture content. Some fuels also partly contain wet minerals used as the raw material. These minerals are dried into the kiln system, and can also increase the specific energy consumption per tonne of clinker.

Furthermore, a high substitution rate of waste fuels in combination with different parameters, e.g. lower calorific values of these waste fuels, high moisture content, design of the plant, can relate to a higher energy use as shown in examples from Austria and the UK (see Section 1.3.3.3). In cases where plants are suitable and designed especially for the co-incineration of certain types of waste fuels, thermal energy consumption can still be in the range of between 3120 – 3400 MJ/t clinker. Austrian cement plants reported results from a 48 hours test trial with a considerable amount of low calorific value that included rather humid plastics achieving 3400 MJ/t clinker. Furthermore, in the UK, energy consumption of 3473 kJ/kg has been reported for a five stage precalciner kiln. However, potentials for improvements have been stated [81, Castle Cement UK, 2006], [92, Austria, 2006], [163, Castle Cement UK, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [171, A TEC, 2007].
1.3.3.2 Electrical energy demand

The main users of electricity are the mills (finish grinding and raw grinding) and the exhaust fans (kiln/raw mill and cement mill) which together account for more than 80% of electrical energy usage. On average, energy costs – in the form of fuel and electricity – represent 40% of the total production cost involved in producing a tonne of cement. Electrical energy represents up to 20% of this overall energy requirement. The electricity demand ranges from 90 to 150 kWh/tonne cement. Between 2004 and 2006, electricity costs increased from 14% of total cement production cost to 25%. The wet process is more energy intensive than the semi-wet or the dry process [20, Rother, 1996 January,], [84, CEMBUREAU, 2006].

Electricity use is also determined by the nature of the products, through the use of milling requirements. In some cases, the use of electricity was minimised by replacing old raw material mills with new ones. Table 1.19 gives an overview of the relationship between the energy consumption of the different grinding techniques. It has to be noted that it is not always possible to replace one mill by another grinding device. Furthermore, it is worth mentioning that an assessment of the most appropriate grinding technique should always also consider the economic aspects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grinding process</th>
<th>Energy consumption</th>
<th>Maintenance requirements</th>
<th>Drying capacity</th>
<th>Suitability for grinding to great fineness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ball mill</td>
<td>100 %</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gutbett roller mill</td>
<td>65 to 50 %</td>
<td>Minor to major</td>
<td>Low(^1)</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical roller mill</td>
<td>75 to 70 %</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Drying in classifier

Table 1.19: Comparison of grinding techniques based on key characteristics [60, VDI 2094 Germany, 2003], [76, Germany, 2006]

1.3.3.3 Consumption of waste fuels

To cover the necessary energy demand, waste fuels as well as conventional fuels are used and the consumption of waste fuels has consistently increased over the last few years. In 2004 in Europe, 6.1 million tonnes of different types of wastes were used as fuels in cement kilns. Of these wastes, about one million tonnes were hazardous. In EU-23+ counties (see Glossary), substitution of traditional fuels by waste fuels is increasing sharply, rising from 3% in 1990 to about 17% in 2007, equivalent to saving about 4 million tonnes of coal [74, CEMBUREAU, 2006].

However, great differences can be observed between Member States with similar opportunities to increase substitution. In 2007, individual plants were already reaching substitution rates of more than 80%. Up to 100% of the energy consumption can be utilised by waste fuels, e.g. at a dry process kiln system, which consists of a rotary kiln with a four stage, two-string preheater and planetary cooler. An example is shown in Section 4.2.2.1.

It has to be noted that of these wastes, the calorific values vary very widely, from 3 to 40 MJ/kg. Literature shows that conventional fuels have calorific values (average, net) of, e.g. 26 – 30 MJ/kg for typical coal, 40 – 42 MJ/kg for typical fuel oil while solid waste can have a calorific value of 8.5 MJ/kg, and plastic material has a calorific value of up to 40 MJ/kg [143, Williams, 2005]. Waste fuels with adequate calorific values can replace fossil fuels and leads to fossil fuel savings. However, kilns have to be suitable for burning wastes and circumstances have to be optimised in order to be able to contribute to a high energy efficiency (see Sections 1.3.3.1 and 1.4.2.1.3). Table 1.20 shows examples of typical calorific values of different waste materials:
Examples of calorific values for different types of wastes used as fuels in the EU-27 [75, Estonia, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [180, Mauschitz, 2004]

It has to be noted that depending on the different parameters (see Section 1.4.2.1.3), the annual average specific thermal energy demand also can increase, as shown in examples from the UK and Austria given below.

In example plants in the UK, the (net) calorific value of waste fuels used, e.g. derived from municipal, commercial and/or industrial wastes, can vary between 15 and 23 MJ/kg. However, in one plant a thermal substitution rate of 25 % of the total fuel used was reported which is equivalent to 30000 tonnes of coal. Since the waste fuels have a lower calorific value, the quantity of waste fuels required is 44118 t [153, Castle Cement UK, 2007], [154, CEMEX UK, 2006]. Another example shows that 125000 t waste fuels have to be used to replace 100000 t coal. This refers to 20 % more waste fuels used [81, Castle Cement UK, 2006].

In Austria between 1997 and 2004, the use of fossil fuels was constantly decreasing whereas the waste input increased from 19 % in 1997 to 47 % in 2004. The thermal energy demand first decreased from 3554 to 3481 MJ/t clinker in 2000. However, during the period 2000 to 2004 the fuel mix significantly changed (see Section 4.2.2.2, Table 4.21), with a significant decrease of coal (from 44.9 to 17.6 %) and, at the same time, a significant increase in using petcoke (from 3.2 to 16.0 %) and wastes, such as plastics (from 9.1 to 17.6 %) and other waste fuels (from 2.4 to 10.2 %) [92, Austria, 2006].

Furthermore, information regarding the examples of calorific values calculated for different types of waste treated and calculation examples for calorific values from waste can be found in the Reference Document on Best Available Techniques for Waste Incineration [57, European Commission, 2005].

The increase in the use of non-hazardous wastes is more significant than the increase in the use of hazardous wastes. This reflects societal evolution (increase in sewage sludge, animal meal, processed urban waste), as well as their increased focus on waste classification. With a thermal substitution rate of 17 % on average, which is as high as 100 % at times in some countries, considered that the use of wastes as fuels and raw materials in the clinker burning process is to be common practice. Out of the 6 million tonnes, about 10 % is biomass. Substitution rates of waste fuels used in EU-23+ countries are shown in Table 1.22 [74, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [75, Estonia, 2006], [76, Germany, 2006], [81, Castle Cement UK, 2006], [92, Austria, 2006], [107, Belgium, 2006], [112, Czech Republic, 2006].
Consumptions of different hazardous and non-hazardous wastes used as fuels for firing in EU-27 cement kilns are shown in Table 1.21 and Figure 1.22. The total consumption of waste fuels in EU-27 cement kilns increased from 2003 to 2004 [74, CEMBUREAU, 2006].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of waste fuels</th>
<th>Quantities in 1000 tonnes</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hazardous</td>
<td>Non-hazardous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Wood, paper, cardboard</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>214.991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Textiles</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>19.301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Plastics</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>354.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 RDF</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.992</td>
<td>570.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Rubber/tyres</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>699.388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Industrial sludge</td>
<td></td>
<td>52.080</td>
<td>161.660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Municipal sewage sludge</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>174.801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Animal meal, fats</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1313.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Coal/carbon waste</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.890</td>
<td>137.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Agricultural waste</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>73.861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Solid waste (impregnated sawdust)</td>
<td></td>
<td>164.931</td>
<td>271.453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Solvents and related waste</td>
<td></td>
<td>425.410</td>
<td>131.090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Oil and oily waste</td>
<td></td>
<td>325.265</td>
<td>181.743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Others</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.551</td>
<td>199.705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>975.119</td>
<td>4502.435</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.21: Consumption of different types of waste used as fuels in EU-27 cement kilns in 2003 and 2004 
[74, CEMBUREAU, 2006]

1) Each grouping spans several EWC listings, see Table 4.1 in Section 4.2.1
Figure 1.22: Consumption of different types of hazardous and non-hazardous waste used as fuel in cement kilns in the EU-27
[74, CEMBUREAU, 2006]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Permitted capacities for waste fuels (t/yr)</th>
<th>Amount of waste fuels used (t/yr)</th>
<th>Percentage of heat release (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>National range</td>
<td>National average</td>
<td>National range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria(^3)</td>
<td>10000 – 35000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9500 – 39000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium, Netherlands, Luxembourg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58500 – 402000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic (^3)</td>
<td>90000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark, Finland, Sweden, Norway, Ireland</td>
<td>300000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22000 – 120000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>125000 – 265000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>300 – 113000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>218157(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece, Portugal, Romania, Slovenia</td>
<td>20000 – 500000</td>
<td>20506</td>
<td>640 – 60000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>5000 – 115000</td>
<td>28000</td>
<td>5300 – 90600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>8800 – 100000</td>
<td>43000</td>
<td>2000 – 36000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>25000 – 788400</td>
<td>182337</td>
<td>0 – 55960</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Figures are aggregated from EU-23+ countries' replies
\(^1\) Minimum – maximum
\(^2\) Maximum
\(^3\) Only 2004 figures

Table 1.22: Substitution of waste fuels for cement production in EU-23+ countries
[168, TWG CLM, 2007], [178, CEMBUREAU, 2008]
1.3.4 Emissions to air

Emissions to air and noise emissions arise during the manufacture of cement. Furthermore with regard to the use of waste, odours can arise, e.g. from the storage and handling of waste (see Section 1.3.8). In this section, ranges of air pollutant emissions are presented for the process of cement production, including other process steps, such as the storage and handling of, e.g. raw materials, additives and fuels including waste fuels.

The IPPC Directive includes a general indicative list of the main air-polluting substances to be taken into account, if they are relevant for fixing emission limit values. Relevant to cement manufacture including the use of waste are:

- oxides of nitrogen (NOₓ) and other nitrogen compounds
- sulphur dioxide (SO₂) and other sulphur compounds
- dust
- total organic compounds (TOC) including volatile organic compounds (VOC)
- polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans (PCDDs and PCDFs)
- metals and their compounds
- hydrogen fluoride (HF)
- hydrogen chloride (HCl)
- carbon monoxide (CO).

Not mentioned on the list, but considered to be relevant for cement production is carbon dioxide (CO₂). Regarding CO₂ emissions and the emissions trading scheme, general information can be found in the Directive 2003/87/EC of the European Parliament and the Council of 13 October 2003 establishing a scheme for greenhouse gas emissions allowance trading within the Community and amending Council Directive 96/61/EC [99, European Union, 2003]. Furthermore, emissions of NH₃ may be considered to be relevant, especially when using secondary measures/techniques for NOₓ reduction, e.g. SNCR.

The main emissions from the production of cement are emissions to air from the kiln system. These derive from the physico-chemical reactions involving the raw materials and the combustion of fuels. The main constituents of the exit gases from a cement kiln are nitrogen from the combustion air; CO₂ from calcination of CaCO₃ and combustion of fuel; water vapour from the combustion process and from the raw materials; and excess oxygen.

In all kiln systems, the solid material moves countercurrently to the hot combustion gases. This countercurrent flow affects the emissions of pollutants, since it acts as a built-in circulating fluidised bed. Many components that result from the combustion of the fuel or from the transformation of the raw material into clinker remain in the gas phase only until they are absorbed by, or condensed on, the raw material flowing countercurrently.

The adsorptive capacity of the material varies with its physico-chemical state. This, in turn, depends on its position within the kiln system. For instance, material leaving the calcination stage of a kiln process has a high calcium oxide content and therefore has a high absorptive capacity for acid species, such as HCl, HF and SO₂.

Emission data from kilns in operation is given in Table 1.23. The emissions ranges within which kilns operate depend largely on the nature of the raw materials; the fuels; the age and design of the plant; and also on the requirements laid down by the permitting authority. For example, the concentration of impurities and the behaviour of the limestone during firing/calcination can influence emissions, e.g. the variation of the sulphur content in the raw material plays an important role and has an effect on the range of the sulphur emissions in the exhaust gas.
### Table 1.23: Data of emissions ranges from European cement kilns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>mg/Nm³</th>
<th>kg/tonne clinker</th>
<th>tonnes/year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOx (as NO₂)</td>
<td>145 – 2040</td>
<td>0.33 – 4.67</td>
<td>334 – 4670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>up to 4837⁷</td>
<td>up to 11.12</td>
<td>up to 11125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust</td>
<td>0.27 – 227⁷</td>
<td>0.00062 – 0.5221</td>
<td>0.62 – 522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>200 – 2000³</td>
<td>0.46 – 4.6</td>
<td>460 – 11500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>approx. 672 g/t_cement</td>
<td>1.5456 million</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC/VOC</td>
<td>1 – 60⁸</td>
<td>0.0023 – 0.138</td>
<td>2.17 – 267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>0.09 – 1.0</td>
<td>0.021 – 2.3 g/t</td>
<td>0.21 – 23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCL</td>
<td>0.02 – 20.0</td>
<td>0.046 – 46 g/t</td>
<td>0.046 – 46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCDD/F</td>
<td>0.000012 – 0.27 ng I-TEQ/Nm³</td>
<td>0.0276 – 627 ng/t</td>
<td>0.0000276 – 0.627 g/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metals⁷</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>0 – 0.03⁹</td>
<td>0 – 69 mg/t</td>
<td>0 – 1311 kg/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ (Cd, Tl)</td>
<td>0 – 0.68</td>
<td>0 – 1564 mg/t</td>
<td>0 – 1564 kg/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ (As, Sb, Pb, Cr, Co, Cu, Mn, Ni, V)</td>
<td>0 – 4.0</td>
<td>0 – 9200 mg/t</td>
<td>0 – 9200 kg/year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Mass figures are based on 2300 m³/tonne clinker and one million tonnes clinker per year. Emissions ranges are yearly averages and are indicative values based on various measurement techniques. The reference O₂ content is normally 10 %

² Values from SO₂ measurements in the clean gas of 253 rotary kilns. 11 measurements are above the scale. Of these, 7 are of ‘0’ substitution rate, 3 are ‘0 – 10’, and one is ‘above 40’. High SO₂ emissions are to be expected when the raw materials contain volatile sulphur compounds (e.g. pyrite). Indeed, these oxidisable compounds may be converted to SO₂ as early as in the upper cyclone stages. This SO₂ can be captured in the raw mill by the finely ground raw material

³ The figures are values from continuous dust measurements in the clean gas of 253 rotary kilns. 8 measurements are above the scale. The emission levels largely depend on the state of the abatement equipment

⁴ In some cases, CO emissions can be higher than 2000 mg/Nm³ and up to 5000 mg/Nm³ (11.5 kg/tonne clinker), e.g. due to NO₂ reduction

⁵ Yearly average values from 120 measurements; only a few values range above 60 mg/Nm³ (up to 122.6 mg/Nm³ or 0.28 kg/tonne clinker)

⁶ Collected from 306 spot measurements with an average value of 0.02 mg/Nm³ and an upper value of 0.57 mg/Nm³ (1311 mg/tonne clinker)

⁷ ‘0’ implies LOD=level of detection

Typical kiln exhaust gas volumes expressed as m³/tonne of clinker (dry gas, 101.3 kPa, 273 K) are between 1700 and 2500 for all types of kilns [8, CEMBUREAU, 2001]. Suspension preheater and precalciner kiln systems normally have exhaust gas volumes of around 2300 m³/tonne of clinker (dry gas, 101.3 kPa, 273 K).

There are also channelled emissions of dust from other sources, such as grinding (milling) and handling operations, raw materials, solid fuel and product. There is a potential for the diffuse emissions of dust from any outside storage of raw materials and solid fuels as well as from any materials transport systems, including cement product loading. The magnitude of these emissions can be significant if these aspects are not well engineered or maintained and being released at a low level can lead to local nuisance problems.
1.3.4.1 Dust (particulate matter)

1.3.4.1.1 Channelled dust emissions

Traditionally, the emissions of dust (PM), particularly from kiln stacks, have been one of the main environmental concerns in relation to cement manufacture. The main sources of dust emissions are the raw material preparation process (raw mills), grinding and drying units, the clinker burning process (kilns and clinker coolers), the fuel preparation and cement grinding unit (mills). Subsidiary processes of a cement plant lead to dust emissions, independent of whether waste is used or not, such as:

- crushing of raw materials
- raw material conveyors and elevators
- storage for raw materials and cement
- grinding mills for raw materials, cement and coal
- storage of fuels (petcoke, hard coal, lignite) and
- dispatch of cement (loading).

In all these processes, large volumes of gases flow through dusty materials. In cases like crushing, grinding and dispatch, equipment is kept under slight suction and these sources are normally equipped with fabric filters. The design and reliability of modern electrostatic precipitators and fabric filters ensure dust emissions can be reduced to levels where they cease to be significant; emission levels of below 10 mg/Nm³ (daily average values) are achieved in 37% of the reported installations. Examples of system types and the amount of filter dust and dust extraction are shown in Table 1.24.

Total dust emissions are continuously measured at 253 rotary kilns and spot dust measurements are collected from 180 rotary kilns, as shown in Figure 1.23 and Figure 1.24. These measurements are all taken from different plants located in EU-27 and EU-23+ countries (see Glossary). The thermal substitution is marked in this figure by using different colours. Most of the dust emissions range between 0.27 and less than 30 mg/Nm³. Continuously measured emissions were reported as the annual average of 24 hour averages [97, CEMBUREAU, 2007]. Measured values relate to 1 m³ of dry gas under standard conditions.

Furthermore, typical clean gas dust contents (daily average values) are attained by rotary kiln systems equipped with an ESP range of between <10 and 30 mg/Nm³. After flue-gas abatement with fabric filters, reported dust emissions ranges from <10 to 20 mg/Nm³, as a daily average value [92, Austria, 2006], [75, Estonia, 2006], [76, Germany, 2006], [90, Hungary, 2006], [112, Czech Republic, 2006].
Total dust emissions 2004 - Continuous
(Reduced scale)

Figure 1.23: Ranges of emission values from continuous dust measurements in the clean gas from 253 rotary kilns in the EU-27 and EU 23+ countries
[97, CEMBUREAU, 2007]

Total dust emissions 2004 - Spot
(Reduced Scale)

Figure 1.24: Dust emission values from 180 spot dust measurements in the clean gas of rotary kilns in the EU-27 and EU 23+ countries
[97, CEMBUREAU, 2007]

Table 1.24 shows examples of some types of ESPs and fabric filters. The values represent typical ranges and the values in brackets refer to extreme values that have been reported in some individual cases. The overview also shows an estimation of filter dust and dust extraction where applicable. Compound and direct operation are related to mill operations (mill on, mill off).
### Types of systems and operational data for dust reduction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>ESPs</th>
<th>Fabric filters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pressure loss</td>
<td>hPa</td>
<td>1 – 8</td>
<td>(2) 10 – 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>90 – 190</td>
<td>90 – 160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric energy demand</td>
<td>kWh/t clinker</td>
<td>1 – 4</td>
<td>0.1 – 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fan power demand</td>
<td>kWh/t clinker</td>
<td>0.15 – 1.2</td>
<td>1.5 – 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total energy demand</td>
<td>kWh/t clinker</td>
<td>1.15 – 5.2</td>
<td>1.6 – 2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Amount of filter dust and dust extraction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Compound operation</th>
<th>Direct operation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amount of filter dust</td>
<td>kg/t clinker</td>
<td>54 – 144 (1718)</td>
<td>(10 – 70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust extraction</td>
<td>kg/t clinker</td>
<td>0 – 35</td>
<td>0 – 66 (165)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Reference to extreme values that have been reported in some individual cases.
2) 240 °C was reported by using glass fibres with a PTFE membrane.

Table 1.24: Example of system types used for dust extraction, operational data and energy demand

[76, Germany, 2006], [103, CEMBUREAU, 2006]

In some cases, ESPs will have to be shut down during elevated CO levels in the flue-gases for safety reasons (CO trips). To preclude any operating trouble, elevated CO concentrations in exhaust gas and the resultant formation of explosive mixtures must be reliably ruled out. An automatic CO monitoring device needs to monitor the flue-gas continuously. During different phases of the kiln firing process as well as during shutdowns and start-ups of the kiln system, examples of CO trips were reported in different ranges and time periods. In Ireland in 2006, in some cases during CO trips dust emissions above 60 mg/Nm³ (half hourly average values) have been reported with frequencies of between one to six times per month. Of these, ESP trip-outs of between 1 – 13 minutes/trip and a total duration of up to 184 minutes have been reported [159, Ireland, 2006]. However, frequencies of CO trips were minimised, e.g. at German cement plants, and examples of total duration ranges of between 1 and 29 minutes per year, respectively <0.001 – 0.009 % of the total kiln operation were reported as shown in Table 1.25.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total duration (minutes)</th>
<th>Total duration (% of total kiln operation time)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.25: Examples of frequencies of CO trips in a German cement plant

[158, Germany, 2007]

### 1.3.4.1.2 Fine dust emissions PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$

Dust emissions of PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ consist of fine particles with a grain size of less than 10 and less than 2.5 microns in diameter respectively which can arise in solid form or as aerosols. These types of fine dust may result from a series of physico-chemical reactions involving different precursor gases, such as nitrogen oxides and sulphur, and ammonia reacting to form sulphate, nitrate and ammonium particulate matter, and can cause human health problems. In the cement industry, PM$_{10}$ and/or PM$_{2.5}$ may arise from the burning and cooling process; however, subsidiary processes (see Section 1.3.4.1.1) can also lead to fine dust formation.
Within the last few years, different measurement methodologies and sampling devices in combination with calculation models have been developed to determine and size segregate these very fine dust fractions and their distribution, e.g. on the basis of the dust total emissions measured from the flue-gas stream. An investigation from Germany shows that the major part of the total dust emissions is emitted as fine dust, independent of the concentration of the total dust in the waste gas. By applying electrostatic precipitators, approximately 90 % of the dust fraction is less than 10 μm (PM₁₀) and approximately 50 % less than 2.5 μm (PM₂.₅), as examples from the cement industry show (see Table 1.26). Total dust emissions were measured with filter sampler measurements (plane filter device). Cascade impactors were used to withdraw dust under isokinetic conditions and to separate it into size segregated particulate fractions from the flue-gas stream. Furthermore, examples of fine dust fractions emitted from Irish cement plants can be found in Section 4.2.2.3. Hence a major part of the fine dust can be reduced by reduction of the total dust load. Plants equipped with high efficiency dedusting systems contribute relatively little to the fine dust burden [113, Ireland, 2007], [117, Germany, 2000].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emissions source</th>
<th>Total dust concentration1) (mg/Nm³)</th>
<th>Fine dust fraction (%)</th>
<th>Fine dust concentration (mg/Nm³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;2.5 &lt;10 &gt;10</td>
<td>&lt;2.5 &lt;10 &gt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiln (ESP)2)</td>
<td>Kiln flue-gas after ESP</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>51 87 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinker cooler (ESP)</td>
<td>Clinker cooler flue-gas after ESP</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>68 99 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclone preheater (ESP), direct mode3)</td>
<td>Kiln flue-gas after ESP</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>84 97 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclone preheater (ESP), combined mode4)</td>
<td>Kiln flue-gas after ESP</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>66 97 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Total dust measured with filter sampler measurements (plane filter device), size segregation with cascade impactors
2) Lepol kiln, now shut down
3) Mill off
4) Mill on

Table 1.26: Investigation on fine dust distribution in total dust from German cement kilns [117, Germany, 2000]

The Regional Air Pollution Information and Simulation model (RAINS) has been extended to explore synergies and trade-offs between the control of local and regional air pollution and the mitigation of global greenhouse gas emissions. This new Greenhouse Gas and Air Pollution Interactions and Synergies model (GAINS) assists in the search for pollution control strategies that maximise benefits across all scales.

The RAINS model shows that PM₁₀ and PM₂.₅ represent 60 % of total particulate matter (78 g/Nm³) in the raw gas (before treatment), as shown in Table 1.27. Examples of dust reduction techniques along with reduction efficiencies can be found in Table 1.28.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Raw gas concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total particulate matter (TPM)</td>
<td>130 g/Nm³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM₁₀</td>
<td>42 % of TPM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM₂.₅</td>
<td>18 % of TPM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.27: Examples of raw gas concentrations of dust according to the RAINS model [172, France, 2007]
### 1.3.4.1.3 Diffuse dust emissions

Diffuse dust emissions can arise during the storage and handling of materials and solid fuels, e.g. from open storage, conveyors of raw materials, and also from road surfaces because of road transport. Dust arising from packaging and dispatch of clinker/cement can also be significant. The impact of diffuse emissions can be a local increase in levels of dust, whereas process dust emissions (generally from high stacks) can have an impact on the air quality over a much larger area.

Conveyors and elevators are constructed as closed systems, if dust emissions are likely to be released from dusty material. Roads used by lorries are paved and cleaned periodically in order to avoid diffuse dust emissions. In addition, spraying with water at the installation site is used to avoid these emissions. Wherever possible, closed storage systems are used [76, Germany, 2006].

### 1.3.4.2 Nitrogen oxides

The clinker burning process is a high temperature process resulting in the formation of nitrogen oxides (NO\(_x\)). These oxides are of major significance with respect to air pollution from cement manufacturing plants. They are formed during the combustion process either by a combination of fuel nitrogen with oxygen within the flame or by a combination of atmospheric nitrogen and oxygen in the combustion air.

There are two main sources for the production of NO\(_x\):

- **thermal NO\(_x\):**
  - part of the nitrogen in the combustion air reacts with oxygen to form various oxides of nitrogen
  - is the major mechanism of nitrogen oxide formation in the kiln flame
- **fuel NO\(_x\):**
  - compounds containing nitrogen, chemically bound in the fuel, react with oxygen in the air to form various oxides of nitrogen.

Nevertheless, some slight changes in the NO\(_x\) emissions while using wastes may be observed:

- NO\(_x\) from primary firing can be lower if waste fuels include water or require more oxygen (impact on the flame temperature, which is lowered). The effect is comparable to flame cooling
- NO\(_x\) from secondary/precalfiner firing can be lower if coarse fuel creates a reducing zone [97, CEMBUREAU, 2007].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Reduction efficiency (%)</th>
<th>Total particulate matter</th>
<th>PM(_{10})</th>
<th>PM(_{2.5})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cyclone</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>52.86</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESP 1</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>94.14</td>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESP 2</td>
<td>98.982</td>
<td>97.71</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESP 3</td>
<td>99.767</td>
<td>99.51</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabric filter</td>
<td>99.784</td>
<td>99.51</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet scrubber</td>
<td>98.982</td>
<td>97.71</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.28: Examples of dust reduction techniques along with reduction efficiencies according to the RAINS model [172, France, 2007]
Furthermore, NO\textsubscript{x} may also be formed by the oxidisation of NH\textsubscript{3}, if this is injected for NO\textsubscript{x} reduction into an inadequate temperature zone of the kiln where temperatures are less than 1000 °C.

Thermal NO\textsubscript{x} forms at temperatures above 1050 °C. For reasons of clinker quality, the burning process takes place under oxidising conditions, under which the partial oxidation of the molecular nitrogen in the combustion air results in the formation of nitrogen monoxide. Thermal NO\textsubscript{x} is produced mainly in the kiln burning zone where it is hot enough to achieve this reaction. The amount of thermal NO\textsubscript{x} produced in the burning zone is related to both burning zone temperature and oxygen content (air excess factor). The rate of reaction for thermal NO\textsubscript{x} increases with temperature; therefore, hard-to-burn mixes which require hotter burning zones will tend to generate more thermal NO\textsubscript{x} than kilns with easier burning mixes. The rate of reaction also increases with increasing oxygen content (air excess factor). Running the same kiln with a higher back-end oxygen content (air excess factor) will result in a higher thermal NO\textsubscript{x} generation in the burning zone (although emissions of SO\textsubscript{2} and/or CO may decrease).

NO and NO\textsubscript{2} are the dominant nitrogen oxides in cement kiln exhaust gases. Fuel NO\textsubscript{x} from nitrogen compounds in fuel is of lower importance. In cement rotary kiln systems, formation of fuel NO (nitrogen monoxide) in the area of the main firing is insignificant. NO\textsubscript{x} emissions from a cement kiln are normally related to the airborne nitrogen rather than by the fuel fired. Nitrogen monoxide (NO) accounts for about 95 % and nitrogen dioxide (NO\textsubscript{2}) for about 5 % of nitrogen oxides present in the exhaust gas of rotary kiln plants [76, Germany, 2006], [92, Austria, 2006], [97, CEMBUREAU, 2007]. Fuel NO\textsubscript{x} is generated by the combustion of the nitrogen present in the fuel at the lower temperatures prevailing in a secondary firing unit. Nitrogen in the fuel either combines with other nitrogen atoms to form N\textsubscript{2} gas or reacts with oxygen to form fuel NO\textsubscript{x}. In a precalciner, the prevailing temperature is in the range of 850 – 950 °C, which is not high enough to form significant thermal NO\textsubscript{x}, but fuel NO\textsubscript{x} will occur. Similarly, other types of secondary firing of fuel in the back-end of a kiln system, such as in the kiln riser pipe of a suspension preheater kiln or the calcining chamber of a preheater grate, may give rise to fuel NO\textsubscript{x}. Therefore, in precalciner kilns, where up to 60 % of the fuel can be burned in the calciner, fuel NO\textsubscript{x} formation significantly contributes to the total NO\textsubscript{x} emissions. The thermal NO\textsubscript{x} formation in these kilns is much lower when compared to kilns where all the fuel is burned in the sintering zone.

The NO\textsubscript{x} emissions vary depending on which kiln process is used. Besides temperature and oxygen content (air excess factor), NO\textsubscript{x} formation can be influenced by flame shape and temperature, combustion chamber geometry, the reactivity and nitrogen content of the fuel, the presence of moisture, the available reaction time and burner design.

On a yearly average, the European cement kilns emit about 785 mg NO\textsubscript{x}/Nm\textsuperscript{3} (expressed as NO\textsubscript{2}) with a minimum of 145 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} and a maximum of 2040 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}. In 2004, example data of NO\textsubscript{x} emissions were collected from different plants located in several EU-27 and EU-23+ countries (see Glossary) and categorised by the thermal substitution rate as shown in Figure 1.25 and Figure 1.26. Continuously measured emissions were reported as the annual average of 24 hour averages. Measured values relate to one m\textsuperscript{3} of dry gas under standard conditions [94, European Union, 2004], [97, CEMBUREAU, 2007].
Figure 1.25: NO\textsubscript{x} emissions (expressed as NO\textsubscript{2}) from cement kilns in the EU-27 and EU-23+ countries in 2004 categorised by substitution rate
[97, CEMBUREAU, 2007]

In Austria, the yearly average of NO\textsubscript{x} emissions in 2004 was 645 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} (yearly mean average, based on continuous measurement, standard conditions) and these values varied from 313 – 795 mg NO\textsubscript{x}/Nm\textsuperscript{3}, measured as yearly average values. The highest and the lowest ranges were measured at different plants. All Austrian kilns use primary measures/techniques, three kilns have flame cooling, two kilns have staged combustion and five kilns are equipped with the SNCR technique, of which two are in a pilot phase. In 2007, all Austrian cement kilns were equipped with SNCR [92, Austria, 2006].
In Germany in 2006, eight kilns were operated with the staged combustion technique while 34 kilns used the SNCR technique for NO\textsubscript{x} reduction. Ranges of NO\textsubscript{x} emissions concentrations of 200 – 800 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} as yearly average values were measured in the clean gas from 43 rotary kilns. Since 2007 due to national legislation, German cement kilns have to keep a NO\textsubscript{x} emission limit of at least 500 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}. Most SNCR installations operating in Germany are designed and/or operated for NO\textsubscript{x} reduction rates of 10 – 50 % and NO\textsubscript{x} emission levels of below 500 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}. In combination with process-integrated measures/techniques, emission values of between 200 – 500 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} as a daily average value are achieved depending on the individual emission limit value determined in the permit [76, Germany, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [173, Germany, 2007].

In Sweden, the high efficiency SNCR technique has been in operation since 1997 in three cement kilns. Since then, the long term NO\textsubscript{x} emissions have been around 200 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}. The yearly average value in 2004 was 221 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}, while monthly average values range from 154 to 226 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}. The NO\textsubscript{x} load is between 130 and 915 t/yr [114, Sweden, 2006].

NO\textsubscript{x} emissions in Finnish cement plants range between 500 and 1200 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} measured as a yearly average value [63, Finland, 2006]. In the Czech Republic, NO\textsubscript{x} emissions in a range of 400 to 800 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} have been measured [112, Czech Republic, 2006]. In France in 2001, the average measure of NO\textsubscript{x} emissions from 33 cement plants was 666 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} [116, France, 2002]. On a wet long cement kiln in Denmark, SNCR is applied and with a reduction efficiency of 40 – 50 % a NO\textsubscript{x} emission level of <800 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} were reported [182, TWG CLM, 2008].

For white cement production, some raw materials have difficulties in becoming clinker during the firing process and they need higher flame temperatures, depending on their crystallography or mineralogy. One special case is that of white clinker, where the absence of fusing metals leads to clinker temperatures of about 150 °C higher than for grey clinker. Higher flame temperatures also lead to higher thermal NO\textsubscript{x} formation [120, Spain, 2007].

Techniques for NO\textsubscript{x} reduction in the cement industry are described in Section 1.4.5.1. Furthermore, the in 2008 known numbers of full scale installations with NO\textsubscript{x} abatement techniques in the EU-27 and EU-23+ countries are presented in Table 1.33 in Section 1.4.5.1.

Flame cooling is used for NO\textsubscript{x} reduction. Reduction rates/efficiencies from 10 – 35 % have been reported. Reported emissions ranges which have been achieved by using flame cooling are shown in Figure 1.27. A level of less than 500 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} was achieved from two plants, emissions ranges of 500 – 800 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} were achieved by seven plants, and ranges of 800 - 1000 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} were achieved by 11 plants (yearly average values). In comparison to these emissions ranges achieved by several plants, Figure 1.28 shows the NO\textsubscript{x} emissions ranges which were achieved by several cement plants without applying flame cooling. Just a small numbers of plants achieve the lower NO\textsubscript{x} emissions range.
As shown in Figure 1.29 and Figure 1.30, the use of SNCR for NO\textsubscript{x} reduction in cement plants reduces the achieved NO\textsubscript{x} emissions range in comparison to cement plants not using SNCR technique (yearly average values).
1.3.4.3 Sulphur dioxide

SO₂ emissions from cement plants depend on the total input of sulphur compounds and the type of process used, and are primarily determined by the content of the volatile sulphur in the raw materials and possibly by the fuels. The production and potential emissions of SOₓ also depend on the sulphur circulation which occurs in the kiln system. The sulphur is emitted from different outputs of the kiln system, such as SO₂ in the exhaust gases, CaSO₄ and other combined compounds in the clinker and the dust. However, the greater part of the sulphur is incorporated in the clinker or discharged from the system by the process.
Depending on their respective deposits, the raw materials may contain sulphur bound as sulphate or sulphide. Sulphates are stable compounds which are only partly thermally decomposed at the high temperatures prevailing in the sintering zone of rotary kiln systems, which may be increased by localised reducing conditions, and the combustion of fuels and wastes. Consequently, sulphur present in sulphate form is more or less completely discharged from the kiln system with the clinker where required for clinker quality. Sulphides, by contrast, are oxidised in the preheater and partly emitted in the form of sulphur dioxides.

Sulphur introduced into the kiln system with the fuels is oxidised to SO\textsubscript{2} and will not lead to significant SO\textsubscript{2} emissions, due to the strong alkaline nature in the sintering zone, the calcination zone and in the lower stage of the preheater. This sulphur enters the calcining zone of the kiln system together with the minor concentrations of SO\textsubscript{2} resulting from partial sulphate decomposition in the sintering zone. In the calcining zone, SO\textsubscript{2} reacts among other things (inter alia) with alkalis and alkali sulphates originating from the raw materials. On contact with the partly decarbonated raw meal, the excess SO\textsubscript{2} is first reacted to CaSO\textsubscript{3} and then to CaSO\textsubscript{4}. These sulphates once again enter the rotary kiln. In this way, sulphur cycles are created, which are at equilibrium with the sulphur discharged via the clinker (Figure 1.31).

![Sulphur flow diagram in a cement preheater/precalciner kiln](image)

**Figure 1.31:** Sulphur flow diagram in a cement preheater/precalciner kiln [97, CEMBUREAU, 2007]

The calcining area of a rotary kiln offers ideal conditions for SO\textsubscript{2} capture from the kiln exhaust gases. SO\textsubscript{2} emissions may, however, occur when the operating conditions of the kiln system, e.g. the oxygen concentration in the rotary kiln, have not been optimised for SO\textsubscript{2} capture. Furthermore, various factors may influence the efficiency of the reaction, such as temperature, moisture content, the retention time of the gas, the concentration of oxides in the gaseous phase, the availability of solid surface area, etc. [60, VDI 2094 Germany, 2003], [76, Germany, 2006], [86, EURITS, 2006], [97, CEMBUREAU, 2007].
Elevated SO$_2$ emissions are to be expected when raw materials containing organic sulphur, and in particular when raw material-borne sulphur is present in a readily oxidisable form, e.g. as pyrite or marcasite. In contrast with the sulphatic raw material components, these readily oxidisable compounds may be converted to SO$_2$ as early as in the upper cyclone stages. Under these conditions, raw material-related SO$_2$ emissions concentrations may be as high as 1.2 g/Nm$^3$ and in individual cases, even higher, if no secondary emissions control measures/techniques are provided.

However, kilns that use raw materials with little or no volatile sulphur have few problems with SO$_2$ emissions and emissions concentrations in the flue-gas are below 10 mg/Nm$^3$ without abatement at some kilns, the SO$_2$ emissions concentration increases with increased levels of volatile sulphur in the used raw material.

By using secondary control measures/techniques, e.g. utilisation of lime hydrate, the SO$_2$ emissions can significantly be reduced. Figure 1.32 shows values of SO$_2$ measurements in the clean gas from cement plants in the EU-25 [97, CEMBUREAU, 2007].

SO$_2$ is the main (99 %) sulphur compound to be emitted, although some SO$_3$ is produced and, under reducing conditions, H$_2$S could be evolved. Sulphur in the raw material occurring as sulphides and organically combined sulphur will evaporate, and 30 % or more may be emitted from the first stage of a preheater.

In contrast to the calcining area, 40 to 85 % of the SO$_2$ formed is recaptured in the preheater. Apart from the water vapour content of the kiln exhaust gases, the key influencing parameters for SO$_2$ capture by the CaCO$_3$ in the cyclone preheater are the raw gas dust content and in particular, the raw gas oxygen concentration in the uppermost cyclone stage. The excess oxygen (1 to 3 % O$_2$ maintained in the kiln for satisfactory cement product quality) will normally immediately oxidise any released sulphide compounds to SO$_2$. In long kilns the contact between SO$_2$ and alkaline material is not so good, and sulphur in the fuels, such as waste fuels, can lead to significant SO$_2$ emissions.

At approximately 10 %, SO$_2$ capture in the gas conditioning tower/evaporation cooler is relatively low. In the grinding-drying unit, by contrast, material comminution continuously exposes new, highly active particle surfaces which are available for SO$_2$ capture. The drying process ensures that the gas atmosphere is always enriched with water vapour improving absorption. Experience with plants operating in the compound mode has shown that between 20 and 70 % SO$_2$ can be captured in the raw mill. Factors influencing SO$_2$ capture include the raw material moisture, the mill temperature, the retention time in the mill as well as the fineness of the ground material. Thus, it is important that raw milling is optimised so that the raw mill can be operated to act as SO$_2$ abatement for the kiln [60, VDI 2094 Germany, 2003], [76, Germany, 2006], [86, EURITS, 2006], [97, CEMBUREAU, 2007].

Despite the fact that most sulphur remains in the clinker as sulphate, SO$_2$ emissions can be significant from raw materials with a high volatile sulphur content and can be regarded as a major pollutant.

**Deviation from regular operating conditions**

Events deviating from regular operating conditions lead to an increase of SO$_2$ emissions, such as combustion carried out under reducing conditions that curtails sulphur incorporation efficiency:

- incomplete combustion in the precalciner or coarse waste fuels are not properly burned in the back-end of the kiln
- an excessively hot burning zone which may occur in kilns burning fuel mix which have a very hard burnability
- excess of sulphur via alkalis in the kiln charge
- extreme levels of sulphur recirculation between the kiln and the preheater stages.
In 2004, example data of SO₂ emissions were collected from different plants located in several EU-27 and EU-23 countries (see Glossary). Continuously measured emissions were reported as the yearly average of 24 hour averages. Measured values relate to 1 m³ of dry gas under standard conditions. Values of SO₂ measurements in the clean gas were collected from 253 rotary kilns. 11 measurements were above the scale. Of these, seven were of zero substitution rate, three were between 0 and 10 and one of them was above 40, as shown in Figure 1.32 [97, CEMBUREAU, 2007]. However, raw gas concentration ranges of 1400 – 1700 mg/Nm³ with peaks of up to 3000 mg/Nm³ have been found in a Swiss cement plant [86, EURITS, 2006].

A large proportion of SO₂ is absorbed and locked into the cement clinker and the cement kiln dust (CKD) as also shown in Figure 1.32. The use of waste fuels does not have an influence on the total SO₂ emissions. In long rotary kilns, the contact between SOₓ and alkaline materials is less efficient and sulphur in the fuels might lead to SOₓ emissions.

Figure 1.32: Values of SO₂ measurements in the clean gas from cement plants in the EU-27 and EU-23+ countries [97, CEMBUREAU, 2007]

The categorisation and distribution of these SO₂ emissions is shown in Figure 1.33 [97, CEMBUREAU, 2007].

Figure 1.33: Distribution of measurements categorised by the thermal substitution rate of SO₂ levels [97, CEMBUREAU, 2007]
Chapter 1

In the case of white cement manufacture, different conditions and their combination might lead, in specific cases, to significantly lower ability for fuel sulphur retention in the clinker, and thus, to higher SO₂ emissions. These conditions are the following:

- hard burnability of raw meal
- low alkali content of the kiln feed because of the high purity of raw materials
- kiln conditions, such as a generally reducing atmosphere
- kiln configuration: Lepol kilns are common and in modern preheater kilns, the number of cyclones can be limited by the chemical composition of the raw material (alkalis-sulphur balance [120, Spain, 2007].

1.3.4.4 Carbon oxides (CO₂, CO)

1.3.4.4.1 Carbon dioxide (CO₂)

The emissions of CO₂ are estimated to be 900 to 1000 kg/tonne grey clinker, related to a specific heat demand of approximately 3500 to 5000 MJ/tonne clinker, but this also depends on fuel type. Due to cement grinding with mineral additions, the emissions of CO₂ are reduced when related to tonnes of cement (compare with Figure 1.19). Approximately 62 % originates in the calcining process and the remaining 38 % is related to fuel combustion. The CO₂ emissions resulting from the combustion of the carbon content of the fuel is directly proportional to the specific heat demand as well as the ratio of the carbon content to the calorific value of the fuel. Emissions of combustion CO₂ have progressively reduced, with a reduction of about 30 % in the last 25 years being accomplished mainly by the adoption of more fuel efficient kiln processes.


1.3.4.4.2 Carbon monoxide (CO)

The emissions of CO and organically bound carbon during the clinker burning process are caused normally by the small quantities of organic constituents input via the natural raw materials (remnants of organisms and plants incorporated in the rock in the course of geological history). These are converted during kiln feed preheating and become oxidised to form CO and CO₂. In this process, small portions of organic trace gases, such as total organic carbon, are formed as well. In the case of the clinker burning process, the content of CO and organic trace gases in the clean gas therefore do not allow any conclusions on combustion conditions.

However, additional CO emissions may also result from poor combustion and improper burning conditions in the secondary firing. On the other hand, it has to be pointed out that such an increase in the CO emissions rate coincides with a decrease in the NOₓ emissions. However, the reducing conditions may have a positive effect on SOₓ emissions.
In energy conversion plants, such as power stations, the exhaust gas concentrations of CO and organically bound carbon are a yardstick for the burnout rate of the fuels. By contrast, the clinker burning process is a material conversion process that must always be operated with excess air for reasons of clinker quality. Together with long residence times in the high temperature range, this leads to complete fuel burn up [76, Germany, 2006].

Depending on the raw material deposit, between 1.5 and 6 g of organic carbon per kg clinker are brought into the process with the natural raw material. Tests using raw meals of various origins showed that between 85 – 95 % of the organic compounds in the raw material are converted to CO₂ in the presence of 3 % oxygen, but at the same time 5 – 15 % are converted to CO. The proportion emitted as volatile organic carbon compounds (VOC) under these conditions is well below 1 %. The CO concentration can be as high as 1000 mg/Nm³, exceeding 2000 mg/Nm³ or can even be higher than 5000 mg/Nm³ in some cases as shown in Figure 1.34. However, most examples of these CO emissions concentration values continuously measured from 29 German rotary kilns are in the range of between 200 and 2200 mg/Nm³ as the yearly average value (CO detection limit 1.8 – 2.5 mg/Nm³) [9, CEMBUREAU, 1997 November], [76, Germany, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]. Good hopper, transport conveyor and feeder design are essential to ensure that the feed rate of solid fuel is steady with minimal peaks. Otherwise, substoichiometric combustion may occur which can lead to short term peaks of greater than 0.5 % CO. These cause the additional problem that any ESP abatement will have to be switched off automatically to avoid explosion.

![Figure 1.34: CO emissions concentration values measured in the clean gas from 29 German rotary kilns in 2004](image)

[76, Germany, 2006]

### 1.3.4.5 Total organic compounds (TOC)

In heat (combustion) processes in general, the occurrence of volatile organic compounds (and carbon monoxide) is often associated with incomplete combustion. In cement kilns, the emissions will be low under normal steady-state conditions, due to the type of kiln used, the large residence time of the gases in the kiln, the high temperature, the nature of the flame (2000 °C) and the excess oxygen conditions. These are process conditions where the organic compounds are decomposed and destroyed with a high efficiency rate (>99.9999 %) [86, EURITS, 2006], [97, CEMBUREAU, 2007]. Concentrations may increase during start-up or abnormal operating (upset) conditions. These events can occur with varying frequency, for example between once or twice per week to once per two or three months.
Emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) can occur in the primary steps of the process (preheater, precalciner), when organic matter that is present in the raw meal, is volatilised as the feed is heated. The organic matter is released between temperatures of 400 and 600 °C. The VOC content of the exhaust gas from cement kilns typically lies between 1 and 80 mg/Nm³, measured as TOC, as shown in Figure 1.35. However, in rare cases emissions can reach 120 mg/Nm³ because of the raw material characteristics. In 2004, example data of TOC emissions were collected from different plants located in several EU-27 and EU-23+ countries (see Glossary). Values from continuous TOC measurements in the clean gas were collected from 120 rotary kilns and the distribution of these measurements were also categorised in thermal substitution as TOC levels, as shown in Figure 1.35 and Figure 1.36. Continuously measured emissions were reported as the annual average of 24 hour averages. Measured values relate to 1 Nm³ of dry gas under standard conditions [9, CEMBUREAU, 1997 November], [97, CEMBUREAU, 2007]. Continuous measurements from 27 German rotary kilns show examples of carbon emissions concentration values of up to 75 mg/Nm³, (yearly average value), as shown in Figure 1.37. The majority of the measurements range below 30 mg/Nm³ (yearly average value) (detection limit 1.5 – 2.1 mg/Nm³) [76, Germany, 2006].

![Figure 1.35: TOC emission values from continuous measurements in the clean gas of cement kilns in the EU-27 and EU-23+ countries](image_url)

![Figure 1.36: Distribution of continuous measurements categorised by the thermal substitution rate of TOC levels](image_url)
1.3.4.6 Polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDD) and dibenzofurans (PCDF)

PCDD/F can result from a combination of formation mechanisms, depending on kiln and process design, combustion conditions, feed characteristics and the type and operation of emissions control equipment. Furthermore, any chlorine input in the presence of organic material may potentially cause the formation of PCDD/F in heat (combustion) processes. PCDD/F can be formed in/after the preheater and in the air pollution control device if chlorine and hydrocarbon precursors from the raw materials are available in sufficient quantities (see also Section 1.2.4). However, formation of PCDD/F and their subsequent emissions require the simultaneous presence of five factors:

- hydrocarbons
- chlorides
- a catalyst:
  - some reports suggest that Cu$^{2+}$ (and Fe$^{2+}$) have a catalytic effect
- an appropriate temperature window:
  - between 200 and 450 °C with a maximum at 300 – 325 °C
- a long retention time in the appropriate temperature window.

In addition, molecular oxygen has to be present in the gas stream. The formation rate increases with oxygen concentration by a reaction order of 0.5.

As mentioned above, the reformation of PCDD/F is known to occur by de novo synthesis within the temperature window of cooling from 450 to 200 °C. Thus, it is important that, as the gases are leaving the kiln system, they should be cooled rapidly through this range. In practice, this is what occurs in preheater systems as the incoming raw materials are preheated by the kiln gases. Elevated concentrations of PCDD/F in the preheater are not expected because of the increased temperature where PCDD/F are destroyed. At temperatures of about 925 °C PCDD/F are rapidly decomposed. Moreover, destruction is supported by the dynamic process of material transport to hotter zones while gas is transported to cooler zones. PCDD and PCDF adsorbed to the kiln feed are transported to zones with higher temperatures (400 – 600 °C) where they are
thermally destroyed or degraded to lighter homologues. They are released to the gas phase and, in colder zones (200 – 300 °C), they resublime to the kiln feed. Furthermore, due to the long residence time in the kiln and the high temperatures, emissions of PCDD/F are generally low during steady kiln conditions. Detailed investigations and measurements have shown that PCDD/F emissions from the cement industry can be classified as low today, even when wastes and hazardous wastes are used as fuel. The measured data were collected from both wet and dry kilns, performing under different operating conditions, and with using a wide range of wastes and hazardous wastes fed to both the main burner and to the kiln inlet (preheater/precalciner). These investigations have concluded that, in Europe, cement production is rarely a significant source of PCDD/F emissions, because:

- most cement kilns can meet an emission level of 0.1 ng I-TEQ/Nm³ if primary measures/techniques are applied (see Section 1.4.6)
- the use of wastes as fuels and as raw materials fed to the main burner, kiln inlet or the precalciner do not seem to influence or change the emissions of POPs [88, SINTEF, 2006].

In 2004, example data of PCDD/F emissions were collected from different plants located in EU-27 and EU-23+ countries (see Glossary). Values from PCDD/F measurements in the clean gas were collected as spot measurements, as shown in Figure 1.38 and Figure 1.39. Measured values relate to 1 m³ of dry gas under standard conditions [97, CEMBUREAU, 2007]. As shown in Figure 1.38, reported data indicate that cement kilns in Europe can mostly comply with an emissions concentration of 0.1 ng I-TEQ/Nm³, which is the limit value in the European legislation for hazardous waste incineration plants (Council Directive 2000/76/EC). German measurements of PCDD/F concentration values measured in the clean gas of 39 rotary kilns (suspension preheater kilns and Lepol kilns) indicate that emissions concentrations are generally well below 0.1 ng I-TEQ/Nm³ while the average concentration amounts to less than 0.02 ng I-TEQ/Nm³, as shown in Figure 1.40. In 26 cases, no PCDD/F emissions were detected. In Spain, PCDD/F measurements were carried out in the period from 2000 to 2003 including 89 measurements from 41 kilns which represent 69.5 % of coverage. These investigations were carried out for different fuels used for the kiln firing process. For those kilns using waste fuels, e.g. refuse derived fuels (RDF), PCDD/F emissions factors range between 0.83 – 133 ng I-TEQ/tclinker and are quite comparable with the results obtained for conventional fuel feedings with emissions factor ranges of between 0.67 – 246 ng I-TEQ/tclinker [60, VDI 2094 Germany, 2003], [76, Germany, 2006], [86, EURITS, 2006],[88, SINTEF, 2006],[91, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [150, Fabrellas/Larrazabal/Martinez/Sanz/Ruiz/Abad/Rivera, 2004].
Examples of PCDD/F emissions measured from 39 German rotary kilns are shown in Figure 1.40. This information results from individual measurements carried out three times a year on one particular day. In 26 cases, no PCDD/F emissions were detected. It has to be noted that no detection limit was deducted/subtracted from the standard. For these PCDD/F measurements/samplings, the detection limit was 0.0051 ng I-TEQ/Nm³. To evaluate the measurement results, an inter laboratory variation of the method (comparison between different laboratories) has to be considered [184, CEN standard EN-1948, 2007]. I-TEQ is the abbreviation for international toxicity equivalent [76, Germany, 2006].
1.3.4.7 Metals and their compounds

Raw materials and fuels will always contain metals. Their concentrations vary widely from one location to another and the potential for emissions to the atmosphere is affected by very complex mechanisms. Furthermore, metal concentrations from wastes used as fuel varies with the waste origin. Metal compounds can be categorised into four classes, based on the volatilities of the metals and their salts:

1. Metals which are or have compounds that are refractory or non-volatile, such as Ba, Be, Cr, As, Ni, V, Al, Ti, Ca, Fe, Mn, Cu and Ag:
   - these metals are completely absorbed by the clinker and discharged with it, and therefore do not circulate in the kiln system. In the exhaust gas, the only emissions are with the dust; they depend only on the input and the efficiency of dust segregation. Consequently, emissions are generally very low.

2. Metals that are or have compounds that are semi-volatile: Sb, Cd, Pb, Se, Zn, K and Na:
   - these metals condense as sulphates or chlorides at temperatures of between 700 and 900 °C; and inner circulation occurs. In this way, the semi-volatile elements which are accumulated in the kiln's preheated system are precipitated again in the cyclone preheater remaining to a high extent but almost completely in the clinker.

3. Thallium: metal that is or has a compound that is volatile:
   - thallium compounds (e.g. TlCl) condense at between 450 and 550 °C, in the case of heat exchanger kilns, in the upper area of the preheater, where they can accumulate (inner circulation).

4. Mercury: metal that is or has a compound that is volatile (see Section 1.3.4.7.1):
   - mercury and mercury compounds pass for the most part through the kiln and preheater; they are only partly adsorbed by the raw gas dust, depending on the temperature of the waste gas [12, Netherlands, 1997], [76, Germany, 2006], [91, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [92, Austria, 2006].

The pathway of metals in cement kilns with preheaters in a dry process is shown in Figure 1.41.

![Figure 1.41: Pathway of metals in cement kilns with preheaters in a dry process](89, ERFO, 2005)
The behaviour and the emission level of the individual metals in the clinker burning process are dependent on the volatility, the input scenario into the kiln, the concentration of the metallic elements in raw materials and fuels, especially when wastes or hazardous wastes are used, the type of process and, most important, the precipitation efficiency of the dust collection systems on the main stack.

Metals introduced into the burning process via the raw materials and fuels may evaporate completely or partially in the hot zones of the preheater and/or rotary kiln depending on their volatility, react with the constituents present in the gas phase, and condense on the kiln feed in the cooler sections of the kiln system. Metals from the fuels initially enter the combustion gases, but are emitted to an extremely small extent only owing to the retention capacity of the kiln and the preheater. As the raw material:fuel mass ratio for clinker production is approximately 10:1, the raw material-related inputs are decisive for the emissions [76, Germany, 2006], [86, EURITS, 2006] [92, Austria, 2006].

Non-volatile metal compounds remain within the process and exit the kiln as part of the cement clinker composition (>99.9 %). Semi-volatile metal compounds are partly taken into the gas phase at sintering temperatures to condense on the raw material in cooler parts of the kiln system. This leads to a cyclic effect within the kiln system (internal cycles), that is either restricted to the kiln and the preheater or is included in the drying grinding unit as well. If the metals are largely condensed in the preheater area, they will be returned to the kiln with the kiln charge. This results in an inner metal cycle (kiln/preheater cycle). This cyclic effect builds up to the point where an equilibrium is established and maintained between input and output via the cement clinker [9, CEMBUREAU, 1997 November], [60, VDI 2094 Germany, 2003], [76, Germany, 2006].

Volatile metal compounds condense on raw material particles at lower temperatures and potentially form internal or external cycles, if not emitted with the flue-gas of the kiln. Thallium and mercury and their compounds are particularly easily volatilised. They are not fully captured in the clinker matrix. Thallium and its compounds condense in the upper zone of the cyclone preheater at temperatures of between 450 and 500 °C. A large part of the thallium brought into the kiln system is therefore retained in the preheater. As a consequence, a cycle can be formed between the preheater, the raw material drying and the exhaust gas purification (internal and external). The emission level of thallium is determined by the concentration level of the external cycle and the collection efficiency of the dust collector. The thallium concentration of, e.g. the ESP dust is a measure for the concentration level of the thallium cycle [60, VDI 2094 Germany, 2003].

Furthermore, but to a lesser extent, cadmium, lead, selenium and their compounds are particularly easily volatilised. An internal cycle of easily volatile metal compounds is formed, when they react with the calcination feedstock or when they precipitate on the feedstock in cool areas of the calcination chamber, in the preheater, or in subsequent drying plants.

Metals form an external cycle when the dust, together with the condensed volatile compounds, is separated in dust separators and returned to the raw meal [27, University of Karlsruhe, 1996].

The dusts from the production of cement contain small amounts of metal compounds such as arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd), mercury (Hg), lead (Pb), thallium (Tl) and zinc (Zn). The main source of metal-laden dusts is the kiln system, including preheaters, precalciners, rotary kilns and clinker coolers. The metal concentration depends on the feedstock and recirculation in the kiln system. In particular, the use of coal and waste fuels may increase the input of metals into the process. As the metals entering the kiln system are of varying volatility and because of the high temperature, the hot gases in the cement kiln system also contain gaseous metal compounds. Balance investigations show that there is low retention of elements with high volatility in the clinker, resulting in an accumulation of these substances in the kiln system [27, University of Karlsruhe, 1996].
Cadmium and thallium emissions were collected from 262 spot \( \Sigma \) (Cd, Tl) measurements in the clean gas of rotary kilns, as shown in Figure 1.42 and Figure 1.43. In 2004, these measurements were all taken from different plants located in several EU-27 and EU-23+ countries (see Glossary). The thermal substitution is marked in Figure 1.43 by using different colours. Five measurements are above the scale. Of these, four are of ‘0’ substitution rate and one is ‘0 – 10’. Emissions vary irrespective of the fuel used. This is due to the fact that cadmium and thallium are not sufficiently volatile to escape with the gas and that they concentrate mainly in the dust and the clinker. Emissions therefore depend on the dedusting device efficiency more than on the fuels [97, CEMBUREAU, 2007].

![Cd + Ti emissions 2004 - Spot](Reduced scale)

Figure 1.42: Cadmium and thallium emission values from 262 spot \( \Sigma \) (Cd, Tl) measurements in the EU-27 and EU-23+ countries [91, CEMBUREAU, 2006]

![2004 Cd + Ti emission points (Spot)]

Figure 1.43: Distribution of measurements categorised by the thermal substitution rate of \( \Sigma \) (Cd, Tl) levels [91, CEMBUREAU, 2006]
As shown in Figure 1.44 and Figure 1.45, emissions of $\sum$ (Sb, As, Pb, Cr, Co, Cu, Mn, Ni, V) were collected from 287 spot measurements in the clean gas of rotary kilns. In 2004, these measurements were all taken from different plants located in several EU-27 and EU-23+ countries (see Glossary). The thermal substitution is marked in Figure 1.45 by using different colours. Three measurements are above the scale. Of these, one is of ‘0’ substitution rate, one is ‘0 – 10’ and one is ‘10 – 40’.

Figure 1.44: Emission values from spot $\sum$ (Sb, As, Pb, Cr, Co, Cu, Mn, Ni, V) measurements in the EU-27 and EU-23+ countries
[91, CEMBUREAU, 2006]

Figure 1.45: Distribution of measurements categorised by the thermal substitution rate of $\sum$ (Sb, Pb, Cr, Co, Cu, Mn, Ni, V) levels
[91, CEMBUREAU, 2006]
Example concentration ranges of different metal components in the clean gas of cement kiln systems’ measurements from 1996 to 1998 are shown in Table 1.29.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration(^{1)}) (mg/Nm(^3))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antimony</td>
<td>&lt;0.007 – 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>&lt;0.007 – 0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beryllium</td>
<td>&lt;0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>&lt;0.012 – 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>&lt;0.002 – 0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>&lt;0.014 – 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt</td>
<td>&lt;0.012 – 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>&lt;0.011 – 0.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>&lt;0.007 – 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>&lt;0.008 – 0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>&lt;0.005 – 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>&lt;0.008 – 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tellurium</td>
<td>&lt;0.0017 – 0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thallium</td>
<td>&lt;0.005 – 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanadium</td>
<td>&lt;0.007 – 0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>&lt;0.1 – 0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin</td>
<td>&lt;0.01 – 0.025</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{1)}\) Concentration is related to standard temperature and pressure conditions after reduction of water vapour content; total of particle-borne and filter passing fractions; results from single measurements averaged over the sampling period

Table 1.29: Ranges of heavy metal concentrations in the clean gas of cement kiln systems’ measurements from 1996 to 1998

[60, VDI 2094 Germany, 2003]

1.3.4.7.1 Mercury

Mercury and its compounds are particularly easily volatilised (highly volatile metal). It is a toxic metallic element and pollution of mercury is understood to be global, diffuse and chronic, so atmospheric emissions from plants have to be reduced. Therefore, to control mercury emissions, it can be necessary to limit mercury input into the kiln system (see Sections 1.2.4.3.2, 1.2.4.3.2.1 and 1.3.4.13). Mercury and its compounds are not completely precipitated and retained in the kiln system and/or the preheater and therefore, they are not captured in the clinker. They are precipitated on the exhaust gas route due to the cooling of the gas and are partially adsorbed by the raw material particles, depending on the temperature of the waste gas. This portion is precipitated in the kiln exhaust gas filter. Therefore, mercury may only become enriched in the external cycle, where the concentration level and the emissions are mainly determined by the exhaust gas conditions. To prevent a long-cycle increase in mercury emissions, it may become necessary to limit the concentration of the external cycle, e.g. by continuously or intermittently extracting part of the dust collected in the filter system. Furthermore, precipitation and hence, mercury removal increases with decreasing exhaust gas temperature. Indications from measurements performed on cyclone preheater kiln systems show that more than 90 % of mercury exists on particles which are formed at exhaust gas temperatures of below 130 °C. Mercury compounds are then completely removed from the dust collector of the kiln system. Due to the high collection efficiency of the dust collector, mercury concentrations in the clean gas of rotary kiln systems are often below the detection limit (see Figure 1.46 and Figure 1.47).

The dust from the dust collector can be recirculated back to the cement mill. In the case of the use of waste fuels, the mercury input to the kiln can be limited regularly (see Sections 1.2.4.3.2.1 and 4.2.2.1.1). Another possibility to reduce mercury emissions is to reduce the off-gas temperature after the conditioning tower to improve the precipitation of mercury and its compounds during dust filtration. Furthermore, in one German plant, activated carbon is
injected as an adsorbent to the flue-gas [12, Netherlands, 1997], [76, Germany, 2006], [86, EURITS, 2006], [91, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [92, Austria, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007].

As shown in Figure 1.46 and Figure 1.47, mercury emissions were collected from 306 spot Hg measurements in the clean gas of rotary kilns. In 2004, these measurements were all taken from different plants located in several EU-27 and EU-23+ countries (see Glossary). The thermal substitution is marked in Figure 1.47 by using different colours. Six measurements are above the scale. Of these, one is of ‘0’ substitution rate, one is ‘0 – 10’, two are ‘10 – 20’ and two measurements are ‘above 40’. As explained, mercury is the most volatile heavy metal and has a poor trapping coefficient. Waste fuels undergo a rigorous acceptance and inspection procedure before being used [97, CEMBUREAU, 2007].

Figure 1.46: Mercury emission values from 306 spot Hg measurements in the EU-27 and EU-23+ countries [91, CEMBUREAU, 2006]

Figure 1.47: Mercury emission values from 306 spot Hg measurements in the EU-27 and EU-23+ countries [91, CEMBUREAU, 2006]
1.3.4.8 Hydrogen chloride and hydrogen fluoride (HCl and HF)

Chlorides and fluorides are minor additional constituents. They may enter into the kiln system with the different inputs as raw materials and/or fuels. Carrying out regular periodic monitoring is appropriate for these substances.

1.3.4.8.1 Gaseous inorganic chlorine compounds

Chlorine compounds are minor additional constituents contained in the raw materials and fuels of the clinker burning process. They may enter into the kiln system with the different inputs and during the firing process in the kiln system; they react with the alkalis of the kiln charge to form alkali chlorides. These compounds, which are initially vaporous, condense on the kiln feed or the kiln dust, at temperatures of between 700 and 900 °C, subsequently re-enter the rotary kiln system and evaporate again. They show a cycling behaviour and may become enriched in the area between the rotary kiln and the preheater. A bypass at the kiln inlet allows the effective reduction of alkali chloride cycles and thus diminishes operational malfunctions. From a chloride input of 0.2 g Cl/kg clinker, depending on the specific plant, a branch stream of the kiln exhaust gases is diverted through a bypass and cooled to forestall accretions in the preheater bottom section. As the gas stream is being cooled, the gaseous alkali chloride compounds condense on the kiln dust particles and can then be removed from the system via a dust collector. The collected bypass dust is returned under controlled conditions to the cement mill feed stream.

As shown in Figure 1.48, during the clinker process, gaseous inorganic chlorine compounds are either not emitted at all or only available in very small quantities from European cement plants. Gaseous inorganic chlorides detected in the exhaust gas of rotary kiln systems are generally attributable to the ultrafine grain size fractions of alkali chlorides in the clean gas dust [60, VDI 2094 Germany, 2003], [76, Germany, 2006], [86, EURITS, 2006], [97, CEMBUREAU, 2007].

In 2004, example data of continuous HCl emissions measurements and spot measurements were collected from plants located in several EU-27 and EU-23+ countries (see Glossary). Values from continuous HCl measurements in the clean gas were collected from 98 rotary kilns as well as values from 233 spot measurements, as shown in Figure 1.48 and Figure 1.50. Figure 1.49 and Figure 1.51 show the emissions distribution of the continuous HCl measurements and the spot measurements. Continuously measured emissions were reported as the annual average of 24 hour averages. Measured values relate to 1 Nm³ of dry gas under standard conditions [97, CEMBUREAU, 2007]. Furthermore, Figure 1.52 shows emissions examples in a range of between 0 – 8 mg/Nm³ (yearly average value), while 91 values are below the detection limit and one is above 15 mg/Nm³, measured from 39 German rotary kilns [76, Germany, 2006].
Figure 1.48: HCl emission values from continuous HCl measurement in the clean gas from 98 cement kilns in the EU-27 and EU-23+ countries
[97, CEMBUREAU, 2007]

Figure 1.49: Distribution of continuous HCl measurements categorised by the thermal substitution rate
[97, CEMBUREAU, 2007]
Figure 1.50: Emission values from 233 spot measurements in the clean gas of rotary kilns in the EU-27 and EU-23+ countries
[97, CEMBUREAU, 2007]

Figure 1.51: Distribution of HCl spot measurements categorised by the thermal substitution rate of HCl levels
[97, CEMBUREAU, 2007]

Examples of HCl emissions measured from 38 German rotary kilns are shown in Figure 1.52. This information results from individual measurements carried out three times a year on one particular day. In 91 cases, the emission value was below the detection limit of between 1.5 – 2.1 mg/Nm³.
Figure 1.52: Emissions of gaseous inorganic chlorine compounds, stated as HCl, measured in the clean gas from 38 German rotary kilns in 2004
[76, Germany, 2006]

1.3.4.8.2 Gaseous inorganic fluorine compounds

Of the fluorine present in rotary kilns, 90 to 95 % is captured in the clinker, and the remainder is bound with dust in the form of calcium fluoride (CaF$_2$) which is stable under the conditions of the burning process. Owing to the great excess of calcium, the emissions of gaseous fluorine compounds and of hydrogen fluoride in particular, are virtually excluded. Ultrafine dust fractions that pass through the measuring gas filter may simulate low contents of gaseous fluorine compounds in rotary kiln systems. Minor amounts of fluorides are discharged with the kiln dust, such as in the form of CaF$_2$.

In 2004, example data of continuous HF emissions measurements and spot measurements were collected from different plants located in the EU-27 and the EU-23+ countries (see Glossary). Values from continuous HF measurements in the clean gas were collected from 28 rotary kilns as well as values from 210 spot measurements, as shown in Figure 1.53 and Figure 1.55. Five measurements are above the scale. Out of these, four are of zero substitution rate and one is above 40. Figure 1.54 and Figure 1.56 show the emissions distribution of the continuous HF measurements and the HF spot measurements. Continuously measured emissions were reported as the annual average of 24 hour averages. Measured values relate to 1 Nm$^3$ of dry gas under standard conditions. Fluorides from cement kiln systems are below 1 mg/Nm$^3$, expressed as HF [76, Germany, 2006], [86, EURITS, 2006], [97, CEMBUREAU, 2007].

Furthermore, Figure 1.57 shows examples of HF emissions in a range of between 0.005 – 0.35 mg/Nm$^3$, and 106 values are below the detection limit, measured from 38 German rotary kilns. This information results from individual measurements carried out three times a year on one particular day. The detection limit is between 0.04 and 0.06 mg/Nm$^3$ [76, Germany, 2006], [103, CEMBUREAU, 2006].
Figure 1.53: Emission values from continuous HF emissions measurement in the clean gas from rotary kilns in the EU-27 and EU-23+countries [97, CEMBUREAU, 2007]

Figure 1.54: Distribution of continuous HF emissions measurements categorised by the thermal substitution rate of HF levels [97, CEMBUREAU, 2007]
Figure 1.55: Emission values from spot HF measurements in the clean gas of rotary kilns in the EU-27 and EU-23+ countries
[97, CEMBUREAU, 2007]

Figure 1.56: Distribution of HF spot measurements categorised by the thermal substitution rate of HF levels
[97, CEMBUREAU, 2007]
1.3.4.9 Ammonia (NH₃)

Emissions of NH₃ occur in the primary steps of the process from the raw materials. The NH₃ content of the exhaust gas from cement kilns can reach as much as 200 mg/Nm³. Additional NH₃ can occur from abatement techniques, such as the selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR) technique, where also variable emissions of unreacted ammonia may occur (ammonia slip). A regular monitoring of NH₃ emissions is essential.

Investigations from two plants in Sweden from 1994 to 2006 (initial trials for testing ammonia emissions) show that NH₃ emissions arising from the raw material are in a range of between 10 – 35 mg/Nm³. Furthermore since 1998, one of these plants has been equipped with a SOₓ scrubber which buffers the ammonia in the scrubber liquor and equalises peaks and lows. From the stack of this scrubber, the ammonia emissions have been measured continuously since 1998 as shown in Figure 1.58. Since the scrubber has been in operation, the short term peaks are higher up to 125 mg/Nm³. This is happening due to the increased scrubber liquor from 53 to 59 °C and the shifted equilibrium so that some accumulated ammonia is released again. However, these plants are equipped with milling systems (raw and coal mill) from where ammonia also is adsorbed and only a small amount of ammonia is transported to the cement mill facilities together with the gypsum (3 kg/h). The cement mill operates at low temperatures in a closed circuit and only very occasionally was the ammonia smell detected from the cement silo.
In 2007, at one German cement plant which is equipped with the high efficiency SNCR technique, investigations and trials were carried out within a period of six months. NO\textsubscript{x} emission levels of 350 and 200 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} (daily average value) were achieved by applying corresponding NH\textsubscript{3}:NO molar ratios of 0.7 – 0.8 and 1.4 – 1.5. However, for achieving the lower NO\textsubscript{x} emission level, the NH\textsubscript{3} measurements resulted in significant ammonia slip of between 50 – 200 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} particularly during mill-off operation. The ammonia slip was twice as high to achieve the emission level of 200 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} (NH\textsubscript{3}; three months average value of 11 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}) compared to a level of 350 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} (NH\textsubscript{3}; three months average value of 21 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}) (see also Section 4.2.4.2) [160, Germany, 2007], [173, Germany, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008].

Furthermore, investigations at an Irish cement plant in 2004 by carrying out short term SNCR trials showed NH\textsubscript{3} concentrations in a range of between 4 and 26 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} in the raw gas after the preheater of the kiln. These concentrations have been measured by testing two injection configurations (standard conditions; NH\textsubscript{3}:NO molar ratio of one (1) with a NO\textsubscript{x} reduction rate of 50 %). The NH\textsubscript{3} slip sets limits to the overstoichiometric addition of a reducing agent. Carrying out regular periodic monitoring is appropriate for this substance [76, Germany, 2006], [103, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [114, Sweden, 2006] [123, Ireland, 2005] [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [173, Germany, 2007].

An example from a German plant equipped with the SCR technique led to NH\textsubscript{3} slip to below 1 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} [173, Germany, 2007].

It has to be noted that NH\textsubscript{3} in the raw material also serves as the reducing agent (see Section 4.2.5) [76, Germany, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007].
1.3.4.10 Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene (BTEX)

Measurements of these substances may be required occasionally under special operating conditions.

In some German plants, additional individual measurements of benzene have been carried out since 2004 which range between 0.02 and 3.6 mg/Nm³. Benzene emissions in low concentrations are caused by the small quantities of organic constituents input via the natural raw materials (remnants of organisms and plants incorporated in rock in the course of geological history) during kiln feed preheating. Examples of measured emissions concentrations of benzene in German kilns are shown in Figure 1.59 (based on a detection limit of 0.013 mg/Nm³). The benzene emissions were not dependent on the fuels used.

Figure 1.59: Benzene emissions concentrations measured from 17 German rotary kilns [76, Germany, 2006]

1.3.4.11 Polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAH)

Measurements of these substances may be required occasionally under special operating conditions.

1.3.4.12 Other organic pollutants

Other organic pollutants occurring from the cement manufacturing process are, for example, chlorobenzenes, PCB (polychlorinated biphenyls) including coplanar congeners, and chloronaphthalenes.

Measurements of these substances may be required occasionally under special operating conditions.
1.3.4.13 Impacts on emissions behaviour and energy efficiency by using waste materials

Emissions behaviour

Dust emissions from the clinker burning process remain unaffected by using wastes.

According to current knowledge, the use of suitable waste only has a minor influence on metal emissions from the clinker burning process because of the high retention capacity for particle-bound metals in the preheater and dust collector. It has to be noted that the way the fuels are fed into the kiln (feeding point) is very important because this can have an effect on the emissions (see Section 1.2.4.1). Factors determining metal emissions from the clinker production process are the behaviour of the individual metals in the rotary kiln system, the input situation as well as the collection efficiency of the dust collector. The input situation itself is determined by the metal concentration in the raw materials and fuels used. As the raw material:fuel mass ratio for clinker production is approximately 10:1, this means that the raw material-related inputs are decisive for the emissions. In operating practice, the use of wastes may result in a decreased or increased total input of individual elements into the kiln system. Non-volatile metals are, to a great extent, locked in the clinker matrix and leave the kiln system with the clinker stream. Semi-volatile metals like Pb and Cd form stable cycles in the kiln system. These elements are discharged from the cycle and a large amount is captured either in the clinker stream or in dust. Special cases due to their volatility are Hg and Tl. The prevailing process temperatures combined with a high volatility result in an insufficient removal capacity and establish a plant specific link between the input load and the emissions concentration in the stack. Depending on the exhaust gas temperature, mercury is present in particle-borne and/or vapour form in the dust collector. Therefore, to control mercury and volatile metal emissions it can be necessary to limit mercury and metal inputs into the kiln system. When firing waste fuels recovered from mixed waste fractions, a routine receiving analysis may be required for monitoring the volatile metals input (see Section 1.2.4.3.2).

The inorganic exhaust gas constituents NOx, HCl and HF remain unaffected by the choice of the feedstock. According to current knowledge, by using the appropriate feeding point to the kiln, the processing of wastes in the cement production process has no significant effects on these emissions. The same applies to the emissions components SO2, CO and TOC, provided that the input of volatile sulphur compounds or volatile organic compounds via the raw meal path is not increased through the processing of waste. Formed SO2 is bound to the clinker in the rotary kiln or the precalciner without additional measures/techniques.

The combustion conditions in rotary kiln systems ensure low emissions concentrations of PCDD/F. Waste materials likely to contain relevant concentrations of persistent organic substances, e.g. PCB-laden spent oil, are fed via the main firing system to ensure their reliable destruction. If there are doubts about the feed point selection in the individual case, reference measurements with and without using wastes should be performed. Indications from comprehensive measurement programmes are that in operating practice, PCDD/F emissions are well below the prescribed limit of 0.1 ng I-TEQ/m3, regardless of the waste used.

Wastes inserted to the secondary firing (see Sections 1.2.4.1 and 1.2.5.1) do not pass the high temperature zone of the rotary kiln which requires qualitative limitations for input and process parameters. However, in many modern precalciners, the temperature is above 850 °C and they have a residence time of longer than 2 seconds, therefore making them suitable for the use of wastes.

Examples of conditions and the result of the yearly emissions monitoring from German cement kiln using waste fuels are shown in Section 4.2.2.1, Table 4.5 and Table 4.6.
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Impacts on energy efficiency

Thermal energy demands can increase when using waste fuels with a higher moisture content, coarseness or a lower reactivity compared to, e.g. fine ground, dry and/or high calorific fuels. Lower energy efficiency might also result in higher emissions loads of air pollutants by increasing the flue-gas volume.

[3, Austria, 1997], [76, Germany, 2006], [86, EURITS, 2006], [137, Klein/Hoenig, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007].

1.3.4.14 Impacts on product quality by using wastes

The use of wastes in the clinker burning process may change the metal concentrations in cement products. Depending on the total input via the raw materials and fuels, the concentration of individual elements in the product may increase or decrease as a result of waste processing.

As cement is blended with aggregates, e.g. gravel and sand, for the production of concrete or mortar, it is the behaviour of the metals in the building material (concrete or mortar) which is ultimately decisive for evaluating the environmentally relevant impacts of waste used in the clinker burning process.

Metal emissions from concrete and mortar are low. Results from comprehensive tests confirm that metals are firmly incorporated in the cement brick matrix. In addition, dry-packed concrete offers high diffusion resistance which further counteracts the release of metals.

Tests on concrete and mortar have shown that the metal concentrations in the eluates are noticeably below those prescribed, for instance, by national legislation. Storage under different and partly extreme conditions has not led to any environmentally relevant releases. This also holds true when the sample material is crushed or comminuted prior to the leaching tests.

Careful selection and monitoring of the waste materials ensure that the use of wastes does not result in metal emissions of any environmentally harmful magnitude. The metal emissions are partly orders of magnitude below the applicable air pollution control standards. The use of waste has no negative impact on the environmental quality of the product. Under these conditions, cement can continue to be used without restrictions for concrete and mortar production. The recyclability of these materials remains completely unaffected.

The content of metals in German cements are analysed on a regular basis. The last values were published in 2001. A comparison with results from earlier investigations showed that there was no increase in the metal content of the cements although there has been a remarkable increase in the use of waste materials over the respective period [76, Germany, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007].
1.3.5 Process losses/waste

Process losses/waste originating from cement manufacture, mainly consists of the following materials:

- unwanted rocks which are removed from the raw materials during the preparation of the raw meal
- kiln dust removed from the bypass flow and the stack
- filtrate from the filter presses used in the semi-wet process is fairly alkaline and contains suspended solids
- dust arising from off-gas cleaning units, e.g. dust precipitator
- used sorption agents (granular limestone, limestone dust) arising from the flue-gas cleaning system
- packaging waste (plastic, wood, metal, paper, etc.) arising from the packaging step.

Parts of the accumulated process losses mentioned above, can be recycled and re-used within the plant due to process requirements and product specifications. Materials which cannot be recycled internally leave the plant to be used in other industries or to be supplied to external waste recycling or waste disposal facilities. Kiln dust can directly be re-used within the process of cement manufacturing or can be used for other purposes.

PCDD/Fs are also present in wastes and solid materials from the cement industry and in 2006 the following was reported by a study:

- cement kiln dust (CKD) and filter dust
  - average concentration of 6.7 ng I-TEQ/kg
  - maximum concentration of 96 ng I-TEQ/kg
- clinker
  - average concentration of 1.24 ng I-TEQ/kg
  - maximum concentration of 13 ng I-TEQ/kg.

This study also shows that, compared with other materials, dioxin levels in process losses/waste originating from cement manufacture are of the same magnitude as foods like fish, butter, breast milk, and less than the maximum permissible concentration of 100 ng TEQ per kg for sewage sludge applied to agricultural land [88, SINTEF, 2006].

1.3.6 Emissions to water

In general, cement production does not generate effluent. In cement production by using the dry or the semi-dry process, water is only used in small quantities, e.g. for cleaning processes. In principle, no emissions to water occur because water is recycled back into the process.

In the semi-wet process, slurry is used and dewatered in filter presses. In the wet process, water is used for grinding the raw materials and to form slurry. The raw materials used are often available with a high moisture content. The slurry is either fed directly into the kiln where the water is vaporised or first sent to a slurry dryer.

Water which is sometimes used in the cooling process for cooling down the clinker, is directly vaporised because of the high temperatures of clinker material.

A water balance from a specific example plant can be found in Table 4.24 in Section 4.2.2.3.
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1.3.7 Noise

Noise emissions occur throughout the whole cement manufacturing process from preparing and processing raw materials, from the clinker burning and cement production process, from material storage as well as from the dispatch and shipping of the final products. The heavy machinery and large fans used in various parts of the cement manufacturing process can give rise to noise and/or vibration emissions, particularly from:

- chutes and hoppers
- any operations involving fracture, crushing, milling and screening of raw material, fuels, clinker and cement
- exhaust fans
- blowers
- duct vibration.

Plants are required to comply with reduction standards in compliance with national legislation, and noise surveys are being conducted and evaluated. Natural noise barriers, such as office buildings, walls, trees or bushes are used in the cement industry to reduce noise emissions. Where residential areas are located close to a plant, the planning of new buildings at the cement site is connected with a necessity to reduce noise emissions [45, Schorcht, 2006], [81, Castle Cement UK, 2006]

1.3.8 Odours

Odour emissions are very rarely a problem with a well operated plant. If the raw materials contain combustible components (kerogens) which do not burn when they are heated in the preheater, but instead only pyrolise, emissions of hydrocarbons can occur. These hydrocarbon emissions can be seen above the stack as a ‘blue haze’ or plume and can cause odours around the cement plant under unfavourable weather conditions.

Burning of fuels containing sulphur and/or use of raw materials containing sulphur can lead to odour emissions (a problem especially encountered in shaft kilns).

Furthermore, waste materials used as raw materials or fuels may lead to odour emissions, especially during different process steps such as preparation and storage. In cases when ammonia is used for NO\(_x\) reduction, this may also lead to odour emissions during certain process steps, if not handled properly.

1.3.9 Monitoring

1.3.9.1 Monitoring of parameters and emissions

To control kiln processes, continuous measurements are recommended for the following parameters:

- pressure
- temperature
- \(\text{O}_2\) content
- \(\text{NO}_x\)
- \(\text{CO}\), and possibly when the \(\text{SO}_x\) concentration is high
- \(\text{SO}_2\) (it is a developing technique to optimise CO with \(\text{NO}_x\) and \(\text{SO}_2\)).
To accurately quantify the emissions, continuous measurements are recommended for the following parameters (these may need to be measured again if their levels can change after the point where they are measured to be used for control):

- exhaust volume (can be calculated but is regarded by some to be complicated)
- humidity (can be calculated but is regarded by some to be complicated)
- temperature
- dust
- $O_2$
- $NO_x$
- $SO_2$, and
- CO.

Regular periodic monitoring is appropriately carried out for the following substances:

- metals and their compounds
- TOC
- HCl
- HF
- $NH_3$, and
- PCDD/F.

However, it is also possible to measure and monitor TOC, $NH_3$, HCl, and HF continuously and to sample PCDD/F and PCB continuously for analysis from 1 to 30 days. Furthermore, Hg is measured continuously in two Member States of the EU-27.

Measurements of the following substances may be required occasionally under special operating conditions:

- BTX (benzene, toluene, xylene)
- PAH (polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons), and
- other organic pollutants (for example chlorobenzenes, PCB (polychlorinated biphenyls) including coplanar congeners, chloronaphthalenes, etc.).

It is especially important to measure metals when wastes with enhanced volatile metal contents are used as raw materials or fuels. Furthermore, depending on the fuels used, the process conditions and the relevance of the emissions, it may be necessary to carry out additional measurements. The requirements of existing European and national regulations have to be considered, e.g. it has to be noted, when co-incinerating waste, the requirements of the Waste Incineration Directive (WID, 2000/76/EC) have to be met [59, European Union, 2000]. If waste is used in cement kilns, often emissions of TOC and mercury, especially in the case of using sewage sludge, are monitored from the exhaust gas of cement kilns (in some cases continuously), additionally to the regular continuous measurements of dust, $NO_x$, $SO_2$, and CO emissions, which are carried out. Further information regarding continuous measurements of mercury in German cement plants can be found in the conclusions and recommendations section for the cement industry (see Section 1.7). Depending on the input and the types of waste fuels used, process conditions and the relevance of the emissions, additional measurements are carried out for HCl, HF, heavy metals, benzo-a-pyrene, benzene and polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDDs) and polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs). Furthermore, for the use of waste often recurrent measurements are carried out, e.g. once a year [76, Germany, 2006].

It is appropriate to have all these substances measured on at least one occasion to provide data when applying for the plant’s first IPPC permit.

Additional useful information can be found in the Reference Document on the General Principles of Monitoring [151, European Commission, 2003].
1.4 Techniques to consider in the determination of BAT

This section sets out measures/techniques considered generally to have the potential for achieving a high level of environmental protection in the industries within the scope of this document. Management systems, process-integrated measures/techniques and end-of-pipe measures/techniques are included, but a certain amount of overlap exists between these three when seeking the optimum results.

Prevention, control, minimisation and recycling procedures are considered as well as the re-use of materials and energy.

Measures/techniques may be presented singly or as combinations to achieve the objectives of the IPPC Directive. Annex IV to this Directive lists a number of general considerations to be taken into account when determining BAT, and measures/techniques within this section will address one or more of these considerations. As far as possible, a standard structure is used to outline each measure/technique, to enable comparison of measure/techniques and an objective assessment against the definition of BAT given in the IPPC Directive.

The content of this section is not an exhaustive list of measures/techniques and others may exist or be developed which may be equally valid within the framework of IPPC and BAT.

The standard structure used to outline each measure/technique is shown in Table 1.30. However, as the boundaries between the elements mentioned below may overlap to a certain extent, the structure is kept flexible, reflecting the individual case.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of information considered</th>
<th>Type of information included</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Technical description of the measure/technique (including drawings, schematics if necessary)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achieved environmental benefits</td>
<td>Main environmental benefits (including energy, water, raw material savings, as well as production yield increases, energy efficiency, etc.) addressed by the measure/technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-media effects</td>
<td>Main environmental side effects and disadvantages to other media caused by using the measure/technique. Details of the environmental effects of the measure/technique in comparison with others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational data</td>
<td>Data on consumption and emission levels from operational plants using the measure/technique (including any reference conditions and monitoring methods used). Any other relevant information on how to operate, maintain and control the measure/technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicability</td>
<td>Indication of the type of plants in which the measure/technique may be applied, considering, e.g. plant age, (new or existing), plant size (large or small), measures/techniques already installed and type or quality of product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economics</td>
<td>Information on costs (both investment and operational) and any possible savings (e.g. reduced raw material or energy consumption, waste charges) or revenues including details on how these costs/savings or revenues have been calculated/estimated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driving force for implementation</td>
<td>Local conditions or requirements which lead to or may stimulate implementation. Information on reasons other than environmental ones for implementation (e.g. increase in productivity, safety)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example plants</td>
<td>Reference to (a) plant(s) in which the measure/technique is applied and from which information has been collected and used in writing the section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference literature</td>
<td>Literature or other reference material (e.g. books, reports, studies, websites) that was used in writing the section and that contains more details on the measure/technique</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.30: Information breakdown for each measure/technique described in this section
The costs for abatement measures/techniques have to be evaluated in relation to the type of cement kiln used, the size of the installations, the effectiveness of the measures/techniques and the circumstances of the individual application.

In this context, Section 1.4.8 gives examples of costs data, e.g. investment, maintenance and operational costs for different flue-gas measures/techniques, such as for the abatement of dust, inorganic gaseous compounds and organic gaseous compounds.

1.4.1 Consumption of raw materials

Description and achieved environmental benefits
Recycling and/or re-use of collected dust to the production processes reduces the total consumption of raw materials. This recycling may take place directly in the kiln or kiln feed (the alkali metal content being the limiting factor) or by blending with finished cement products.

The use of suitable wastes as raw materials can reduce the input of natural resources, but should always be done with a satisfactory control of the substances introduced to the kiln process.

Cross-media effects
Saving of natural resources.

By using suitable wastes as raw material and depending on their characteristics, it may be possible that different emissions occur.

Costs for the quality control of wastes and emissions monitoring may increase.

Operational data
See Section 1.2.4.2.

Applicability
This measure is, in principle, applicable to the cement industry regarding quality control, volatile organics and heavy metal content.

Economics
Costs for raw materials can be reduced.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.
Local conditions.
Economic consideration.

Example plants and reference literature
Cement plants in the EU-27.
[168, TWG CLM, 2007]
1.4.2 Reduction of energy consumption (energy efficiency)

In this context, useful information can also be found in the Reference Document on Best Available Techniques for Energy Efficiency (ENE) [181, European Commission, 2008].

1.4.2.1 Reduction of thermal energy use

Thermal energy use can be reduced by considering and implementing different measures/techniques, such as implementing thermal energy optimisation measures/techniques in the kiln system (see Section 1.4.2.1.1.). Several factors affect the energy consumption of modern cement kilns, such as raw material properties, e.g. moisture content, burnability (see Section 1.4.2.1.2), the use of fuels with different properties and varying parameters (see Section 1.4.2.1.3) as well as the use of a gas bypass system (see Section 1.4.2.1.4). The measures/techniques can be applied individually. However all measures/techniques have to be considered in context with each other.

Furthermore, the production capacity of the kiln has an influence on the energy demand.

1.4.2.1.1 Kiln systems

Description

Kiln systems with multistage (four to six stages) cyclone preheaters with an integral calciner and tertiary air duct are considered standard technique for new plants and major up grades. In some cases of raw material with a high moisture content, three stage cyclone plants are used. Under optimised circumstances such a configuration will use 2900 – 3300 MJ/tonne clinker.

Thermal energy optimisation measures/techniques can be implemented at the different units of the plant including:

- cooler:
  - installation of a modern clinker cooler, e.g. stationary preliminary grate
  - use of cooler grate plates offering a greater flow resistance to provide a more uniform cooling air distribution
  - controlled cooling air supply to the individual grate sections

- kiln:
  - high capacity utilisation
  - optimised length:diameter ratio
  - optimised kiln design with regards to the fuel type which is inserted
  - optimised kiln firing systems
  - uniform and stable operating conditions
  - optimisation of process controls
  - tertiary air duct
  - near-stoichiometric, but oxidising kiln conditions
  - use of mineralisers
  - reducing air-in leakage

- calciner:
  - low pressure drop
  - uniform distribution of the hot meal in the kiln riser
  - minimal coating formation due to low circulation of alkalis
  - extensive precalcination of the raw meal
preheater:
- low pressure drop and a high degree of heat recuperation in the cyclones
- high cyclone collection rate
- uniform meal distribution over the gas duct cross-sections
- uniform distribution of solid and gas streams in a two-string preheater
- cyclone stages (three to six cyclones in total)

material handling:
- low moisture content of raw materials and fuels
- easily combustible fuels with a high calorific value
- homogenising and even feeding (precise metering) of kiln feed material
- homogenising and even feeding of fuels

mills:
- compound operation of mills.

Achieved environmental benefits
Reduction of thermal energy consumption.

Cross-media effects
The use of a gas/air exchanger or a quench system for long kilns as well as a gas bypass in preheater/precalciner kilns increases fuel energy.

For the use of flame cooling, additional heat could be required to evaporate the water which decreases the energy efficiency.

Lower numbers of cyclones induces higher thermal losses with the flue-gas leaving the preheater.

Operational data
Under the optimised conditions of a short-term performance test (e.g. 36 hours performance test) the thermal energy use is 2900 – 3300 MJ/tonne clinker. Due to events deviating from the regular operating conditions (e.g. start-ups and shutdowns) the yearly average values are about 160 – 320 MJ/tonnes clinker higher.

In cases when plants are suitable and designed especially for the use of certain types of waste fuels, thermal energy consumption can still be in the range of between 3120 – 3400 MJ/t clinker. Properties of the waste fuels used, e.g. calorific value, reactivity, coarseness, influence the energy efficiency (see Sections 1.2.4.3 and 1.3.3.3) [92, Austria, 2006], [162, A TEC, 2006], [171, A TEC, 2007].

Values are calculated and valid for a kiln system with a capacity of 3000 t/d. The production capacity has an influence on the energy demand as well. Burning clinker in kilns with a higher capacity of, e.g. 5000 t/d, saves about 100 MJ/tonne clinker while the energy consumption of smaller kilns, e.g. producing 1500 t/d, is up to 200 MJ/tonne clinker higher. These effects are mainly due to different wall heat losses per tonne of clinker produced. An evaluation of a kiln’s energy consumption necessarily has to take these effects into account [84, CEMBUREAU, 2006].

The more cyclone preheater stages there are, the higher the energy efficiency of the kiln process will be. The lowest energy consumption can be achieved with five or six cyclones (see Section 1.3.3.1 and Table 1.17). However, the number of cyclone stages is mainly determined by the moisture content of the raw material (see also Section 1.4.2.1.2).
Applicability
The appropriate number of cyclone stages is determined by the throughput and the moisture content of raw materials and fuels which have to be dried by the remaining exhaust gas heat because local raw material situations vary widely regarding moisture content or burnability [76, Germany, 2006]. Several impacts can affect the energy consumption of up-to-date plants with precalciners and cyclone preheaters, e.g.:

- raw material properties like moisture content or burnability (see Sections 1.2.2, 1.2.4.2 and 1.4.2.1.2)
- the use of fuels with varying properties (see Sections 1.2.3, 1.2.4 and 1.4.2.1.3)
- the use of a gas bypass system (see Sections 1.2.5.4.1 and 1.4.2.1.4)
- target clinker quality
- kiln size and capacity.

Economics
Energy use (thermal and electrical) typically accounts for 30 – 40 % of the production costs.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.
Local conditions.
Energy costs as well as emissions trading lead a way towards energy efficiency.

Example plants and reference literature
Cement plants in the EU-27.

1.4.2.1.2 Raw material properties

Description and achieved environmental benefits
The desired throughput and the moisture content of the raw materials influence the overall energy efficiency. These parameters determine the appropriate number of cyclone stages to be used, as the materials will have to be dried, preferably by the exhaust gas heat (see Sections 1.2.4.2, 1.3.3 and Table 1.17). The higher the moisture content, the higher the energy demand will be. It has to be noted that higher numbers of cyclones induces lower thermal losses which leave the preheater with the flue-gases.

Cross-media effects
Some fuels also contain a part of wet minerals used as raw material which are dried within the kiln system resulting in an increase of the specific energy consumption per tonne of clinker.

Operational data
For raw material input to the kiln which contains less than 8.5 % moisture, on a modern cement plant the drying can be completed using the exhaust gas from a four, five or six stage preheater. The sixth cyclone stage will save about 60 MJ/tonne clinker compared with a five stage preheater in the case of, where a reduced need for drying energy exists. Calculated for the kiln preheater system alone, a four stage instead of a five stage cyclone preheater, requires an additional 90 MJ/tonne clinker approximately. With three cyclone stages the difference in energy demand rises further to above 250 MJ/tonne clinker. Three cyclone stages are only used in special cases with very wet material.
However, at raw material moisture content greater than 8.5% and up to 10 – 11 %, fewer cyclone stages are preferable (e.g. four) so that the heat can be utilised in the drying process (raw mill).

**Applicability**
Applicable, in principle, to the cement industry.

**Economics**
No data available.

**Driving force for implementation**
Legal requirements.
Local conditions.

**Example plants and reference literature**
Cement plants in the EU-27.

### 1.4.2.1.3 Fuel properties

**Description**
Characteristics of the fuels used such as adequate calorific value, low moisture content, adequate content of sulphur, metals, halogen compounds and volatiles as well as air-entraining injection have positive influences on the specific energy consumption of the kiln.

Preparation of fossil fuels like coal or lignite partly or completely dried outside of the kiln system, even outside of the cement plant, lead to improved energy efficiency of the kiln system because it is one of the main impacts on energy consumption. Lignite, for example, can be extracted with a moisture content of above 50 % and needs to be dried before delivery to the cement plant. Furthermore, the use of excess heat for drying fuels leads to thermal energy savings. Replacing fuels containing higher levels of moisture by dried fuels results in a decrease of the energy consumption per tonne of clinker in the kiln system.

**Achieved environmental benefits**
The practice to operate the calciner with a wide range of fuel grades, from highly reactive to extremely unreactive influences the energy efficiency. The use of a finely ground, dry and adequate calorific fuel compared to a low reactive or coarse fuel leads to an improved energy efficiency.

**Cross-media effects**
Some fuels also contain a part of wet minerals used as raw material which are dried into the kiln system resulting in an increase of the specific energy consumption per tonne of clinker. By using wastes and depending on their characteristics, it may be possible that different emissions occur.

A high substitution rate of waste fuels along with less reactive or coarse fuels will relate to an increased amount of fuels that have to be used in order to achieve the thermal energy demand (see Sections 1.3.3, 1.3.3.3, 4.2.2.2 and Table 4.21).

Additional energy may be used for drying fuels outside of the kiln system.
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Operational data
A comparison between identical kiln systems fired with hard coal on the one hand and lignite on the other hand, both of which commonly use fossil fuels, shows a difference of nearly 100 MJ/tonne clinker due to diverse fuel qualities.

The use of a finely ground coal compared to the use of a low reactive or coarse fuel can lower the energy demand of, e.g. more than 300 MJ/tonne clinker. Low thermal energy demand can be achieved (also for smaller plants) by using easily combustible fuels with a low moisture content.

In cases when plants are suitable and designed especially for the use of certain types of waste fuels, thermal energy consumption can still be as low as 3120 – 3400 MJ/t clinker. Parameters and properties of the waste fuels used, e.g. adequate calorific value, reactivity, coarseness, influence the energy efficiency (see Sections 1.2.4.3 and 1.3.3.3) [92, Austria, 2006], [162, A TEC, 2006], [171, A TEC, 2007]. Furthermore, an energy consumption of 3473 kJ/kg has been reported for a five stage precalciner kiln [81, Castle Cement UK, 2006], [163, Castle Cement UK, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007].

Applicability
Applicable, in principle, to all cement kiln types.

When defining a fuel mix, the local availability of the fuels, the technical possibilities and the long term guarantee of supply are the main parameters that are taken into consideration.

By using waste fuels, the calorific value of these wastes has to be taken into account. It has to be noted that by using waste fuels with a lower calorific value, it can be necessary to burn a higher amount of waste fuels in comparison to fossil fuels in order to achieve the thermal energy demand.

Economics
The costs of different types of fossil and/or waste fuels and their preparation vary widely.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.
Economical requirement.
Local conditions.

Example plants and reference literature
Cement plants in the EU-27 specifically in Austria and the UK.

1.4.2.1.4 Gas bypass system

Description and achieved environmental benefits
Raw material and fuels containing low levels of chlorine, sulphur and alkalis can minimise the enrichment cycle resulting from the internal circulation between the kiln and preheater. Furthermore, the deposit formation in the area of the kiln inlet, the calciner and the two bottom stages can be minimised which can be caused by higher concentrations. As a uniform kiln operation with minimised disturbances is the basis for energy efficient clinker production, shutdowns resulting from coating formation should be avoided. A low circulation of alkalis, chlorine and, to a lesser extent, sulphur can minimise the use of a gas bypass at the kiln inlet. By removing part of the process gas not only are chlorine, sulphur and alkalis discharged, but also other substances (see Section 1.2.5.4.1).
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Cement, Lime and Magnesium Oxide Manufacturing Industries

1.4.2.1.5 Reduction of the clinker content of cement products

Description
A technique to reduce energy use and emissions from the cement industry, expressed per unit mass of cement product, is to reduce the clinker content of cement products. This can be done by adding fillers and additions, for example, sand, slag, limestone, fly ash and pozzolana, in the grinding step.

Achieved environmental benefits
Reduction of energy use.
Reduction of emissions to air.
Saving of natural resources.
Avoidance of landfilling waste materials.

Cross-media effects
Adding fillers and additions into the final product at the cement plant ensures controlling of storage and handling materials as well as controlling quality requirements of the finished cement.

Operational data
In Europe, the average clinker content in cement is 80 – 85 %. Many manufacturers of cement are working on techniques to lower the clinker content further. One reported technique claims to exchange 50 % of the clinker with maintained product quality/performance and without increasing production costs. Cement standards define some types of cement with less than 20 % clinker, the balance being made up of blast furnace slag. However, cement types with a low clinker content are for special use only.

Applicability
Applicable, in principle, to the cement industry.

Economics
No data available.
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Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.
Economic requirements.
Availability of suitable waste materials.
Requirements regarding technical specification and carbon efficiency.
Requirements in accordance with cement standards.

Example plants and reference literature
Cement plants in the EU-27.
[9, CEMBUREAU, 1997 November], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]

1.4.2.2 Reduction of electrical energy use

Description
Electrical energy use can be minimised through the installation of power management systems and the utilisation of energy efficient equipment such as high pressure grinding rolls for clinker comminution and variable speed drives for fans as well as, in some cases, replacing old raw material mills with new mills (see Section 1.3.3.2). By using improved monitoring systems and reducing air leaks into the system, the use of electricity can also be optimised. Some of the reduction techniques described in the next sections will also have a positive effect on energy use, for example, process control optimisation.

Achieved environmental benefits
Reduction and minimisation of electrical use.
Saving of resources and reduction of emissions and waste.

Cross-media effects
No issues.

Operational data
A comparison of key characteristics from grinding technique examples are shown in Section 1.3.3 and Table 1.19. In some cases, the use of electricity was minimised by replacing old raw material mills with new alternatives.

Applicability
Applicable, in principle, to all cement kilns.

Economics
In 2006, electrical energy use typically accounted for 15 – 25 % of the total cement production costs (see Section 1.3.3.2).

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.
Economic requirements, cost savings.
Local conditions.

Example plants and reference literature
Cement plants in the EU-27.
[76, Germany, 2006], [81, Castle Cement UK, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [181, European Commission, 2008], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]
1.4.2.3 Process selection

Description
The selected process will affect the emissions of all pollutants, and will also have a significant effect on the energy use, as also shown from a study concerning energy technologies in the cement sector commissioned by the European Commission in 1993. A series of technical improvements or modifications along with corresponding energy saving potentials were identified, e.g. process modifications, improvements for the grinding process and raw meal preparation as well as development of energy management systems [136, European Commission, 1993].

The thermal energy required for raw material drying and preheating mainly depends on the moisture content of the raw material as shown by examples in Section 1.3.3.1 and Table 1.17. The lower the moisture content is, the lower the energy demand will be.

For new plants and major upgrades, a dry process kiln with multistage preheating and precalcination is considered to be state of the art. The wet process kilns operating in Europe are generally expected to convert to the dry process when renewed [12, Netherlands, 1997], and so are semi-dry and semi-wet processes.

Achieved environmental benefits
Reduction of energy consumption.
Saving of resources and reduction of emissions and waste.

Cross-media effects
No issues.

Operational data
On modern cement plants, if the raw material input is less than 8.5 % moisture, the drying can be completed using the exhaust gas from a four or five stage preheater and without supplementary heat. From an example, the strategy for having the most efficient plant required the closure of plants that were located on chalk-based raw materials with a high moisture content; chalk contains over 20 % moisture.

In the UK (Padeswood Works), two wet process kilns with a total heat requirement of 5649 MJ/t clinker were replaced with a single precalciner. In comparison to these two wet process kilns, in 2006 the new dry precalciner kiln had a total heat requirement of 3473 MJ/t clinker which refers to an improvement of about 2176 MJ/t clinker and a reduction in the need for energy of approximately 36 %. However, this heat requirement is higher than would be expected from a five stage precalciner kiln because the organic carbon present in the waste which is used as raw material, burns in the upper cyclone stages of the preheater tower leading to high top of tower temperatures. As part of the process development, the tower temperatures will be reduced which will decrease the overall heat consumption to around 3150 MJ/t. The kiln is permitted to use up to 100 % waste fuel; however, in 2008, operational performance was around 30 %[81, Castle Cement UK, 2006], [163, Castle Cement UK, 2006], [182, TWG CLM, 2008].

Applicability
Applicable, in principle, to the cement industry for new installations/major upgrades.

Economics
Process changes from wet to dry are highly cost-intensive and may cost up to EUR 100 million.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.
Local conditions.
1.4.2.4 Energy recovery from kilns and coolers/cogeneration

Description
The employment of cogeneration plants for steam and electricity or of combined heat and power plants is, in principle, applied in cement manufacturing. This is due to the simultaneous demand of heat and electric power which has for a long time been pursued (see Section 1.2.5.8). The Organic Rankine Cycle (ORC) process and conventional steam cycle processes are in operation. Furthermore, excess heat is recovered from clinker coolers or kiln off-gases for district heating.

The essential feature of the cogeneration plant is the driving engine; however, generating power from low temperature exhaust gas is applied in two cement plants. Most commonly excess heat is recovered from the clinker cooler and, to a lesser extent, from the kiln off-gases.

Achieved environmental benefits
Recovery of excess heat from the process and cogeneration of steam and electrical power along with increased independency from energy costs and reduction of CO₂ emissions.

Saving of resources and reduction of emissions.

Cross-media effects
No issues.

Operational data

Conventional steam cycle process and Organic Rankine Cycle (ORC) process
At Slite cement plant in Sweden, the conventional steam cycle process is used. The recoverable heat is sent to an existing electricity plant situated adjacent to the cement works, operated by a third party who re-utilises a steam turbine to generate electricity. The steam is generated in a two step heat recovery boiler system, one at the clinker cooler and one in the down duct of the kiln. This plant supplies energy of about 6 MW. Calculating from 1999, the investment costs were EUR 8 million for the boiler and steam distribution system, of which 25 % was subsidised. The re-used existing steam turbine contributed significantly to the economics of the installation; however, no costs were accounted for. The annual electricity production is approximately 50 GWh equalling ¼ of the plants total power need. A more detailed description can be found in Section 4.2.3.1.

The Organic Rankine Cycle (ORC) is used at a cement plant in Lengfurt in Germany to recover low temperature waste heat from the clinker cooler for generating power. This technique is essentially based on the use of an organic motive medium (pentane). Results have shown that 1.1 MW electrical power can be generated with the given mode of operation. The achieved availability was 97 % of the operation time of the cement kiln. The clinker cooler has a waste heat output via the clinker cooler exhaust air of 14 MW and an exhaust gas temperature of between 300 – 350 °C of which approx. 9 MW on average is extracted. This ORC technique at Lengfurt cement plant has been operating well for about 10 years. Further descriptions can be found in Section 4.2.3.2.

Recovery from clinker coolers or kiln off-gases for district heating
Waste heat can also be recovered from the clinker cooler for supplying hot water. In most cases, the boiler is on the clean gas side of the filter, as the dust collector needs to be an ESP in such cases. Alternatively, a sturdy, abrasion safe waste heat boiler is needed if a fabric filter is installed as a dedusting device after the boiler. Plants having waste heat recovery hot water...
systems fitted include amongst others Burglengenfeld in Germany, and Büyükçekmece and Canakkale both in Turkey.

The Swedish cement plant at Skövde also has a heat recovery boiler situated in the kiln off-gas down duct. As at the Slite cement plant, the heat recovery is less than expected here with 1.2 MW, rather than the design of 2.5 MW. The situation is similar to that in Slite: the properties of the typical fine dust from the preheater tower prevent effective heat exchange. This remains a problem and challenges the use of preheater off-gas as a heat source, technically as well as economically.

**Applicability**
Applicable, in principle, to all cement kilns if sufficient excess heat is available and appropriate process parameters can be met. Local conditions and cost aspects have to be considered.

**Economics**
A large full sized power plant costs on average approximately between EUR 0.8 and 1.2 million per MW power generation installed. The plant at Lengfurt in Germany (Organic Rankine Cycle (ORC) process) is to a factor of approx. 3.3 above that. The ORC plant of Lengfurt with 1 MW is particularly small; however, it is economically feasible due to being part funding by the German government.

The situation is similar for the Slite cement plant (conventional steam cycle process), the steam turbine generator is set with 25% funding and the availability of a vital and expensive part of the equipment. With the sole proceeds from the operation, justification for a full investment in a water steam boiler and power generation system is difficult.

With more new effective preheater towers and clinker coolers coming on line, the excess unutilised heat will be reduced and the scale of economics for cogeneration may not be favourable, especially where the majority of the heat is needed for material drying processes. Waste heat recovery from the kiln and clinker cooler for power generation may be assessed case by case. The economic viability may also be dependent on the local electricity price and the size of the plant.

Other than heat recovery for district heating, especially from a clinker cooler, heat recovery can be feasible if:

- a certain amount of excess heat is available
- recovered heat is saleable via the district heating
- district heating exists or is financed and operated by a partner
- a generator generates electricity, which can be used either for the plant or can be supplied to the public power grid.

**Driving force for implementation**
Legal requirements, e.g. the Cogeneration Directive [94, European Union, 2004].
Reduction of costs.
Saving of resources.

**Example plants and reference literature**
Along with the two cogeneration power plants from waste heat which are described above (cement plants in Sweden and Germany), there are also other plants such as Alpena (40 MW) in Michigan, US; Halla (10.6 MW) in Korea; Tagada and Kanda both in Japan [133, CEMBUREAU/Federhen, 2007]. [76, Germany, 2006], [78, E. Baatz + G. Heidt, 2000], [79, Germany, 2001], [94, European Union, 2004], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [181, European Commission, 2008]
1.4.3  General techniques

1.4.3.1  Process control optimisation

Description
Optimisation of the clinker burning process is usually done to reduce the heat consumption, to improve the clinker quality and to increase the lifetime of the equipment (the refractory lining, for example) by stabilising process parameters. Reduction of emissions, such as NO\textsubscript{x}, SO\textsubscript{2} and dust, are secondary effects of this optimisation. Smooth and stable kiln operation close to design values for process parameters is beneficial for all kiln emissions. Optimisation includes measures/techniques like homogenising the raw material, ensuring uniform coal dosing and improving the cooler’s operation. To ensure that the feed rate of solid fuel is steady with minimal peaks, it is essential to have good designs of hoppers, transport conveyors and feeders, such as a modern, gravimetric solid fuel feed system.

NO\textsubscript{x} reduction is caused by the reduced flame and burning temperatures and the reduced consumption of fuel, as well as zones with a reducing atmosphere in the kiln system (see also Section 1.4.5.1.6). Control of oxygen content (excess air) is critical to NO\textsubscript{x} control. Generally, the lower the oxygen content (excess air) at, for instance, a cement kiln back-end, the less NO\textsubscript{x} is produced. However, this has to be balanced against increases in CO and SO\textsubscript{2} at lower oxygen levels [37, UK, 1996].

The effect of kiln process optimisation on SO\textsubscript{2} emissions is considerable for long wet and dry kilns, and marginal for preheater kilns. The SO\textsubscript{2} reduction is caused by CaO resulting from the calcination process, the reduced SO\textsubscript{2} volatility at lower flame and burning temperatures, and the oxidising atmosphere in the kiln, together with stable kiln operation [9, CEMBUREAU, 1997 November].

Avoidance of kiln upsets and of CO trips when ESPs are applied, reduces dust emissions, and in doing so also reduces emissions of any substances adsorbed to the dust, for example metals. Modern control systems with faster measuring and control equipment can allow higher switch off criteria and thereby reduce the number of CO trips (see also Sections 1.3.4.1.1, 1.4.5.3 and 4.2.6).

Several cement equipment suppliers have developed expert automatic control systems based usually on the control of the burn by monitoring NO\textsubscript{x} levels [37, UK, 1996].

Monitoring and measurement of process parameters and emissions are an essential part of process control optimisation and of achieving a stable kiln process.

Achieved environmental benefits
Reduced flame and burning temperatures cause NO\textsubscript{x} emissions reductions. Furthermore, fuel consumption can be reduced. Dust emissions are reduced by avoidance of kiln upsets and avoidance of CO trips by using ESPs.

Cross-media effects
Reduction of emissions and fuel consumption.

Operational data
Depending on initial NO\textsubscript{x} levels, NO\textsubscript{x} reductions of up to 30 % and SO\textsubscript{2} reductions of up to 50 % have been reported for long wet and long dry kilns and is marginal for preheater kilns [9, CEMBUREAU, 1997 November].
Applicability

Process control optimisation is applicable to all kilns and can include many elements ranging from instruction/training of the kiln operators to installation of new equipment such as dosing systems, homogenisation silos, preblending beds and new clinker coolers. The effect of process control optimisation on SO\(_2\) emissions is considerable for long wet and long dry kilns, and marginal for preheater kilns.

By using ESPs, CO trip management is applicable in the cement industry (see Section 1.4.5.3).

Economics

The costs of process control optimisation measures/techniques vary widely, up to EUR 5 million.

The investment required for developed expert automatic control systems based usually on the control of the burn by monitoring NO\(_x\) levels, i.e. a computer-based high level control system, is about EUR 300000, and additional investment may be necessary to install the required measuring and dosing systems at the plant.

Kiln optimisation is primarily done to reduce operating costs, increase capacity and improve product quality. The operating cost of an optimised kiln is usually reduced compared to the non-optimised state. The savings result from reduced fuel and refractory consumption, lower maintenance costs and higher productivity among other factors.

Driving force for implementation

Legal requirements.
Local conditions.

Example plants and reference literature

Cement plants in the EU-27.
[9, CEMBUREAU, 1997 November], [37, UK, 1996] [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]

1.4.3.2 Choice of fuels and raw materials

Description

Careful selection and control of substances entering the kiln can reduce emissions. For example, limiting the sulphur content of both raw materials and fuels can reduce releases of SO\(_2\). The same is valid for raw materials and fuels containing other substances, for example nitrogen, metals, organic compounds, HCl, HF. There are, however, some differences between different kiln systems and feeding points. For example, fuel sulphur is not a problem for dry preheater and precalciner kiln systems, and all organic compounds in fuels fed through the main burner will be completely destroyed.

Limiting the chlorine content of input materials reduces formation of alkaline chlorides (and other metal chlorides), which can cause build-ups and upset kiln conditions and therefore can impair the performance of electrostatic precipitators, which in turn causes increased dust emissions. High alkali materials may also require some of the dust to be bled off, rather than be recycled within the kiln system, to avoid high alkali contents in the final product. In this case, use of low alkali materials can allow the dust to be returned to the process, thus reducing the waste generated by the process.

Different types of wastes can replace conventional fuels and raw materials. However, a careful quality control on specific characteristics and parameters of these wastes is essential (see Sections 1.2.4 and 1.2.4.3.2). Waste used as fuel selected with an appropriate calorific value and reactivity as well as a low content of moisture and pollutants can save fossil fuels (see Sections 1.3.3 and 1.4.3.3). Wastes used as raw materials which contain VOCs, halogenated compounds
and mercury can be particularly problematic regarding emissions. Feeding materials with a high content of volatile metals into the kiln system should be avoided (see Sections 1.3.4.7, 1.3.4.7.1 and 1.4.7). The mercury content in materials has to be minimised and it can be necessary to limit mercury input into the kiln system (see Section 1.3.4.13). In this context, see also Section 4.2.2.1.1, where examples of concentration ranges for substances in waste are presented. Wastes containing VOCs/halogenated compounds can only be used if the volatile components can be decomposed at high temperatures along with a sufficient residence time. When waste is used, systematic monitoring is required.

**Achieved environmental benefits**
Careful selection of fuels and raw materials can limit the input of substances that can cause emissions. The use of waste fuels can save fossil fuels which, furthermore, can reduce landflling.

**Cross-media effects**
Any types of fuels and raw materials including wastes may have an effect on emissions (especially those occurring from volatile metals, such as mercury).

**Operational data**
No data available.

**Applicability**
The measures/techniques can, in principle, be carried out in the cement industry. The use of suitable waste materials is applicable where complete combustion of organic matter is assured and waste input control as well as emissions control guarantees a low level of emissions, e.g. metals and dioxins.

**Economics**
No data available.

**Driving force for implementation**
Legal requirements.
Local conditions.

**Example plants and reference literature**
Cement plants in the EU-27.
[74, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [76, Germany, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]

### 1.4.3.3 Use of wastes as fuels

**Description**
Selected wastes with adequate (net) calorific values (see Section 1.3.3.3 and Table 1.20) can be used as fuels in a cement kiln, replacing conventional fossil fuels, like coal, if they meet certain specifications and characteristics (see Section 1.2.4.1, Section 1.2.4.3). Often, they can only be used after a certain pretreatment to provide tailor-made fuels for the clinker burning process (see Section 1.2.4.3.2). Different criteria play a decisive role in the selection of waste fuels as they can have an impact on kiln operation and on emissions, such as:

- physical criteria, e.g. air entrainability
- chemical criteria, e.g. chlorine, sulphur, alkali and phosphate content, reactivity and volatile metal content.

To guarantee the characteristics of the waste fuel, a quality assurance system is required. In particular, this includes provisions for the sampling, the sample preparation, the analysis and the external monitoring. More useful information can be found in the technical specifications of the
European Committee for Standardisation, such as CEN/TC 343 ‘Solid Recovered Fuels’. In this context, see also Section 4.2.2.1.1, where examples of concentration ranges for substances in waste are presented.

Depending on the types of waste used and their characteristics, the points at which the fuels are added to the kiln have to be considered (see Section 1.2.5.1) because the way the fuels are fed into the kiln can have an effect on the emissions. In general, the highest combustion temperatures are experienced by fuels fed through the main burner. For all feed points, temperatures and residence times depend on kiln design and kiln operation (see Section 1.2.4.1). To meet the requirements of the Waste Incineration Directive (WID), process gases have to maintain a temperature of 850 °C for two seconds (see Sections 1.2.4.3.2 and 1.3.4.5). When using waste with more than 1 % chlorine content then the operating conditions have to be 1100 °C and two seconds residence time.

To control emissions, some additional environmental equipment may be installed. Special control and process measures/techniques are needed to maintain environmental, safety and quality standards.

For the use of hazardous wastes (liquid waste fuels), safety management has to be taken into account when handling, e.g. storage, feeding (see Section 1.2.4.3.3). Furthermore, safety management for potentially self igniting materials should be considered when using waste fuels derived from pretreated and sorted waste fractions.

**Achieved environmental benefits**
The selection of waste fuels is driven by a number of interrelated considerations, including the following main points:

- reduction of emissions, e.g. fossil CO₂, NOₓ
- reduction of the use of natural resources, e.g. fossil fuels and raw materials.

**Cross-media effects**
The characteristics of the different types of waste fuels, e.g. moisture, calorific value, can affect the specific energy consumption per tonne clinker, e.g. a low (net) calorific value and a high moisture content could result in an increase of the specific energy consumption per tonne of clinker. To achieve the same energy demand, the amount of used waste fuels with lower calorific values is higher compared to conventional fuels.

Depending on their characteristics, e.g. high volatile metal concentrations, waste fuels may have an effect on emissions. This can be controlled and minimised by appropriate input control.

**Operational data**
When changing the fuel mix used, the specific energy consumption per tonne of clinker can vary due to various reasons, depending on the type of fuel and the calorific value of the fuels used. Literature shows that fossil fuels have calorific values (average, net.) of, e.g. 26 – 30 MJ/kg for typical coal, 40 – 42 MJ/kg for typical fuel oil and plastic material has a calorific value of between 17 and 40 MJ/kg. It has to be noted that, of these wastes, the calorific values vary very widely, by up to 40 MJ/kg. Calorific values of animal meal (carcase meal) used in cement kilns is reported as being within a range of between 14 to 22 MJ/kg.

**Applicability**
The measures/techniques can, in principle, be carried out in the cement industry provided that there is complete combustion of organic matter. Waste input control as well as emissions control guarantees a low level of emissions, e.g. metals and PCDD/F.
Economics
In comparison to the use of fossil fuels, the use of waste fuels can reduce operational costs. Energy use typically accounts for 30 – 40% of the production costs. The costs for fuels are, therefore, a significant part of the costs for manufacturing cement. Waste fuels may be less expensive than conventional fossil fuels although costs will vary with the type of waste fuels and local conditions. However, waste fuels have frequently be pretreated and made sufficiently homogeneous to be used in a cement kiln which can cause additional costs. Furthermore, additional monitoring and analysis costs can occur.

Driving force for implementation
Economical requirements.
Reduction of fuel costs.
Local conditions.
Availability of resources.

Example plants and reference literature
Cement plants in the EU-27.
[59, European Union, 2000], [60, VDI 2094 Germany, 2003], [74, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [76, Germany, 2006], [92, Austria, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]

1.4.4 Emissions of dust (particulate matter)
In this section, measures/techniques to prevent channelled (See Sections 1.3.4.1.1 and 1.3.4.1.2) and diffuse (see Section 1.3.4.1.3) dust emissions are described. In this context, additional information can also be found in the BREF on Emissions from Storage and in the BREF on Common Waste Water and Waste Gas Treatment/Management Systems in the Chemical Sector [95, Sweden, 2006], [96, European Commission, 2006]

1.4.4.1 Measures/techniques for dusty operations
Description
Diffuse dust emissions sources mainly arise from the storage and handling of raw materials, fuels and clinker as well as from vehicle traffic used at the manufacturing site. A simple and linear site layout is advisable to minimise possible sources of diffuse dust. Proper and complete maintenance of the installation always has the indirect result of reducing diffuse dust by reducing air leakages and spillage points. The use of automatic devices and control systems also helps diffuse dust reduction, as well as continuous trouble-free operation.

Several different measures/techniques for diffuse dust abatement are presented here, which can be applied individually or in combination (see 1.3.4.1):

- enclose/encapsulate dusty operations, such as grinding, screening and mixing
- cover conveyors and elevators, which are constructed as closed systems, if diffuse dust emissions are likely to be released from dusty material
- reduce air leakages and spillage points, completion of installation
- use automatic devices and control systems
- ensure trouble-free operations
- mobile and stationary vacuum cleaning for proper and complete maintenance of the installation:
  - during maintenance operations or in cases of trouble with conveying systems, spillage of materials can take place. To prevent the formation of diffuse dust during removal operations, vacuum systems should be used. New buildings can easily be equipped with stationary vacuum cleaning systems, while existing buildings are normally better fitted with mobile systems and flexible connections
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in specific cases, a circulation process could be favoured for pneumatic conveying systems

- ventilation and collection in fabric filters:
  - as far as possible, all material handling should be conducted in closed systems maintained under negative pressure. The suction air for this purpose is then dedusted by a fabric filter before being emitted into the air

- use closed storage with an automatic handling system:
  - clinker silos and closed fully automated raw material storage areas are considered the most efficient solution to the problem of diffuse dust generated by high volume stocks. These types of storage are equipped with one or more fabric filters to prevent diffuse dust formation in loading and unloading operations
  - use storage silos with adequate capacities, level indicators with cut out switches and with filters to deal with dust-bearing air displaced during filling operations

- use flexible filling pipes for dispatch and loading processes, equipped with a dust extraction system for loading cement, which are positioned towards the loading floor of the lorry.

**Achieved environmental benefits**
Reduction of diffuse dust emissions.
Noise reduction is also possible by enclosing machinery.

**Cross-media effects**
Increase in energy consumption from the use of vacuum systems.
When maintenance is carried out, additional waste may occur.

**Operational data**
Depending on the particular individual case related measure/technique.

**Applicability**
The measures/techniques can, in principle, be carried out in the cement industry.

**Economics**
Depending on the particular individual case related measure/technique.

**Driving force for implementation**
Legal requirements.
Local conditions.
Health and safety requirements for the workplace.

**Example plants and reference literature**
Cement plants in the EU-27.
[76, Germany, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]
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1.4.4.2 Measures/techniques for bulk storage areas and stockpiles

Description and achieved environmental benefits
In order to reduce diffuse dust emissions from storage locations of dusty mineral raw materials or fuels into the open air, such stockpiles or bulk storage areas can be covered or enclosed with screening, walling or an enclosure consisting of vertical greenery (artificial or natural wind barriers for open pile wind protection).

Some measures/techniques for diffuse dust abatement are:

- **open pile wind protection:**
  - outdoor storage piles of dusty materials should be avoided, but when they do exist it is possible to reduce diffuse dust by using properly designed wind barriers

- **water spray and chemical dust suppressors:**
  - when the point source of diffuse dust is well localised, a water spray injection system can be installed. The humidification of dust particles aids agglomeration and so helps dust settle. A wide variety of agents is also available to improve the overall efficiency of the water spray

- **paving, road wetting and housekeeping:**
  - areas used by lorries should be paved when possible and the surface should be kept as clean as possible. Wetting the roads can reduce diffuse dust emissions, especially during dry weather. They also can be cleaned with road sweepers. Good housekeeping practices should be used in order to keep diffuse dust emissions to a minimum

- **humidification of stockpiles:**
  - diffuse dust emissions at stockpiles can be reduced by using sufficient humidification of the charging and discharging points, and by using conveyor belts with adjustable heights.

If diffuse dust emissions at the charging or discharging points of storage sites cannot be avoided, they can be reduced by matching the discharge height to the varying height of the heap, if possible automatically, or by reduction of the unloading velocity.

Cross-media effects
The water consumption increases when keeping locations wet.
There is an increase in energy consumption from the use of vacuum systems and water spray systems.
When maintenance is carried out, additional waste may occur.

Operational data
Depending on particular individual case related measure/technique.

Applicability
The measures/techniques can, in principle, be carried out in the cement industry.

Economics
Depending on particular individual case related measure/technique.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.
Local conditions.
Health and safety requirements for the workplace.

Example plants and reference literature
Cement plants in the EU-27, Gador plant in Spain.
[9, CEMBUREAU, 1997 November], [45, Schorcht, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]
1.4.4.3 Reduction of channelled dust emissions

There are different main point sources of dust emissions from cement plants. These are kiln systems, clinker coolers and mills for raw material, cement and coal as well as subsidiary processes. A major part of the fine dust ($\text{PM}_{10}, \text{PM}_{2.5}$) can be reduced by reduction of the total dust load and by using high efficiency dedusting systems. Various dedusting devices have been used in the past for these duties but in 2007 the main dry flue-gas filter systems used for dust reduction are fabric filters, electrostatic precipitators (ESPs) or a combination of both, the so-called hybrid filters. In some cases, the use of sintered lamellar filters is reported; however, not for cement kiln applications. Examples of system types of ESPs, fabric filters and the amount of filter dust and dust extraction are shown in Table 1.24. Diffuse dust emissions from the handling and storage of materials, and the crushing and grinding of raw materials and fuels can also be significant (see Sections 1.4.4.1 and 1.4.4.2). Table 1.31 shows a summary of operational data which are available within the text of this section. This table gives an overview and should be read in conjunction with the corresponding sections and paragraphs below.

ESPs and fabric filters both have their advantages and disadvantages. Both have a very high dedusting efficiency during normal operation. During special conditions, such as high CO concentration, kiln start-up, kiln shutdown or switching from compound operation (raw mill on) to direct operation (raw mill off), the efficiency of ESPS can be significantly reduced while the efficiency of fabric filters is less affected. However, whilst using ESPs, the effects of CO trips can be minimised as per Section 4.2.6. ESPs and fabric filters have a high overall efficiency of higher than 99 % particulate retention and both depend on particle size. Both types of filter systems have to be properly (periodically) maintained to maintain adequate efficiency. Depending upon the exhaust gas temperature, different types of filter media have to be used. A disadvantage of fabric filters is that used filter bags are waste and have to be disposed of according to national regulations [9, CEMBUREAU, 1997 November], [103, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure/technique</th>
<th>Applicability</th>
<th>Emissions data 5)</th>
<th>Cost 3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mg/Nm$^3$ 1)</td>
<td>kg/tonne$^3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>kg/tonne clinker</td>
<td>EUR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrostatic precipitators</td>
<td>All kiln systems, clinker coolers, cement mills</td>
<td>$&lt;10 – &lt;20$</td>
<td>0.02 – 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$&lt;10 – &lt;20$</td>
<td>0.02 – 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$&lt;10$</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabric filters</td>
<td>All kiln systems, clinker coolers, mills (raw material, cement, coal mills)</td>
<td>$&lt;5$</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$&lt;5$</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$&lt;10$</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid filters</td>
<td>All kiln systems, clinker coolers, cement mills</td>
<td>$&lt;10 – 20$</td>
<td>0.02 – 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse dust abatement</td>
<td>All plants</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) For kiln systems normally referring to daily averages, dry gas, 273 K, 101.3 kPa and 10 % $\text{O}_2$
2) kg/tonne clinker: based on 2300 m$^3$/tonne clinker
3) Cost for reducing the emissions to 10 – 30 mg/Nm$^3$, normally referring to a kiln capacity of 3000 tonne clinker per day and initial emissions of up to 500 g dust/Nm$^3$
4) Depends on the filter medium used, see Table 1.32, by separation efficiencies of higher than 99.9 %
5) Emissions data can be found in the corresponding paragraph of this section

Table 1.31: Overview of measures/techniques for controlling dust in the cement production process
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Further examples of system types of ESPs and fabric filters along with the amount of filter dust and dust extraction are shown in Section 1.3.4.1.1 and Table 1.24. These values represent typical ranges and the overview also allows an estimation of filter dust and dust extraction where applicable [76, Germany, 2006].

1.4.4.3.1 Electrostatic precipitators (ESPs)

Description and achieved environmental benefits

Electrostatic precipitators (ESPs) generate an electrostatic field across the path of particulate matter in the air stream. The particles become negatively charged and migrate towards positively charged collection plates. The collection plates are rapped or vibrated periodically, dislodging the material so that it falls into collection hoppers below. It is important that ESP rapping cycles are optimised to minimise particulate re-entrainment and thereby minimise the potential to affect plume visibility. ESPs are characterised by their ability to operate under conditions of high temperatures (up to approximately 400 °C) and high humidity.

The performance of an ESP is dependent on a variety of operational parameters, such as:

- moisture content of the gas
- flue-gas chemistry
- flue-gas flowrate
- particle size distribution and chemical make-up
- particulate electrical resistivity
- particulate loading rate
- gas temperature
- start and stop operation
- strength of the electric field
- area and shape of the electrodes
- SO₂ concentration
- moisture content
- transition phases of different operating conditions.

In particular, performance can be impaired by the build-up of material forming an insulating layer on the electrodes and so reducing the electric field. This can happen if there are high chlorine and sulphur inputs to the kiln process, forming alkali metal chlorides and sulphates. The alkali metal chlorides can form sub-micron dust (0.1 – 1 µm) and have high specific dust resistivity (of between $10^{12} – 10^{13}$ Ω/cm) forming insulating layers on the electrodes and so leading to problems in dust removal.

Problems of high dust resistivity can partly be solved by water injection in evaporation coolers. Another way of solving the problem is to use fabric filters.

Cross-media effects

Alkali metal chlorides and sulphates with sub-micron dust particles can be formed. Explosion risks arise at high CO concentrations (see Section 1.4.5.3). Electricity energy consumption increases with increased removal efficiency.

When maintenance is carried out, additional waste may occur. Dust can be re-used.

Operational data

Sufficiently dimensioned ESPs, together with good air conditioning and an optimised ESP cleaning regime, can reduce levels down to 5 – 15 mg/Nm³ as the monthly average (dry gas, 273 K, 10 % O₂). The designed collection efficiency of the particulate is higher than 99.99 %, and therefore emissions of only a few mg/Nm³ can be achieved. ESPs are very efficient devices for collecting ultrafine particles (<0.5 µm), providing the particles have the ability to agglomerate. ESPs are of a heavy-duty design leading to high applicability and also relatively
insensitive to disturbances in the process. Existing ESP installations can often be upgraded without the need for total replacement thereby limiting costs. This may be done by fitting more modern electrodes or installing automatic voltage control on older installations. In addition, it may be possible to improve the gas passage through the ESP or add supplementary stages. In 2006, many well designed and well maintained ESPs achieved dust emission levels of <10 mg/Nm³ (daily average value). An ESP with emissions of less than 10 mg/Nm³ can be built with the application of the most modern process control, optimal high voltage supplies, proper sizing and an appropriate number of electrical fields.

Besides dust, the ESP also removes substances that adsorb to the dust particles, such as dioxins and metals if present.

The size and electric power consumption of ESPs rise exponentially with decreasing clean gas dust content. An ESP depends on defined raw gas conditions, such as temperature and humidity, for optimum operation. The lifetime of an ESP can be several decades, providing all recommended maintenance is properly carried out. Some parts, such as hammers and bearings, need regular replacement after a few years of operation as part of routine maintenance.

**Applicability**

Because of their high efficiency, low pressure loss, high availability and energy efficiency, electrostatic precipitators became successfully established for dust collection from rotary kiln exhaust gas and clinker cooler exhaust gas. The ESP can be used in almost every cement kiln application for the collection of dust from kiln exhaust gas, bypass gas dust or the exit air from grate coolers.

The available literature indicates that there are no restrictions on the applicability of ESPs to the various types of processes used in the cement industry. ESPs are, however, not often chosen for cement mill dedusting because of the investment costs and the efficiency (relatively high emissions) during start-ups and shutdowns.

ESP's are characterised by their ability to operate under conditions of high temperatures (up to approximately 400 °C) and high humidity. The major disadvantages of this measure/technique are their decreased efficiency with an insulating layer and a build-up of material that may be generated with high chlorine and sulphur inputs. For the overall performance of ESPs, it is important to avoid CO trips (see Sections 1.2.5.6.1, 1.4.5.3 and 4.2.6).

In some cases, ESPs will have to be shut down during elevated CO levels in the flue-gases for safety reasons (CO trips) (see also Sections 1.3.4.1.1, 1.4.3.1, 1.4.5.3 and 4.2.6).

**Economics**

In 2000, the investment cost for a new ESP for a kiln with a capacity of 3000 tonne clinker/day, initial emission levels of up to 500 g/Nm³ and a clean gas dust content of 10 – 50 mg/Nm³ was about EUR 1.5 – 3.8 million, and can be an extra EUR 0.6 – 0.8 million for the conditioning tower and filter fan if required. The operating cost for the same kiln ESP was about EUR 0.1 – 0.2 per tonne of clinker. For a clinker cooler for a kiln capacity of 3000 tonne clinker/day, initial emission levels of up to 20 g/Nm³ and a clean gas dust content of 10 – 50 mg/Nm³, and a cement ball mill with a capacity of 160 tonne cement/hour, initial emission levels of up to 300 g/Nm³ and a clean gas dust content of 10 – 50 mg/Nm³, the investment cost for an ESP was about EUR 0.8 – 1.2 million and the operating cost was EUR 0.09 – 0.18 per tonne of clinker.

In 2006, the investment costs were reported to be in a range from EUR 4.5 million to 6 million for dedusting the kiln exhaust gas (kiln: 3000 t/d). The wide range depends on local manufacturing costs, erection costs (which can vary significantly) and the size of the kiln and the ESP (which is a function of the efficiency). Operational and maintenance costs are normally low. The difference depends, to a great extent, on the local evaluation of the power consumption and maintenance costs (see also Section 1.3.4.1.1, Table 1.24) [76, Germany, 2006].
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See also Section 1.4.8.1 and Table 1.39 where example cost data for dust abatement techniques are shown.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.
Health and safety requirements for the workplace.
Local conditions.

Example plants and reference literature
Cement plants in the EU-27.
[3, Austria, 1997], [9, CEMBUREAU, 1997 November], [10, Cementa AB/Hagström, 1994],
[12, Netherlands, 1997], [27, University of Karlsruhe, 1996], [76, Germany, 2006], [86,
EURITS, 2006], [103, CEMBUREAU, 2006] [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]

1.4.4.3.2 Fabric filters

Description and achieved environmental benefits
Fabric filters are efficient dust collectors. The basic principle of fabric filtration is to use a fabric membrane which is permeable to gas but which will retain the dust. Basically, the filter medium is arranged geometrically; however, a distinction is made between cylindrical filter bags (vertically hanging) as shown in Figure 1.60 and filter pockets that are usually installed horizontally. Initially, dust is deposited both on the surface fibres and within the depth of the fabric, but as the surface layer builds up the dust itself becomes the dominating filter medium. Off-gas can flow either from the inside of the bag outwards or vice versa. As the dust cake thickens, the resistance to gas flow increases. Periodic cleaning of the filter medium is therefore necessary to control the gas pressure drop across the filter. Depending on the type of cleaning, the most common cleaning methods include compressed air pulsing (pulse jet filters) reverse airflow, mechanical rapping or shaking and vibration. The fabric filter should have multiple compartments which can be individually isolated in case of bag failure and there should be sufficient of these to allow adequate performance to be maintained if a compartment is taken off line. There should be ‘burst bag detectors’ in each compartment to indicate the need for maintenance when this happens.

Filter bags are available in a range of woven and non-woven fabrics. High temperature applications will result in the need for more exotic fabric types than are ‘normally’ supplied. However, a good range of these is available. Modern synthetic fabrics can operate in quite high temperatures of up to 280 °C. The key characteristics of different fabric filters along with costs schedules are shown in Table 1.32.

Figure 1.60: Example of a fabric filter and filter material used in a cement plant in the UK
[82, CEMEX Rugby UK, 2006]
Cross-media effects
Increased electrical energy use with increased removal efficiency.
Increased compressed air for the cleaning cycle.
When maintenance is carried out, additional waste may occur.
By using sintered lamella filters, noise emissions may occur.

Operational data
Modern synthetic fabrics include materials which can operate in quite high temperatures of up to 280 °C. Typical values of filter rating are between 0.5 and 2.0 m/min. The separation efficiency can be higher than 99.9 %, and therefore emissions of less than 5 mg/Nm³ can be achieved by well designed and well maintained fabric filters (dry gas, 273 K, 10 % O₂). Besides dust, the fabric filter also removes substances that adsorb to the dust particles, such as dioxins and metals if present.

The key characteristics of different fabric filters along with costs schedules are shown in Table 1.32:
### Technical application of fibres in cement manufacturing processes and cement plants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of fibres (no brand nomination)</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Resistance to acids</th>
<th>Resistance to alkalis (most)</th>
<th>Hydrolysis/hot moisture</th>
<th>Oxidation/oxygen &gt;13 %</th>
<th>Abrasion resistance for cement plant application</th>
<th>Filtration efficiency without surface treatment</th>
<th>Chemical or mechanical surface treatment to improve filtration and economics</th>
<th>Relative costs per area unit installed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nominal</td>
<td>Peak</td>
<td>Resistance can be changed due to temperatures and combination constituencies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotton</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>Not needed for applications</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polypropylene (PP)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not needed for applications</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wool</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Non-suitable</td>
<td>Non-suitable</td>
<td>Not suitable</td>
<td>Non-suitable</td>
<td>Non-suitable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyester (PES)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not needed for applications</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyacrylonitrile copolymer (PAN)</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyacrylonitrile homopolymer &gt;95 %</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nylon</td>
<td>205</td>
<td></td>
<td>Poor to fair</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aramide (meta)/aromatic polyamide (AR/PA)</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyvinylenesulphide (PPS)</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyimide (PI)</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass fibre woven (GF)</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>Good, except HF</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass fibre with ePTFE membrane (expanded) (GF+Mem.)</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Superior</td>
<td>Included due to membrane</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE)</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>Superior</td>
<td>Superior</td>
<td>Superior</td>
<td>Superior</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Very high</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table shows average costs for medium to large scale purchasing, typical for cement plants. Costs will vary due to energy and filter medium.

**Costs schedule**
- Low: up to EUR 10 per m² filter cloth, ex-works, packed, not installed
- Medium: from EUR 10 to 20 per m² filter cloth, ex-works, packed, not installed
- High: from EUR 20 to 40 per m² filter cloth, ex-works, packed, not installed
- Very high: above EUR 40 per m² filter cloth, ex-works, packed, not installed

**Table 1.32:** Key characteristics of different filter media and costs schedules
- [86, EURITS, 2006], [81, Castle Cement UK, 2006] [134, CEMBUREAU/Federhen, 2007]
Applicability
This measure/technique can, in principle, be carried out in the cement industry and can be used in almost every cement kiln application for the collection of dust from kiln exhaust gas, bypass gas dust or the exhaust air from grate coolers. The available literature indicates that there are no restrictions on the applicability of fabric filters to the various types of processes used in the cement industry. However, moisture and temperature have to be considered.

The performance of fabric filters is mainly influenced by different parameters, such as compatibility of the filter medium with the characteristics of the flue-gas and the dust, suitable properties for thermal, physical and chemical resistance, such as hydrolysis, acid, alkali, and oxidation and process temperature. Important characteristics of a filter are the size of the filtering surface, the separation efficiency and the resistance to filtration, the so-called ‘filter differential pressure’. This value depends on the properties of the filter medium and dust. The basic parameter for the design of a filter is the volume flow. Therefore, the filter rating has to be determined, which depends on the type, the amount and the properties of the dust and the gas.

Service life, energy and maintenance requirements of fabric filters are influenced by thermal and mechanical stress. Gas flowrate, dust cake thickness, porosity and cleaning cycle can affect the removal efficiency. The improvements, such as reduced pressure drop across the medium, quick detection of potential leaks with continuous monitoring and by detectors, and cleaning systems, result in longer filter life and lower costs. Furthermore, cleaning cycles and cleaning methods of the filter material can affect the efficiency of the cleaning system. Tests show that, e.g. by using a low pressure pulse jet cleaning system the efficiency can be increased while at the same time minimising the power consumption and having great advantages with respect to noise emissions. This system can be used for dedusting exhaust gas from rotary kilns, but also for dedusting the alkali bypass, clinker cooler, mills and classifiers.

In combination with fabric filters, cyclones are applicable to clinker cooler systems. In a cyclone/centrifugal separator, the dust particles to be eliminated from an off-gas stream are forced out against the outer wall of the unit by centrifugal action and then eliminated through an aperture at the bottom of the unit. Centrifugal forces can be developed by directing the gas flow in a downward spiral motion through a cylindrical vessel (cyclonic separators) or by a rotating impeller fitted in the unit (mechanical centrifugal separators). In the cement industry, cyclones are combined with an air heat exchanger for temperature reduction and a fabric filter system (baghouse filter) for dust removal from, e.g. cooling exhaust gases. A cyclone can reduce the dust concentration by 70 %. In combination with an air heat exchanger and a baghouse filter, high cleaning efficiencies of up to 99.99 % are achievable along with low emission values of 5 – 7 mg/Nm³. However, sufficient space for construction is necessary because of the great dimensions of the cyclone (e.g. 25 m length, 6.4 m height, 6.4 m diameter) and the combined heat exchanger. Furthermore, additional electrical energy is used while collection and recovery of separated dust may lead to reduced raw material consumption [148, Reitemeier, 2005].

Sintered lamella filters are sometimes used as filter media; however, not for cement kiln applications. Practical experience has shown that because of their fully sintered and compact form and the special PTFE coating, the sintered lamellae are very robust with a low maintenance requirement. The main advantages of this modern filter medium are a very high dust cleaning efficiency from off-gases in combination with a low pressure drops as well as a high resistance to abrasive wear. The filter geometry ensures a very compact structure and the filter can be installed under very constricted conditions. Noise emissions can be minimised by using a compact noise protection hood [144, Adlhoch, 1996].

Economics
The investment required to fit a new fabric filter to a kiln with a capacity of 3000 tonne clinker/day, with an initial emission level of up to 500 g/Nm³ and a clean gas dust content of 10 - 50 mg/Nm³ is about EUR 1.5 million – 6 million, and an extra EUR 0.6 million – 0.8 million are needed for the conditioning tower and filter fan if required. For separation efficiencies of higher than 99.9 % for dedusting the kiln exhaust gases, the investment costs for
fabric filter plants (baghouse filters) can range between EUR 4 million to 8 million depending on the type and the number of filter bags used. Maintenance may appear to be low at costs of up to EUR 10 per Nm$^2$ for a filter bag as shown in Table 1.32. However, kiln exhaust filters can contain many thousands of bags and so a very large filter cloth area corresponds to many thousand Nm$^2$. Operational and maintenance costs depend, to a great extent, on the local evaluation of the power consumption and maintenance costs (see also Section 1.3.4.1.1 and Table 1.24).

Conditioning towers are generally applied to achieve applicable temperatures for fabric filter operations. The operating costs for the same kiln fabric filter are about EUR 0.10 – 0.35 per tonne clinker. A pulse jet fabric filter with an air to air heat exchanger and a filter fan for a grate clinker cooler for a kiln capacity of 3000 tonne clinker/day, with an initial emission level of up to 20 g/Nm$^3$ and a clean gas dust content of 10 – 50 mg/Nm$^3$ costs about EUR 1.0 million – 1.4 million and the operating cost is about EUR 0.10 – 0.15 per tonne clinker. For a cement ball mill with a capacity of 160 tonne cement/hour, and an initial emission level of up to 300 g/Nm$^3$ and clean gas dust content of 10 – 50 mg/Nm$^3$, the investment cost for a pulse jet fabric filter is about EUR 0.3 million – 0.5 million including a filter fan, and the operating cost is EUR 0.03 – 0.04 per tonne clinker [9, CEMBUREAU, 1997 November].

To optimise operating costs, different cement plants have installed optimised pressure pulse jet cleaning systems. The filter area loading, the filter differential pressure and the cleaning system are the three main important factors affecting the cost reduction for fabric filters. Because of the mutual interaction of these parameters, the aim is to achieve the highest possible air:cloth ratio, the lowest possible differential pressure and the lowest possible cleaning pressure. Total cost reductions (investment and operating costs) of between 12 and 25 % have been reported.

See also Table 1.32 above where the key characteristics for different fabric filters along with costs schedules are shown. Furthermore, see also Section 1.4.8.1 and Table 1.39 where example cost data for dust abatement techniques are shown.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.
Local conditions.
Health and safety requirements for the workplace.

Example plants and reference literature
Cement plants in the EU-27; cement plant Dudfield, South Africa (use of cyclone in combination with an air-air heat exchanger and fabric filter plants (baghouse filter), cement plant in Germany (use of sintered lamella filters).
1.4.4.3.3 Hybrid filters

Description and achieved environmental benefits
Hybrid filters are the combination of ESPs and fabric filters in the same device. They generally result from the conversion of existing ESPs. They allow the partial re-use of the old equipment. The principle of a hybrid filter is shown in Figure 1.61.

![Figure 1.61: Hybrid filter for dust collection](image)

Cross-media effects
Explosion risks arise in cases of high CO concentrations (CO trips). When maintenance is carried out, additional waste may occur. Reduced water use compared to ESPs. Reduced amount of process losses/waste compared to fabric filters.

Operational data
Dust emissions figures from hybrid filters installed on kilns are reported to range from <10 to 20 mg/Nm³ as a daily average value (see Section 1.3.4.1.1). However, dust emissions of less than 10 mg/Nm³ are achieved by well maintained fabric filters (see Section 1.4.4.3.2).

Applicability
The measures/techniques are, in principle, applicable in the cement industry.

Economics
See also Section 1.4.8.1 and Table 1.39 where example cost data for dust abatement measures/techniques are shown.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.
Local conditions.

Example plants and reference literature
1.4.5 Gaseous compounds

1.4.5.1 Reduction of NO\textsubscript{x} emissions

For the reduction or controlling of NO\textsubscript{x} emissions, one or a combination of primary and/or process-integrated and secondary measures/techniques are considered suitable. Primary measures/techniques are:

- flame cooling, e.g. high water content, liquid/solid wastes
- low NO\textsubscript{x} burners
- mid kiln firing
- addition of mineralisers to improve the burnability of the raw meal (mineralised clinker)
- staged combustion (conventional or waste fuels) also in combination with precalciner and the use of optimised fuel mix
- process optimisation.

Furthermore, secondary measures/techniques can be used for NO\textsubscript{x} reduction, such as:

- SNCR technique and high efficiency SNCR technique
- SCR technique.

For environmental/safety and economic reasons, the NO\textsubscript{x} reduction process should preferably start with the implementation of general primary measures/techniques, such as process-integrated and control measures/techniques, staged combustion and flame cooling, improved firing technique, optimised cooler connections and fuel selection and these also reduce NO\textsubscript{x} emissions (e.g. use of selected waste). Some well optimised preheater kiln systems and preheater/precalciner kiln systems are achieving less than 500 mg NO\textsubscript{x}/Nm\textsuperscript{3} with either primary measures/techniques only or combined with staged combustion as well as with a combination of fuel mix use and process-integrated measures/techniques. Raw material qualities, e.g. burnability of the raw mixes as well as fuel mixes and kiln system designs, are among the reasons for not being able to achieve this level. However, in many cement plants in the EU-27 (42 % in 2008), the SNCR technique is used in combination with primary measures/techniques for NO\textsubscript{x} reduction, as shown in Table 1.33 [76, Germany, 2006], [85, CEMBUREAU, 2006]. Furthermore, two cement plants use the SCR technique (high dust).
Table 1.33 presents the number of full scale installations known in the EU-27 and the EU-23+ countries (see Glossary) using abatement measures/techniques to reduce NOx emissions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Flame cooling</th>
<th>Mineralised clinker</th>
<th>Staged combustion</th>
<th>SNCR</th>
<th>SCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Belgium BE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria BG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic CZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark DK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany DE</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia EE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece EL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain ES</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3 + 5 (pilot phase)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France FR</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14 + 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland IE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy IT</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus CY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia LV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania LT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg LU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary HU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta MT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands NL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria AT</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland PL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal PT</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania RO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia SI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia SK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland FI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden SE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom UK</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland CH</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey TR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Reported to be in operation but reporting still lacking
2) One plant has been in operation since the middle of 2006, second plant since 2007
3) Scheduled for 2008
4) Put into operation in 2007
5) Scheduled for commissioning in 2007
6) Scheduled for 2008

Table 1.33: Measures/techniques for reducing NOx emissions used in the cement industries in the EU-27 and 0EU-23+ countries

[85, CEMBUREAU, 2006]
Table 1.34 gives an overview of the measures/techniques that have a positive effect on (but not necessarily cumulative), i.e. to reduce, the emissions of NOₓ arising during the manufacture of cement. This table is a summary of operational data which are available within the text of this section and should be read in conjunction with the corresponding paragraphs in the following sections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure/technique</th>
<th>Kiln systems applicability</th>
<th>Reduction efficiency (%)</th>
<th>Emissions data ¹</th>
<th>Cost data ³</th>
<th>Investment (EUR million)</th>
<th>Operating EUR/t clinker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flame cooling⁵</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>0 – 35</td>
<td>Primary reduced to &lt;500 – 1000⁹)</td>
<td>1.15 – 2.3</td>
<td>Up to 0.2</td>
<td>Up to 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low NOₓ burner</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>0 – 35</td>
<td>500 – 1000</td>
<td>1.15 – 2.3</td>
<td>Up to 0.45</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary measures/techniques EGTEI 2003⁴)</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1400 reduced to 1050</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-kiln firing</td>
<td>Long</td>
<td>20 – 40</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.8 – 1.7</td>
<td>No information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineralised clinker</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>10 – 15</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Information</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staged combustion</td>
<td>Preheater</td>
<td>10 – 50</td>
<td>&lt;450 – 1000⁹)</td>
<td>1.04 – 2.3</td>
<td>0.1 – 2</td>
<td>No information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Precaliner</td>
<td>10 – 50</td>
<td>&lt;200⁸)</td>
<td>1 – 4</td>
<td>No information</td>
<td>No information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNCR ⁴)⁵)⁶)¹²)</td>
<td>Preheater and precalciner</td>
<td>30 to 90¹⁰)</td>
<td>&lt;200⁴)</td>
<td>0.4 – 1.15</td>
<td>0.5 – 1.2</td>
<td>0.1 – 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grate preheater</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>&lt;500⁵)⁷)</td>
<td>1.15 – 1.84</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCR ⁷)</td>
<td>Possibly all, preheater and precalciner</td>
<td>43¹³) – 95</td>
<td>&lt;200⁸)</td>
<td>0.23 – 1.15</td>
<td>2.2 – 4.5</td>
<td>0.33 – 3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹) Normally refers to daily averages, dry gas, 273 K, 101.3 kPa and 10 % O₂
²) kg/tonne clinker: based on 2300 m³/tonne clinker
³) Normally refers to a kiln capacity of 3000 tonne clinker/day and initial emissions of up to 2000 mg NOₓ/Nm³
⁴) EGTEI costs estimation for a kiln capacity of 1100 t/d in 2000
⁵) Experiment in France in co-operation with the Environment Ministry, ADEME and ATILH in 2000 (issue 2003)
⁶) CEMBUREAU contribution on NOₓ abatement 2006, yearly average values, see Section 1.3.4.2 and Figure 1.29
⁷) Germany and Italy, see also Table 1.35 and Table 4.26, cost data based on a kiln capacity of 1500 m³/tonne clinker
⁸) Pilot test results from Germany, Italy and Sweden and test results in 2007 (200 mg/Nm³) from an Italian cement plant using SCR; in 1997, two suppliers in Europe offered full scale SCR to the cement industry with guaranteed performance levels of 100 – 200 mg/Nm³
⁹) Test results from French cement plant (project 10), precalciner kiln, initial NOₓ level 1000 mg/Nm³; achieved NOₓ level of 800 mg/Nm³
¹⁰) Swedish cement plants, yearly average value, initial NOₓ level 800 – 1000 mg/Nm³, ammonia slip 5 – 20 mg/Nm³ (see Section 4.2.4.1), high efficiency SNCR, the ammonia slip has to be considered
¹¹) Germany: 200 – 350 mg/Nm³ as daily average value, the ammonia slip has to be considered
¹²) The lower NOₓ emissions range may result in higher NH₃ emissions (ammonia slip), depending on the NOₓ level in the raw gas stream
¹³) Results from pilot test and long term operation of the demonstration plant, see Table 1.35
¹⁴) In combination with process integrated measures/techniques; initial NOₓ level 1200 mg/Nm³; the French Cement Industry Guide to NOₓ emissions reduction measures/techniques, France/ADEME/MEDD
¹⁵) Emissions data can be found in the corresponding paragraph of this section
¹⁶) [92, Austria, 2006], [185, Hackl und Mauschitz, 2003], see also BAT 17

Table 1.34: Measures/techniques for reducing NOₓ emissions occurring in cement manufacturing processes

[12, Netherlands, 1997], [76, Germany, 2006], [85, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [92, Austria, 2006], [101, France/ADEME/MEDD, 2002], [114, Sweden, 2006], [140, Italy, 2007], [141, Leibacher/Bellin/Linero, 2007], [173, Germany, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008], [185, Hackl und Mauschitz, 2003]
1.4.5.1.1 Flame cooling

Description
The addition of water to the fuel or directly to the flame by using different injection methods, as shown in Figure 1.62, such as injection of one fluid (liquid) or two fluids (liquid and compressed air or solids) or the use of liquid/solid wastes with a high water content reduces the temperature and increases the concentration of hydroxyl radicals. This can have a positive effect on NOx reduction in the burning zone.

Figure 1.62: Injection methods used for flame cooling in cement manufacture in EU-23+ countries
[85, CEMBUREAU, 2006]

Achieved environmental benefits
Reduction of NOx in the burning zone.
Reduction of NOx emissions.

Cross-media effects
Additional heat may be required to evaporate the water, which causes slightly more CO2 emissions (approximately 0.1 – 1.5 %) compared to the total CO2 emissions of the kiln.
Energy efficiency of the kiln firing process decreases.
Water injection can cause kiln operation problems, such as reduced clinker output and may affect the clinker quality.

Operational data
Reduction rates/efficiencies from 10 – 35 % have been reported. Emissions ranges of <500 – 1000 mg/Nm³ were reported (yearly average values) (see Section 1.3.4.2, Figure 1.27 and Figure 1.28).

Applicability
Flame cooling can be applied for all types of kilns used for cement manufacturing. As shown in Figure 1.63, nearly 40 suspension preheater kilns are equipped with flame cooling.
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Figure 1.63: Installation characteristics for flame cooling application in EU-23+ countries
[85, CEMBUREAU, 2006]

Economics
For a kiln capacity of 3000 t/d the investment costs are estimated to be up to EUR 0.2 million and the operating costs up to EUR 0.25 per tonne clinker. Furthermore as shown in Table 1.34, for a reduction efficiency of NO\textsubscript{x} emissions of up to 35\%, investment costs of up to EUR 0.2 million and operating costs of up to EUR 0.5/t clinker were reported.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.
Local conditions.

Example plants and reference literature
Cement plants in the EU-27
[8, CEMBUREAU, 2001], [9, CEMBUREAU, 1997 November], [76, Germany, 2006], [85, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]

1.4.5.1.2 Low NO\textsubscript{x} burners

Description and achieved environmental benefits
Designs of low NO\textsubscript{x} burners (indirect firing) vary in detail but essentially the fuel and air are injected into the kiln through concentric tubes. The primary air proportion is reduced to some 6 – 10\% of that required for stoichiometric combustion (typically 10 – 15\% in traditional burners). Axial air is injected at high momentum in the outer channel. The coal may be blown through the centre pipe or the middle channel. A third channel is used for swirl air, its swirl being induced by vanes at, or behind, the outlet of the firing pipe.

The net effect of this burner design is to produce very early ignition, especially of the volatile compounds in the fuel, in an oxygen-deficient atmosphere, and this will tend to reduce the formation of NO\textsubscript{x}.

Cross-media effects
No issues.
Operational data
NOₓ reductions of up to 35 % are achievable in successful installations and emission levels of around 500 – 1000 mg/Nm³ (daily average value) have been reported.

Applicability
Low NOₓ burners can be applied to all rotary kilns, in the main kiln as well as in the precalciner. However, the application of low NOₓ burners is not always followed by a reduction of NOₓ emissions.

The set-up of the burner has to be optimised. If the initial burner runs on a low percentage of primary air, a low NOₓ burner will have a marginal effect.

Economics
The investment cost for a new low NOₓ burner is about EUR 150000 to 450000 for a kiln capacity of 3000 tonne clinker/day. However, by replacing a burner by a low NOₓ burner, investment costs can rise up to EUR 600000 and additional work is required for modifying fine coal storage and metering. If the existing firing system uses direct firing, it should be changed to an indirect firing system to allow combustion with a low primary airflow; this will mean an additional investment cost of about EUR 600000 to 800000 for a kiln capacity of 3000 tonne clinker/day.

See also Table 1.34 and Table 1.40 (Section 1.4.8.2) where example costs are shown.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.
Local conditions.

Example plants and reference literature
Cement plants in the EU-27.
[9, CEMBUREAU, 1997 November], [12, Netherlands, 1997], [20, Rother, 1996 January,] [76, Germany, 2006], [85, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [101, France/ADEME/MEDD, 2002], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]

1.4.5.1.3 Staged combustion

Description and achieved environmental benefits
Staged combustion is applied at cement kilns with an especially designed precalciner. The first combustion stage takes place in the rotary kiln under optimum conditions for the clinker burning process. The second combustion stage is a burner at the kiln inlet, which produces a reducing atmosphere that decomposes a portion of the nitrogen oxides generated in the sintering zone. The high temperature in this zone is particularly favourable for the reaction which reconverts the NOₓ to elementary nitrogen. In the third combustion stage, the calcining fuel is fed into the calciner with an amount of tertiary air, producing a reducing atmosphere there, too. This system reduces the generation of NOₓ from the fuel, and also decreases the NOₓ coming out of the kiln. In the fourth and final combustion stage, the remaining tertiary air is fed into the system as ‘top air’ for residual combustion.

Calciners differ from one another essentially in the location of the fuel input, the way in which the fuel, kiln feed and tertiary air are distributed, and the geometric configuration.

Staged firing technique can, in general, only be used with kilns equipped with a precalciner. Substantial plant modifications are necessary in cyclone preheater systems without a precalciner. If this cannot be combined with an increase in production capacity, the manufacturers offer a solution with so-called ‘small’ tertiary air ducting and a calciner. In this case, only a small proportion of about 10 – 25 % of the total heat needed from the kiln is passed through the calciner, but this is sufficient to produce a reducing zone for decomposing nitrogen
oxides. On the other hand, experiences from a kiln with 10 % firing in the kiln inlet shows that this is not always sufficient to produce a sufficient reducing zone.

Lump waste fuel (for example tyres) firing is a possible variant of the staged combustion technique as a reducing zone is created when the lump fuel is burned. In preheater/precalciner kilns, the lump fuel can be introduced at the kiln inlet or at the precalciner. Lump fuel firing is reported to have a positive effect on NO\textsubscript{x} reduction (up to 20 – 30 % reduction) [76, Germany, 2006]. However, it is very difficult to produce a controlled reduction atmosphere with lump fuel firing [9, CEMBUREAU, 1997 November].

**Cross-media effects**

Emissions of CO and SO\textsubscript{2} can increase if the combustion process is not completed in the precalciner and problems with CO and clogging have been reported when attempting high efficiencies.

**Operational data**

Some modern well optimised plants achieve emission levels of below 450 mg NO\textsubscript{x}/Nm\textsuperscript{3} (daily average value) with multistage combustion and by using an appropriate fuel mix, such as highly reactive fuels, whereas with low reactive fuels, 800 – 1000 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} (daily average value) might be achieved, as also shown from experience in Spain. Similar NO\textsubscript{x} reduction has been reported when using secondary fuels.

During tests in France in 2002 (e.g. precalciner operating on staged combustion by using 100 % sulphurated petcoke as fuel), a NO\textsubscript{x} reduction of about 200 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} was achieved with initial NO\textsubscript{x} levels of 1000 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}. However, this was only obtained during short periods of the tests and by using an optimal raw meal for burnability. More extreme operating conditions may allow lower NO\textsubscript{x} emission levels to be achieved. However, often these operating conditions lead to operational problems like increasing build-ups in kilns and calciners. An increase of the CO emissions is only observed in very few plants designed with relatively short residence times or where combustion is not properly optimised.

In some cases, possible reductions in NO\textsubscript{x} by up to 50 % were specified by the suppliers of the different staged firing systems. However, it is difficult to maintain the guaranteed values for this level of NO\textsubscript{x} abatement while at the same time limiting the CO emissions.

**Applicability**

Staged firing technique can, in general, only be used with kilns equipped with a precalciner. Substantial plant modifications are necessary in cyclone preheater systems without a precalciner.

*Precalcer kilns* allow independent settings of the oxidation/reducing levels in the cement kiln and in the precalciner kiln. Injection of parts of the fuel can lead to decreased NO\textsubscript{x} emissions.

Adding fuel to the grate of the *Lepol kiln* can lead to a significant NO\textsubscript{x} reduction, but may develop/increase other emissions.

*Cyclone preheater kilns without a precalciner* allow a reduction of NO\textsubscript{x} emissions; however, emissions of SO\textsubscript{2} and VOC may increase because of the local reducing zone. These emissions should be continuously monitored.

In the UK, a *long kiln with mid-kiln staged combustion* has been in operation for several years.
Economics
The investment costs for installing staged combustion at a precalciner kiln are EUR 0.1 million to 2.0 million, depending on the design of the existing calciner. The investment costs for a precalciner and the tertiary duct for a 3000 tonne/day preheater kiln with a grate cooler into a precalciner kiln are about EUR 1 million to 4 million. The investment costs for the transformation of a 3000 tonne/day preheater kiln with a satellite cooler into a precalciner kiln with a grate cooler are about EUR 15 million to 20 million.

See also Table 1.34 and Table 1.40 (Section 1.4.8.2) where example costs are shown.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.
Local conditions.

Example plants and reference literature
Cement plants in the EU-27.
[9, CEMBUREAU, 1997 November], [12, Netherlands, 1997], [42, Billhardt/Kuhlmann/Ruhland/Schneider/Xeller, 1996 October], [76, Germany, 2006], [85, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [92, Austria, 2006], [101, France/ADEME/MEDD, 2002], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008], [185, Hackl und Mauschitz, 2003]

1.4.5.1.4 Mid-kiln firing

Description and achieved environmental benefits
In long wet and long dry kilns, the creation of a reducing zone by firing lump fuel can reduce NOx emissions. As long kilns usually have no access to a temperature zone of about 900 – 1000 ºC, mid-kiln firing systems have been installed at some plants in order to be able to use waste fuels that cannot pass the main burner (for example tyres) [9, CEMBUREAU, 1997 November].

Cross-media effects
The rate of burning waste fuels can result in the chains being burned out or can have an effect on product quality.

Operational data
A few of these installations exist and NOx reductions of 20 – 40 % have been reported in some cases.

Applicability
Mid-kiln firing can, in principle, be applied to rotary kilns.

The rate of the burning of fuels can be critical. If it is too slow, reducing conditions can occur in the burning zone, which may severely affect product quality. If it is too high, the kiln chain section can be overheated – resulting in the chains being burned out.

Mechanical design considerations mean that the fuel can only be injected intermittently, once per kiln revolution. To maintain continuity of the heat input, solid, slow burning fuels such as tyres or other waste fuels in containers may be used.

A temperature range of less than 1100 ºC excludes the use of hazardous waste with a chlorine content of greater than 1 %.

Economics
Capital costs may be in the region of EUR 0.8 million – 1.7 million for the kiln conversion and fuel handling equipment, and the annual labour and maintenance costs may be of a similar order.
Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.
Local conditions.

Example plants and reference literature
Cement plants in the EU-27.
[9, CEMBUREAU, 1997 November], [21, BCA, 1997 October], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]

1.4.5.1.5 Mineralised clinker

Description and achieved environmental benefits
The addition of mineralisers, such as fluorine, to the raw material is a technique to adjust the clinker quality and allow the sintering zone temperature to be reduced. By reducing/lowering the burning temperature, NO\textsubscript{x} formation is also reduced.

Cross-media effects
Excessive additions of calcium fluoride could lead to an increase in HF emissions.
Reduced energy demand.
Additions could affect the final product quality.

Operational data
The NO\textsubscript{x} reduction might be between 10 and 15 %, but reductions of up to 50 % have been reported.

Applicability
This measure/technique can, in principle, be applied to rotary kilns.

Economics
Depending on the price of the mineralisers.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.
Local conditions.

Example plants and reference literature
Cement plants in the EU-27.
[10, Cementa AB/Hagström, 1994], [103, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]

1.4.5.1.6 Process optimisation (NO\textsubscript{x})

Description and achieved environmental benefits
Optimisation of the process, such as smoothing and optimising the kiln operation and firing conditions, optimising the kiln operation control and/or homogenisation of the fuel feedings, can be applied for reducing NO\textsubscript{x} emissions. General primary optimisation measures/techniques, such as process control measures/techniques, an improved indirect firing technique, optimised cooler connections and fuel selection, and optimised oxygen levels have been applied. Until 2007, progress was observed in the reduction of NO\textsubscript{x} emissions by using process-integrated measures/techniques.

Cross-media effects
Reduced energy demand.
Operational data
NO\textsubscript{x} emissions, by applying process control optimisation measures/techniques, range between 500 and 1000 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}. Some modern well optimised preheater kiln systems and preheater/precalciner kiln systems may achieve NO\textsubscript{x} emission levels of less than 500 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} by applying all process-integrated measures/techniques.

Applicability
The quality of the raw materials (the firing properties of the raw mix), the availability of high volatile fuels and the design of the kiln system are among the reasons for not being able to achieve the level quoted above.

Economics
The aim of process optimisation is to improve costs.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.
Local conditions.

Example plants and reference literature
Cement plants in the EU-27.
[76, Germany, 2006], [101, France/ADEME/MEDD, 2002], [103, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]

1.4.5.1.7 Selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR)

Description and achieved environmental benefits
In 2008, there were about 100 full scale SNCR installations in operation in the EU-27 and EU-23+ countries (see Glossary). Selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR) involves injecting ammonia water (up to 25 % NH\textsubscript{3}), ammonia precursor compounds or urea solution into the combustion gas to reduce NO to N\textsubscript{2}. The reaction has an optimum effect in a temperature window of about 830 to 1050 °C, and sufficient retention time must be provided for the injected agents to react with NO.

Laboratory experiments as well as full scale trials have to be performed to identify the optimised temperature window for urea or ammonia water. The right temperature window can usually be obtained in suspension preheater kilns, precalciner kilns and in Lepol kilns. However, also plants using long rotary kilns have been equipped with SNCR in order to reduce the NO\textsubscript{x} emissions. Figure 1.64 gives an overview of the characteristics of the cement plants where SNCR has been applied.
The most common NH$_2$-X agent is urea up to 32% followed by ammonia water up to 27%, as shown in Figure 1.65 [85, CEMBUREAU, 2006]. Waste solutions from other production processes can be utilised as ammonia carriers as well [76, Germany, 2006]. Other possible reduction agents which can be employed on an industrial scale are ammonia gas, ammonium salt solutions, dried urea (urea pills), urea solutions, nitrolime or cyanamide and similar other substances [20, Rother, 1996 January]. Storage and transport facilities have to be designed according to the physico-chemical properties of the respective agent and can require additional safety measures/techniques. Experience shows that for most applications ammonia water is the best agent for SNCR at preheater and precalciner kiln systems [9, CEMBUREAU, 1997 November]. A favourable good ammonia stoichiometric distribution is important in order to achieve the highest efficiency of NO$_x$ reduction and to reduce the ammonia slip. In order to achieve an optimal utilisation of the injected reduction reagent – ammonia water or urea solution – and to cater for high NO$_x$ reduction efficiency, the following points are to be considered for the SNCR design and operation. These considerations will allow an optimal technical and economic operation of an SNCR system:

- inject the agent in the appropriate temperature window of 830 to 1050 °C; aim to avoid ammonia slip or combustion of ammonia – the latter being a potential source of secondary NO$_x$
- if the temperature window changes – adjust the location of injection nozzles; probably multiple layers of nozzles are appropriate to cover this issue; possibly manufacture a temperature profile of the injection and monitor continuously to cater for such a demand
- adjust the spray angle and penetration depth of the injected aqueous solution by varying:
  - the water content of the solution
  - the pressure level of the atomising air
  - the location of the nozzle and
  - the direction of the injected ammonia
- nozzles in levels temporarily not used – cool ideally with air to avoid heat related wear; nozzles which are only occasionally used, extract to avoid undue air consumption
- make sure that the area of injection is covered properly with the correct amount of ammonia solution to avoid over- and under stoichiometric injection. By doing this, undue ammonia slip or untreated NO bypassing the SNCR can be avoided
- if needed, measure the NO$_x$ level in each cyclone string where applicable (i.e. double riser ducts) and trim injection accordingly
check stoichiometry via mass balance of abated NO\(_x\) to injected ammonia, that no excess ammonia is injected. By doing so, the ammonia slip can be minimised. Furthermore, the nearer the stoichiometry is to one – the better the economics will be.

Figure 1.65 shows the different nitrogen carriers/NH\(_2\)-X agents used for NO\(_x\) reduction by SNCR in several cement plants in EU-23+ countries.

![Figure 1.65: Nitrogen carriers/NH\(_2\)-X agents used by SNCR in EU-23+ countries][85, CEMBUREAU, 2006]

Further development in the use of SNCR technique is necessary if the plant is already equipped with a staged combustion system. Simultaneous use of these techniques requires the temperatures, residence times and gas atmosphere in the reaction section to be adjusted to suit one another. In order to gather experience with simultaneous application of these two abatement techniques, operational trials were carried out at several kiln plants equipped with precalciners. Trials have shown that it is possible, in principle, to combine the two techniques. NO\(_x\) emissions reduction can be achieved by injecting the reducing agent into the oxidising zone as well as into the reducing zone of a staged calciner. The injection into the oxidising zone is favourable as the probability of increased CO emissions is lower as if the injection occurs in the reducing zone. Due to the different calciner techniques, design and control of the SNCR installation has to be adapted and optimised to the respective technique. If the reducing agent is added just before the bottom cyclone stage, the retention time of the reacting agents in the right temperature window is no longer sufficient to achieve a significant NO\(_x\) abatement. Consequently, an increased ammonia slip is likely. On the other hand, several trials showed that when injecting the reducing agent before the mixing chamber of the calciner in an area where the combustion of the calciner fuel is still taking place, an increase in CO emissions can occur.

**High efficiency SNCR**

The high efficiency SNCR technique is a further development of the SNCR technique which is used in cement plants. Under controlled conditions over layers of nozzles (lances), ammonia water (25 % ammonia solution) is injected into the preheater. The arrangement of the lances is determined by the temperature profile in the riser duct. The injection of ammonia water is process controlled by the measured temperature profile in the preheater near the lances. Because of a favourable good ammonia distribution, the NH\(_3\) slip and the consumption of ammonia water can be reduced. This SNCR technique was installed in 1996/97 at two Swedish plants and at least one German plant.

**Ammonia slip**

It is important that ammonia is injected into the kiln system where a temperature range of 830 - 1050 °C exists. If the temperature falls below this level, unconverted ammonia is emitted.
(so-called NH₃ slip) and at significantly higher temperatures the ammonia is oxidised to NOₓ. NH₃ slip may also occur at elevated NH₃:NO₂ molar ratios, i.e. from a molar ratio of about 1.0 - 1.2. In other sectors of industry, NH₃ slippage has sometimes resulted in the formation of aerosols of ammonia salts which has passed through the filter and become visible as a white plume above the exhaust gas stack. Investigations have shown that considerably lower aerosol levels are produced by cement plants. Unused ammonia may be oxidised and transformed into NOₓ and water in the atmosphere and NH₃ slippage may also result in ammonia enriched dust which may not be recycled to the cement mill. The possible NH₃ slip should be taken into account in the design of SNCR installations. The NOₓ reduction rate cannot simply be increased at will, as a higher dosage might cause NH₃ slippage (see also Figure 2.50 in the lime chapter). Correct operation (appropriate control system, optimised injection of NH₃ water) of the SNCR system does not entail any higher ammonia emissions than normal.

Cross-media effects
By using ammonia water solution as the reducing agent, ammonia slip may occur as described above and unconverted ammonia is emitted. Emissions of nitrous oxide (N₂O) may also occur; however, they only play a minor role, as spot tests revealed concentrations of between 1 and 5 mg/Nm³ which is approximately equal to the detection limit. Furthermore, an increase of carbon monoxide (CO) emissions may occur when injecting the reducing agent before the mixing chamber of the calciner in an area where the combustion of the calciner fuel is still taking place. Additional heat for the evaporation of water is balanced with heat released in the exothermic DeNOₓ reaction which causes a small increase in CO₂ emissions. The transport and storage of aqueous ammonia is a potential danger for the environment and requires some additional safety measures/techniques. Consideration is also required as to the impacts associated with the production of ammonia and the transportation of water associated with the dilution of the solution.

By using urea as the reducing agent, the main end-products are ammonia and carbon dioxide. Emissions of nitrous oxide (N₂O) and various concentrations of isocyanic acid (HNCO) and nitric oxide (NO) are reported from urea decomposition. The N₂O level from urea is always significantly higher than from aqueous ammonia. The generation of nitrous oxide from urea varies with the temperature. Studies show that high NOₓ reduction and maximum N₂O generation have the same temperature window. Most of the isocyanic acid will be hydrolysed to ammonia and carbon dioxide; however, isocyanic acid slip from SNCR installations is reported. Furthermore, one study shows that in addition to nitrous oxide (N₂O) and isocyanic acid (HNCO), injected urea will also generate cyanic ions (NCO). This product is not formed when ammonia is used under SNCR conditions. It also has to be noted that the use of urea will generate higher emissions of carbon monoxide (CO) than the use of ammonia.

Operational data
Most SNCR installations operating in 2006 were designed and/or operated for NOₓ reduction rates of 30 – >50 % (with NH₃:NO₂ molar ratios of 0.5 – 0.9) and NOₓ emission levels of between <350 – 800 mg/Nm³ (daily average values), depending on the initial NOₓ level. Installations designed and/or operated for higher reduction rates will do better as described below (high efficiency SNCR). Two plants with SNCR installations delivered by two different suppliers, which both guaranteed 80 % reduction, are achieving reduction rates of 80 – 90 % (with an initial NOₓ level of between 800 – 1100 mg/Nm³) which corresponds to NOₓ emissions of less than 200 mg/Nm³, measured as the yearly average value (see Section 4.2.4). Furthermore, NOₓ emissions of 190 mg/Nm³ (yearly average value) were reported from a Swedish plant in 2006.

In combination with process-integrated measures/techniques and with highly efficient SNCR installations operating at reduction rates of 80 – 90 %, daily average concentrations of less than 500 mg/Nm³ are achievable, also when initial levels are above 2000 mg/Nm³ [43, Wulf-Schnabel/Lohse, 1998].
High efficiency SNCR and ammonia slip

High efficiency SNCR was installed in 1996/97 at two Swedish plants and at least one German plant. These Swedish plants (altogether with three kilns) use dry process cyclone preheater/precalciner kilns. A reduction of >80 % has been achieved when applying a NH$_3$:NO molar ratio of 1.0 – 1.2 and so far an increase of up to 20 mg/Nm$^3$ as the daily average of NH$_3$ has been measured. No significant increases in N$_2$O and CO emissions have been measured and no traces of any NH$_3$ have been found in the cement. In 2001 at one plant, the initial molar ratio was found to be 1.0 – 1.2 (mol NH$_3$ to present mol of NO$_x$) which was computed to 1.2 to 1.4 for removed NO$_x$ with an average removal efficiency of 80 % while at the second plant the ammonia ratio was in the range of 1.2 – 1.4 mol/mol (present NO$_x$ to injected NH$_3$) or 1.5 – 1.8 (reduced NO$_x$ to injected NH$_3$). A NO$_x$ emission level of 200 mg/Nm$^3$ was reported (yearly average value). It has to be noted that this NO$_x$ emission level of 200 mg/Nm$^3$ has been achieved since 1998 from both Swedish plants with the presence of an initial NO$_x$ level of 800 – 1000 mg/Nm$^3$, a reduction rate of 80 % and a stable operating process.

In Germany, SNCR (reducing agent ammonia water by 25 %) was installed at a four stage cyclone preheater kiln with a production capacity of about 3000 t clinker/day. Initial NO$_x$ levels of between 500 – 1200 mg/Nm$^3$ (on a daily basis) were reported. Over a period of several years, long term experience showed that an emission levels of 500 mg NO$_2$/Nm$^3$ and less (daily average value) could constantly be achieved without any significant ammonia slip.

In 2007, the latest results from a long term test (six months performance test) showed that NO$_x$ emission values of 350 mg/Nm$^3$ and 200 mg/Nm$^3$ (daily average values) were achieved by applying corresponding NH$_3$:NO molar ratios of 0.7 – 0.8 and 1.4 – 1.5. During these performance tests, NH$_3$ emissions were measured continuously. The NH$_3$ measurements however resulted in significant ammonia slip by keeping 200 mg/Nm$^3$ NO$_x$, particularly during mill-off operation (max. 50 – 200 mg/Nm$^3$). Altogether, the ammonia slip was twice as high in order to achieve the emission level of 200 mg/Nm$^3$ (NH$_3$: three months average value of 11 mg/Nm$^3$) compared to a level of 350 mg/Nm$^3$ (NH$_3$: three months average value of 21 mg/Nm$^3$). The mill-off operation ratio in this case was about 10 – 20 %. A relevant enrichment of ammonia in the raw meal and the ESP dust could not be observed during the performance test. The tests showed that ammonia emissions should carefully be considered (see also Section 4.2.4.2). The ammonia slip, which occurs because of the above process, sets the limits to the overstoichiometric addition of reducing agents [160, Germany, 2007], [173, Germany, 2007].

In 2004 in Ireland, investigations carrying out short term SNCR trials showed low NH$_3$ concentrations of between 4 and 26 mg/Nm$^3$ (10 % O$_2$) in the raw gas after the preheater of the kiln. This was because of an optimised injection configuration resulting in a highly efficient reduction reaction and only in minor amounts of unreacted ammonia. These concentrations were measured by testing two injection configurations (standard conditions; NH$_3$:NO molar ratio of one (1) with a NO$_x$ reduction rate of 50 %). The NH$_3$ slip sets limits to the overstoichiometric addition of reducing agent [123, Ireland, 2005].

Detailed descriptions of a high efficiency SNCR technique used in the EU can be found in Section 4.2.4. Ammonia emissions from cement manufacturing are described in Section 1.3.4.9.

Applicability

SNCR and high efficiency SNCR are, in principle, applicable at rotary cement kilns. The injection zones vary with the type of kiln process.

In long wet and dry process kilns it is very difficult to obtain the right temperature and retention time needed. Only one plant with a long dry kiln and one plant (Denmark) with a long wet kiln have successfully applied SNCR reduction and reach efficiencies of between 40 and 50 %.

Ammonia related storage risks are reduced by storing ammonia water solution by 25 % concentration.
Economics

For a 3000 tonnes/day preheater kiln with initial NOx emissions of up to 2000 mg/Nm³ and with a NOx reduction of up to 85 % (i.e. up to 300 mg NOx/Nm³) the investment cost for an SNCR using ammonia water as the reducing agent is EUR 0.5 – 1.2 million, with the cost being very influenced by local regulations on the storage of ammonia water. The operating cost for the same kiln is EUR 0.3 – 0.5 per tonne clinker, the cost mainly determined by the cost of the injected ammonia [9, CEMBUREAU, 1997 November], [85, CEMBUREAU, 2006].

SNCR was installed in 1996/97 at two Swedish plants. For one kiln, the investment costs were about EUR 1.2 million (EUR 0.65 million for the SNCR installation and another EUR 0.55 million for the ammonia water storage) and the operating cost was about EUR 0.55 per tonne clinker. The total cost (investment + operating costs) was less than EUR 0.6 per tonne clinker. The investment costs for the other kiln were about EUR 0.55 million and the operating cost was about EUR 0.3 per tonne clinker. The driving force for these plants to invest in high-performing SNCR installations was the Swedish policy regarding NOx emissions. According to this policy any investment in abatement that has a total cost (investment + operating) of less than EUR 4.5 (SEK 40) per kilo of abated NOx (as NO2) may be acceptable.

A survey covering 26 cement plants using the SNCR technique, which was carried out in 2004 by the German cement industry, revealed average investment costs of between EUR 0.5 and 0.75 million. However, France reported costs of up to EUR 1 million. The construction of the storage for the reducing agent amounted to about 50 % of the investment costs. Total costs of between EUR 0.5 and 0.7 per tonne clinker were given for the use of ammonia solution and medium to high NOx abatement rates. Detailed cost calculations for a rotary kiln with a clinker capacity of 1500 t/d resulted in specific costs of between EUR 0.4 and 1.2 per tonne clinker, dependent on the NOx reduction rate. Additional calculations for a 3500 t/d kiln and a NOx reduction from 850 to 250 mg/Nm³ resulted in investment costs of EUR 0.88 million and operating costs of EUR 0.40 per tonne clinker.

See also Table 1.34 and Table 1.40 (Section 1.4.8.2) where example cost data for the SNCR technique are shown.

Driving force for implementation

Legal requirements.
Local conditions.

Example plants and reference literature

Plants in the EU-27, high efficiency SNCR plants in Sweden and Germany (Maerker).

Descriptions of example plants from Sweden and Germany are given in Sections 4.2.4.1 and 4.2.4.2 respectively.
1.4.5.1.8 Selective catalytic reduction (SCR)

**Description**
SCR reduces NO and NO₂ to N₂ with the help of NH₃ and a catalyst at a temperature range of about 300 – 400 °C. This technique is widely used for NOₓ abatement in other industries (coal fired power stations, waste incinerators). In the cement industry, basically two systems are considered: low dust configuration between a dedusting unit and stack, and a high dust configuration between a preheater and a dedusting unit. Low dust exhaust gas systems require the reheating of the exhaust gases after dedusting, which may cause additional energy costs and pressure losses. High dust systems are considered preferable for technical and economical reasons. These systems do not require reheating, because the waste gas temperature at the outlet of the preheater system is usually in the right temperature range for SCR operation.

Large NOₓ emissions reductions of 85 – 95 % are potentially achievable by SCR high dust systems and until 2008, only high dust systems have been tested in the cement industry.

In 2008 in the EU-27, in total two full scale production runs have been carried out and experience has been collected in order to remove the technical and economic uncertainties related to upscaling of the SCR technique. The main uncertainties are related to the high dust concentration of up to 100 g/Nm³ in the gases, dust removal measures/techniques, type and lifetime of the catalysts, total investment and operating costs. Experience also shows that appropriate design and chemical composition of the catalysts are very important.

Considering the high reduction potential, the successful pilot tests, the two full scale SCR installations and the fact that SCR is state-of-the-art technique for comparable installations in other sectors, SCR is an interesting technique for the cement industry. There are at least two suppliers in Europe that offer full scale SCR to the cement industry with guaranteed performance levels of 100 – 200 mg/Nm³. However, SCR capital expenditure is still higher than for SNCR [12, Netherlands, 1997].

**Achieved environmental benefits**
Reduction of NOₓ emissions.

As the catalysts remove hydrocarbons as well and if this technique is designed for, SCR will, in general, also reduce emissions of VOC and PCDD/Fs.

**Cross-media effects**
Electrical energy demand may increase due to the internal dedusting system of the SCR reactor and additional pressure losses.

Low dust exhaust gas systems require reheating the exhaust gases after dedusting, which may cause additional energy costs and pressure losses.

Catalysts have to be recycled or disposed of.

**Operational data**
In the 1990s, early pilot plant trials in Germany, Italy and Sweden showed promising results. The NOₓ emission levels were approximately 100 – 200 mg/Nm³ with no loss of catalyst activity. In Austrian cement plants between 1996 and 2000, three pilot tests on small portions (3 % of the exhaust gas) and with a high dust configuration took place. One of these was successful in NOₓ reduction efficiency which, however, was not continued. One concern raised was the operational availability of the catalysts. Two pilot trials were not successful because of the deactivation of the catalysts after a few weeks due to heavy metals and alkali metal compounds. In one plant, considerable abrasion of the catalysts occurred after a working period of about 5000 hours [18, Göller, 2001], [92, Austria, 2006].
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In 2000, the first full scale SCR demonstration plant by using a high dust SCR system was built in Germany with government funding and was in operation from 2001 until 2006. However in 2008, the plant is waiting for improved catalyst types. In comparison with SNCR, this system has a lower consumption of NH3 resulting in lower ammonia operational costs. At the top of the four stage preheater, the waste gas has a temperature of 320 – 350 °C that is suitable for SCR and does not require reheating, as is necessary in the case of configuration between the fabric filter and stack, thus reducing energy costs. The NOx abatement efficiency is usually in the range of 59 to 67 %. However, if the operating conditions were not usual with raw gas concentrations of 3000 mg/Nm³ and higher, NOx abatement efficiencies of more than 80 % were observed. In regular operation, the SCR reduces NOx emissions from initial raw gas concentrations of 1000 to 1600 mg/Nm³ to about 400 to 550 mg/Nm³. With a molar ratio of 0.8 to 0.9, the consumption of NH3 is significantly lower than by the application of SNCR. It has to be noted that NH3 from the raw material also serves as the reduction agent in the reactor, thus the emission level of residual NH3 is very low. At this German plant, the SCR usually led to NH3 emissions of below 1 mg/Nm³ by achieving a NOx emission level of 300 mg/Nm³. These results may indicate the potential of the technique, especially as they were also achieved with three catalytic layers only, and the emission level of residual NH3 was still around 1 mg/Nm³. A more detailed description of this plant, achieved emissions ranges and costs are shown in Section 4.2.5.

In Italy, one SCR plant is in operation for NOx reduction. Since 2006, a full scale high dust SCR system for NOx abatement has been in operation on a kiln line having a kiln capacity of 1720 t/d (permitted capacity of 2400 t/d). The dimensions of the chamber holding the modules which are envisaged for seven layers (levels) of catalysts are 4 m in width, 6 m in length and 27 m in height, as shown in Figure 1.66.

The volume of catalysts installed is 21 m³ for each level, in total 63 m³ for three levels with an uninstalled backup capacity of 42 m³ for an additional two levels to meet the permitted capacity of 2400 t/d. The catalysts are automatically cleaned by compressed air at 5 or 6 bar with a consumption of 2.5 kWh/t of clinker for the compressor line. After 7000 hours of operation, no reduction inactivity of the catalysts was found.

![Figure 1.66: Example of an SCR installation](140, Italy, 2007)
![Figure 1.67: Example of an individual catalyst used for the SCR technique](140, Italy, 2007)
![Figure 1.68: Example of a module position used for the SCR technique](140, Italy, 2007)
An ammonia solution of 25% concentration is used as the reducing agent. The flow varies from 30 to 300 litres per hour depending on kiln conditions and the type of fuels used, while the gas flowrate is 110000 m³/h. The ammonia solution is injected into the gas stream below the uppermost cyclone where the appropriate temperature regime of 320 – 350 °C exists. During the first 10 months of operation, the mean consumption of ammonia solution was 0.7 – 1 kg/t clinker with a reduction of NOₓ emissions to less than 450 mg/Nm³ (10% O₂). However, from this Italian plant, reports and test results show that the design of this SCR technique can reduce the NOₓ emissions at the stack to <200 mg/Nm³ (at actual % O₂). Depending on the inlet NOₓ level and the NH₃ injection rate, the NOₓ reduction efficiency ranges from 43 – 95%. Corrections to 10% O₂ would yield even lower NOₓ concentrations. Before the installation of the SCR technique, ammonia in the flue-gas was measured at 50 – 150 mg/Nm³ which originated from raw materials. This amount of ammonia has since been used by the SCR process resulting in NH₃ emissions of less than 1 mg/Nm³ and a molar ratio (injected NH₃:NOₓ) of less than unity. Parameters, emissions and costs of the SCR technique, reported from the German cement plant and the Italian cement plant using this NOₓ reduction technique, are shown in Table 1.35.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Kiln system applicability</th>
<th>Reduction efficiency (%)</th>
<th>Reported emissions</th>
<th>Reported costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCR</td>
<td>Possibly all</td>
<td>43 – 97</td>
<td>300 – 500¹</td>
<td>0.15 – 1.0 ²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.75 – 2³</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Results from pilot tests and long term operation of the demonstration plant  
² Costs estimated by UBA Germany for a full scale installation (kiln capacity 1500 t clinker/d, initial emissions of 1200 mg/Nm³ and final levels of 200, 500 and 800 mg/Nm³ (daily average value)  
³ Costs calculated by the Association of the German Cement Industry (VDZ) covering operating costs, plus depreciation on the investment of a full scale installation (kiln capacity 1500 t clinker/d, initial emissions of 1200 mg/Nm³ and a final level of 200, 500 and 800 mg/Nm³)  
⁴ Highest value belongs to the SCR system from the Italian cement plant, where EUR 0.9 million for catalysts elements are included (three layers of modules in place plus two in store)

Table 1.35: SCR parameters, emissions and costs reported [85, CEMBUREAU, 2006]

Applicability
SCR has only been tested on preheaters and pilot tested on semi-dry (Lepol) kiln systems, but it is, in principle, applicable to other kiln systems as well depending on the temperature window.

The high dust levels impose high demands on the durability and the operation of the catalysts and the design and chemical composition of the catalysts are very important. Catalysts designed for low dust operation in other industries might not be suitable for high dust operation in a cement plant. High dust operation of an SCR reactor requires a high mechanical durability of the catalyst, a wider pitch than usual for low dust operation and an integrated dedusting system, in order to avoid plugging and activity losses. The appropriate chemical composition of the catalyst has to be evaluated by trials with high dust off-gases of cement kilns. Furthermore, because of the dimensions of the chamber holding the modules and the amount of layers which are used, sufficient space has to be available in order to install the SCR technique.

There are not yet standard catalysts available that are adequate for the cement industry. The various types of catalysts being used are still undergoing trials.

Economics
The results from the use of the SCR technique have shown a cost level of EUR 1.25 to 2.00 per tonne, depending on the plant size and the NOₓ removal efficiency required. The SCR technique, in contrast to the SNCR technique, is dominated by the investment costs, which are 4 to 9 times higher than for a SNCR system. The use of catalysts increases the operational costs. Furthermore, the energy consumption is essentially due to pressure drop and cleaning air for the catalyst. Specific costs of SCR have declined to around EUR 1.75 per tonne.
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Cementa AB in Slite, Sweden, has a 5800 tonne clinker/day dry process suspension preheater/precalciner kiln. In 1993, they operated a pilot high dust SCR, downstream of an SNCR, for about a year and have investigated how much a full scale SCR installed downstream of the SNCR would cost. This means initial NO\textsubscript{x} levels into the SCR of less than 200 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}. The estimated investment cost was about EUR 11.2 million and the operating cost was about EUR 1.3 per tonne clinker, giving total costs of EUR 3.2 per tonne clinker. The costs per additional kilo of abated NO\textsubscript{x} were EUR 5.5 – 7.3 for the SCR. These costs were too high and not reasonable according to the company.

Investment costs for a 3000 tonne clinker/day preheater kiln were estimated to be EUR 3.5 million – 4.5 million, remarking that investment cost indications are only known from the supplier but did not including the plant modifications.

Feasibility studies have been carried out in Austria, Germany, the Netherlands and Sweden. The estimated costs for the SCR technique in the cement industry varies greatly, with the production costs and lifetime of the catalysts being major variables.

See also Table 1.34 and Table 1.35 in Section 1.4.5.1 as well as Table 1.40 in Section 1.4.8.2 where example costs data are shown.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements. The implementation of SCR may be suitable to achieve a higher NO\textsubscript{x} abatement efficiency or to achieve a simultaneous reduction of NO\textsubscript{x} and other pollutants, e.g. ammonia from raw materials.

Example plants
Solnhofer Portland-Zementwerke (Germany), Monselice cement plant (Italy).

Reference literature

1.4.5.2 Reduction of SO\textsubscript{2} emissions
The first step with respect to SO\textsubscript{2} control is to consider primary process optimisation measures/techniques, such as optimising the clinker burning process including the smoothing of kiln operation, uniform distribution of the hot meal in the kiln riser and prevention of reducing conditions in the burning process as well as the choice of raw materials and fuels. Moreover, the oxygen concentration in the kiln inlet area is crucial to SO\textsubscript{2} capture in the kiln charge. Increasing the oxygen content in long kilns decreases the SO\textsubscript{2} level and increases the NO\textsubscript{x} level. However, to achieve the specified product quality, the clinker burning process requires an excess of oxygen. Accordingly, there is always a sufficient supply of oxygen to ensure the formation of sulphates in the bottom section of the cyclone preheater or the hot gas chamber of the grate preheater, which are discharged from the kiln system via the clinker. A balance for protecting the environment should be sought by optimising NO\textsubscript{x}/SO\textsubscript{2}/CO by adjusting the back-end oxygen content. In those cases where these measures/techniques are not enough, additional end-of-pipe measures/techniques can be taken. Table 1.36 and Table 1.37 give an overview of measures/techniques that have a positive effect on, that is reduce, the emissions of SO\textsubscript{2} arising from the manufacture of cement, mainly from the preheater and the bypass process. Table 1.36 is a summary of operational data which are available within the text of this section and should be read in conjunction with the corresponding paragraphs in following sections (see Sections 1.4.5.2.1 – 1.4.5.2.3). In this context, it has to be noted that, when co-incinerating waste, the requirements of the WID have to be met [59, European Union, 2000].
### Table 1.36: Overview of techniques for controlling and reducing SO₂

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures/techniques</th>
<th>Kiln systems applicability</th>
<th>Reduction efficiency</th>
<th>Emissions data</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Absorbent addition</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>60 – 80 %</td>
<td>&lt;200 – 400</td>
<td>0.23 – 0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet scrubber</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>&gt;90 %</td>
<td>&lt;10 – 300</td>
<td>0.02 – 0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activated carbon</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td>Up to 95 %</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>&lt;0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Normally refers to daily averages, dry gas, 273 K, 101.3 kPa and 10 % O₂
2) kg/tonne clinker: based on 2300 m³/tonne clinker
3) This cost also includes an SNCR process, referring to a kiln capacity of 2000 tonne clinker/day and initial emissions of 50 – 600 mg SO₂/Nm³, cost data from 1997
4) The final achievable emission level is dependent on the initial SO₂ value prior to the installation of the wet scrubber and could be higher
5) For an initial SO₄ level of 1200 mg/Nm³ (see Section 1.4.5.2.1)
6) 2008

Table 1.36 presents the number of full scale installations known in the EU-27 and EU-23+ countries to be using abatement measures/techniques to reduce SO₂ emissions in 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures/techniques for reducing/controlling SO₂ emissions</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Absorbent addition</th>
<th>Wet scrubber</th>
<th>Activated carbon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>BE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>BG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>CZ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>DK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>DE</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>EE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>EL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>ES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>FR</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>IE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>IT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>LV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>LT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>LU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>HU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td>MT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>AT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>PT</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>Ro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>SK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>FI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>CH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>TR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.37: Abatement techniques for SO₂ reduction used in the EU-27 and EU-23+ countries in 2008

[73, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]
Chapter 1

No semi-wet and dry scrubbers are used in the European cement industry. The principle of these techniques is the neutralisation of SO$_2$ from the exhaust gas by the injection of chemical or physical sorption agents. The reaction products are dissolved or dry salts, following the techniques. In Untervaz, Switzerland in 2003, the only plant in Europe to have installed a circulating fluidised bed dry scrubber was shut down due to economic and, to a lesser extent, technical reasons.

1.4.5.2.1 Absorbent addition

**Description and achieved environmental benefits**
Secondary emissions control measures/techniques employed in the cement industry are hydrate-of-lime addition using the so-called ‘dry additive process’ (sorbent addition to raw material) or the ‘dry sorption process’ (sorbent injection into the gas stream). Hydrate-of-lime addition offers the additional advantage that the calcium-bearing additive forms reaction products that can be directly incorporated into the clinker burning process.

The optimum temperature ranges for hydrate-of-lime addition are 350 to 400 °C and below 150 °C if the gas is enriched with water. Suitable locations for hydrate-of-lime addition in cement rotary kiln systems are the upper cyclone stages or the raw gas duct.

Alternatively, hydrate-of-lime can be charged into the raw mill together with the raw material constituents or directly added to the kiln feed. Hydrate or slaked lime (Ca(OH)$_2$), quicklime (CaO) or activated fly ash with a high CaO content, is injected into the exhaust gas path at temperatures close to the water dew point, which results in more favourable conditions for SO$_2$ capture. In cement kiln systems, this temperature range is available in the area between the raw mill and the dust collector. The hydrate-of-lime reacts with the SO$_2$ in the upper cyclone stages and is carried out of the system as raw gas dust (dust collector) which is returned to the downstream grinding-drying unit with the raw gas. Factors limiting the reduction efficiency of this process are the short gas retention times in the upper cyclone stages (minimum two seconds) and the high exhaust gas CO$_2$ levels of over 30 %.

**Cross-media effects**
Intensive lime injection impacts on raw meal quality.

**Operational data**
The SO$_2$ reduction potential of hydrate-of-lime addition is determined by the initial SO$_2$ level and the exhaust gas conditions on the one hand, and the concentration level of the sulphur cycles forming in the respective plant on the other. SO$_2$ reductions of 60 to 80 % can be achieved by absorbent injection in suspension preheater kiln systems. With initial levels not higher than 400 mg/Nm$^3$, it is theoretically possible to achieve around 100 mg/Nm$^3$. For initial SO$_2$ levels of up to 1200 mg/Nm$^3$, it is possible to achieve a reduction to 400 mg/Nm$^3$. Higher initial SO$_2$ levels above 1200 mg/Nm$^3$ require significant amounts of absorbent which might not be cost effective. Moreover, a higher initial concentration of the sulphur cycles leads to process upsets due to the formation of deposits in the calcining area. Therefore, there might be a risk of higher sulphur recirculation and kiln instability as higher levels of sulphur are returned to the kiln when this technique is applied.

**Applicability**
Absorbent addition is, in principle, applicable to all kiln systems, although it is mostly used in suspension preheaters. There is at least one long wet cement kiln injecting dry NaHCO$_3$ to the exhaust gas before the ESP is used to reduce peak emissions of SO$_2$. Lime addition to the kiln feed reduces the quality of the granules/nodules and causes flow problems in Lepol kilns.
The dry sorption process (absorbent injection into the gas stream) can be applied in a dry or a wet form. For preheater kilns it has been found that direct injection of slaked lime into the exhaust gas is less efficient than adding slaked lime to the kiln feed. The SO\(_2\) will react with the lime to CaSO\(_3\) and CaSO\(_4\), which then enters the kiln together with the raw material and is incorporated into the clinker. This technique is suitable for cleaning gas streams with moderate SO\(_2\) concentrations and can be applied at an air temperature of more than 400 °C. The highest reduction rates can be achieved at temperatures exceeding 600 °C. It is recommended that a Ca(OH)\(_2\) based absorbent with a high specific surface area and high porosity should be used. Slaked lime does not have a high reactivity, therefore Ca(OH)\(_2\)/SO\(_2\) molar ratios of between 3 and 6 have to be applied. Gas streams with high SO\(_2\) concentrations require 6 – 7 times the stoichiometric amount of absorbent, implying high operational costs.

**Economics**

Absorbent addition is in use at several plants to ensure that the limits are not exceeded in peak situations. This means that, in general, it is not in continuous operation, but only when required by specific circumstances. With an initial SO\(_2\) concentration of up to 3000 mg/Nm\(^3\), a reduction of up to 65 % and a slaked lime cost of EUR 85 per tonne, the investment costs for a 3000 tonne clinker/day preheater kiln are about EUR 0.2 million – 0.3 million and the operating costs are about EUR 0.1 – 0.4 per tonne clinker.

See also Table 1.36 and Section 1.4.8.3 where example cost data are shown.

**Driving force for implementation**

Legal requirements.

Local conditions.

**Example plants and reference literature**

Cement plants in the EU-27.

[8, CEMBUREAU, 2001], [9, CEMBUREAU, 1997 November], [12, Netherlands, 1997], [30, Marchal, 2001], [76, Germany, 2006], [97, CEMBUREAU, 2007], [101, France/ADEME/MEDD, 2002], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]

### 1.4.5.2.2 Wet scrubber

**Description and achieved environmental benefits**

The wet scrubber is the most commonly used technique for flue-gas desulphurisation in coal-fired power plants. For cement manufacturing processes, the wet process for reducing SO\(_2\) emissions is an established technique. Wet scrubbing is based on the following chemical reaction:

$$\text{SO}_2 + \frac{1}{2} \text{O}_2 + 2 \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CaCO}_3 \iff \text{CaSO}_4 \cdot 2 \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CO}_2$$

The SO\(_x\) is absorbed by a liquid/slurry which is sprayed in a spray tower. The absorbent is calcium carbonate. Wet scrubbing systems provide the highest removal efficiencies for soluble acid gases of all flue-gas desulphurisation (FGD) methods with the lowest excess stoichiometric factors and the lowest solid waste production rate. However, wet scrubbers also significantly reduce the HCl, residual dust and, to a lesser extent, metal and NH\(_3\) emissions. The basic principle of the working system of a wet scrubber is shown in Figure 1.69.
There are seven wet scrubbers currently in use in 2008 and one is planned to be used in the European cement industry, all of them spray towers. The slurry is sprayed in countercurrently to the exhaust gas and collected in a recycle tank at the bottom of the scrubber where the formed sulphite is oxidised with air to sulphate and forms calcium sulphate dihydrate. The dihydrate is separated and depending upon the physico-chemical properties of gypsum this material can be used in cement milling and the water is returned to the scrubber.

In comparison to the dry scrubber, the potential to generate cement kiln dust (CKD) in a wet process is much lower and natural gypsum recourses are saved. In Untervaz, Switzerland, the only installed circulating fluidised bed dry scrubber in Europe was retired in 2003, due to economic – and to a lesser extent – technical reasons. Normally, during the cement manufacturing process or from gas scrubbing applications, the aim is not to generate waste dust. In wet desulphurisation processes, CaSO$_4$ · 2 H$_2$O is formed – which is used as a natural gypsum replacement and in the follow-up integrated as a modulating agent in the cement. In a dry/semi-dry desulphurisation process, a large quantity of the product CaSO$_3$ · ½ H$_2$O is formed, the latter of which is harmful for the cement quality and integration possibilities in the cement are limited. The majority of the dry scrubber product would therefore have to be taken either back to the kiln or would need to be disposed of.

**Cross-media effects**
- Increased energy consumption.
- Increased waste production from flue-gas desulphurisation (FGD), and when maintenance is carried out, additional waste may occur.
- Increased CO$_2$ emissions (see the chemical reaction above where it is shown how CO$_2$ is derived from the wet scrubber process).
- Increased water consumption.
- Emissions to water and increased risk of water contamination.
- Increased operational cost.
- Replacement of natural gypsum by artificial gypsum.
Operational data
The SO\textsubscript{2} reduction achieved can be more than 95 %. Cementa AB in Sweden operates a 5800 tonne clinker/day preheater kiln and has an initial SO\textsubscript{2} concentration in the flue-gas of 800 – 1000 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}, resulting in levels of <10 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}. Castle Cement in the UK operates a 2500 tonne clinker/day preheater kiln and has an initial SO\textsubscript{2} concentration in the flue-gas of about 800 – 1400 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} as a daily average with peak values of more than 2000 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} at times. Furthermore, SO\textsubscript{2} emissions of 207 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} as a yearly average over the years between 2002 and 2006 have been reported and the maximum daily averages have varied from 248 to 296 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} due to the high sulphur content in the raw material.

Applicability
A wet scrubber can be fitted to all cement kiln types with appropriate (sufficient) SO\textsubscript{2} levels in order to manufacture the gypsum.

Economics
In 2008, capital expenditure costs for a wet scrubber of Ribblesdale works, Castle Cement in the UK, were estimated by the supplier to be around EUR 23 million, when considering inflation. In 2000, the investment costs for the scrubber of Castle Cement (including plant modifications) were reported to be EUR 7 million and the operating costs were about EUR 0.9 per tonne clinker. In 1998 for Cementa AB in Sweden, the investment costs were about EUR 10 million and the operating costs were about EUR 0.5 per tonne clinker. With an initial SO\textsubscript{2} concentration of up to 3000 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} and a kiln capacity of 3000 tonne clinker/day, the investment costs in the late 1990s were EUR 6 million – 10 million and the operating costs EUR 0.5 – 1 per tonne clinker. Furthermore in 1998 at an Austrian cement plant, the investment costs for a wet scrubber (SO\textsubscript{2} emissions reduction to less than 200 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}) were EUR 5.8 million and until 2008, the yearly operational costs were EUR 140000. In 2008, the European cement industry reported investment costs of between EUR 6 million and 30 million and operational costs of between EUR 1 – 2 per tonne clinker.

Example costs data along with a set of different data calculated for a reference plant with a capacity of 1100 t/d can be found in Table 1.41 in Section 1.4.8.3.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.
Local conditions.

Example plants and reference literature
Cementa AB (Sweden), Castle Cement (UK), Retznei plant (Lafarge, Austria), Dunbar (UK), Trebovlje (Slovenia), Untervaz (Switzerland).

1.4.5.2.3 Activated carbon

Description and achieved environmental benefits
Pollutants such as SO\textsubscript{2}, organic compounds, metals, NH\textsubscript{3}, NH\textsubscript{4} compounds, HCl, HF and residual dust (after an ESP or fabric filter) may be removed from the exhaust gases by adsorption on activated carbon. The activated carbon filter is used for the injection technique or is constructed as a packed-bed with modular partition walls. The modular design allows the filter sizes to be adapted for different gas throughputs and kiln capacity. The used activated coke is periodically extracted to a separate silo and replaced with fresh adsorbent. By using the saturated coke as fuel in the kiln, the trapped substances are returned to the system and to a large extent become fixed in the cement clinker.
Cross-media effects
Wastes resulting from activated carbon have to be managed as hazardous wastes.

Operational data
The only activated carbon filter still existing in the European cement industry is installed at a cement works in Siggenthal, Switzerland. The Siggenthal kiln is a four stage cyclone preheater kiln with a capacity of 2000 tonne clinker/day. Measurements showed high removal efficiencies for SO₂, metals and PCDD/F. During a 100 days trial, the SO₂ concentrations at the filter inlet varied between 50 and 600 mg/Nm³, whereas the outlet concentrations were always significantly below 50 mg/Nm³. Dust concentrations dropped from 30 mg/Nm³ to significantly below 10 mg/Nm³.

Applicability
An activated carbon filter can be fitted to all dry kiln systems. Wastes, such as used activated carbon with PCDD/Fs and other pollutants like mercury, have to be managed as hazardous wastes. Monitoring and control of temperature and CO are especially important for such processes, to prevent fires in the coke filter.

Economics
The system at Siggenthal also includes an SNCR process and in 1999, the city of Zürich financed about 30% of the total investment cost of approximately EUR 15 million. The investment in this abatement system was made to enable the cement works to use digested sewage sludge as fuel.

Operating costs may increase.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.
Local conditions.

Example plants and reference literature
Siggenthal, Switzerland
[9, CEMBUREAU, 1997 November], [10, Cementa AB/Hagström, 1994], [12, Netherlands, 1997], [86, EURITS, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]

1.4.5.3 Reduction of carbon oxides (CO) emissions and CO trips

Description
The kiln/raw mill exhaust gas contains, besides different other constituents such as CO₂, N₂, water vapour and oxygen and to a lesser extent NO and SOₓ, to a lesser extent also CO.

The selection, when possible, of raw materials with a low content of organic matter also reduces the emissions of CO. When resulting from incomplete combustion, carbon monoxide (CO) emissions generally represent a loss of efficiency. Therefore, the operator tends to limit the CO emissions of its kilns. Improvement in combustion such as optimisation and quality of the fuel feed, burner properties and configuration, kiln draft, combustion temperature and residence time can reduce CO emissions.

Furthermore, all measures/techniques that reduce fuel energy use also reduce the CO₂ emissions. The selection, when possible, of raw materials with a low organic matter content and fuels with a low ratio of carbon content to calorific value reduces CO₂ emissions.
**CO trips**

Dust emissions due to CO trips can occur at ESPs and, to some extent, at hybrid filters. ESPs will have to be shut down during elevated CO levels in the flue-gases for safety reasons.

In order to reduce ESP downtime, a systematic stepwise approach is needed:

- set objectives and assess the situation in respect of the main factors influencing CO trips, such as causes of CO trips due to the following:
  - process upset
  - high levels of background CO due to high organics in the raw material
  - disruption to the fuel feed system
  - combustion process upset
- compare and evaluate current and optimum situations, identification of optimisation potential and setting priorities – gap analysis
- optimisation of the process, the analysis system, ensuring availability, reliability and speed, optimisation of reaction measures/techniques.

Furthermore, to identify any cause and address counter measures/techniques, the following information is needed:

- information on reliability, availability and dynamic behaviour of analysing equipment
- information on CO development statistics
- information on the fuels used, the fuel feeding system and the process.

Fuel feeding systems, which are designed to prevent surges of fuel into the kiln system to keep a stable operation of the combustion system, can minimise CO trips.

In order to control the CO level in a cement kiln, an automatic CO measurement is used to continuously monitor CO from the flue-gases. This measure/technique needs to be optimised for reaction time as well as the shutdown procedure and needs to be properly maintained. The ideal CO monitoring system has a short response time and should be situated close to the source of the CO, such as at a preheater tower outlet, or at a kiln inlet in the case of a wet kiln application. Still, a dead time analysis of the entire system – including hold up time due to duct volume – needs to be carried out. Ideally the dead time for the CO gas sample to travel from the suction point to the analyser will be in the region of 20 – 30 seconds (analysing lag time). Adding an additional 10 – 30 seconds lag time, as the analysing reaction time, should give the total maximum dead time before approximately 85 % of the maximum value has been reached. The total dead time determines when action has to be taken – not too early and not too late. If a more detailed analysis of the slope of the CO signal is carried out, a more precise prediction can be made when the ESP high tension system has to be shut down and when it can be put back into full operation again – hence accounting for when the CO trip has left the critical area.

The CO monitoring lag time can be reduced by an increased sample flow, shortening the distance from the sampling point to the analyser, reduced volume in the analysing system and a quick electronic reporting signal. Rapid in situ systems with response times of <3 seconds are available, but these have limitations in high dust-laden gases. In general, a strict maintenance and calibration regime is essential. However, analyser ranges and components have to appropriately address critical limits of up to 5 % for CO and 3 % for CH₄.

If the CO trip cannot be suppressed, any ignition sources, particularly the high tension equipment of the ESPs, require special attention. A trip of the high tension equipment as such is the last resort and is undesirable. Other potential ignition sources can be static loads caused by solid/solid friction or also fans, possible for any dust collector system. In general, the critical limit is >8 % CO or − CH₄ − in the presence of >6 % O₂. In reality, the CO trip travels through the gas train swiftly and will reach the critical area for an ignition source before the analysing system is able to indicate an alarm. Therefore, the action level has to be significantly lower than
the theoretical level, and is further dependent on the CH\textsubscript{4} and H\textsubscript{2} concentrations, especially when natural gas is used as fuel.

Disruptions predominantly happen during the start-up operation phase. For safe operation, the gas analysers for ESP protection have to be on-line during all operational phases. Plant downtime can be reduced by using a backup system which is in operation.

**Achieved environmental benefits**
Reduction of explosion risks, CO trips, CO and dust (particulate matter) emissions.

**Cross-media effects**
Dust (particulate matter) emissions due to CO trips can occur.

**Operational data**
CO can be found in flue-gas at concentrations of up to 0.1 % with additional CO originating from carbon in the raw materials.

CO emissions of between 200 and 2200 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} (yearly average value) have been reported from continuous measurements (see Figure 1.34 in Section 1.3.4.4.2).

CO trips can occur within a couple of minutes, or even seconds, rapidly reaching an operational interruption limit (see Sections 1.3.4.1.1 and 1.4.4.3.1). Frequencies of CO trips can be minimised and examples of total duration ranges of between 1 – 29 minutes per year, respectively <0.001 – 0.009 % of the total kiln operation have been reported (see Section 1.3.4.1.1) [158, Germany, 2007].

An example of primary measures/techniques which can be used to prevent CO trips in ESPs is described in Table 1.38. Standard staged actions may firstly include reducing fuel feed and the high tension level. Thereafter, cutting the fuel feed entirely and tripping the high tension transformers automatically. The system then needs to be purged by keeping the fans in operation. The necessary purge time can be determined in the same way as the lag time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measuring point</th>
<th>CO level (%)</th>
<th>Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In a preheater tower</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Alarm, shutdown of precalciner/kiln inlet firing system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>Shutdown of main firing system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Shutdown of electric precipitator high tension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After a preheater tower</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Alarm, shutdown of precalciner/kiln inlet firing system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Shutdown of main firing system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Shutdown of electric precipitator high tension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Restart of ESP high tension equipment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.38: Example of primary measures/techniques to prevent CO trips from ESPs [60, VDI 2094 Germany, 2003], [83, CEMBUREAU, 2006]

**Applicability**
Measures/techniques for reducing CO trips can be applied to all kiln types.

By applying an automatic CO monitoring device for continuously monitoring the flue-gases on kilns with secondary firing, further operational reliability of the filters can be secured through sequential shutdown of the firing system during a CO trip.
The use of different fuels, especially solid fuels, can lead to unstable operation of the combustion system and can cause CO trips. The fuel feeding systems should be designed to prevent surges of fuel into the kiln system at any time. The conveying properties of solid fuels is a particularly critical factor in this respect and must be carefully controlled to prevent hold ups or blockages in the fuel preparation and feeding systems.

**Fabric filters**

Fabric filters operated in overcritical atmospheres may also experience problems. Therefore the operational CO level should also be kept as low as possible. As with ESPs, additional combustible gas components such as CH₄ and H₂ will lower the critical level, i.e. if hydrogen of approximately 2% is present, the critical level is reduced to approximately 5%.

Specific potential ignition sources for fabric filters can be static loads caused by the friction of man-made fibres or in the event of hybrid filters (combination of an ESP with a fabric filter) the accumulated static load on the bags. To prevent interruptions, especially for coal mills, bags are fitted with a stainless steel fibre mesh or a conducting surface and are grounded to the baghouse cell plate. Similarly for hybrid dust collectors, the grounding of the bag support cage with the cell plate is recommended. As with the ESP system, the CO has to be purged out. Purging of coal mills is preferably done by means of inert gas, such as kiln gas, CO₂ or N₂.

**Economics**

No data available.

**Driving force for implementation**

Legal requirements.

Local conditions.

**Example plants and reference literature**

Cement plants in the EU-27.

Guideline regarding the control of CO trips (see Section 4.2.6) [83, CEMBUREAU, 2006] [60, VDI 2094 Germany, 2003], [158, Germany, 2007], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]

1.4.5.4 Reducing of total organic carbon emissions (TOC)

**Description and achieved environmental benefits**

Under normal circumstances, emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are generally low but can be higher because of the organic volatile content in the raw material which is used at the plant. Natural or waste raw materials with a high content of volatile organic compounds should not, if a choice is possible, be fed into the kiln system via the raw material feeding route and fuels with a high content of halogens should not be used in a secondary firing.

If elevated concentrations of VOCs occur, adsorption on activated carbon can be considered as in other sectors.

**Cross-media effects**

No issues.

**Operational data**

TOC emissions reported from European cement kilns are in the range of between 1 and 40 mg/Nm³ (yearly average values) and can be higher depending on the raw material characteristics (see Section 1.3.4.5).

When co-incinerating waste, the requirements of the WID have to be met [59, European Union, 2000].
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Applicability
These measures/techniques are, in principle, applicable to cement kilns.

Economics
No data provided.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.

Example plants and reference literature
Cement plants in the EU-27.
[9, CEMBUREAU, 1997 November], [59, European Union, 2000], [76, Germany, 2006], [97, CEMBUREAU, 2007], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]

1.4.5.5 Reduction of hydrogen chloride (HCl) and hydrogen fluoride (HF)

Description and achieved environmental benefits
The use of raw materials and fuels containing low chlorine and low fluorine levels can reduce chlorine and fluorine emissions (see also Sections 1.4.3.2 and 1.4.3.3). Furthermore, the use of absorbent injection or scrubber techniques may also have an influence on HCl and HF emissions.

Chlorine compounds condense on the kiln feed or the kiln dust at temperatures of between 700 and 900 °C. They show a cyclic behaviour and may become enriched in the area between the rotary kiln and the preheater. The use of a bypass at the kiln inlet allows an effective reduction of alkali chloride cycles. Furthermore, the use of low levels of chlorine in the raw materials and fuels result in a low circulation of chlorine and can minimise the enrichment cycle resulting from the internal circulation between the kiln and preheater.

90 to 95 % of the fluorine which is present in rotary kilns is captured in the clinker, and the remainder is bound with dust in the form of calcium fluoride (CaF$_2$) which is stable under the conditions of the burning process.

Cross-media effects
The use of a bypass system (removal of hot raw material and hot gas) leads to a higher specific energy consumption (see Section 1.4.2.1.4) and increased waste for disposal.

Operational data
Best performance data for HCl emissions in a range of between 0 and 8 mg/Nm$^3$ (yearly average value) were reported but depended on the chlorine content in raw materials and fuels (see Section 1.3.4.8.1).

Best performance data for HF emissions from cement kilns are below 0.6 mg/Nm$^3$ (yearly average value), expressed as HF (see also Section 1.3.4.8.2).

When co-incinerating waste, the requirements of the Waste Incineration Directive have to be met [59, European Union, 2000].

Applicability
These measures/techniques are, in principle, applicable to the cement industry.

Economics
No data available.
Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.
Local conditions.

Example plants and reference literature
Cement plants in the EU-27.
[59, European Union, 2000], [76, Germany, 2006], [97, CEMBUREAU, 2007], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]

1.4.6 Reduction of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxin (PCDD) and polychlorinated dibenzofuran (PCDF) emissions

Description and achieved environmental benefits
Cement kilns generally emit very low levels of PCDD/F emissions; however, general primary measures/techniques can be applied to minimise them, such as:

- a smooth and stable kiln process, operating close to the process parameter set points, is beneficial for all kiln emissions as well as for the energy use. This can be obtained by applying:
  - process control optimisation including a computer-based automatic control system
  - use of modern fuel feed systems
- minimising fuel energy use by means of:
  - preheating and precalcination, considering the existing kiln system configuration
- careful selection and control of substances entering the kiln
  - selection and use of homogeneous raw materials and fuels with a low content of sulphur, nitrogen, chlorine, metals and volatile organic compounds, if practicable.

To minimise the possibility of PCDD/F reformation, the following primary measures/techniques are considered to be the most important:

- quick cooling of kiln exhaust gases to lower than 200 °C in long wet and long dry kilns without preheating. In modern preheater and precalcer kilns, this feature is already inherent
- limitation or avoidance of waste used as raw material feed as part of the raw material mix, if it includes organic chlorinated materials
- not using waste fuel feeding during start-ups and shutdowns
- monitoring and stabilisation of critical process parameters, i.e. homogenous raw mix and fuel feed, regular dosage and excess oxygen [86, EURITS, 2006], [88, SINTEF, 2006]
- fuels with a high content of halogens should not be used in a secondary firing.

However, if elevated concentrations of PCDD/PCDFs occur, adsorption on activated carbon can be considered as in other sectors.

Cross-media effects
Using exhaust gas quench systems which consist of a water spray system within the flue duct may have an influence on the energy efficiency.

Operational data
Most cement kilns can meet an emission level of 0.1 ng I-TEQ/Nm³ if primary measures/techniques are applied [88, SINTEF, 2006]. In 2004, spot measurements showed that high numbers of all kilns in the EU-27 and EU-23+ countries achieve emission levels of between <0.03 and 0.05 ng I-TEQ/Nm³ by applying primary measures/techniques (see Figure 1.39 in Section 1.3.4.6). Furthermore, emission levels of <0.03 ng I-TEQ/Nm³ as yearly average values were achieved by many cement plants (see Figure 1.38 in Section 1.3.4.6).
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Applicability
These measures/techniques are, in principle, applicable to cement kilns. In wet kilns, controlling post-kiln operating conditions can minimise PCDD/F emissions.

Economics
No data provided.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.
Local conditions.

Example plants and reference literature
Cement plants in the EU-27.
[86, EURITS, 2006], [88, SINTEF, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]

1.4.7 Reduction of metal emissions

Description and achieved environmental benefits
Feeding materials with a high content of volatile metals into the kiln system should be avoided. The use of materials with a high content of volatile metals such as Hg and Tl should especially be controlled because they will lead to higher emissions whereas other metals will be found within the clinker and the dust. Careful selection and guaranteed characteristics of substances entering the kiln can reduce emissions, e.g. using a quality assurance system to guarantee the characteristics of the waste materials used (see Sections 1.4.3.2 and 1.4.3.3).

The accumulation of metals, especially thallium, in the internal and external cycles of a cement kiln system results in an increase of emissions with increasing kiln operating time. This can be reduced by partly or completely interrupting these cycles. However, the close interconnection between internal and external cycles means it is sufficient to interrupt only the external cycle. This can be done by discarding the dust collected in the dust collector, instead of returning it to the raw meal. When its chemical composition is suitable, this discarded cement kiln dust can be added directly to the cement milling stage [27, University of Karlsruhe, 1996].

As the emitted metals (except part of the mercury) are, to a large extent, bound to dust, abatement strategies for metals are covered by abatement strategies for dust. Effective dust removal reduces metal emissions. One way to minimise mercury emissions is to lower the exhaust temperature. Non-volatile elements remain within the process and exit the kiln as part of the cement clinker composition. When high concentrations of volatile metals (especially mercury) occur, the volatile metals content (especially mercury) in the materials has to be minimised and it can be necessary to limit the input of these substances into the kiln system (see Sections 1.3.4.13, 1.4.3.2 and 1.4.3.3).

Furthermore, absorption on activated carbon is an option. Semi-volatile metals condense as fine fumes and are captured but with a lower removal efficiency than for non-volatile metals. When non-volatile metals are introduced into the kiln system they are, to a great extent, captured within the clinker (see Section 1.3.4.7).

Cross-media effects
Effective dust removal also reduces metal emissions.

Operational data and applicability
By using powdered activated carbon injection, mercury is adsorbed by activated carbon. Removal efficiency for mercury (metallic and ionic) of about 85 and 95 % is reported for this measure/technique. This results in Hg emissions of 0.01 – 0.03 mg/Nm³. However, there is no industrial reference method for this measure/technique.
The static activated carbon or coke filter involves the adsorption of mercury with PCDD/Fs on the activated carbon filter that is a packed-bed with modular partition walls. The use of this measure/technique provides Hg emissions of below 0.03 mg/Nm$^3$. The filter has to be periodically replaced when it is saturated. Wastes, such as used activated carbon with PCDD/Fs and other pollutants like mercury, is hazardous waste and has to be managed as such [86, EURITS, 2006].

However, there is only one activated carbon filter in use in EU-23+ countries which is in Siggenthal in Switzerland (see Section 1.4.5.2.3).

Furthermore, mercury emissions of between <0.01 – 0.05 mg/Nm$^3$ were also achieved without the injection of activated carbon as shown in Figure 1.46 (spot measurements) and Figure 1.47 (yearly average values, see Section 1.3.4.7.1).

When co-incinerating waste, the requirements of the Waste Incineration Directive have to be met [59, European Union, 2000].

**Economics**

A required quality assurance system to guarantee the characteristics of materials fed to the kiln may result in additional costs.

Effective dust removal can avoid high costs for activated carbon filters.

For the Siggental plant in Switzerland, the City of Zurich financed about 30 % of the total investment cost of approximately EUR 15 million.

**Driving force for implementation**

Legal requirements.

**Example plants**

Siggental, Switzerland

**Reference literature**

[27, University of Karlsruhe, 1996], [59, European Union, 2000], [86, EURITS, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]

1.4.8 **Examples of cost data for different flue-gas abatement measures/techniques and primary measures/techniques which can be used for emissions reductions**

1.4.8.1 **Example cost data for dust abatement measures/techniques**

Table 1.39 shows examples of cost calculations for dust abatement measures/techniques. Different dust abatement measures/techniques have been considered for investigation, such as ESPs and fabric filters. Variable operating costs include electricity, labour and dust disposal costs and are described separately within this table. Furthermore, key characteristics for different fabric filters along with cost schedules can be found in Section 1.4.4.3.2, where the technical applications of fibres are shown in Table 1.32. The information in Table 1.32 is compiled from 2003 and will be subject to local fixed costs with regards to energy and labour. It has to be noted that in Table 1.32 no account is taken of the replacement costs for filter media in the fabric filters.
## Investments and costs of dust abatement measures/techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Abatement measure/technique</th>
<th>ESP</th>
<th>Fabric filter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description of reference installation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I(^{(0)})</td>
<td>I(^{(0)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>t of clinker/d</td>
<td>I(^{(0)})</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>I(^{(0)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime</td>
<td>yr</td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant factor</td>
<td>h/yr</td>
<td></td>
<td>7680</td>
<td>7680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investment costs</strong></td>
<td>k EUR</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>2100 – 4600(^{(8)})</td>
<td>1750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annualised capital costs</strong></td>
<td>k EUR</td>
<td>184.94</td>
<td>215.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest rate</td>
<td>%/100/yr</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime of the control equipment</td>
<td>yr</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>k EUR</td>
<td>184.94</td>
<td>215.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Variable operating costs</strong></td>
<td>k EUR/tonne clinker</td>
<td>5.25E-04</td>
<td>6.13E-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fixed operating costs</strong></td>
<td>%/yr (^{2})</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>k EUR</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Costs per tonne clinker</strong></td>
<td>k EUR/tonne clinker</td>
<td>1.70E-04</td>
<td>1.99E-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Costs per tonne PM(^{3}) abated</strong></td>
<td>k EUR/t PM abated</td>
<td>1.01E-03</td>
<td>1.19E-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unabated emissions factor(^{1})</td>
<td>t PM/t clinker</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abated emissions factor(^{1})</td>
<td>t PM/t clinker</td>
<td>0.000046</td>
<td>0.000046</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>k EUR/t PM abated</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total operating costs</strong></td>
<td>EUR/t clinker</td>
<td>0.1 – 0.3</td>
<td>0.1 – 0.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Determination of the variable operating cost</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electricity costs(^{4})</strong></td>
<td>kWh/t clinker</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional electricity demand ((\lambda^2) (^{5}))</td>
<td>kWh</td>
<td>190 kW</td>
<td>240 kW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity price ((c^5))</td>
<td>EUR/kWh</td>
<td>0.0569</td>
<td>0.0569</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>k EUR/tonne clinker</td>
<td>2.36E-04</td>
<td>2.98E-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Labour costs(^{6})</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Investments and costs of dust abatement measures/techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Abatement measure/technique</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ESP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour demand ($\lambda^1$)</td>
<td>man-year/t clinker</td>
<td>2.13E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wages ($c^1$)</td>
<td>EUR/man-year</td>
<td>37.234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>EUR/tonne clinker</td>
<td>7.93E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust disposal costs$^7$</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total variable operating costs</td>
<td>EUR/tonne clinker</td>
<td>3.15E-04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$k$ EUR = EUR thousand

$^0$ 2000 data, reference cement plant with a capacity of 1100 tonnes per day representing the average of the EU-15 in 1995

$^1$ 10% O$_2$ and dry gas

$^2$ Of investment

$^3$ Repartition 50% of ESP and 50% of fabric filter; use of average between ESP and fabric filter

$^4$ Electricity costs = $\lambda^2$ * $c^2$ / 10$^3$ (EUR/t)

$^5$ Additional electricity demand = new total consumption - old total consumption

$^6$ Labour costs = $\lambda^3$ * $c^3$ (EUR/t)

$^7$ Dust disposal costs = $\lambda^4$ * $c^4$ * $e_{\text{f unabated}}$ * $\eta$ / 10$^3$ (EUR/t)

$e_{\text{f unabated}}$: unabated emissions factor of pollutant (t pollutant/t)

$\lambda^4$: demand for dust disposal (t/t pollutant removed)

$c^4$: specific dust disposal cost (EUR/t)

$\eta$: removal efficiency (= 1 - $e_{\text{f unabated}}$/$e_{\text{f unabated}}$

$^8$ 2000 data, includes investment costs for conditioning tower and filter fan (EUR 0.6 million – 0.8 million)

$^9$ 2006 data

$^10$ Includes investment costs for conditioning tower and filter fan (EUR 0.6 million – 0.8 million)

$^11$ For separation efficiency >99.9%, depending on type and number of filter bags used

Table 1.39: Examples of cost data for dust abatement measures/techniques

[9, CEMBUREAU, 1997 November], [76, Germany, 2006], [100, France, 2006], [102, France/DFIU/IFARE Karlsruhe, 2003], [103, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]

#### 1.4.8.2 Example cost data for NO$_x$ abatement measures/techniques

Table 1.40 shows examples of cost calculations for NO$_x$ abatement measures/techniques for a reference cement plant with a capacity representing the average of the EU-15 in 1995 (1100 t/d) and example plants with different capacities. The investigated NO$_x$ abatement measures/techniques are SNCR, SCR and NO$_x$ primary measures/techniques. Variable operating costs include electricity and labour costs and costs for ammonia used for SNCR are described separately within this table.

Furthermore, comparison of costs for the NO$_x$ abatement measures/techniques of SNCR versus SCR by German UBA for a German plant can be found in Section 4.2.4.2, Table 4.26. Both investment and operating costs were calculated for different NO$_x$ levels which were achieved, i.e. 200 and 500 mg/Nm$^3$. As shown from Table 4.26, the operating costs for SNCR and SCR - including replacement costs for the catalyst – are roughly the same for a target NO$_x$ level of 500 mg/Nm$^3$, while the total specific costs for SCR are approximately 50% higher than for SNCR. At a target NO$_x$ level of 200 mg/Nm$^3$, SCR seems to be more efficient regarding operating costs, but the total specific costs are still at the same level of magnitude as for SNCR [76, Germany, 2006].
### Investments and costs of NO\textsubscript{x} abatement measures/techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{x} primary measures/techniques(^a)</th>
<th>Flame cooling(^b)</th>
<th>Staged (^7) combustion</th>
<th>SNCR(^0)</th>
<th>SCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description of reference installation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>t of clinker/d</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>t of clinker/yr</td>
<td>352000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime</td>
<td>yr</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant factor</td>
<td>h/yr</td>
<td>7680</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investment costs</strong></td>
<td>EUR million</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>up to 0.2</td>
<td>0.1 – 2(^7)</td>
<td>1 – 4 (^9)</td>
<td>15 – 20(^10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Specific investment costs</strong></td>
<td>EUR/t clinker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annualised capital costs</strong></td>
<td>k EUR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest rate</td>
<td>%/100/yr</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime of the control equipment</td>
<td>yr</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>k EUR</td>
<td>37.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>166.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fixed operating costs</strong></td>
<td>%/yr (^2)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>k EUR</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Variable operating costs</strong></td>
<td>k EUR/t clinker</td>
<td>2.84E-05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.82E-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Costs per tonne clinker</strong></td>
<td>k EUR/t NO\textsubscript{x} abated</td>
<td>2.64E-05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.69E-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Costs per tonne NO\textsubscript{x} (^{abated}) abated</strong></td>
<td>k EUR/t NO\textsubscript{x} abated</td>
<td>1.60E-04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.11E-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unabated emissions factor(^1)</strong></td>
<td>t NO\textsubscript{x}/t clinker</td>
<td>0.00322</td>
<td>1400 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td>0.002415</td>
<td>1050 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Abatement efficiency(^1)</strong></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Catalysts Replacement costs</strong></td>
<td>EUR/t clinker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Determination of the variable operating costs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electricity costs(^3)</strong></td>
<td>kWh/t clinker</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>20 kW</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>5.96 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electricity price (e^c)</strong></td>
<td>EUR/kWh</td>
<td>0.0569</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>k EUR/t clinker</td>
<td>2.48E-05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ammonia costs(^6)</strong></td>
<td>NH\textsubscript{3} water storage</td>
<td>EUR million</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ef\textsubscript{unabated}</strong></td>
<td>T pollutant/t clinker</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1. LO
2. LO
3. LO
4. LO
5. LO
6. LO
7. LO
8. LO
9. LO
10. LO
### Investments and costs of NO\textsubscript{x} abatement measures/techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{x} primary measures/techniques\textsuperscript{0)}</th>
<th>Flame cooling\textsuperscript{8)}</th>
<th>Staged combustion \textsuperscript{7)}</th>
<th>SNCR\textsuperscript{0)}</th>
<th>SCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NH\textsubscript{3}/NO\textsubscript{x} (mol/mol) ratio for NO\textsubscript{x} emitted</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific NH\textsubscript{3} demand (\textgamma)</td>
<td>t/t pollutant removed</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH\textsubscript{3} price (c\textsuperscript{c})</td>
<td>EUR/t</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.26 – 0.64\textsuperscript{7)}</td>
<td>0.13 – 0.26\textsuperscript{7)}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal efficiency (\eta)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>k EUR/t clinker</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.36E-04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Labour costs\textsuperscript{5)}

| Labour demand (\lambda) | man-year/t clinker | 7.10E-07 | 7.10E-07 |
| Wages (c\textsuperscript{c}) | k EUR/man-year | 37.234 | 37.234 |
| Total | k EUR/t clinker | 2.64E-05 | 2.64E-05 |
| Total variable operating costs | k EUR/t | 2.48E-05 | 5.69E-04 \textsuperscript{7)} | 0.30 – 0.70\textsuperscript{7)} | 0.33 – 0.70\textsuperscript{7)} |
| Total costs (investment and operating) | EUR/t clinker | 0.38 – 0.62\textsuperscript{7)} | 0.85\textsuperscript{11)} | 0.83 – 0.87\textsuperscript{7)} | 2.3\textsuperscript{11)} |

k EUR = EUR thousand

\textsuperscript{0)} 2000 data, reference cement plant with a capacity of 1100 tonnes per day representing the average of the EU-15 in 1995

\textsuperscript{1)} 10 % O\textsubscript{2} and dry gas

\textsuperscript{2)} Of investment

\textsuperscript{3)} Electricity costs = \lambda\textsuperscript{l} \cdot c\textsuperscript{e}/10\textsuperscript{7} (k EUR/t)

\textsuperscript{4)} Additional electricity demand = new total consumption - old total consumption

\textsuperscript{5)} Labour costs = \lambda\textsuperscript{l} \cdot c\textsuperscript{l} (k EUR/t)

\textsuperscript{6)} Ammonia costs = \lambda\textsuperscript{d} \cdot c\textsuperscript{d} \cdot E\textsuperscript{f,unabated} \cdot \eta/10\textsuperscript{3} (k EUR/t)

E\textsuperscript{f,unabated}: unabated emissions factor of pollutant (t pollutant/t)

\lambda\textsuperscript{e}: specific NH\textsubscript{3} demand (t/t pollutant removed)

c\textsuperscript{e}: specific dust disposal cost (EUR/t)

\eta: removal efficiency (=1-E\textsuperscript{f,unabated}/E\textsuperscript{f,abated})

\textsuperscript{7)} Germany, depends on level of NO\textsubscript{x} reduction to 500 or 200 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}

\textsuperscript{8)} Precalciner kiln

\textsuperscript{9)} Precalciner and the tertiary duct for a 3000 t/d preheater kiln with a grate cooler into a precalciner kiln

\textsuperscript{10)} Transformation of a 3000 t/d preheater kiln with a satellite cooler into a precalciner kiln with a grate cooler

\textsuperscript{11)} Austria

---

Table 1.40: Examples of costs for NO\textsubscript{x} abatement measures/techniques

[76, Germany, 2006], [85, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [100, France, 2006], [102, France/DFIU/IFARE Karlsruhe, 2003], [105, YARA, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]
1.4.8.3 Example cost data for SO$_x$ abatement measures/techniques

Table 1.41 shows examples of cost calculations for SO$_x$ abatement measures/techniques for a reference cement plant with a capacity of 1100 t/d. The investigated SO$_x$ abatement measures/techniques are injection of absorbent and/or wet scrubbers. Variable operating costs include electricity and labour costs, and waste disposal and lime costs are described separately within this table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of reference installation</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capacity</strong> t of clinker/d</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capacity</strong> t of clinker/yr</td>
<td>352000</td>
<td>352000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exhaust gas flow</strong> Nm$^3$/h</td>
<td>105417</td>
<td>105417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lifetime</strong> yr</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plant factor</strong> h/yr</td>
<td>7680</td>
<td>7680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investment costs</strong> k EUR</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>5500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annualised capital costs</strong> k EUR</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lifetime of the control equipment</strong> yr</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total investment costs</strong> k EUR</td>
<td>24.66</td>
<td>678.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fixed operating costs</strong> %/yr</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total fixed operating costs</strong> k EUR</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Variable operating costs</strong> k EUR/t of clinker</td>
<td>6.98E-04</td>
<td>6.06E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Costs per tonne of clinker</strong> k EUR/t clinker</td>
<td>2.27E-05</td>
<td>6.25E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Costs per tonne of SO$_x$ abated</strong> k EUR/t SO$_x$ abated</td>
<td>0.573</td>
<td>1.144</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Determination of the variable operating costs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electricity costs</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Additional electricity demand (λ$_e$)</strong> kWh/t of clinker</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>8.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electricity price (c$_e$)</strong> EUR/kWh</td>
<td>0.0569</td>
<td>0.0569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total electricity costs</strong> k EUR/t of clinker</td>
<td>8.69E-05</td>
<td>4.66E-04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste disposal costs</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unabated emissions factor of pollutant (ef$_{unabated}$)</strong> t pollutant/t clinker</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demand for waste disposal (λ$_s$)</strong> t/t pollutant removed</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Specific waste disposal costs (c$_s$)</strong> EUR/t</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Removal efficiency (η)</strong> %</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total waste disposal costs</strong> k EUR/t clinker</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lime costs/limestone costs</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unabated emissions factor of pollutant (ef$_{unabated}$)</strong> T pollutant/t clinker</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
<td>0.00368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ca/S (mol/mol) ratio for SO$_x$ emitted</strong></td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ca/S (mol/mol) ratio for SO$_x$ removed</strong></td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Investments and costs of SO\textsubscript{x} abatement measures/techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Absorbent injection\textsuperscript{0)</th>
<th>Wet scrubber 75 %\textsuperscript{0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specific sorbent demand ((\lambda^s))</td>
<td>t lime/t pollutant removed</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific limestone demand ((\lambda^l))</td>
<td>t lime/t pollutant removed</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorbent price ((c^s))</td>
<td>EUR/t</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal efficiency ((\eta))</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>k EUR/t clinker</td>
<td>5.85E-05</td>
<td>8.80E-05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Labour costs\textsuperscript{5)***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Absorbent injection\textsuperscript{0)</th>
<th>Wet scrubber 75 %\textsuperscript{0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Labour demand ((\lambda^l))</td>
<td>man-year/t clinker</td>
<td>7.10E-07</td>
<td>1.42E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wages ((c^w))</td>
<td>k EUR/man-year</td>
<td>37.234</td>
<td>37.234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>k EUR/t clinker</td>
<td>2.64E-05</td>
<td>5.29E-05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total variable operating costs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Absorbent injection\textsuperscript{0)</th>
<th>Wet scrubber 75 %\textsuperscript{0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Labour demand ((\lambda^l))</td>
<td>man-year/t clinker</td>
<td>6.98E-04</td>
<td>6.06E-04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\text{k EUR} = \text{EUR thousand}\)

\(\text{0) 2000 data, reference cement plant with a capacity of 1100 tonnes per day representing the average of the EU-15 in 1995}\)

\(\text{1) 10 \% O}_2 \text{ and dry gas}\)

\(\text{2) Of investment}\)

\(\text{3) Electricity costs} = \lambda^s \cdot c^s/10^3 \text{(k EUR/t)}\)

\(\text{4) Additional electricity demand} = \text{new total consumption} - \text{old total consumption}\)

\(\text{5) Labour costs} = \lambda^l \cdot c^l \text{(k EUR/t)}\)

\(\text{6) Lime costs} = \lambda^l \cdot c^l \cdot c_{\text{f, unabated}} \cdot \eta/10^3 \text{(k EUR/t)}\)

\(\text{c}_{\text{f, unabated}}: \text{unabated emissions factor of pollutant (t pollutant/t)}\)

\(\lambda^l: \text{specific lime demand (t/t pollutant removed)}\)

\(c^l: \text{lime price (EUR/t)}\)

\(\eta: \text{removal efficiency} (=1-\text{c}_{\text{f, unabated}}/\text{c}_{\text{f, unabated}})\)

---

**Table 1.41: Example of costs for SO\textsubscript{x} abatement measures/techniques**

[100, France, 2006], [102, France/DFIU/IFARE Karlsruhe, 2003], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]

### 1.4.9 Process losses/waste

**Description**

Collected dust can be recycled back into the production processes whenever practicable. This recycling may take place directly into the kiln or kiln feed (the alkali metal content being the limiting factor) or by blending with finished cement products. Alternative uses may be found for material that cannot be recycled.

**Achieved environmental benefits**

Reduction of waste materials occurring from the process along with raw material savings.

**Cross-media effects**

The content of metals in the collected dust is the limiting factor for using collected dust as raw material and can have a negative effect on metal emissions.

Furthermore, the content of chlorine in the collected dust is a limiting factor for the use of collected dust and the recycling has to be adapted to comply with product quality requirements (kiln feed or blending with finished cement products).

**Operational data**

No data provided.

**Applicability**

The re-use of process losses/waste is, in principle, applicable in the cement industry (see Section 1.3.5).
Chapter 1

**Economics**
No data provided.

**Driving force for implementation**
Legal requirements.

**Example plants and reference literature**
Cement plants in the EU-27.
[86, EURITS, 2006], [88, SINTEF, 2006], [75, Estonia, 2006], [103, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]

1.4.10 Noise

**Description**
This section deals with possibilities for the reduction of noise occurring in several steps of the cement manufacturing processes.

A reduction of noise emissions can often be achieved by directly applying measures/techniques at the source of the noise. Sources of noise are, for example, extraction and processing of raw material as well as cement/clinker production, crushing units, grinding and preparation units, the cement kilns themselves, e.g. cement finishing mills, belt conveyors, filter units, the cooling systems of the kilns, such as satellite coolers, etc. Noise emissions occur throughout the whole process chain from blowing in the quarry to shipping of the final products.

Various measures/techniques for noise abatement can be applied at cement plants in order to reduce the noise levels for neighbourhood protection, such as:

- enclosure of the noisy unit
- vibration insulation of units
- using internal and external lining made of resistant material for chutes
- soundproof buildings to shelter any operations involving material transformation equipment
- building up noise protection walls
- outlet silencers for exhaust stacks
- lagging of ducts and finally blowers which are situated in soundproofed buildings.

If the above-mentioned noise protection measures/techniques cannot be applied and if a transfer of noisy units inside a building is not possible, e.g. because of the sizes of kilns and their facilities, secondary noise protection measures/techniques, e.g. construction of buildings or natural barriers, such as growing trees and bushes between the protected area and the noisy activity, e.g. the kiln or the storage area, have to be carried out. Doors and windows of covered areas have to be kept closed during noisy operations.

**Achieved environmental benefits**
Reduction of noise emissions.

**Cross-media effects**
No issues.

**Operational data**
No data available.

**Applicability**
Measures/techniques for noise reduction can, in principle, be applicable in the cement industry (see Section 1.3.7).
Economics
Additional investment and maintenance costs can occur due to the noise protection measures/techniques.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.

Example plants and reference literature
Cement plants in the EU-27.
More information about noise abatement and a noise abatement scheme can be found in the lime chapter, Section 2.4.10.
[45, Schorcht, 2006] [81, Castle Cement UK, 2006] [168, TWG CLM, 2007]

1.4.11 General considerations for odours
Odours caused by hydrocarbon emissions can be avoided by thermal after-burning, use of an activated carbon filter or by feeding the raw material responsible to the hot zone of the kiln.

If odours are caused by sulphur compounds, a change of fuel and/or raw material can be a solution, also see Section 1.4.5.2.

Odours can also be caused by the storage of wastes which are used as raw materials or fuels. Storage places of waste materials can be covered or waste storage systems can be used.

1.4.12 Environmental management tools

Description
The best environmental performance is usually achieved by the installation of the best technique and its operation in the most effective and efficient manner. This is recognised by the IPPC Directive's definition of ‘techniques’ as “both the technology used and the way in which the installation is designed, built, maintained, operated and decommissioned”.

For IPPC installations an Environmental Management System (EMS) is a tool that operators can use to address these design, construction, maintenance, operation and decommissioning issues in a systematic, demonstrable way. An EMS includes the organisational structure, responsibilities, practices, procedures, processes and resources for developing, implementing, maintaining, reviewing and monitoring the environmental policy. Environmental Management Systems are most effective and efficient where they form an inherent part of the overall management and operation of an installation.

All effective EMSs contain the notion of continuous improvement meaning that environmental management is a process, not a project which eventually comes to an end. There are various process designs, but most environmental management systems are based on the plan-do-check-act cycle (which is widely used in other company management contexts). The cycle is a re-iterative dynamic model, where the completion of one cycle flows into the beginning of the next, see Figure 1.70.
Within the European Union, many organisations have decided on a voluntary basis to implement environmental management systems based on EN ISO 14001:2004 or the EU Eco Management and Audit Scheme EMAS. EMAS includes the management system requirements of EN ISO 14001, but places additional emphasis on legal compliance, environmental performance and employee involvement. It also requires external verification of the management system and validation of a public environmental statement (in EN ISO 14001 self-declaration is an alternative to external verification). There are also many organisations that have decided to put in place non-standardised EMSs.

While both standardised systems (EN ISO 14001:2004 and EMAS) and non-standardised (‘customised’) systems in principle take the organisation as the entity, this document takes a more narrower approach, not including all activities of the organisation e.g. with regard to their products and services, due to the fact that the regulated entity under the IPPC Directive is the installation (as defined in Article 2 of the Directive).

An Environmental Management System (EMS) for an IPPC installation can contain the following components:

- commitment of top management
- definition of an environmental policy
- planning and establishing objectives and targets
- implementation and operation of procedures
- checking and corrective action
- management review
- preparation of a regular environmental statement
- validation by certification body or an external EMS verifier
- design considerations for end-of-life plant decommissioning
- development of cleaner technologies
- benchmarking.

These features are explained in somewhat greater detail below. For detailed information on components (b) to (h), which are all included in EMAS, the reader is referred to the reference literature indicated below.
a. Commitment of top management:

The commitment of top management is the precondition for a successful EMS. It is important that top management:

- place environmental management high on the company agenda, make it visible and give it credibility
- identify one top manager with responsibility for environmental management
- help create an environmental management culture and create the necessary driving forces for implementation
- define a strategy (long term visions) to achieve environmental objectives
- set company targets to achieve these environmental objectives
- define short and medium term concrete actions to achieve the long term vision
- provide the platform to integrate decision-making in order to achieve integrated pollution prevention and control, particularly for when planning new installations or significant upgrading
- guide the company to make investment and purchasing decisions that achieve integrated pollution prevention and control on a continuing basis. Integrated pollution prevention and control is achieved through integrated decision-making and actions, including the buying of utilities and capital equipment, planning, production, and maintenance as well as environmental management
- define an environmental policy, see (b) below.

b. Definition of an environmental policy

Top management are responsible for defining an environmental policy for an installation and ensuring that it:

- is appropriate to the nature, scale and environmental impacts of the activities
- includes a commitment to pollution prevention and control including the efficient use of raw materials, energy and water
- includes a commitment to comply with all relevant applicable environmental legislation and regulations, and with other requirements to which the organisation subscribes
- provides the framework for setting and reviewing environmental objectives and targets
- is documented and communicated to all employees
- is available to the public and all interested parties.

c. Planning and establishing objectives and targets:

It is important to have:

- procedures to identify the environmental aspects of the installation, in order to determine those activities which have or can have significant impacts on the environment, and to keep this information up-to-date
- procedures to evaluate proposals for new processes, units and equipment, change in raw and auxiliary materials or fuels, upgrades, rebuilds and replacements in order to identify the environmental aspects and to influence the planning and purchasing to optimise the environmental performance of the installation with regard to the objectives of the IPPC Directive
- procedures to authorise the modifications indicated above and to undertake checks after modifications have been implemented and before the process starts up
- procedures to identify and have access to legal and other requirements to which the organisation subscribes and that are applicable to the environmental aspects of its activities
establishing and reviewing documented environmental objectives and targets, taking into consideration the legal and other requirements to which the organisation subscribes and the views of interested parties.

establishing and regularly updating an environmental management programme, including designation of responsibility for achieving objectives and targets at each relevant function and level as well as the means and timeframe by which they are to be achieved.

carrying out audits to review e.g. the efficient use of energy, water, raw and auxiliary materials.

d. Implementation and operation of procedures

It is important to have systems in place to ensure that procedures are known, understood and complied with, therefore effective environmental management includes:

(i) Structure and responsibility
   - defining, documenting, reporting and communicating roles, responsibilities and authorities, which includes appointing one specific management representative (in addition to a top manager (see (a) above)
   - providing resources essential to the implementation and control of the environmental management system, including human resources and specialised skills, technique and financial resources.

(ii) Training, awareness and competence
   - defining, documenting and communicating skills and competencies required for each job
   - identifying training needs to ensure that all personnel whose work may significantly affect the environmental impacts of the activity have received appropriate training for all modes of operations they can encounter, i.e. preparation, start-up, routine operation, shutdown and abnormal conditions.

(iii) Communication
   - establishing and maintaining procedures for internal communication between the various levels and functions of the installation, as well as procedures that foster a dialogue with external interested parties and procedures for receiving, documenting and, where reasonable, responding to relevant communication from external interested parties.

(iv) Employee involvement
   - involving employees in the process aimed at achieving a high level of environmental performance by applying appropriate forms of participation such as the suggestion-book system or project-based group works or environmental committees.

(v) Documentation
   - establishing and maintaining up-to-date information, in paper or electronic form, to describe the core elements of the management system and their interaction and to provide direction to related documentation.

(vi) Efficient process control
   - adequate control of processes and equipment (including pollution prevention and control equipment) under all modes of operation, i.e. preparation, start-up, routine operation, shutdown and abnormal conditions
   - identifying the key performance indicators (e.g. flow, pressure, temperature, composition, quantity) and methods (e.g. weighting systems, metering systems, calculations, sampling and analysis) for measuring and controlling these parameters.
documenting and analysing abnormal operating conditions to identify the root causes and then addressing these to ensure that abnormal events do not recur (this can be facilitated by a ‘no-blame’ culture where the identification of causes is more important than apportioning blame to individuals).

(vii) Maintenance programme
- establishing a structured programme for maintenance (including preventive and corrective maintenance) based on technical descriptions of the equipment, norms etc. as well as any equipment failures and consequences of the failures
- identifying from routine maintenance, breakdowns and/or abnormalities, possible decreases in environmental performance, or where environmental performance could be improved
- supporting the maintenance programme by appropriate record keeping systems and diagnostic testing
- clearly allocating responsibility for the planning and execution of maintenance.

(viii) Emergency preparedness and response
- establishing and maintaining procedures to identify the potential for and response to accidents and emergency situations, and for preventing and mitigating the environmental impacts that may be associated with them.

e. Checking and corrective action (see also benchmarking (k):

(i) Monitoring and measurement
- establishing and maintaining documented procedures to monitor and measure, on a regular basis, the key characteristics of operations and activities that can have a significant impact on the environment. This includes the recording of information for tracking performance, relevant operational controls and conformance with the installation's environmental objectives and targets (see also the Reference Document on the General Principles of Monitoring [151, European Commission, 2003])
- establishing and maintaining a documented procedure for periodically evaluating compliance with relevant environmental legislation and regulations.

(ii) Corrective and preventive action
- establishing and maintaining procedures for defining responsibility and authority for handling and investigating non-conformance with permit conditions, other legal requirements and commitments as well as objectives and targets
- taking action to mitigate any impacts caused by abnormal events and for initiating and completing corrective and preventive action that are appropriate to the magnitude of the problem and commensurate with the environmental impact encountered.

(iii) Records
- establishing and maintaining procedures for the identification, maintenance and disposition of legible, identifiable and traceable environmental records, including training records and the results of audits and reviews.

(iv) Audit
- establishing and maintaining programme (or programmes) and procedures for periodic environmental management system audits. Such a programme (or programmes) include discussions with personnel, inspection of operating conditions and equipment and reviewing of records and documentation. Each audit result in a written report, to be carried out impartially and objectively by employees (internal audits) or external parties (external audits), covering the audit scope, frequency and methodologies, as well as the responsibilities and requirements for conducting audits and reporting results, in order to determine whether or not the
environmental management system conforms to planned arrangements and has been properly implemented and maintained

- completing the audit or audit cycle, as appropriate, at intervals of no longer than three years, depending on the nature, scale and complexity of the activities, the significance of associated environmental impacts, the importance and urgency of the problems detected by previous audits and the history of environmental problems - more complex activities with a more significant environmental impact are audited more frequently
- having appropriate mechanisms in place to ensure that the audit results are followed up.

(v) Periodic evaluation of legal compliance
- reviewing compliance with the applicable environmental legislation and the conditions of the environmental permit(s) held by the installation
- documentation of the evaluation.

f. Management review:

- reviewing, by top management, at intervals that it determines, of the environmental management system, to ensure its continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness
- ensuring that the necessary information is collected to allow management to carry out this evaluation
- documentation of the review.

g. Preparation of a regular environmental statement:

- preparing an environmental statement that pays particular attention to the results achieved by the installation against its environmental objectives and targets. It is regularly produced - from once a year to less frequently depending on the significance of emissions, waste generation etc. This statement considers the information needs of relevant interested parties and it is publicly available (e.g. in electronic publications, libraries etc.). When producing a statement, the operator may use relevant existing environmental performance indicators, making sure that the indicators chosen:

  i. give an accurate appraisal of the installation’s environmental performance
  ii. are understandable and unambiguous
  iii. allow for year on year comparison to assess the development of the environmental performance of the installation
  iv. allow for comparison with sector, national or regional benchmarks as appropriate
  v. allow for comparison with regulatory requirements as appropriate.

h. Validation by certification body or an external EMS verifier:

- having the management system, audit procedure and environmental statement examined and validated by an accredited certification body or an external EMS verifier can, if carried out properly, enhance the credibility of the system.

i. Design considerations for end-of-life plant decommissioning

- giving consideration to the environmental impact from the eventual decommissioning of the installation at the stage of designing a new plant, as forethought makes decommissioning easier, cleaner and cheaper
- decommissioning poses environmental risks for the contamination of land (and groundwater) and generates large quantities of solid waste. Preventive techniques are process-specific but general considerations may include:
i. avoiding underground structures
ii. incorporating features that facilitate dismantling
iii. choosing surface finishes that are easily decontaminated
iv. using an equipment configuration that minimises trapped chemicals and facilitates drain-down or cleaning
v. designing flexible, self-contained units that enable phased closure
vi. using biodegradable and recyclable materials where possible.

j. Development of cleaner technologies:
   • environmental protection should be an inherent feature of any process design activities carried out by the operator or its contractors, since techniques incorporated at the earliest possible design stage are both more effective and cheaper. Giving consideration to the development of cleaner technologies can for instance occur through R&D activities or studies. As an alternative to internal activities, arrangements can be made to keep abreast with – and where appropriate – commissioned work by other operators or research institutes active in the relevant field.

k. Benchmarking, i.e.:
   • carrying out systematic and regular comparisons with sector, national or regional benchmarks, including for energy efficiency and energy conservation activities, choice of input materials, emissions to air and discharges to water (using for example the European Pollutant Emission Register, EPER), consumption of water and generation of waste.

**Standardised and non-standardised EMSs:**
An EMS can take the form of a standardised or non-standardised ("customised") system. Implementation and adherence to an internationally accepted standardised system such as EN ISO 14001:2004 can give higher credibility to the EMS, especially when subjected to a properly performed external verification. EMAS provides additional credibility due to the interaction with the public through the environmental statement and the mechanism to ensure compliance with the applicable environmental legislation. However, non-standardised systems can in principle be equally effective provided that they are properly designed and implemented.

**Achieved environmental benefits**
Implementation of and adherence to an EMS focuses the attention of the operator on the environmental performance of the installation. In particular, the maintenance of and compliance with clear operating procedures for both normal and abnormal situations and the associated lines of responsibility should ensure that the installation’s permit conditions and other environmental targets and objectives are met at all times.

Environmental management systems typically ensure the continuous improvement of the environmental performance of the installation. The poorer the starting point is, the more significant short-term improvements can be expected. If the installation already has a good overall environmental performance, an EMS helps the operator to maintain the high performance level.

**Cross-media effects**
Environmental management techniques are designed to address the overall environmental impact of the installation, which is consistent with the integrated approach of the IPPC Directive.
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Operational data
All significant consumptions (including energy) and emissions are managed in a coordinated manner by the operator for the short, medium and long term, in conjunction with financial planning and investment cycles. This means that e.g. adapting short-term end-of-pipe solutions to emissions may tie the operator to long term higher energy consumption, and postpone investment in potentially more environmentally beneficial solutions overall. This requires some consideration of the cross-media issues, and guidance on these and the costing and cost-benefits issues is given in the Reference Document on Economics and Cross-Media Effects [179, European Commission, 2006] and in the Reference Document on Best Available Techniques for Energy Efficiency [181, European Commission, 2008]

Applicability
The components described above can typically be applied to all IPPC installations. The scope (e.g. level of detail) and nature of the EMS (e.g. standardised or non-standardised) will be related to the nature, scale and complexity of the installation, and the range of environmental impacts it may have.

Economics
It is difficult to accurately determine the costs and economic benefits of introducing and maintaining a good EMS. A number of studies have been made but do not reflect the size or complexity of the cement (lime, magnesium oxide) sector. There also economical benefits that are the result of using an EMS and this varies widely from sector to sector.

In some Member States reduced supervision fees are charged if the installation has a certification.

External costs relating to verification of the system can be estimated from guidance issued by the International Accreditation Forum (http://www.iaf.nu).

Driving forces for implementation
Environmental management systems can provide a number of advantages, for example:

- improved insight into the environmental aspects of the company
- improved basis for decision-making
- improved motivation of personnel
- additional opportunities for operational cost reduction and product quality improvement
- improved environmental performance
- improved company image
- reduced liability, insurance and non-compliance costs
- increased attractiveness for employees, customers and investors
- increased trust of regulators, which could lead to reduced regulatory oversight
- improved relationship with environmental groups.

Example plants
The features described under (b) to (f) above are elements of EN ISO 14001:2004 and the European Union Eco-Management and Audit Scheme (EMAS), whereas the features (g) and (h) are specific to EMAS. These two standardised systems are applied in a number of IPPC installations.

---

Reference literature
1.5 Best available techniques for the cement industry

In understanding this section and its contents, the attention of the reader is drawn back to the preface of this document and in particular the fifth section of the preface: ‘How to understand and use this document’. The measures/techniques and associated emission and/or consumption levels, or ranges of levels, presented in this section have been assessed through an iterative process involving the following steps:

- identification of the key environmental issues for the sector; for the manufacture of cement these are energy use including the use of wastes as fuel and emissions to air
- examination of the measures/techniques most relevant to address those key issues
- identification of the best environmental performance levels, on the basis of the available data in the European Union and worldwide
- examination of the conditions under which these performance levels were achieved; such as costs, cross-media effects, main driving forces involved in implementation of these measures/techniques
- selection of the best available techniques (BAT) and the associated emission and/or consumption levels for this sector in a general sense according to Article 2(12) and Annex IV to the Directive.

Expert judgement by the European IPPC Bureau and the relevant Technical Working Group (TWG) has played a key role in each of these steps and in the way in which the information is presented here.

On the basis of this assessment, best available techniques, and as far as possible emission and consumption levels associated with their use, are presented in this section that are considered to be appropriate to the sector as a whole and in many cases reflect current performance of some installations within the sector. Where emission or consumption levels ‘associated with best available techniques’ are presented, this is to be understood as meaning that those levels represent the environmental performance that could be anticipated as a result of the application, in this sector, of the measures/techniques described, bearing in mind the balance of costs and advantages inherent within the definition of BAT. However, they are neither emission nor consumption limit values and should not be understood as such. In some cases it may be technically possible to achieve better emission or consumption levels but due to the costs involved or cross-media considerations, they are not considered to be appropriate as BAT for the sector as a whole. However, such levels may be considered to be justified in more specific cases where there are special driving forces.

The emission and consumption levels associated with the use of BAT have to be seen together with any specified reference conditions (e.g. averaging periods).

The concept of ‘levels associated with BAT’ described above is to be distinguished from the term ‘achievable level’ used elsewhere in this document. Where a level is described as ‘achievable’ using a particular measure/technique or combination of measures/techniques, this should be understood to mean that the level may be expected to be achieved over a substantial period of time in a well maintained and operated installation or process using those measures/techniques.

Where available, data concerning costs have been given together with the description of the measures/techniques presented in Section 1.4. These give a rough indication about the magnitude of costs involved. However, the actual cost of applying a measure/technique will depend strongly on the specific situation regarding, for example, taxes, fees, subsidies and the technical characteristics of the installation concerned. It is not possible to evaluate such site-specific factors fully in this document. In the absence of data concerning costs, conclusions on economic viability of measures/techniques are drawn from observations on existing installations.
The BAT (including the associated emission and consumption levels) given in this section are ‘BAT in a general sense’ (i.e. considered to be appropriate to the sector as a whole). It is intended that they are a reference point against which to judge the current performance of an existing installation or to judge a proposal for a new installation. In this way, they will assist in the determination of appropriate ‘BAT-based’ conditions for the installation or in the establishment of general binding rules under Article 9(8) of the IPPC Directive. It is foreseen that new installations can be designed to perform at or even better than the BAT levels presented in this section. It is also considered that existing installations could move towards the BAT levels presented in this section or do better, subject to the technical and economic applicability of the measure/techniques in each case.

While the BAT reference documents do not set legally binding standards, they are meant to give information for the guidance of industry, Member States and the public on achievable emission and consumption levels when using specified measures/techniques. The appropriate permit conditions for any specific case will need to be determined taking into account the objectives of the IPPC Directive and the local considerations.

‘Best Available Techniques’ for a specific installation will usually be the use of one individual or a combination of the BAT listed in this section.

Where measures/techniques identified individually as BAT can be used in combination, the effects of such combinations should be considered when drawing conclusions on BAT based permit conditions for individual cases.

**Recommendation to help users/readers of this document:**

It is strongly recommended to read this Section 1.5 in conjunction with Section 1.4, because the considerations regarding the applicability of the measures/techniques as mentioned in Section 1.4 have to be taken into account. To help the reader in this, references to Section 1.4 have been included in Section 1.5.

If not otherwise mentioned, BAT associated emission levels given in this section are expressed on a daily average basis and standard conditions. The following definitions regarding the standard conditions apply for volume flows and concentrations of kiln exhaust gases, and are also stated in the Glossary:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nm³/h</th>
<th>volume flow: if not otherwise mentioned in this section, the volume flows refer to 10 vol-% oxygen and standard state</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>concentration: if not otherwise mentioned in this section, the concentrations of gaseous substances or mixtures of substances refer to dry flue-gas at 10 vol-% oxygen and standard state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>standard state</td>
<td>refers to a temperature of 273 K, a pressure of 1013 hPa and dry gas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As described in the Preface, this document does not propose emission limit values. The best available techniques and presented ranges of emission or consumption levels associated with best available techniques (BAT-AEL ranges) are related to installations with different kiln sizes, different kinds of kiln operation, e.g. continuously or discontinuously, and different operational hours per year. In this document, special local considerations cannot be taken fully into account. The determination of appropriate permit conditions will involve taking account of local, site-specific factors such as the technical characteristics of the installation concerned, its geographical location and the local environmental conditions. Even the single objective of ensuring a high level of protection for the environment as a whole will often involve making trade-off judgements between different types of environmental impacts, and these judgements will often be influenced by local considerations.
Although an attempt is made to address some of these issues, it is not possible for them to be considered fully in this document. The techniques and levels presented here in Section 1.5 will therefore not necessarily be appropriate for all installations. On the other hand, the obligation to ensure a high level of environmental protection including the minimisation of long-distance or transboundary pollution implies that permit conditions cannot be set on the basis of purely local considerations. It is therefore of the utmost importance that the information contained in this document is fully taken into account.

1.5.1 Environmental management systems (EMS)

A number of environmental management techniques are determined as BAT. The scope (e.g. level of detail) and nature of the EMS (e.g. standardised or non-standardised) will generally be related to the nature, scale and complexity of the installation, and the range of environmental impacts it may have.

1. BAT is to implement and adhere to an Environmental Management System (EMS) that incorporates, as appropriate to the local circumstances, the following features (see Section 1.4.12):

   (a) commitment of top management (commitment of the top management is regarded as a precondition for a successful application of other features of the EMS)

   (b) definition of an environmental policy that include continuous improvement for the installation by top management

   (c) planning and establishing the necessary procedures, objectives and targets, in conjunction with financial planning and investment

   (d) implementation of the procedures, paying particular attention to:
      - structure and responsibility
      - training, awareness and competence
      - communication
      - employee involvement
      - documentation
      - efficient process control
      - maintenance programme
      - emergency preparedness and response
      - safeguarding compliance with environmental legislation

   (e) checking performance and taking corrective action, paying particular attention to:
      - monitoring and measurement (see also the Reference Document on the General Principles of Monitoring [151, European Commission, 2003])
      - corrective and preventive action
      - maintenance of records
      - independent (where practicable) internal auditing in order to determine whether or not the environmental management system conforms to planned arrangements and has been properly implemented and maintained

   (f) review of the EMS and its continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness by top management.
Three further features are listed below, and while these features have advantages, an EMS without them can be BAT:

- having the management system and audit procedure examined and validated by an accredited certification body or an external EMS verifier
- preparation and publication (and possibly external validation) of a regular environmental statement describing all the significant environmental aspects of the installation, allowing for year-by-year comparison against environmental objectives and targets as well as with sector benchmarks as appropriate
- implementation of and adherence to an internationally accepted voluntary system such as EMAS and EN ISO 14001:2004. This voluntary step could give higher credibility to the EMS. In particular EMAS, which embodies all the above-mentioned features, gives higher credibility. However, non-standardised systems can, in principle, be equally effective provided that they are properly designed and implemented.

Specifically for the cement manufacturing industry, it is also important to consider the following potential features of the EMS:

- the environmental impact from the eventual decommissioning of the unit at the stage of designing a new plant
- the development of cleaner technologies, and to follow developments
- where practicable, the application of sectoral benchmarking on a regular basis, including energy efficiency and energy conservation activities, choice of input materials, emissions to air, discharges to water, consumption of water and generation of waste.

### 1.5.2 General primary measures/techniques

2. **BAT is to achieve a smooth and stable kiln process, operating close to the process parameter set points, that is beneficial for all kiln emissions as well as the energy use by applying the following measures/techniques:**

   a) process control optimisation, including computer-based automatic control (see Section 1.4.3.1)
   b) using modern, gravimetric solid fuel feed systems (see Sections 1.4.2.1.1 and 1.4.3.1).

3. **BAT is to carry out a careful selection and control of all substances entering the kiln in order to avoid and/or reduce emissions (see Sections 1.4.3.2 and 1.4.3.3).**

4. **BAT is to carry out monitoring and measurements of process parameters and emissions on a regular basis (see Section 1.3.9.1), such as:**

   a) continuous measurements of process parameters demonstrating the process stability, such as temperature, \( O_2 \) content, pressure, flow rate, and of \( NH_3 \) emissions when using SNCR
   b) monitoring and stabilising critical process parameters, i.e. homogenous raw material mix and fuel feed, regular dosage and excess oxygen
   c) continuous measurements of dust, \( NO_x \), \( SO_x \) and \( CO \) emissions
   d) periodic measurements of PCDD/F, metals emissions
   e) continuous or periodic measurements of HCl, HF and TOC emissions.

In this context, when co-incinerating waste, the requirements of the Waste Incineration Directive (WID) have to be met [59, European Union, 2000].
1.5.3 Energy consumption and process selection

1.5.3.1 Process selection

General considerations regarding process selection can be found in Section 1.4.2.3. Considerations regarding the heat demand can be found in Section 1.4.2.1.1.

The selected process has a major impact on the energy use and on air emissions from the manufacture of cement clinker.

5. For new plants and major upgrades, BAT is to apply a dry process kiln with multistage preheating and precalcination. Under regular and optimised operational conditions, the associated BAT heat balance value is 2900 – 3300 MJ/tonne clinker (see Sections 1.4.2.3 and 1.4.2.1.1).

1.5.3.2 Energy consumption

General considerations regarding energy consumption can be found in Sections 1.3.3 and 1.4.2.

6. BAT is to reduce/minimise thermal energy consumption by applying a combination of the following measures/techniques (see Section 1.4.2):

- a) applying improved and optimised kiln systems and a smooth and stable kiln process, operating close to the process parameter set points by applying:
  
  I. process control optimisation, including computer-based automatic control systems
  II. modern, gravimetric solid fuel feed systems (see Sections 1.4.2.1.1 and 1.4.3.1)
  III. preheating and precalcination to the extent possible, considering the existing kiln system configuration

  In this context, see Section 1.4.2.1.1, where several different measures/techniques are presented, which can be applied to kiln systems, individually or in combination. Furthermore in this context, see the Reference Document on Best Available Techniques for Energy Efficiency [181, European Commission, 2008]

- b) recovering excess heat from kilns, especially from their cooling zone (see Sections 1.4.2.1.1 and 1.4.2.4). In particular, the kiln excess heat from the cooling zone (hot air) or from the preheater can be used for drying raw materials.

  In this context, see also the Reference Document on Best Available Techniques for Energy Efficiency [181, European Commission, 2008]

- c) applying the appropriate number of cyclone stages related to the characteristics and properties of raw material and fuels used (see Sections 1.4.2.1.2 and 1.4.2.1.3)

- d) using fuels with characteristics which have a positive influence on the thermal energy consumption

- e) when replacing conventional fuels by waste fuels, using optimised and suitable cement kiln systems for burning wastes (see Section 1.4.2.1.3)

- f) minimising bypass flows (see Section 1.4.2.1.4).

7. BAT is to reduce primary energy consumption by considering the reduction of the clinker content of cement and cement products (see Section 1.4.2.1.5).
8. **BAT is to reduce primary energy consumption by considering cogeneration/combined heat and power plants (see Section 1.4.2.4) if possible, on the basis of useful heat demand, within energy regulatory schemes where economically viable.**

In this context, see the Reference Document on Best Available Techniques for Energy Efficiency [181, European Commission, 2008].

9. **BAT is to minimise electrical energy consumption by applying the following measures/techniques individually or in combination:**

   a) using power management systems
   b) using grinding equipment and other electricity based equipment with high energy efficiency

In this context, see Section 1.4.2.2, where several different measures/techniques are presented.

### 1.5.4 Use of waste

General considerations regarding the use of waste can be found in Sections 1.2.4, 1.3.4.13, 1.3.4.14 and 1.4.3.3. Considerations regarding metal emissions can be found in Section 1.4.7.

BAT and BAT-AELs for emissions reduction can be found in the corresponding Sections 1.5.5 – 1.5.10 below. In this context, when co-incinerating waste, the requirements of the Waste Incineration Directive (WID) have to be met [59, European Union, 2000].

#### 1.5.4.1 Waste quality control

10. **BAT is:**

    a) to apply quality assurance systems to guarantee the characteristics of wastes and to analyse any waste that is to be used as raw material and/or fuel in a cement kiln for (see Section 1.4.3.3):

        I. constant quality
        II. physical criteria, e.g. emissions formation, coarseness, reactivity, burnability, calorific value
        III. chemical criteria, e.g. chlorine, sulphur, alkali and phosphate content and relevant metals content

    b) to control the amount of relevant parameters for any waste that is to be used as raw material and/or fuel in a cement kiln, such as chlorine, relevant metals (e.g. cadmium, mercury, thallium), sulphur, total halogen content

    c) to apply quality assurance systems for each waste load.
1.5.4.2 Waste feeding into the kiln

11. BAT is:

a) to use the appropriate feed points to the kiln in terms of temperature and residence time depending on kiln design and kiln operation (see Section 1.2.4.1)

b) to feed waste materials containing organic components that can be volatilised before the calcining zone into the adequately high temperature zones of the kiln system

c) to operate in such a way that the gas resulting from the co-incineration of waste is raised in a controlled and homogeneous fashion, even under the most unfavourable conditions, to a temperature of 850 °C for 2 seconds

d) to raise the temperature to 1100 °C, if hazardous waste with a content of more than 1 % of halogenated organic substances, expressed as chlorine, is co-incinerated

e) to feed wastes continuously and constantly

f) to stop co-incinerating waste for operations such as start-ups and/or shutdowns when appropriate temperatures and residence times cannot be reached, as noted in a) to d) above

1.5.4.3 Safety management for the use of hazardous waste materials

12. BAT is to apply safety management for the handling, e.g. storage, and/or feeding of hazardous waste materials (see Section 1.4.3.3), such as using a risk based approach according to the source and type of waste, for the labelling, checking, sampling and testing of waste to be handled.

1.5.5 Dust emissions

1.5.5.1 Diffuse dust emissions

General considerations regarding diffuse dust emissions can be found in Sections 1.3.4.1.3, 1.3.4.1.2, 1.4.4.1 and 1.4.4.2.

13. BAT is to minimise/prevent diffuse dust emissions by applying the following measures/techniques individually or in combination:

a) measures/techniques for dusty operations. In this context, see Section 1.4.4.1, where several different measures/techniques are presented, which can be applied individually or in combination

b) bulk storage area measures/techniques. In this context, see Section 1.4.4.2, where several different measures/techniques are presented, which can be applied individually or in combination.
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1.5.5.2 Channelled dust emissions from dusty operations

This section presents BAT for dust emissions arising from dusty operations other than those from kiln firing, cooling and main milling processes. This covers processes such as the crushing of raw materials, raw material conveyors and elevators, the storage of raw materials, clinker and cement, the storage of fuels (petcoke, hard coal, lignite) and the dispatch of cement (loading). General considerations regarding these channelled dust emissions can be found in Sections 1.3.4.1.1, 1.3.4.1.2 and 1.4.4.3.

14. BAT is to apply a maintenance management system which especially addresses the performance of filters of these sources. Taking this management system into account, BAT is to reduce channelled dust emissions from dusty operations (see Section 1.4.4.3) to less than 10 mg/Nm³ (BAT-AEL), as the average over the sampling period (spot measurement, for at least half an hour) by applying dry exhaust gas cleaning with a filter.

It has to be noted that for small sources (<10000 Nm³/h) a priority approach has to be taken into account.

In this context, see Section 1.4.4.3, where several different measures/techniques are presented, which can be applied individually or in combination.

1.5.5.3 Dust emissions from kiln firing processes

This section presents BAT for dust emissions arising from kiln firing processes. General considerations regarding channelled dust emissions can be found in Sections 1.3.4.1.1, 1.3.4.1.2 and 1.4.4.3.

15. BAT is to reduce dust (particulate matter) emissions from flue-gases of kiln firing processes by applying dry exhaust gas cleaning with a filter. The BAT-AEL is \(<10 – 20\) mg/Nm³, as the daily average value. When applying fabric filters or new or upgraded ESPs, the lower level is achieved.

In this context, see Section 1.4.4.3, where several different measures/techniques are presented, which can be applied individually or in combination. Furthermore in this context, when co-incinerating waste, the requirements of the Waste Incineration Directive (WID) have to be met [59, European Union, 2000].

1.5.5.4 Dust emissions from cooling and milling processes

This section presents BAT for dust emissions arising from cooling and milling processes. General considerations regarding channelled dust emissions can be found in Sections 1.3.4.1.1, 1.3.4.1.2 and 1.4.4.3.

16. BAT is to reduce dust (particulate matter) emissions from flue-gases of cooling and milling processes by applying dry exhaust gas cleaning with a filter. The BAT-AEL is \(<10 – 20\) mg/Nm³, as the daily average value or average over the sampling period (spot measurements for at least half an hour). When applying fabric filters or new or upgraded ESPs, the lower level is achieved.

In this context, see Section 1.4.4.3, where several different measures/techniques are presented, which can be applied individually or in combination.
1.5.6 Gaseous compounds

1.5.6.1 NO\textsubscript{x} emissions

General considerations regarding NO\textsubscript{x} emissions can be found in Section 1.3.4.2.

17. **BAT is to reduce the emissions of NO\textsubscript{x} from the flue-gases of kiln firing processes by applying the following measures/techniques individually or in combination (see Section 1.4.5.1):**

   a) primary measures/techniques, such as:
      I. flame cooling
      II. low NO\textsubscript{x} burners
      III. mid kiln firing
      IV. addition of mineralisers to improve the burnability of the raw meal (mineralised clinker)
      V. process optimisation (see Sections 1.4.5.1.1 to 1.4.5.1.6).

   b) staged combustion (conventional or waste fuels), also in combination with a precalciner and the use of optimised fuel mix (see Section 1.4.5.1.3)

   c) SNCR (see Sections 1.4.5.1.7)

   d) SCR, subject to appropriate catalyst and process development in the cement industry (see Section 1.4.5.1.8).

The following emission levels of NO\textsubscript{x} are BAT-AELs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kiln type</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>BAT-AEL (daily average value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preheater kilns</td>
<td>mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td>&lt;200 – 450\textsuperscript{2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepol and long rotary kilns</td>
<td>mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td>400 – 800\textsuperscript{3}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{2} BAT-AEL is 500 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}, where after primary measures/techniques the initial NO\textsubscript{x} level is >1000 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}

\textsuperscript{3} Existing kiln system design, fuel mix properties including waste, raw material burnability can influence the ability to be in the range. Levels below 350 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} are achieved at kilns with favourable conditions. The lower value of 200 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} has only been reported as monthly average for three plants (easy burning mix used).

Table 1.42: BAT associated emission levels for NO\textsubscript{x} from the flue-gases of kiln firing and/or preheating/precalcining processes in the cement industry

In this context, when co-incinerating waste, the requirements of the Waste Incineration Directive (WID) have to be met [59, European Union, 2000].

18. **By applying SNCR, BAT is:**

   a) to apply an appropriate and sufficient NO\textsubscript{x} reduction efficiency along with a stable operating process

   b) to apply a good stoichiometric distribution of ammonia in order to achieve the highest efficiency of NO\textsubscript{x} reduction and to reduce the ammonia slip

   c) to keep the emissions of NH\textsubscript{3} slip from the flue-gases as low as possible, but below 30 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}, as the daily average value. The correlation between the NO\textsubscript{x} abatement efficiency and the NH\textsubscript{3} slip has to be considered (see Section 1.4.5.1.7). Depending on the initial NO\textsubscript{x} level and on the NO\textsubscript{x} abatement efficiency, the NH\textsubscript{3} slip may be higher up to 50 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}. For Lepol and long rotary kilns, the level may be even higher.
1.5.6.2  \( \text{SO}_x \) emissions

General considerations regarding \( \text{SO}_x \) emissions can be found in Sections 1.3.4.3 and 1.4.5.2.

19. **BAT is to keep the emissions of \( \text{SO}_x \) low or to reduce the emissions of \( \text{SO}_x \) from the flue-gases of kiln firing and/or preheating/precalcining processes by applying one of the following measures/techniques (see Section 1.4.5.2):**

   a) absorbent addition  
   b) wet scrubber.

The following emission levels of \( \text{SO}_x \) are BAT-AELs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>BAT-AEL(^1) (daily average value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{SO}_x ) expressed as ( \text{SO}_2 )</td>
<td>mg/Nm(^3)</td>
<td>&lt;50 – &lt;400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) The range takes into account the sulphur content in the raw materials

Table 1.43: BAT associated emission levels for \( \text{SO}_x \) from the flue-gases of kiln firing and/or preheating/precalcining processes in the cement industry

In this context, when co-incinerating waste, the requirements of the Waste Incineration Directive (WID) have to be met [59, European Union, 2000].

20. **BAT is to optimise the raw milling processes (for the dry process) which act as \( \text{SO}_2 \) abatement for the kiln, as described in Section 1.3.4.3.**

1.5.6.3  \( \text{CO} \) emissions and \( \text{CO} \) trips

1.5.6.3.1  Reduction of \( \text{CO} \) trips

General considerations regarding \( \text{CO} \) trips can be found in Sections 1.4.3.1, 1.4.3.1 and 1.4.5.3.

21. **When applying electrostatic precipitators (ESPs) or hybrid filters, BAT is to minimise the frequency of \( \text{CO} \) trips and to keep their total duration to below 30 minutes annually, by applying the following measures/techniques in combination (see Section 1.4.5.3):**

   a) reducing the ESP downtime. In this context, see Section 1.4.5.3, where several different measures/techniques are presented, which can be applied individually or in combination  
   b) taking continuous automatic \( \text{CO} \) measurements  
   c) using fast measuring and control equipment including a \( \text{CO} \) monitoring system with short response time and which is situated close to the \( \text{CO} \) source.

In this context, see Section 4.2.6, where a guideline regarding the control of \( \text{CO} \) trips is presented.
1.5.6.4 Total organic carbon emissions (TOC)

General considerations regarding TOC can be found in Sections 1.3.4.5 and 1.4.5.4.

22. **BAT is to keep the emissions of TOC from the flue-gases of the kiln firing processes low** (see Section 1.4.5.4) by applying the following measure/technique:

   a) avoid feeding raw materials with a high content of volatile organic compounds into the kiln system via the raw material feeding route.

   In this context, when co-incinerating wastes, the requirements of the Waste Incineration Directive (WID) have to be met [59, European Union, 2000].

1.5.6.5 Hydrogen chloride (HCl) and hydrogen fluoride (HF) emissions

General considerations regarding HCl and HF emissions can be found in Sections 1.3.4.8 and 1.4.5.5.

23. **BAT is to keep the emissions of HCl below 10 mg/Nm$^3$ (BAT-AEL), as the daily average value or average over the sampling period (spot measurements, for at least half an hour), by applying the following primary measures/techniques individually or in combination:**

   a) using raw materials and fuels containing a low chlorine content (see also Sections 1.4.3.2 and 1.4.3.3)

   b) limiting the amount of chlorine content for any waste that is to be used as raw material and/or fuel in a cement kiln (see Section 1.2.4, 1.4.3.2 and 1.4.3.3).

24. **BAT is to keep the emissions of HF below 1 mg/Nm$^3$ (BAT-AEL) expressed as HF, as the daily average value or average over the sampling period (spot measurements, for at least half an hour), by applying the following primary measure/technique individually or in combination:**

   a) using raw materials and fuels containing low fluorine (see also Sections 1.4.3.2 and 1.4.3.3)

   b) limiting the amount of fluorine content for any waste that is to be used as raw material and/or fuel in a cement kiln (see Section 1.2.4, 1.4.3.2 and 1.4.3.3).

   In this context, see Section 4.2.2.1.1, where examples of concentration ranges for substances in waste/waste input criteria are presented. Furthermore in this context, when co-incinerating waste, the requirements of the Waste Incineration Directive (WID) have to be met [59, European Union, 2000].

1.5.7 PCDD/F emissions

General considerations regarding PCDD/F emissions can be found in Sections 1.3.4.6 and 1.4.6.

25. **BAT is to avoid emissions of PCDD/F or to keep the emissions of PCDD/F from the flue-gases of the kiln firing processes low** by applying the following measures/techniques individually or in combination:

   a) carefully selecting and controlling of kiln inputs (raw materials), i.e. chlorine, copper and volatile organic compounds

   b) carefully selecting and controlling of kiln inputs (fuels), i.e. chlorine and copper

   c) limiting/avoiding the use of wastes which contain chlorinated organic materials
d) avoid feeding fuels with a high content of halogens (e.g. chlorine) in secondary firing

e) quick cooling of kiln exhaust gases to lower than 200 °C and minimising residence time
of flue-gases and oxygen content in zones where the temperatures range between 300
and 450 °C

f) stop co-incinerating waste for operations such as start-ups and/or shutdowns

The BAT-AELs are $<0.05 – 0.1 \text{ ng PCDD/F I-TEQ/Nm}^3$, as the average over the
sampling period (6 – 8 hours).

In this context, see Section 1.4.6, where different measures/techniques are presented,
which can be applied individually or in combination. Furthermore in this context, when
co-incinerating waste, the requirements of the Waste Incineration Directive (WID) have
to be met [59, European Union, 2000].

1.5.8 Metal emissions

General considerations regarding metal emissions can be found in Sections 1.3.4.7, 1.3.4.7.1
and 1.4.7.

26. BAT is to minimise the emissions of metals from the flue-gases of the kiln firing
processes by applying the following measures/techniques individually or in
combination:

a) selecting materials with a low content of relevant metals and limiting the content of
relevant metals in materials, especially mercury

b) using a quality assurance system to guarantee the characteristics of the waste materials
used (see Sections 1.4.3.2 and 1.4.3.3).

c) using effective dust removal measures/techniques. In this context, see Section 1.4.4.3,
where different measures/techniques for dust removal are presented, which can be
applied individually or in combination.

The following emission levels of metals are BAT-AELs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metals</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>BAT-AEL (average over the sampling period (spot measurements, for at least half an hour))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>mg/Nm$^3$</td>
<td>$&lt;0.05^{2)}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Sigma (Cd, Tl)$</td>
<td>mg/Nm$^3$</td>
<td>$&lt;0.05^{1)}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Sigma (As, Sb, Pb, Cr, Co, Cu, Mn, Ni, V)$</td>
<td>mg/Nm$^3$</td>
<td>$&lt;0.5^{1)}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^{1)}$Low levels have been reported, see Sections 1.3.4.7, 1.3.4.7.1 and 1.4.7

$^{2)}$Low levels have been reported (see Sections 1.3.4.7, 1.3.4.7.1 and 1.4.7). Values higher than
0.03 mg/Nm$^3$ have to be further investigated. Values close to 0.05 mg/Nm$^3$ require consideration of
additional measures/techniques such as those described in Sections 1.3.4.13, 1.3.9.1 and 1.4.7

Table 1.44: BAT associated emission levels for metals from the flue-gases of the kiln firing
processes

In this context, when using wastes, the requirements of the Waste Incineration Directive
(WID) have to be considered [59, European Union, 2000].
1.5.9 Process losses/waste

General considerations regarding process losses/waste can be found in Sections 1.3.5 and 1.4.9.

27. BAT is to re-use collected particulate matter in the process, wherever practicable, or to utilise these dusts in other commercial products, when possible.

1.5.10 Noise

General considerations regarding noise can be found in Sections 1.3.7 and 1.4.10.

28. BAT is to reduce/minimise noise emissions during the cement manufacturing processes by applying a combination of the following measures/techniques (see Section 1.4.10):

   a) enclosing the noisy operations/units
   b) vibration insulation of operations/units
   c) using internal and external lining made of impact absorbent material
   d) soundproofing buildings to shelter any noisy operations involving material transformation equipment
   e) building up noise protection walls, e.g. construction of buildings or natural barriers, such as growing trees and bushes between the protected area and the noisy activity
   f) applying outlet silencers to exhaust stacks
   g) lagging ducts and final blowers which are situated in soundproofed buildings
   h) closing doors and windows of covered areas.
1.6 Emerging techniques in the cement industry

1.6.1 Fluidised bed cement manufacturing technique

The cement sintering technique by means of the fluidised bed cement kiln system has been a project subsidised by the Ministry of International Trade and Industry in Japan since 1986. A pilot plant with the capacity of 20 tonnes clinker/day was operational for six years, between 1989 and 1995, by Sumitomo Osaka Cement Co. Ltd at Toshigi Factory in Japan. A 200 tonnes clinker/day large scale pilot plant was constructed at the end of 1995.

The configuration of the 20 tonnes clinker/day fluidised bed cement kiln system is shown in Figure 1.71. The system consists of a suspension preheater (SP), a spouted bed granulating kiln (SBK), a fluidised bed sintering kiln (FBK), a fluidised bed quenching cooler (FBK) and a packed bed cooler.

![Fluidised bed cement kiln](image)

Figure 1.71: Fluidised bed cement kiln
[22, Japan, 1996]
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The suspension preheater is a conventional four stage cyclone preheater which preheats and calcines the raw meal. The granulating kiln granulates the raw meal into granules of about 1.5 – 2.5 mm diameter at a temperature of 1300 ºC. In the sintering kiln, the sintering of the granules is completed at a temperature of 1400 ºC. The fluidised bed quenching cooler quickly cools the cement clinker from 1400 to 1000 ºC. Finally, the cement clinker is cooled down to about 100 ºC in the packed bed cooler.

The cement clinker produced in the fluidised bed kiln is of the same or better quality as the clinker from a commercial plant. The NOx emissions are 115 – 190 mg/Nm³ when heavy oil is used and 440 – 515 mg/Nm³ when pulverised coal is used as fuel (converted to 10 % O2). According to a feasibility study of a 3000 tonne clinker/day plant, the heat use can be reduced by 10 – 12 % compared with a suspension preheater rotary kiln with grate cooler, it is therefore expected that the CO2 emissions can be reduced by 10 – 12 %.

The final targets of the technical development of the fluidised bed cement kiln system are (in accordance with the feasibility study on a 3000 tonne clinker/day plant and based on the results from the 20 tonnes/day pilot plant):

1. Reduction of heat use by 10 – 12 %
2. Reduction of CO2 emissions by 10 – 12 %
3. A NOx emission level of 380 mg/Nm³ or less (converted to 10 % O2)
4. To maintain the current SOx emission level
5. Reduction of construction costs by 30 %
6. Reduction of installation area by 30 %.

1.6.2 Staged combustion combined with SNCR

In theory, a combination of staged combustion and SNCR could be comparable to SCR. This combination is considered very promising by suppliers. Some results regarding the use of SCR are described in Section 1.4.5.1.8.

1.6.3 Cement kiln flue-gas treatment with dry sodium bicarbonate and chemical re-use

Description
This measure/technique is considered for the desulphurisation of the flue-gases from cement kilns, typically in situations where waste materials are used as fuels. To achieve a high abatement rate on SO2 along with high abatement efficiencies, dry sodium bicarbonate is used and, depending on the characteristics and the composition, sodium-based wastes can often be re-used in the cement production process. However, in some cases these wastes cannot be used because it may lead to a too high sodium content in the cement composition.

In order to allow a high SO2 abatement with a low quantity of reagent, and, at the same time, to allow the re-use of waste materials from flue-gas treatment, emerging techniques on double step filtration of the flue-gas can be used.

Double step filtration
A first dust filter collects the process dust which can be re-used in the process as it is not charged with sodium. The dry sodium bicarbonate injection appears downstream of this first filter. Dry ground sodium bicarbonate is injected and reacts with SOx to sodium salts, mainly sodium sulphate (Na2SO4). These wastes from the flue-gas treatment are collected by a second filter, typically a fabric filter.
Achieved environmental benefits
This measure/technique allows high and efficient SO\textsubscript{x} abatements in the flue-gases to be obtained while not compromising the integral re-use of wastes from flue-gas treatment as follows:

- no excessive sodium in cement
- no added SO\textsubscript{x} into the flue-gas.

Furthermore, no waste is produced additionally and additional natural resources are not used.

Cross-media effects
Sodium-based waste could only be re-used to a limited extent. Up until 2008, this was not done because re-use of sodium based waste has impacts on the quality on cement and clinker.

To a certain extent, additional SO\textsubscript{x} emissions may occur which are caused by the recycled calcium or sodium sulphates.

No additional natural resources are used because the reagent CaCl\textsubscript{2} is available in the soda ash plant.

Additional transportation costs may occur because wastes from the flue-gas treatment process have to be transported from the cement plant to the treatment plant. However, the amount of wastes concerned is relatively small in comparison with the total amount of dust and the dust not containing sulphate which is directly re-used in the cement plant.

Operational data
This measure/technique is undergoing tests at the pre-industrial level. In 2008, no operational data were available.

Applicability
Only a few trials have been carried out on wet process using this technique. The double step filtration technique is especially well adapted for plants where ESPs are used for reducing dust emissions. In these cases, the addition of a second filter (fabric filter) may be less expensive than the replacement of the existing one. However, in combination with sodium bicarbonate, there is not any full scale application in the industry.

Regarding double filtration, there is no operational cement plant yet (2008) in combination with sodium bicarbonate, but trials are being carried out.

Regarding the treatment of wastes resulting from flue-gas treatment, this technique is being tested on sulphate-based wastes at pre-industrial scales in France and other specific treatment plants are being studied/planned. This technique is industrially operational and is applied in France and Italy for municipal waste incineration plants treating flue-gas wastes. Information regarding waste incineration can also be found in the Reference Document on Best Available Techniques for Waste Incineration [87, European Commission, 2001].

Economics
Treatment of sodium wastes as such, in order to be used by the chemical industry – as already done in the municipal waste incineration industry – is economically difficult to justify, because of the high dust content available in waste materials used.

Driving force for implementation
High abatement rate or high abatement efficiency requirement for SO\textsubscript{x} in the kiln flue-gas.

Example plants and reference literature
Test plants in France. [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [176, France, 2008], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]
1.7 Conclusions and recommendations

Timing of the work process

The kick-off meeting for the review of the cement and lime BREF document was held in September 2005. The TWG agreed to extend the scope of this document and to add an additional industrial sector on magnesium oxide (MgO/magnesia) production using the dry process route to the cement and lime BREF. After the period for collecting information and data, a second TWG meeting was held in February 2007 in order to verify and agree on information and data that should be used for the revision of the BREF document. Furthermore, the TWG asked for a procedural exception for the review process of this document in order to split the discussions on Sections 1 – 4 and the BAT sections for the three chapters on cement, lime and magnesium oxide.

Based on the TWG request and taking into account the generic schedule for the review of BREFs (December 2005), the IEF agreed to split the discussions on Sections 1 – 4 and the BAT sections for the three chapters on cement, lime and magnesium oxide.

The first draft covering the revised Sections 1 – 4 and 6 of the three chapters cement, lime and magnesium oxide was issued for consultation in September 2007. The second part of the first draft covering the sections on techniques to consider in the determination of BAT (Sections 1.4, 2.4 and 3.4) and the BAT sections (Sections 1.5, 2.5 and 3.5) of the three chapters were issued for consultation in May 2008. The final TWG meeting was held in September 2008.

The project on the first revision of this BREF document, which was the pioneer for the review of the first series of BREFs, generated huge interest throughout. At the time of the final plenary meeting, there were more than 110 TWG members.

Based on the generic schedule for the review of BREFs (December 2005), the revision of the cement and lime BREF document did not entail a complete redraft of the first document adopted in December 2001 but updated the existing, and included additional information which was reviewed (for instance regarding techniques) as it could have had impact on BAT conclusions. The information exchange and data gathering was based on the wishes of the TWG and on the conclusions agreed at the second TWG meeting (January 2007). The guidance document on data collection agreed upon by the IEF in April 2008 was not used for this process.

Sources of information, development of this cement chapter and information gaps

The revised cement chapter is based on a large number of documents and on over 50 sources of information from both industry and Member States. The reports were submitted by CEMBUREAU, the industry association representing the majority of European cement manufacturers and the Member States Germany, Austria, Sweden, France, the United Kingdom, Ireland, the Netherlands, Italy, Hungary, Estonia, Spain, Portugal, Finland and the Czech Republic. Furthermore, information was provided by EURITS, the industry association representing the majority of the EU's hazardous waste incineration sector and by a supplier from Norway. These documents can be considered as the building blocks of the revised parts of this cement chapter.

Additional useful information was obtained by visiting cement manufacturing sites in the UK, Austria, Germany, Belgium, Spain, Finland and Hungary.

Based on the information and data provided, and the comments received after the consultation periods, this cement chapter was revised by updating information and data. Furthermore, additional sections were developed, e.g. on the use of waste as fuels and/or raw materials as well as their consumption during the cement making process, cogeneration, several types of emissions to air, examples of costs data for flue-gas abatement measures/techniques and
primary measures/techniques used for emissions reduction, impacts on emissions behaviour and energy efficiency by using waste materials and environmental management tools.

Despite much very useful information and many comments regarding several different issues, some data problems and their consequences for this cement chapter have to be pointed out:

- there is a lack of information regarding the frequency of measurements, i.e. in the case of periodic measurements
- there is a lack of information on the issue of mercury emissions and the link between mercury emissions and the technical options which are available for reducing mercury
- to a certain extent, there is a lack of consistent information regarding monitoring techniques and measurement systems for emissions, e.g. mercury emissions
- regarding the use of activated carbon, information and data were provided only for SO\textsubscript{x} emissions reduction rather than being considered as a multi pollutant reduction technique for several other emissions to air
- input data were provided regarding the use of waste which are different in the EU-27 in terms of, e.g. characteristics and parameters because of plant specific data. The data which were submitted in this context show many specific details, but lead to the conclusion that it is difficult to make general quantitative statements regarding this issue and the corresponding BAT conclusion is based on a qualitative approach
- as an emerging technique, fluidised bed technique for cement manufacturing is described (see Section 1.6.1); however, there was no information provided by the TWG as to how this technique should be/can be further developed.

During the final CLM TWG meeting, a detailed discussion regarding the source and possible reduction techniques of mercury emissions took place. The discussion showed the following:

- the level of mercury emissions is mainly influenced by the raw material composition. Regarding the emissions data provided it was shown that levels of below 0.05 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} can be achieved; however, there was no evidence available as to where these lower levels came from. CEMBUREAU agreed to do research on this issue in order to get a better understanding of these mercury emissions and how this issue relates to all plants. CEMBUREAU will try to come up with a full set of data related to this issue for the next review of this CLM BREF document
- continuous monitoring of mercury emissions is carried out in Germany and Austria due to legally binding requirements to monitor mercury continuously (in Germany since 2000). In 2008 in the German cement industry, 34 mercury CEMs (Continuous Emissions Monitors) were in operation. The monitoring devices were under research and in general until 2008, the performance of CEMs were improved in order to work properly and to deliver reliable results. It was generally found that in some cases additional maintenance had to be carried out. In some other cases, it was necessary in accordance with the competent authority to modify the commercially available devices to make them suitable for individual application. There are only rare cases (10 \%) where CEMs cannot be used properly. Final conclusions on the long term stability of these devices are not yet available. Intervals for a periodic maintenance of CEMs have to be adjusted based on future experiences. In October 2007, the German UBA asked suppliers and providers of these mercury measurement devices about their experiences. As a result, guidelines for quality assurance are now available which also set requirements for the maintenance procedure for most of the CEMs. Furthermore, proper calibration of CEMs according to the European standard EN 14181 is a challenge. In the case of mercury CEMs, this calibration procedure needs greater effort than for CEMs for other emissions, e.g. dust or NO\textsubscript{x}. Periodic quality assurance procedures should be carried out by experienced personnel only. The ongoing development process should be followed. The outcome should be taken into consideration for the next review of this CLM BREF document and information and data regarding this issue should be collected in order to make general quantitative statements on the continuous measurement of mercury emissions.
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The ongoing research process should be followed and investigations should be made in terms of improvements and further developments. The outcome should be taken into consideration for the next review of this CLM BREF document and information and data regarding this issue should be collected in order to make general quantitative statements on the continuous measurement of mercury emissions.

Level of consensus at the final TWG meeting

The conclusions of the work regarding the cement chapter were agreed at the final TWG meeting in September 2008. The main discussion items at this final TWG meeting were the BAT conclusions. The BAT proposals for the cement industry were discussed case by case.

At this final TWG meeting, a high level of consensus was achieved on BAT (best available techniques) for the cement industry and no split views were recorded. Therefore – as also seen retrospectively over the whole information exchange period – the information exchange process can be considered successful.

Recommendations for future work

The information exchange and its result for the cement industry, i.e. the cement chapter of this document, present an important step forward in achieving the integrated prevention and control of pollution for cement manufacturing. Further work on the following issues could continue the process:

- collect data by taking into account the guidance set out in the IEF guidance document issued in April 2008 to allow an assessment of the performance of certain measures/techniques at the plant level, especially potential BAT
- collect information regarding the relationship between process type, waste (type, amounts) used and emissions
- collect costs data (e.g. investment, operational costs) regarding all measures/techniques to consider in the determination of BAT
- collect costs data along with the reduction efficiencies of abatement measures/techniques
- collect information and data regarding options for minimising energy consumption or for increasing energy efficiency
- collect data on energy consumption along with best performance data related to kiln types used
- collect information and data regarding the reduction of NOx emissions especially regarding the SCR and SNCR technique
- collect information regarding NH3 emissions
- collect more information regarding activated carbon considered as a multi pollutant reduction measure/technique, e.g. for SOx emissions reduction
- collect information regarding emissions monitoring and monitoring frequencies, e.g. for periodic measurements, so that authorities are able to check permit obligations/conditions
- investigate the revision of the Reference Document on the General Principles of Monitoring
- collect information and data regarding TOC emissions
- collect data and research measures/techniques which could be used for the reduction of metals (mercury)
- collect information and data about all process input, specifically on mercury
- collect information and data regarding input criteria for waste
- collect information regarding continuous mercury emissions monitoring measures/techniques used in the cement industry
- collect information regarding fluidised bed cement manufacturing technique.
Suggested topics for future R&D work

For the use of SCR for NO$_x$ reduction in the cement industry, there is still some further work necessary regarding the development of appropriate catalysts and the further development of the process (see BAT 17 d in Section 1.5.6.1).

In particular regarding the techniques described in the Emerging Techniques section (Section 1.6), some future R&D work will still be necessary and useful, so that these techniques can be considered in future in the determination of BAT for the cement industry.

Besides that, the EC is launching and supporting, through its RTD programmes, a series of projects dealing with clean technologies, emerging effluent treatment and recycling technologies and management strategies. Potentially these projects could provide a useful contribution to future BREF reviews. Readers are therefore invited to inform the EIPPCB of any research results which are relevant to the scope of this document (see also the preface of this document).
2 LIME INDUSTRY

2.1 General information about the lime industry

2.1.1 Lime and its application

Lime has been used from almost the ‘beginning of time’, e.g. for 8000 years in the Near East. There is also evidence that the use of quicklime (CaO) and hydrated lime (Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2}) in construction was widespread as early as 1000 B.C. among many civilisations, including the Greeks, Egyptians, Romans, Incas, Mayas, Chinese, and Mogul Indians. The Romans even knew of its chemical properties and used it, for example, for bleaching linen and medicinally as limewater.

In 2008, lime is used in a wide range of products and applications, each suited to particular market requirements. For example, lime and its derivatives are used as a fluxing agent in steel refining, as a binder in building and construction, and in water treatment to precipitate impurities. Lime is also used extensively for the neutralisation of acidic components of industrial effluent and flue-gases.

Lime is applicable and used in many sectors of different industries and agriculture and this product is present in daily life in the form of many applications as shown in Table 2.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application sectors</th>
<th>Application details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environment – gas</td>
<td>• used to neutralise flue-gas pollutants such as sulphur and chlorine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• blended with additives, it captures heavy metals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment – water</td>
<td>• effluent treatment – treatment of industrial and mining waste water (pH adjustment, removal of phosphorus and nitrogen, and water clarification)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• potable water softening and removal of impurities from drinking water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• waste water treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment – waste</td>
<td>• treatment of medical and hazardous waste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• treatment of organic and inorganic sludges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment – contaminated lands</td>
<td>• adjustment of pH and immobilisation of sulphates, phosphates and heavy metals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction and civil work</td>
<td>• used in the production of bricks and structured block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• masonry and plaster mixes for building facades</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• soil stabilisation and lime road binders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>• soil treatment – to adjust the pH of soils to give optimum growing conditions and hence improve crop yields</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel and non-ferrous metals</td>
<td>• used in steelmaking processes in order to reduce sulphur and phosphorus, to modify slag viscosity, to protect refractories and to form the slag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• used as a flux in the smelting of copper, lead, zinc and other metals from their ores</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• used to neutralise and coat wire after it is cleaned with acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical and paper industry</td>
<td>• paper – manufacturing process; pulp and raw material for precipitated calcium carbonate (PCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• oil additive for lubricants (such as sulphonates, phenates and salicylates)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• plastics – used as a desiccant in the manufacture of petrochemical based products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• used in leather tanning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• used in the glass and soda industries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• acid neutralisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmaceutical, personal care and food</td>
<td>• use in the matrix composition of drugs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• used in the manufacture of calcium phosphate, a toothpaste additive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• used in the manufacture of food and drink additives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• used in the sugar industry to precipitate out impurities from beet and cane extracts and also to neutralise odours generated by beet washing and transport</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.1: Lime, a versatile material – some industrial applications
[44, EuLA, 2006]
2.1.2 World lime production

In 2006, the total world lime production was estimated to be 172 Mt/yr, including captive lime, as shown in Table 2.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Annual production</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mt/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU-25</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other European countries</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other countries</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.2: Best estimate of world quicklime and dolomite production in 2006 in the EU-27, including captive lime production
[44, EuLA, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]

2.1.3 Geographical distribution and general economic considerations in Europe – principal indicators

2.1.3.1 Annual production

Germany, France, Poland, Belgium, Spain and Italy are the largest producers of lime in the EU-27, which account for about 20% of the total world lime production. In four EU Member States, no lime is produced, and these are Cyprus, Malta, Luxembourg and the Netherlands. The structure of the lime industry varies from one Member State to another. However, in most EU countries the lime industry is characterised by small and medium sized companies. Thus, there are more than 100 companies operating in the European Union. Most of them are small producers often with one single plant. However, there has been a growing trend towards concentrations in recent years, with a small number of large international companies having gained a considerable market share. Figure 2.1 illustrates the European production market in the EU-27, as it was in 2004 which represented almost 25 million tonnes of lime for a total European production of 28 million tonnes including commercial and captive lime production.
The production of lime in the EU countries dropped at the end of the 1980s, only to increase again at the beginning of 1994. This was as a consequence of changes in patterns of consumption. One of the main users of lime, the iron and steel industry, reduced its specific lime consumption per tonne of steel from 100 to 40 kg. However, around the middle of the 1990s the growing use of lime for environmental protection brought sales figures back up again.

In the past, total lime consumption in the EU stagnated because sectors with increasing demand, such as water treatment and flue-gas desulphurisation, only partially compensated for the decreased demand in the traditional steel and metallurgy sectors.

Lime is a low cost but bulky material, so it tends to be transported only over relatively short distances. Exports of lime from the EU only amount to a few per cent of the production. The 2004 estimated market shares of commercial lime in the EU-27 countries by different sectors are shown in Table 2.3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sectors</th>
<th>Contribution (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steel making and non-ferrous metals processing</td>
<td>30 – 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental protection, agriculture and forestry</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction and clay soil stabilisation</td>
<td>15 – 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others: chemicals, non-ferrous metal refining, PCC for paper, food, feed and healthcare</td>
<td>10 – 15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.3: Distribution of commercial lime by sectors in the EU-27 in 2004
[44, EuLA, 2006]
2.1.3.2 Key environmental and sustainable development issues

The key environmental issues for the production of lime are the energy consumption, CO₂ emissions from the process and combustion, air pollution control (essentially dust), transport and extraction site restoration [44, EuLA, 2006].

The key sustainable development issues for the extraction of the main raw materials for lime production reside in the access to high quality limestone and dolomite deposits to secure geological reserves for a long term future. Geologically, limestone is a common stone. However, it is rare to find deposits with all of the following essential characteristics:

- reserve for 50 years or more
- high chemical purity
- specific physical and mechanical properties
- proximity to transport infrastructures
- environmental constraints.

Table 2.4 shows the predicted use of limestone for 2030 as compared to the year 2003.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raw material limestone and dolomite use trend 2003 – 2030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lime applications: construction materials and industrial uses (steel, paper, glass, sugar, soil protection, environment protection) 2003 million tonnes per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lime/dolomite production after calcination of limestone and dolomite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw materials for producing lime and dolomite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.4: Trend of raw material limestone and dolomite use in the period 2003 – 2030 [44, EuLA, 2006]

2.1.3.3 Type of lime and dolomitic lime

Often the term ‘lime’ is used incorrectly to describe limestone products. This is a frequent cause of confusion. Lime includes quicklime and slaked lime and this term ‘lime’ is synonymous with the term ‘lime products’. Quicklime, or so-called ‘burned lime’, is calcium oxide (CaO) produced by decarbonisation of limestone (CaCO₃). Slaked lime is produced by reacting or ‘slaking’ quicklime with water and consists mainly of calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)₂). Slaked lime includes hydrated lime (dry calcium hydroxide powder), milk of lime and lime putty (dispersions of calcium hydroxide particles in water). However, 90 % of the total amount produced is lime and 10 % dolime.

These different types of lime are used for a wide variety of applications. A distinction is made between calcium limes, dolomitic limes and hydraulic limes. Calcium limes are by far the largest category and are supplied in lump, ground and hydrated forms. Dolomitic limes are more specialised products and are supplied in smaller quantities in lump, ground, hydrated and dead burned forms.

Hydraulic limes are partially hydrated and contain cementitious compounds, and are used exclusively in building and construction.

In this document, only non-captive lime production will be discussed.

Table 2.5 shows the different synonyms used in the lime field with their corresponding CAS (a division of the American Chemical Society) Registry numbers and their EC numbers found in the EINICS Inventory (European Inventory of Existing Commercial Chemical Substances).
### Chemical compound

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical compound</th>
<th>Chemical formula</th>
<th>Synonyms</th>
<th>EC #</th>
<th>CAS #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calcium oxide</td>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>Lime, burned lime and quicklime</td>
<td>215-138-9</td>
<td>1305-78-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium magnesium oxide</td>
<td>CaO•MgO</td>
<td>Dolomitic lime, dolime</td>
<td>253-425-0</td>
<td>37247-91-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium hydroxide</td>
<td>Ca(OH)2</td>
<td>Calcium hydroxide, calcium hydrate, caustic lime, hydrated lime and slaked lime</td>
<td>215-137-3</td>
<td>1305-62-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium magnesium tetrahydroxide</td>
<td>CaMg(OH)4</td>
<td>Dolomitic hydrated lime, calcium magnesium hydroxide</td>
<td>254-454-1</td>
<td>39445-23-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.5: Lime nomenclatures, EC and CAS numbers
[44, EuLA, 2006]

#### 2.1.3.4 Lime Installations

There were approximately 211 lime-producing installations in the European Union (excluding captive lime production) in 2003, which were distributed across the EU Member States as shown in Table 2.6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Production of lime &amp; dolime</th>
<th>Production of dead burned dolime</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.6: Number of non-captive lime plants in the EU-27 Member States with production exceeding 50 t/d – total from 2003
[44, EuLA, 2006], [139, Italy, 2007]
In 2006, there were a total of about 597 kilns producing commercial lime (excluding captive lime kilns) in the EU-27 which can be grouped into six different types of kilns. Table 2.7 and Figure 2.2, Figure 2.3 and Figure 2.4 show the number of operated kilns per type of kiln and their relative importance in the production of lime, dolime and sintered dolime (dead burned lime) in the EU-27.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of kiln</th>
<th>Number of kilns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long rotary kiln (LRK)</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary kiln with preheater (PRK)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallel flow regenerative kiln (PFRK) (standard and fine lime)</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annular shaft kiln (ASK)</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed-feed shaft kiln (MFSK)</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other kilns (OK)</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>597</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.7: Number of operational lime kilns per type of kiln in 2004 in the EU-27 [44, EuLA, 2006] [46, Germany, 2006]

Other kilns (OK) can be:

- double-inclined shaft kilns
- multi-chamber shaft kilns
- central burner shaft kilns
- external chamber shaft kilns
- beam burner shaft kilns
- internal arch shaft kilns
- travelling grate kilns
- ‘topshaped’ kilns
- flash calciner kilns
- rotating hearth kilns.

Figure 2.2: Production of commercial lime per type of kiln in the EU-27 in 2004 [44, EuLA, 2006]
Figure 2.3: Production of commercial dolime per type of kiln in the EU-27 in 2004 [44, EuLA, 2006]

Figure 2.4: Production of commercial sintered dolime per type of kiln in the EU-27 in 2004 [44, EuLA, 2006]
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For manufacturing lime, dolime or sintered dolime, typical capacities of lime kilns are between 50 and 500 tonnes per day. Only about 10% of these lime kilns have a capacity of less than 10 or more than 500 tonnes per day. Table 2.8 gives an overview of the numbers of different types of kilns per country in the EU-27 in 2003 for the production of lime and dolime.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Long rotary kiln (LRK)</th>
<th>Rotary kiln with preheater (PRK)</th>
<th>Parallel flow regenerative kiln (PFRK)</th>
<th>Annular shaft kiln (ASK)</th>
<th>Mixed-feed shaft kiln (MFSK)</th>
<th>Other kilns (OK)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Belgium BE</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria BG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic CZ</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark DK</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany DE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia EE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece EL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain ES</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France FR</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland IE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy IT</td>
<td>IT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus CY</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia LV</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania LT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg LU</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary HU</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta MT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands NL</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria AT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland PL</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal PT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania RO</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia SI</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia SK</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland FI</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden SE</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom UK</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>595</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.8: Number of lime kilns per country in the EU-27 in 2003 for the production of lime and dolime, not including captive kilns
[44, EuLA, 2006], [139, Italy, 2007]

The lime industry is a highly energy intensive industry with energy accounting for 30 – 60% of total production costs. Kilns are fired with fossil fuels, such as solid, liquid or gaseous fuels as well as waste fuels and/or biomass. The use of waste as fuels depends on the expected lime quality. The use of waste can have an effect on lime quality and the characteristics of wastes have to be investigated before being used. The use of fossil fuels has decreased and the use of waste fuels has grown over the last few years. Table 2.9 shows the distribution of the fuel types used in the EU-27 in 2003.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of fuel</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>EU-27 2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gas (fossil)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid (fossil)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid (fossil)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.9: Distribution of fuels used in lime burning in the EU-27 in 2003
[16, EuLA, 2001], [44, EuLA, 2006]
The main emissions from lime production are atmospheric emissions from the kiln. These result from the particular chemical composition of the raw materials and fuels used. However, significant dust emissions can occur from any part of the process, notably the hydrator and the kiln. Furthermore, diffuse dust emissions can occur, e.g. from conveyors, from transport and from bulk storage. Potentially significant emissions from lime plants include carbon oxides (CO, CO₂), nitrogen oxides (NOₓ), sulphur dioxide (SO₂) and dust.
2.2 Applied processes and techniques in lime manufacturing

The process for lime manufacturing consists of the burning of calcium and/or magnesium carbonates at a temperature of between 900 and 1200 °C, which is sufficiently high to liberate carbon dioxide, and to obtain the derived oxide (CaCO$_3$ → CaO + CO$_2$). For some processes, significantly higher burning temperatures of up to 1800 °C are necessary, for example dead burned dolomite.

The calcium oxide product from the kiln is generally crushed, milled and/or screened before being conveyed to silo storage. From the silo, the burned lime is either delivered to the end user for use in the form of quicklime, or transferred to a hydrating plant where it is reacted with water to produce hydrated or slaked lime.

Figure 2.5, Figure 2.6 and Figure 2.7 show the basic steps involved in the overall lime manufacturing process listed as follows [44, EuLA, 2006]:

- quarrying/winning of raw material from limestone properties
- limestone storage and preparation
- storage and preparation of different types of fuels
- calcination of limestone
- quicklime hydration and slaking
- quicklime processing
- other processing of lime
- storage, handling and transport.

![Figure 2.5: Overview of the lime manufacturing process](image-url)
2.2.1 General production process description

The manufacture of lime depends first on the quality of the limestone which is inherent of the geological situation of the deposit. The limestone is processed and charged into a kiln where it undergoes a thermal decomposition reaction with the resultant production of calcium oxide and carbon dioxide. This ‘product’, commonly called burned lime or quicklime, is processed in size and is then stored, transported or further processed. Figure 2.6 shows a flow diagram of the cornerstones for lime production.

![Flow diagram of the cornerstones for lime manufacturing](image)

Figure 2.6: Flow diagram of the cornerstones for lime manufacturing [44, EuLA, 2006]

The three big cornerstones ‘limestone’, ‘process’ and ‘product’ form the basis of a ‘matrix’ being dependent on each other for producing a product necessary for the different market demands. Lime is used for the production of various products which can be used by a wide range of industrial sectors and it is an indispensable interim product for many processes. The various ranges of application, however, also require various physico-chemical properties of the lime products. The requirements of lime qualities are laid down, in particular, in National as well as European Standards, but also in direct agreements between manufacturers and customers. The lime industry has always been prepared to comply with the wide range of quality requirements with a mature technique, i.e. special kiln types including their respective fuels.

The quality of the limestone, CaCO₃ and dolomite CaMg(CO₃)₂ respectively play a particular role in the production process, on the final quality of the lime and on the chemical composition. The kiln technique, i.e. kiln type and fuel used, considerably influences the properties of the lime produced. Therefore, various kiln types for the achievement of various lime qualities were developed worldwide. On the basis of the available limestone deposits together with the requirement profile of the customer, the optimal kiln/fuel combination was chosen in order to develop the best available techniques for the lime manufacturing process.
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The process for manufacturing lime is shown in Figure 2.5 and Figure 2.7.

![Lime Production Process Diagram](image)

**Figure 2.7:** Overview of a lime manufacturing process

[44, EuLA, 2006]

### 2.2.2 Raw materials and winning of limestone

The raw material for lime production is limestone or, to a lesser extent, dolomite or dolomitic limestone. Ten per cent of the total’s world volume of sedimentary rock is limestone.

Typically, limestone contains more than 90 % CaCO₃ and a few per cent MgCO₃. Dolomite is a double carbonate containing 54 – 58 % CaCO₃ and 40 – 44 % MgCO₃. Dolomitic limestone usually refers to a limestone containing MgCO₃ at a higher level than limestone but less than dolomite. The raw material, such as limestone, can be more than 360 million years old. While limestone deposits are relatively abundant in many countries, only a small proportion is suitable for commercial extraction.

Limestone rock is formed first from the combined dissolution process of calcium ions and carbon dioxide which follows either an organic route with the action of a variety of organisms or an inorganic route involving the crystallisation of carbonate. This process is then followed by a process called diagenesis or conversion of sediments into rocks. This process is quite complex involving several organic, physico-chemical routes. It is, therefore, conceivable to find that limestone exists in many forms depending on how it was originally formed. This is then reflected on its grain size, microstructure, texture, impurities, and carbonate content.
The level of impurities or trace elements and the carbonate content are particularly important for the suitability of the limestone for many applications. Table 2.10 shows the typical range of common impurities or trace elements generally found in limestone. An example of raw material composition from used limestone in a Hungarian lime plant is shown in Section 4.3.2.1 in Table 4.29.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impurities or metals</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Typical range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silica (as SiO₂)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.1 – 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alumina (as Al₂O₃)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.04 – 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (as Fe₂O₃)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.02 – 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur (S)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.0024 – 0.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbonaceous matter</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.01 – 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese (as MnO₂)</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>20 – 1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimony</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>0.1 – 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>0.1 – 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boron</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>1 – 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>0.1 – 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>3 – 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>1 – 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>0.5 – 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>0.02 – 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molybdenum</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>0.1 – 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>0.5 – 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>0.02 – 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>0.2 – 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>0.1 – 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanadium</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>1 – 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>3 – 500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.10: Typical impurities and trace elements in limestone
[44, EuLA, 2006], [70, Hungary, 2006], [126, Oates, 1998]

However, for the production of natural hydraulic lime the raw materials used contain 65 – 90 % CaCO₃ and MgCO₃. The purity of this raw material is different from raw materials which are used for the production of other types of lime. Furthermore, the organic content, expressed in terms of TOC, is much higher and is in the range of between 0.8 and 5 % [127, Portugal, 2007].

The raw material, such as high purity limestone or dolomite, is quarried, precrushed and, in some cases, washed and then screened before being transported to the lime plant. Limestone is normally obtained by surface quarrying, generally adjacent to the lime plant and the raw material can be transported directly by using belt conveyors to the plant, but in some cases sea dredging or even underground mining are used. The supply of raw material from quarries far away from the plant is also possible, e.g. by using vessels. A typical mining process includes:

- removal of the overburden (i.e. the soil, clay and loose rock positioned on the deposit)
- blasting of rock
- loading and transportation of the blasted rock to the crushing and screening plant.

Useful information regarding mining/quarrying can be found in the Reference Document on Best Available Techniques for Management of Tailings and Waste-Rock in Mining Activities [47, European Commission, 2004].
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2.2.3 Limestone preparation, cleaning and storage

2.2.3.1 Limestone preparation

The manufacture of lime depends first on the quality of the limestone which is inherent of the geological situation of the deposit. Selective mining can improve the quality of limestone for the calcinations process. If the impurities or trace elements are present heterogeneously, some of them can generally be removed by selective quarrying, washing or screening. However, if present homogeneously in limestone, these processes are ineffective. The properties of the limestone such as its porosity, hygroscopic behaviour or water absorption, bulk density, hardness, strength and decrepitating properties are interdependent. They are found to play a crucial role in the calcination or burning process and the chemical and physical properties of the resultant end-product.

It is typical for the limestone to be drilled and blasted on manageable benches. The blasted limestone is then fed to the crushing and screening processes.

Limestone rocks with sizes of one metre in diameter are often crushed in primary crushers to an appropriate size range of 100 – 250 mm. Depending on the nature of the rock (hardness, lamination, size, etc.) various types of primary crushers are used, such as: jaw crushers, gyratory crushers and impact crushers. Sometimes crushing plants are located at the quarry and are mobile.

Crushed limestone from the primary crusher is transported via conveyors to vibrating screens, where large pieces are separated and recycled while those passing through are used as kiln charge, or may be fed into the secondary crushers located further down the process line.

Secondary crushers are used for crushing the limestone to a size range of 5 – 80 mm. As the kiln charge does not have to be very fine, jaw and impact crushers are also often used as secondary crushers, as are hammer mills.

After crushing, the limestone is screened in a screening unit and then transported to limestone storage silos, stockpiles or bunkers for storage prior to feeding into the lime kilns by using belt conveyors and/or bucket elevators.

The particle size distribution of the crushed and screened limestone must be compatible with the requirements of the kiln. This generally requires the limestone to be screened to a stone size ratio of, ideally 2:1, or at least 3:1.

2.2.3.2 Limestone storage

Screened sizes of limestone are stored in bunkers and in outdoor stockpiles. Fine grades are usually stored in silos and stockpiles.

2.2.3.3 Limestone washing

Before feeding into the kiln, the limestone is sometimes washed, e.g. in washing drums or on screens. Fewer than 10 % of the lime plants wash the limestone in order to reduce the amount of natural sand and clay, which may have a negative effect on the kiln process, or affect the quality of the final products.

This washing aids the burning process by leaving free space between the stones for combustion air circulation, thus reducing the amount of excess air and saving electrical energy. Techniques for piling the limestone, for better washing, have been developed.
Suspended solids are removed from the washing water by:

- settling pits which may later be left for recultivation
- dehydration in filter presses.

The purified water from both systems is re-used in the washing process as shown in Figure 2.8.

![Material flow diagram for limestone washing and washing water purification](image)

Figure 2.8: Material flow diagram for limestone washing and washing water purification [46, Germany, 2006]

### 2.2.4 Fuels – preparation and storage

The lime industry is a highly energy intensive industry with energy accounting for up to 60 % of total production costs. In lime burning, the fuel provides the necessary energy for calcining. It also interacts with the process, and the combustion products react with the quicklime. Many different fuels are used in lime kilns. The most common in the EU are natural gaseous fuels, such as natural gas, coke oven gas, solid fuels such as coal (hard coal, pulverised lignite and pet coke), coke/anthracite as well as liquid fuels such as heavy and/or light fuel oil. Waste is also used as fuel, e.g. used oil, plastics, paper, and animal meal (meat bone meal) or sawdust [44, EuLA, 2006], [45, Schorcht, 2006], [46, Germany, 2006].
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Most kilns can operate on more than one fuel, but some fuels cannot be used in certain kilns. Some fuels require a special refractory kiln lining. Table 2.11 shows the percentage of types of fuels used in different lime kilns in 2003 and Figure 2.9 shows the types of fuels and the energy used in 2005 in the EU-27.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of fuel</th>
<th>Fuel description</th>
<th>Percentage of fuels used in different types of lime kilns (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LRK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas (fossil)</td>
<td>Natural gas, coke oven and converter gas, butane/propane gas</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid (fossil)</td>
<td>Coal, pet coke, metallurgical coke, lignite, anthracite</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid (fossil)</td>
<td>Heavy, medium and light fuel oil</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste (fossil and biomass)</td>
<td>Waste wood, tyres, plastics, waste liquid fuels, animal fat, meat bone meal</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass</td>
<td>Wood, woodchips, sawdust, wastes from agriculture and forestry</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.11: Types of fuels used in different lime kilns in 2003 in the EU-27 [44, EuLA, 2006], [46, Germany, 2006], [64, Czech Republic, 2006]

The choice of fuel(s) for a lime-burning operation is very important for the following reasons:

- cost of fuels – the cost of fuel per tonne of lime can represent 30 to 60 % of the production cost
- availability of fuel on the market
- quality of the lime – the effect of fuel on lime quality influences the residual CO₂ level, impurities, whiteness, and the reactivity and the sulphur content, etc.
- emissions – fuel affects the emission levels of carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, smoke, dust, sulphur dioxide and nitrogen oxides, all of which have an environmental impact
- saving of primary energy – fuel oil and natural gas.

A comparison of different fuels with regard to specific costs and sulphur content can be found in Figure 3.13 of the DBM chapter of this document (see Section 3.4.5).
The fuels are prepared, as required for the injection system (heated, pulverised), which can be of the direct or indirect firing type. In the case of solid fuels, this involves delivery at the appropriate particle size for the installed handling system. In the case of liquid and gaseous fuels, the required pressure and (as appropriate) temperature need to be maintained.

Often coal is milled by a coal mill and stored in a silo. Safety problems, such as risks regarding explosions of the coal dust have to be considered, e.g. the use of pressure shock proof silos of up to 10 bar pressure and the use of inert gas. Lignite, coal and sawdust must be stored in such a way that self-ignition of the fuel is avoided.

An example of a dosing system principle for a shaft kiln is shown in Figure 2.10 and Figure 2.11.

![Dosing System](image)

Figure 2.10: Flow diagram of a shaft kiln dosing system example
[44, EuLA, 2006]
2.2.5 Use of waste

2.2.5.1 General aspects

Lime manufacturing requires a substantial input of energy, thus making fuel the largest production cost. The use of waste fuels enables the lime producer to reduce the consumption of fossil fuels such as gas and liquid fuels and contributes to the economic viability of the lime sector in Europe. Since 1997, the European lime industry has been using more waste fuels as well as biomass. However, the quality of these waste fuels is important for using in lime manufacturing. Furthermore, the use of waste has an effect on the lime quality, such as the limitation of the usage of the lime. In any case, the use of waste fuels is also cost effective in relation to transport costs and unit prices of these fuels.

The EU Member States subject the use of waste fuels to rather different legal requirements. Requirements are imposed on the characteristics of wastes and on a number of substances which are contained in waste materials. In France, Germany and the UK, specifications and thresholds are listed, which may vary from region to region. In addition for metals, different thresholds with different totals are defined by the national or regional authorities.

Like any fuel switch and due to the specific product requirements and the nature of the production processes, the specific physical properties of the waste fuels have to be considered and need to be very precisely defined (e.g. physical state, net calorific value, chemical composition). High calorific waste, such as some pretreated fractions of waste can replace primary fuel in lime kilns. Therefore, a constant waste quality is essential, e.g. sufficient calorific value, high reactivity, low moisture, metal, chlorine and ash content. Furthermore, the waste materials have to be suitable for the burners (multi-channel burners).
The selection of the appropriate waste fuels also depends on the desired product qualities and on the technical possibilities to inject them into the selected kiln. Their use is mainly limited by:

- the physical and chemical properties that do not always meet the relevant physical, chemical or process-specific requirements. Hence, it is impossible to burn lumps of solid fuel in PFRK kilns
- the availability on the market.

Waste fuels have to be available in sufficient quantities. Such fuels are not prepared in lime plants. Normally different types of combustible wastes or wastes with separable high calorific fractions are prepared in special waste management facilities in such way that they can be directly burned in lime kilns without any additional treatment on their production site. An exception concerns the filtering of liquid fuels, which prevents coarse particles from clogging the control valves or the burner.

The selection of fuels (fossil or waste) also has to take into account the quality requirements of the products and is highly dependent on site-specific circumstances. Therefore, only a limited number of waste fuels are suitable for the production of lime.

These waste fuels represent about 4% of all the energy consumed by the European lime industry. In fact in 2005, the use of wastes as fuels was carried out in seven countries, which were the Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Sweden and the UK, as shown in Figure 2.12.

![Diagram showing lime produced by using waste fuels in different European countries](image)

**Figure 2.12:** Amount of lime produced by using waste fuels in different European countries [50, Germany, 2006], [51, EuLA, 2006]

Useful information regarding waste treatment can be found in the Reference Document on Best Available Techniques for Management of the Waste Treatments Industries [48, European Commission, 2005].

2.2.5.2 Technical aspects

When using wastes as fuels, the lime manufacturing process is not fundamentally changed. Lime kilns can operate with very different substitution rates, such as from low levels of substitution where waste fuels supply only a small percentage of the total energy, up to total substitution.

The use of waste can be carried out in rotary kilns (RK), annular shaft kilns (ASK), parallel flow regenerative kilns (PFRK) or other shaft kilns (OSK). The selection of the kiln type depends on the quality requirements and production capacities. Figure 2.13 shows the number and type of kilns burning waste fuels in the different European countries. More information regarding the different types of kilns used in the lime industry can be found in Section 2.2.7 of this document.

![Use of waste fuels in different lime kilns](image)

**Figure 2.13:** Distribution of lime kilns using waste fuels in different European countries [50, Germany, 2006], [51, EuLA, 2006]

For using waste fuels in the above-mentioned kilns except parallel flow regenerative kilns (PFRK), special burners either for gaseous fuels or for liquid fuels have to be used. These kilns are equipped with lances specifically designed for the combustion of gaseous, liquid and solid fuels.
In rotary kilns (RK), so-called multi-channel kiln burners for firing different fuels (gaseous, solid and liquid) can also be used as shown in Figure 2.14 and Figure 2.15.

![Multi-channel burner used in a rotary kiln](image)

**Figure 2.14:** Front view of a multi-channel burner used in a rotary kiln (RK)

![RK burner engineering](image)

**Figure 2.15:** RK burner engineering

[50, Germany, 2006], [51, EuLA, 2006]

### 2.2.5.3 Types of waste fuels used

The market availability is largely responsible for the observed differences in the type of waste fuels used in different European countries, as shown in Table 2.12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Types of waste fuels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Liquid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.12:** Types of waste fuels used in different European countries

[50, Germany, 2006], [51, EuLA, 2006]

Liquid and solid waste fuels include the following components and can be fired in different kilns as shown in Table 2.13 and Table 2.14.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liquid waste fuels</th>
<th>Solid waste fuels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waste oil</td>
<td>Solid derived fuels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvent and liquid derived fuels</td>
<td>Plastics (except PVC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal fat</td>
<td>Waste wood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tyres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meat bone meal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.13:** Components of liquid and solid waste fuels

[50, Germany, 2006], [51, EuLA, 2006]
Table 2.14: Waste fuels used in different kiln types
[50, Germany, 2006], [51, EuLA, 2006]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of kiln</th>
<th>Type of waste fuel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Liquid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long rotary kiln (LRK)</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary kiln with preheater (PRK)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallel flow regenerative kiln (PFRK)</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annular shaft kiln (ASK)</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other kilns (OK)</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Liquid waste fuels can be used in rotary kilns (LRK, PRK), annular shaft kilns (ASK), parallel flow regenerative kilns (PFRK) and special types of other kilns (OK), e.g. regular shaft kilns with side burner and dual incline kilns. When solid fuels are pulverised, they can be used in all the above-mentioned types of kilns. Small lumps of solid fuel can only be used in rotary kilns (LRK, PRK) or annular shaft kilns. By using wastes as fuels, neither solid nor liquid wastes are produced.

The techniques used by suppliers to prepare and blend certain waste fuel qualities depend on the characteristics of the input material and the requirements of the users. The following types of non-hazardous solid wastes are treated (for example sorting, crushing, pelletising) in waste facilities for using in lime manufacturing:

- mono waste material with a high calorific value like used tyres, animal meal
- mixtures of different mono waste materials (e.g. production-specific textile or plastic waste)
- separated high calorific fraction of mixed municipal waste, mixed commercial waste or mixed construction and demolition waste.

Liquid waste fuels are prepared by blending different wastes like used solvents or waste oil with suitable calorific values in special waste management facilities. Normally only simple pretreatment (removal of bottoms, sediments and water) is necessary. In some cases, e.g. machining oil/emulsion, chemical processes are necessary to remove metallic pollutants and additives.

Useful information regarding waste treatment can be found in the Reference Document on Best available Techniques for the Waste Treatments Industries [48, European Commission, 2005]

Liquid waste fuels can be hazardous. This has to be taken into account for handling (e.g. storing, feeding) this type of fuel. Therefore, vapour recovery is used to avoid emissions of organic compounds. Vapour recovery systems are operated in a way which ensures that the flow of organic substances is permitted only upon connection of the vapour recovery system. The vapour recovery system and attached facilities release no gas to the atmosphere during normal operation, with the exception of releases necessary for safety reasons.

An example of handling and using waste oil for the firing process is described and can be found in Section 4.3 (lime manufacturing).

By using animal fat it has to be noted that due to its viscosity this waste material solidifies at temperatures of 40 °C and may plug pipes and fittings. A handling of animal fat above temperatures of above 40 °C is necessary by, e.g. using additional heating [50, Germany, 2006], [51, EuLA, 2006].
2.2.5.4 Quality control of solid waste fuels

Due to the specific product requirements and the nature of the production processes, waste fuels need to have very precisely defined physical properties. In lime manufacture, only waste with characteristics for this specified purpose can be used.

High calorific waste can replace primary fuels in lime kilns and therefore a constant waste quality is essential, e.g. sufficient calorific value, low moisture, metal, chlorine and ash content, and the waste has to be suitable for the burners. Such fuels are prepared in special waste management facilities.

Before preparation by the supplier, the waste material has to be checked and analysed regarding different quality characteristics, e.g. defined sufficient calorific values, low moisture content and low content of pollutants, such as sulphur, chlorine and metals because of the possible pollution into the lime as well. Examples of typical pollutant contents which are allowed to be in waste oil and animal fat used in lime manufacturing are shown in Section 4.3.2.2 (see Table 4.30). Waste fuels can be produced in different forms, such as pellets. Furthermore, before using for kiln firing, prepared and delivered waste materials are analysed as well. For analysing the different wastes, special laboratory equipment is used. An example for the quality control of solid waste fuels burned in rotary kilns is given in Section 4.3.4 [50, Germany, 2006], [51, EuLA, 2006].

To guarantee the characteristics of the waste fuel, a quality assurance system is required. In particular, this includes provisions for the sampling, the sample preparation, the analysis and the external monitoring. More useful information can be found in the technical specifications of the European Committee for Standardisation, such as CEN/TC 343 ‘Solid Recovered Fuels’.

The origin and classification of the waste has to be specified according to the European Waste Catalogue (EWC) [49, European Commission, 2000]. This catalogue classifies waste materials and categorises them according to how they were produced and what their characteristics are. The EWC is referred to in a number of EU Directives and Commission Decisions regarding waste management.

The supplier of waste has to classify the waste and has to confirm that the fuels ready for use have the characteristics specified for the purpose of lime manufacturing in different kilns.

An example for quality control is described along with the explanation of classification of waste and can be found in Section 4.3.4 [44, EuLA, 2006], [46, Germany, 2006]

2.2.6 Quicklime and dolomite production

2.2.6.1 Calcination of limestone – chemical reaction

Lime is produced by burning calcium and/or magnesium carbonates at temperatures of between 900 and 1200 °C. Furthermore, temperatures of up to 1800 °C can be possible, e.g. for achieving sintering (e.g. dead burned dolime). These temperatures are sufficiently high in order to liberate carbon dioxide and to obtain the derived oxide. This chemical reaction of calcium carbonate thermal decomposition is often referred to as ‘calcination’ and can be expressed as follows:

\[ \text{CaCO}_3 \overset{\text{heat}}{\rightarrow} \text{CaO} + \text{CO}_2 \uparrow \]

100 g   56 g   44 g
Therefore, the process depends on an adequate firing temperature of at least more than 800 °C in order to ensure decarbonisation and a good residence time, i.e. ensuring that the lime/limestone is held for a sufficiently long period at temperatures of 1000 – 1200 °C to control its reactivity.

The reactivity of quicklime is a measure of the rate at which the quicklime reacts in the presence of water. The test method to measure the reactivity of ground lime by slaking the lime in water is described in the European standard EN 459-2. To measure the reactivity of lump lime, some other methods are used in the lime industry, such as the so-called ‘Wuhrer-Test’ [44, EuLA, 2006].

The reactivity of lime depends on different parameters related to the raw material and the process. These parameters are:

- burning temperature and time
- crystalline structure of the limestone
- impurities of the limestone
- kiln type and fuel.

The classification of lime is often seen in terms of its reactivity, such as:

- dead burned
- hard
- medium
- soft.

The European standard method for assessing the reactivity of lime is to measure the time, so-called ‘t60’, which corresponds to the time a mixture of lime and water (under standardised conditions) takes to rise from 20 to 60 °C. In practice, the higher the ‘t60’, the lower the reactivity of the lime produced. However, there are no standards to define the product quality (soft, medium, hard burned) because the borders are not clearly defined. Figure 2.16 shows the change of the reactivity (t60), as a function of the burning temperature as well as the nature of the limestone.

![Figure 2.16: Change of reactivity versus burning temperature and type of limestone](image-url)
Lime with a lower reactivity is often referred to as medium, hard and dead burned. The decrease of reactivity is accompanied by a reduction of the surface and the porosity of the lime, which is called sintering.

Each specific type of lime has a particular reactivity which, in turn, is governed by the requirements of the application and the specific process. As mentioned above, the characteristics of lime also depend on the limestone feed material, the type of kiln and the fuel used. For example, coke-fired shaft kilns generally produce quicklime with a medium to low reactivity, whereas gas-fired parallel flow regenerative kilns usually produce a high reactivity lime. The chemistry and reactivity of lime are the main parameters that drive the market.

Figure 2.17 shows the difference in morphology (particle form) and surface of soft burned lime compared to medium and hard burned lime taken and scanned by a scanning electron micrograph (SEM, magnification: SEMx5000).

![Figure 2.17: Morphology of soft, medium and hard burned lime](image)

[44, EuLA, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]

The decomposition of dolomites and magnesium/dolomitic limestone is much more complex. Decomposition can occur via a single or two discrete stages or even via intermediate stages [44, EuLA, 2006]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CaCO}_3 \cdot \text{MgCO}_3 + \text{heat} & \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{CaCO}_3 \cdot \text{MgO} + \text{CO}_2 \uparrow \\
184 \text{ g} & \quad 140 \text{ g} \quad 44 \text{ g}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CaCO}_3 \cdot \text{MgO} + \text{heat} & \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{CaO} \cdot \text{MgO} + \text{CO}_2 \uparrow \\
140 \text{ g} & \quad 96 \text{ g} \quad 44 \text{ g}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CaCO}_3 \cdot \text{MgCO}_3 + \text{heat} & \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{CaO} \cdot \text{MgO} + 2\text{CO}_2 \uparrow \\
184 \text{ g} & \quad 96 \text{ g} \quad 88 \text{ g}
\end{align*}
\]

The temperature required for the decomposition of dolomites and magnesium/dolomitic limestone is usually in the range of 500 – 750 °C.
2.2.6.2 Calcining of limestone in the kiln

Passing limestone (with or without a significant magnesium carbonate content) through the kiln can be divided into three stages or heat transfer zones, which are illustrated in Figure 2.18 and described below:

1. **Preheating zone**: Limestone is heated from ambient temperature to about 800 °C by direct contact with the gases leaving the calcining zone composed mainly of combustion products along with excess air and CO₂ from calcinations.

2. **Burning zone or calcining zone**: Fuel is burned in preheated air from the cooling zone and (depending on the design) in additional ‘combustion’ air added with the fuel. In this zone, temperatures of >900 °C are produced. From 800 to 900 °C, the surface of the limestone starts to decompose. At temperatures above the decomposition temperature of limestone, i.e. 900 °C, decomposition takes place below the surface of the pebbles. At a temperature of 900 °C, these pebbles leave the calcining zone and are sometimes found as residual limestone which is still trapped inside. If the pebbles decomposed fully and still reside in the calcining zone, sintering occurs.

3. **Cooling zone**: Quicklime which leaves the calcining zone at temperatures of 900 °C, is cooled by direct contact with ‘cooling’ air, part or all of the combustion air, which in return is preheated. Lime leaves this zone at temperatures of less than 100 °C.

![Figure 2.18: General principles of lime calcination](image-url)
The residence time of the limestone lime in a kiln varies depending on the type of kiln and type of final product required. This period is found to be between six hours and two days.

Quicklime is often referred to as light or soft, medium or hard burned depending on the extent to which it has been calcined. The degree of reactivity, i.e. reactivity to water, is found to decrease as the level of porosity increases.

The rate of limestone decomposition in the kiln will, therefore, be found to depend on several factors inherent of the limestone particles themselves, i.e. morphology and composition, and of the process conditions. The main variables are found to be:

- the chemical characteristics of limestone
- the particle size and shape
- the temperature profile of the calcining zone
- the rate of heat exchange between gases and particles.

Most of the kilns used are based on either the shaft or the rotary design. There are a few other kilns based on different principles. All of these designs incorporate the concept of the three zones. Whereas shaft kilns usually incorporate a preheating zone, some other lime kilns, namely rotary kilns, sometimes operate in connection with separate preheaters. Two main types of preheaters are used, vertical shaft and travelling grate.

Most kiln systems are characterised by the countercurrent flow of solids and gases, which has implications for the resulting pollutant releases.

### 2.2.6.3 Quicklime processing

The objective of processing run-of-kiln (ROK) quicklime is to produce a number of grades with the particle sizes and qualities required by the various market segments. A number of unit processes are used, including screening, crushing, pulverising, grinding, air-classifying and conveying. A well designed lime processing plant achieves a number of objectives, namely:

- maximising the yield of main products
- minimising the yield of surplus grades (generally fines)
- improving the quality of certain products
- providing flexibility to alter the yields of products in response to changes in market demands.

The processing plant should include adequate storage, both for the products and intermediates, to provide a buffer between the kiln, which is best operated on a continuous basis, and dispatches which tend to be at low levels overnight and at weekends.

ROK lime is often screened to typically about 5 mm. If the ROK lime has a top size in excess of (say) 45 mm, it is reduced in size with the minimum production of fines. Jaw and roll crushers are widely used for this task. The crushed ROK lime is then fed to a multi-deck screen, which produces a secondary fines fraction (e.g. less than 5 mm), and granular, or ‘pebble’, lime fractions (e.g. 5 – 15 mm and 15 – 45 mm).

The products are stored in bunkers, from which they can be either dispatched directly, or transferred to another plant for grinding or hydrating.
2.2.6.3.1 Production of milled ground quicklime

The demand for various grades and qualities of ground quicklime has grown rapidly ever since the 1950s. Particle size requirements vary from relatively coarse products used for soil stabilisation to very finely divided products for specialist applications.

The coarser products are produced relatively cheaply in a single pass through a beater mill fitted with an integral basket. Finer products are generally produced in ball mills and vertical roller press mills. Most milling plants use air classification systems.

2.2.6.4 Production of slaked and/or hydrated lime

Slaked lime refers to hydrated lime (dry calcium hydroxide powder), milk of lime and lime putty (dispersions of calcium hydroxide particles in water).

2.2.6.4.1 Production of hydrated lime

The hydration of lime involves the addition of water in a hydrator to calcium oxide. The quantity of water added is about twice the stoichiometric amount required for the hydration reaction. The excess water is added to moderate the temperature generated by the heat of the reaction by evaporation as shown below:

\[
\text{CaO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Ca(OH)}_2
\]

\[
56 \text{ g} + 18 \text{ g} \rightarrow 74 \text{ g}
\]

Many different types of hydrator designs exist, but the process itself can, in principle, be described as shown in Figure 2.19. The hydrator consists of, for example, rotating paddles which vigorously agitate the lime in the presence of water. A strong exothermic reaction takes place generating 1.14 MJ per kg of calcium oxide. The hydration process is regulated in such a way that the final product is a dry powder. After hydration, the product is transferred to a classifier. Some or the entire coarse fraction may be stored, ground or recycled. The products are stored in silos.

![Flow diagram of a lime hydrator](image)

Figure 2.19: Flow diagram of a lime hydrator
[16, EuLA, 2001], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]
Some hydrating plants improve the quality of hydrated lime by removing an inferior grade, consisting of a coarse, carbonate-rich fraction. These inferior grades of material are incorporated into selected products, wherever possible. Otherwise, they are sent to landfill.

2.2.6.4.2 Production of milk of lime and lime putty

Milk of lime and lime putty are produced by the slaking of lime with excess water. Slaking is done in both batch and continuous slakers. The term ‘milk of lime’ is used to describe a fluid suspension of slaked lime in water. Milks of lime may contain up to 40 % by weight of solids. Milk of lime with a high solids content is sometimes called lime slurry. Lime putty is a thick dispersion of slaked lime in water. Putties typically contain 55 to 70 % by weight of solids. Lime paste is sometimes used to describe semi-fluid putty.

For many applications, e.g. mortars in buildings, reagents in chemical reactions, lime is used as a hydroxide, as a suspension in water (as a slurry) or as a paste.

For reasons of convenience, lime users prefer to work with hydrated lime in powder form, which is diluted in water, rather than with quicklime. The slaking process is a delicate exothermic process, needing specific equipment, which lime users prefer to avoid. Moreover, hydrated lime may be preferred over quicklime, which reacts immediately with humidity. Finally, the hydration process enables the increase of product quality (yield) by removing unburned (CaCO₃) coarse particles or any other coarse impurities.

2.2.7 Types of lime kilns – techniques and design

A large variety of techniques and kiln designs have been used over the years and around the world. Although sales of lime kilns in recent years have been dominated by a relatively small number of designs, many alternatives are available, which are particularly suitable for specific applications. Limestone properties, such as strength before and after burning, type of available fuel and product quality, must be considered when choosing a kiln technique. Many lime producers operate two or more types of kiln, using different sizes of limestone feed, and producing different qualities of lime, therefore, making better use of the natural resources, which is a good environmental practice.

There are six general types of kilns used for the manufacture of lime. Generally, the main important factors for the selection of a kiln are:

- nature of the mineral deposit
  - characteristics, availability and quality of the limestone
- input granulometry
  - mechanical properties of the kiln feed
  - fines
- customer applications regarding the lime properties
- kiln capacity
- availability of fuels (including waste fuels)
- costs (fuel, investment and operating).
Typical production rate and size of the stone feed for different types of kilns are shown in Table 2.15. The types of kilns used for lime manufacture have a general lifetime of 30 to 45 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kiln type</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Output range t/d</th>
<th>Range of feed stone size mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long rotary kiln</td>
<td>LRK</td>
<td>160 – 1500</td>
<td>2 – 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary kiln with preheater</td>
<td>PRK</td>
<td>150 – 1500</td>
<td>10 – 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallel flow generative kiln</td>
<td>PFRK</td>
<td>100 – 600</td>
<td>10 – 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annular shaft kiln</td>
<td>ASK</td>
<td>80 – 300</td>
<td>10 – 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed feed shaft kiln</td>
<td>MFSK</td>
<td>60 – 200</td>
<td>20 – 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other kilns</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>10 – 200</td>
<td>20 – 250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.15: Operational parameters for types of lime kilns
[16, EuLA, 2001] [44, EuLA, 2006]

The physico-chemical properties of lime are inherently linked to the type of kilns used for the calcination. Other parameters can influence the choice of the kiln, such as:

- type and availability of fuel
- kiln capacity
- investment costs
- operational costs
- environmental impact.

Table 2.16 shows the types of kilns generally used for producing specific types of lime required by the market.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reactivity</th>
<th>t60 3)&lt;3 min</th>
<th>t60 3)&gt;3 min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grain size of limestone (mm)</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>20 – 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content of sulphur in lime</td>
<td>M1)</td>
<td>L2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long rotary kiln (LRK)</td>
<td>+4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary kiln with preheater (PRK)</td>
<td>+4)</td>
<td>+4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallel flow generative kiln (PFRK)</td>
<td>+4)</td>
<td>+4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annular shaft kiln (ASK)</td>
<td>+4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed feed shaft kiln (MFSK)</td>
<td>+4)</td>
<td>+4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other kilns (OK)</td>
<td>+4)</td>
<td>+4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) M=medium: >0.05 %
2) L=low: <0.05 %
3) t60 =reactivity of lime which indicates the time needed for quicklime to be heated from 20 to 60 °C when reacting with water
4) The most frequently used
5) Used under certain conditions

Table 2.16: Relationship between kilns and types of lime generally produced in these kilns
[44, EuLA, 2006]
Table 2.17 shows the different product properties such as reactivity for different market sectors or consumer groups. The chemistry and reactivity of lime are the most important parameters that drive the market.

| Consumer group | Production percentage (%) in 2003 (ILA)$^{1)}$ | Product properties lime | | 
|----------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                |                                               | Reactivity              | Chemistry       | Content of sulphur |                |                |
|                |                                               | t$_{60}^{2)}$<3 min$^{3)}$ | t$_{60}^{2)}$>3 min$^{3)}$ | very pure | CL 70 – 80$^{6)}$ |                |                |
| I. Industry    |                                               |                         |                |                  |                  |                |                |
| 1. Iron and steel | 39                                             | x                       | x               | x                | x               |                |                |
| 2. Non-ferrous | 3                                               |                         |                |                  |                  |                |                |
| 3. Chemical    | 10                                              | x                       | x               | x                | x               |                |                |
| 4. Others (e.g. paper) | 6                                             |                         |                |                  |                  |                |                |
| II. Building materials (industry)$^{(6)}$ | 3                                             | x                       | x               | x                | x               |                |                |
| III. Building materials (trade)$^{(6)}$ | 17                                            | x                       | x               | x                | x               |                |                |
| IV. Environmental protection$^{(9)}$ | 16                                            |                         |                |                  |                  |                |                |
| 1. Flue-gas treatment |                                   |                         |                |                  |                  |                |                |
| 2. Drinking water |                                               |                         |                |                  |                  |                |                |
| 3. Sewage treatment |                                               |                         |                |                  |                  |                |                |
| V. Agriculture |                                               |                         |                |                  |                  |                |                |
| I. Steel industry |                                               | x$^{(7)}$               |                 |                  |                  |                |                |
| II. No application for dolime |                                               |                         |                |                  |                  |                |                |
| III. Building materials |                                               | Half burned             |                  |                  |                  |                |                |
| IV. No application for dolime |                                               |                         |                |                  |                  |                |                |
| V. |                                               | Half burned             |                  |                  |                  |                |                |
| VI. No application for dolime |                                               |                         |                |                  |                  |                |                |
| VII. Refractory |                                               | Dead burned             |                  |                  |                  |                | x$^{(6)}$             |

$^{1)}$ International Lime Association
$^{2)}$ t$_{60} =$reactivity of lime which indicates the time needed for quicklime to be heated from 20 to 60 °C when reacting with water
$^{3)}$ Minutes
$^{4)}$ CL 70 = classification standard
$^{5)}$ DL 80, DL 85 = classification standard
$^{6)}$ ‘Very pure’ specification used in the UK

Table 2.17: Indicative lime specifications by consumer groups
[44, EuLA, 2006]
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Shaft kilns constitute about 90% of all the kilns used in Europe, which total about 551 kilns. This section describes the basic functioning of a shaft kiln with some of the differences observed in its subclasses, namely:

- mixed feed shaft kilns (MFSK)
  - 116 kilns in the EU-27, most of them located in central Europe
- parallel flow regenerative kilns (PFRK)
  - 158 kilns in the EU-27
- annular shaft kilns (ASK)
  - 74 kilns in the EU-27
- other kilns (OK)
  - 203 kilns in the EU-27.

Shaft kilns are vertical in design, up to 30 metres in height and with a diameter of up to 6 metres. For this type of kiln, the limestone is fed in at the top section of the kiln and progressively makes its way down through the different stages of the kiln until it is discharged at the bottom as lime. The performance of traditional shaft kilns has been limited by the difficulty in obtaining a uniform heat distribution over the kiln cross-section and uniform material movement through the kiln [44, EuLA, 2006].

2.2.7.1 Mixed feed shaft kiln (MFSK)

Mixed feed shaft kilns use limestone with a top size in the range of 20 to 200 mm and a size ratio of approximately 2:1. The most widely used fuel is a dense grade of coke with a low ash content. The coke size is only slightly smaller than that of the stone. Therefore, it moves down with the stones rather than trickling through the interstices. The stone and the coke are mixed and charged into the kiln in such a way as to minimise segregation. Anthracite is used more and more due to the price and availability of metallurgical grade coke. The working principle of a mixed feed shaft kiln is shown in Figure 2.20 and an example of such a kiln is shown in Figure 2.21.

![Figure 2.20: Principle of a mixed feed shaft kiln](image1)
![Figure 2.21: Mixed feed shaft kiln](image2)

[44, EuLA, 2006]
The quality of the quicklime tends to be moderate, with the reactivity being considerably lower than that obtained by rotary kilns at the same CaCO$_3$ level. The retention of sulphur from the fuel is high. The main technical characteristics are shown in Table 2.18.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mixed feed shaft kiln (MFSK)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of fuel feed</strong></td>
<td>Mixed with limestone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Output (t/day)</strong></td>
<td>60 – 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heat use (MJ/t of lime)</strong></td>
<td>3400 – 4700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Energy/electricity use</strong></td>
<td>5 – 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Structure</strong></td>
<td>Vertical cylinder or rectangular shaft with refractory lining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grain size of limestone (mm)</strong></td>
<td>20 – 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of fuel</strong></td>
<td>Lump coke$^1$ and anthracite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Combustion air injection</strong></td>
<td>Only cooling air from the bottom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drawing of lime</strong></td>
<td>Rotating eccentric plate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Important points</strong></td>
<td>Requires uniform mixing of stone and fuel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Requires even distribution of stone over cross-section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High retention of sulphur from fuel in the lime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Advantages</strong></td>
<td>Unconditional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Construction and maintenance costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Good tool for lime with low reactivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low electricity requirements (fans) (see Table 2.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cooling air is used as combustion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low excess air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High CO$_2$ for PCC$^2$), sugar and soda for captive lime production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disadvantages</strong></td>
<td>Uniform fuel/air mixing difficult to achieve, producing variations in air/fuel ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Process conditions leading to CO emissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Requires large limestone pebbles, which shorten the lifetime of the deposit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low reaction to modify parameters (24 hours), so great inertia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$Metallurgical coke  
$^2$Precipitated calcium carbonate

Table 2.18: Main technical characteristics of mixed feed shaft kilns  
[44, EuLA, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]
2.2.7.2 Parallel flow regenerative kiln (PFRK)

The standard PFRK’s main feature is that it has two circular shafts connected by a crossover channel, although some early designs had three shafts while others had rectangular shafts. PFRKs can be designed for outputs typically between 100 – 600 t/d. Figure 2.22 and Figure 2.24 show the basic operating principle and gas flow in the PFRK and Figure 2.23 shows an example of a PFRK.
The method of operation incorporates two key principles:

- the preheating zone in each shaft acts as a regenerative heat exchanger, in addition to preheating the stone to calcining temperature. The surplus heat in the gases is transferred to the stone of the other shaft during the first stage of the process. It is then recovered from the stone by the combustion air, which is preheated to around 800 °C. As a consequence, the kiln has very low specific heat consumption.

- the calcination of the quicklime takes place at a relatively moderate temperature, typically around 900 to 1100 °C. This makes the kilns ideally suited for producing moderate and high reactivity lime with a low residual CO₂ level.

In practice, batches of limestone are charged alternatively to each shaft and passed downwards through the preheating zone, around the fuel lances and then into the calcining zone. From the calcining zone, they pass finally to the cooling zone.

The operation of the kiln consists of two equal periods, which last from 8 to 15 minutes at full output. During the first period, fuel is injected through the lances at the first shaft and burns with the combustion air blown down in this shaft. The heat emitted is partly absorbed by the calcination of the limestone in this first shaft. Cooling air is blown into the base of each shaft to cool the lime. The cooling air in shaft number one, together with the combustion gases and the carbon dioxide from calcination, pass through the interconnecting cross-duct into shaft number two at a temperature of about 1050 °C. In shaft number two, the gases coming from shaft number one are mixed with the cooling air blown into the base of shaft number two and flow upwards. Thus, they heat the stone in the preheating zone of shaft number two.
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If the above mode of operation were to continue, the exhaust gas temperature would rise to well over 500 °C. However, after a period of 8 to 15 minutes, the fuel and airflows in the first shaft are stopped and a ‘reversal’ occurs. After charging the limestone to shaft number one, fuel and air are injected into shaft number two and the exhaust gases are vented from the top of shaft number one.

The kiln can be fired with gas, liquid or solid pulverised fuels as well as waste fuels or biomass. The kiln also has a high turn down ratio, although at lower production rates there may be some loss of energy efficiency. Once a kiln has been lit, it is undesirable to shut it down as this can result in a shorter refractory life. The campaign life of the refractory in the burning and crossover channel is 4 to 8 years for most operations.

The standard PFRK requires clean stone, ideally with a stone ratio no greater than 2:1. The minimum stone size 30 mm, although a modified design called the PFRK fine lime kiln can operate on sizes as small as 10 – 30 mm on clean limestone.

Table 2.19 summarises the major technical characteristics of the PFRK.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parallel flow regenerative kiln (PFRK)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of fuel feed</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Output (t/day)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heat use (MJ/t of lime)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Energy/electricity use (kWh/t of lime)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Structure</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grain size of limestone (mm)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of fuel</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Combustion air injection</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drawing of lime</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Important points</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Advantages</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disadvantages</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.19: Technical characteristics of parallel flow regenerative kilns
[44, EuLA, 2006]
2.2.7.3 Annular shaft kilns (ASK)

The major feature of this type of kiln is a central cylinder which restricts the width of the annulus, and together with arches for combustion gas distribution ensures good heat distribution as shown in Figure 2.25 and Figure 2.27. The central column also enables part of the combustion gases from the lower burners to be drawn down the shaft and to be injected back into the lower chamber.

This recycling moderates the temperature at the lower burners and ensures that the final stages of calcination occur at a low temperature. Both effects help to ensure a product with a low CaCO₃ level and a high reactivity. The annular shaft kiln (an example is shown in Figure 2.26) can be fired with gas, oil or solid fuel. The exhaust gases have a high CO₂ concentration.

Figure 2.25: Annular shaft kiln
[44, EuLA, 2006]

Figure 2.26: Example of an annular shaft kiln used in lime manufacture
[44, EuLA, 2006]
Figure 2.27: Operating principle of annular shaft kilns (ASK)
[44, EuLA, 2006]

Technical characteristics of annular shaft kilns are shown in Table 2.20 [44, EuLA, 2006].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annular shaft kiln (ASK)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of fuel feed</strong></td>
<td>Upper and lower burning chamber; sometimes mixed with limestone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Output (t/day)</strong></td>
<td>80 – 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heat use (MJ/t of lime)</strong></td>
<td>3300 – 4900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Energy/electricity use (kWh/t of lime)</strong></td>
<td>18 – 35 (up to 50 for grain sizes of below 40 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Structure</strong></td>
<td>Vertical cylinder with refractory and inner cylinder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>External chambers and burners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grain size of limestone (mm)</strong></td>
<td>(10) 40 – 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of fuel</strong></td>
<td>Gas, liquid or pulverised solid fuels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Waste fuels and biomass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Combustion air injection</strong></td>
<td>At the top:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• duct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• dedusting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• fan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drawing of lime</strong></td>
<td>Rotating eccentric plate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Important points</strong></td>
<td>Requires very accurate process control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Advantages</strong></td>
<td>Low residual CO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High to medium reactivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fuel saving through heat recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central cylinder restricts the width of the annulus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Good heat distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disadvantages</strong></td>
<td>Maintenance of heat recuperator and outer chambers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relatively high construction cost due to its conception</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.20: Technical characteristics of annular shaft kilns
[44, EuLA, 2006]
2.2.7.4 Other kilns (OK)

2.2.7.4.1 Other single shaft kilns

This group of kilns includes a number of designs not described above. In these designs, fuel is introduced through the walls of the kiln, and is burned in the calcining zone, with the combustion products moving upwards countercurrently to the lime and limestone. In some designs, the fuel is partially combusted in external gasifiers. In others, it is introduced via devices such as a central burner, beam burner or injected below internal arches.

2.2.7.4.2 Double inclined shaft kilns

This type of kiln can produce a reactive low carbonate product. It is essentially rectangular in cross-section but incorporates two inclined sections in the calcining zone. Opposite each inclined section, offset arches create spaces into which fuel and preheated combustion air is fired via combustion chambers.

Cooling air is drawn into the base of the kiln where it is preheated, withdrawn and re-injected via the combustion chambers. The tortuous paths for both the gases and the burden, coupled with firing from both sides, ensure an efficient distribution of heat. A range of solid, liquid and gaseous fuels can be used, although they should be selected with care to avoid excessive buildups caused by fuel ash and calcium sulphate deposits.

The major features of double inclined shaft kilns are shown in Figure 2.28.

![Double Inclined Shaft Kiln Diagram](image-url)

Figure 2.28: Double inclined shaft kiln
[40, Ullmann's, 1990], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]
2.2.7.4.3 Multi-chamber shaft kilns

This is another type of double inclined kiln. It consists of four or six alternately inclined sections in the calcining zone, and opposite of each is an offset arch. The arches serve the same purpose as in the double-inclined kiln.

Cooling air is preheated by lime in the cooling zone and is withdrawn, dedusted and re-injected via the combustion chambers.

A feature of the kiln is that the temperature of the lower combustion chambers can be varied to control the reactivity of the lime over a wide range. The kiln can be fired with solid, liquid and gaseous fuels or a mixture of different types of fuels.

2.2.7.4.4 Travelling grate kilns

For limestone grains with a size range of 15 to 45 mm, an option is the ‘travelling grate’ or CID kiln. This kiln type was developed in Germany.

It consists of a rectangular shaft preheating zone, which feeds the limestone into a calcining zone. In the calcining zone, the limestone slowly cascades over five oscillating plates, opposite of which are a series of burners. The lime passes to a rectangular cooling zone.

The kiln can burn gaseous, liquid or pulverised fuels and is reported to produce a soft burned lime with a residual CaCO\(_3\) content of less than 2.3 %. The four kilns built to date have capacities of 80 to 130 tonnes/day of quicklime.

2.2.7.4.5 Top-shaped kilns

The ‘top-shaped’ lime kiln is a relatively new development, which accepts feed stone in the 10 to 25 mm range. This type of kiln was developed in Japan.

This consists of an annular preheating zone from which the limestone is displaced by pushing rods into a cylindrical calcining zone. Combustion gases from a central, downward facing burner, fired with oil and positioned in the centre of the preheating zone are drawn down into the calcining zone by an ejector. The lime then passes down into a conical cooling zone.

The kiln is reported to produce high quality quicklime, suitable for steel production and precipitated calcium carbonate. Kiln capacities are up to 100 tonnes/day of quicklime. It is reported that, because of its relatively low height, the kiln can accept limestone with low strengths.

2.2.7.4.6 Gas suspension calcination (GSC) kilns

Gas suspension calcination (GSC) kilns are a technique for minerals processing, such as the calcination of limestone, dolomite and magnesite from pulverised raw materials to produce highly reactive and uniform products. However in 2007, only one plant in the EU-27 used this technique. Most of the processes in the plant, such as drying, preheating, calcination and cooling, are performed in gas suspension. Consequently, the plant consists of stationary equipment and a few moving components, as shown in Figure 2.29.

The amount of material present in the system is negligible, which means that after a few minutes of operation, the product will conform to specifications. There is no loss of material or quality during start-up and shutdown so there is no subgrade product. The GSC process produces a product with high reactivity, even when calcined to a high degree.
The material to be processed in gas suspension must have a suitable fineness; practical experience has shown that 2 mm particle size should not be exceeded.

A GSC plant for the production of dolomitic lime has been in continuous operation at Norsk Hydro, Porsgrunn, Norway, since August 1986. Some performance figures for the balanced operation of GSC and crushing/drying are presented below [53, Norsk Hydro Norway, 2001]:

- **plant capacity**: 430 tonnes/day
- **fuel consumption**: 4.8 GJ/tonne product
- **power consumption**: 33 kWh/tonne product.

### 2.2.7.4.7 Rotating hearth kilns

This type of kiln, now almost obsolete, was designed to produce pebble lime. It consists of an annular travelling hearth carrying the limestone charge. The limestone is calcined by multiple burners as it rotates on the annular hearth. The combustion air is preheated by surplus heat in exhaust gases and/or by using it to cool the quicklime.

Due to the reduced abrasion compared to rotary and shaft kilns, rotating hearth kilns produce a high proportion of pebble lime.
2.2.7.5 Long rotary kilns (LRK)

In 2008, there were about 29 long rotary kilns in the EU-27 mostly located in the north of Europe. The long rotary kiln consists of a rotating (up to 150 m long) cylinder inclined at an angle of 1 to 4 degrees to the horizontal with a diameter of about 2 – 4.5 metres. Limestone is fed into the upper end and fuel plus combustion air is fired into the lower end. Quicklime is discharged from the kiln into a lime cooler, where it is used to preheat the combustion air. Various designs of lime coolers are used including planetary units mounted around the kiln shell, travelling grates and various types of counter-flow shaft coolers.

Figure 2.30 shows a flow diagram of a long rotary kiln and a typical countercurrent lime process; an example of this kiln type is shown in Figure 2.31. The major characteristics are shown in Table 2.21.

![Operating principle of long rotary kilns](image-url)
### Long rotary kiln (LRK)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of fuel feeding</td>
<td>Burner at lime discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output (t/day)</td>
<td>160 – 1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat use (MJ/t of lime)</td>
<td>6000 – 9200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy/electricity use (kWh/t of lime)</td>
<td>18 – 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure</td>
<td>Inclined rotating cylinder with refractory lining ‘mixers’ to improve the heat exchange:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• up to 150 m long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 2 – 4.5 m in diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>External chambers and burners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of preheater</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of cooler</td>
<td>• planetary around kiln shell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• travelling grate or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• rotating cylinder or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• static shaft cooler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain size of limestone (mm)</td>
<td>2 – 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of fuel</td>
<td>Gas, liquid or pulverised solid fossil fuels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Waste fuels and biomass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combustion air injection</td>
<td>Cooling air at the extremity of the cooler and primary air with the fuel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaust gas extraction</td>
<td>At the end of the tube, at the limestone feeding side:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• duct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• cooling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• dedusting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• fan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drawing of lime</td>
<td>At the extremity of the cooler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Important points</td>
<td>Quality of refractory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fine grinding of coal to ensure good combustion and to build up reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Continuous measurement of CO and O₂ necessary for good combustion and safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advantages</td>
<td>Flexibility of production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very quick reaction for modification of parameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wide range of feedstone sizes for preservation of deposit/natural resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very low residual CO₂ achievable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flexibility of reactivity from soft to hard burned, possibility to produce dead burned dolomite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fuel flexibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weak limestone (producing a lot of fines during calcination) can be used, if they are unsuitable for use in shaft kilns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disadvantages</td>
<td>High energy requirements (hot exhaust gases and high shell temperature)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Formation of so-called ‘rings’ (coal ashes, calcium sulphates, clay)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.21: Technical characteristics of long rotary kilns (LRK)
[44, EuLA, 2006]
The design of a burner is important for the efficient and reliable operation of the kiln. The flame should be adjustable for different types of fuels.

Because of the fact that process conditions can be easily and quickly varied, long rotary kilns can produce a wider range of lime reactivity and lower residual CO$_2$ levels than shaft kilns. Relatively weak feedstones, such as shell deposits, and limestone that breaks up, are unsuitable as feed for shaft kilns but may prove to be suitable for rotary kilns.

Rotary kilns can be fired with a wide range of fuels. As heat transfer in the calcining zone is largely influenced by radiation and, as the infrared emissivities increase in the sequence gas, oil and solid fuels, the choice of fuel can have a significant effect on heat usage. Radiation and convection losses are highly relative to other designs of lime kilns which result in generally higher energy consumption compared to other types of kilns.

An advantage of the rotary kiln is that sulphur from the fuel, and to a lesser extent from the limestone, can be expelled from the kiln in the kiln gases by a combination of controlling the temperature and the percentage of CO in the calcining zone. Thus, low sulphur limes can be produced using high sulphur fuels, subject to any emission limits for SO$_2$ in the exhaust gases.

Long rotary kilns are flexible kilns regarding the use of fuels and different grain sizes of limestone particularly the finer fractions.

### 2.2.7.6 Rotary kilns with preheaters (PRK)

Rotary kilns can be fitted with preheaters and are generally considerably shorter than the conventional long rotary kilns (e.g. 40 to 90 m). The heat use decreases because of reduced radiation and convection losses as well as the increased heat recovery from the exhaust gases.

In 2008, there were 20 rotary kilns with preheater operating in the EU-27.
The major features of a rotary kiln with a preheater for lime manufacture are shown in Figure 2.32.

A number of preheater designs have been developed, including vertical shafts and travelling grates. The preheater should be selected on the basis of the size and properties of the feed stone. Most can accept a bottom size of 10 mm; some have used stones down to 6 mm, and some cannot tolerate weak stones or stone that is prone to breaking up.

While the elimination of sulphur is more difficult with preheater kilns, there are a number of ways in which it can be achieved:

- operating the kiln under reducing conditions and introducing additional air at the back-end (only works with certain designs of preheater), and at the:
  - burner
  - combustion air
  - preheater
  - kiln
  - cooler
- adding sufficient finely divided limestone to the feed for it to preferentially absorb SO₂ and so that it is either collected in the back-end dust collector, or is screened out of the lime discharged from the cooler.

Figure 2.33 shows a flow diagram of a typical rotary kiln with a preheater used in lime manufacture and an example of this kiln type is shown in Figure 2.34. The major technical characteristics are shown in Table 2.22.
Figure 2.33: Operating principle of a rotary kiln with a preheater (PRK) [44, EuLA, 2006]

Figure 2.34: Example of a rotary kiln with a preheater [44, EuLA, 2006]
### Rotary kiln with a preheater/preheater rotary kiln (PRK)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of fuel feeding</td>
<td>Burner at lime discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output (t/day)</td>
<td>100 – 1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat use (MJ/t of lime)</td>
<td>5100 – 7800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy/electricity use (kWh/t of lime)</td>
<td>17 – 45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Structure                      | Inclined rotating cylinder with refractory lining ‘mixers’ to improve the heat exchange:  
  • maximum 90 m long  
  • 2 – 4.5 m in diameter |
| Type of preheater              | Vertical shaft                                     |
| Type of cooler                 | Planetary around kiln shell  
  Travelling grate rotating cylinder |
| Grain size of limestone (mm)   | 10 – 60                                            |
| Type of fuel                   | Gas, liquid or pulverised solid fossil fuels  
  Waste fuels and biomass |
| Combustion air injection       | Cooling air at the extremity of the cooler         |
| Exhaust gas extraction         | Hot gases pass through the preheater:  
  • duct and cooling and dedusting and fan |
| Drawing of lime                | At the extremity of the cooler                     |
| Important points               | Quality of refractory  
  Fine grinding of coal to ensure good combustion and to build-up reduction  
  Air tightness of the joint between preheater and kiln  
  Size of limestone: too many fines may block the preheater  
  Continuous measurement of CO and O₂ necessary for good combustion and safety |
| Advantages                     | Flexibility of production  
  Very quick reaction for modification of parameters  
  Wide range of feed stone sizes for preservation of deposit/natural resources  
  Very low residual CO₂ achievable.  
  Flexibility of reactivity from soft to hard burned, possibility to produce dead burned dolomite  
  Fuel flexibility  
  Weak limestone (producing a lot of fines during calcination) can be used, if they are unsuitable for use in shaft kilns  
  Lower fuel requirements due to better heat exchange in the preheater (beginning of decarbonisation) |
| Disadvantages                  | Formation of so-called ‘rings’ (coal ashes, calcium sulphates, clay)  
  Preheater is an additional piece of equipment to maintain |

Table 2.22: Technical characteristics of preheater rotary kilns (PRK)  
[44, EuLA, 2006]

### 2.2.8 Storage and handling

#### 2.2.8.1 Storage

##### 2.2.8.1.1 Storage of quicklime

Quicklime is preferably stored in dry conditions, free from draughts to limit air slaking. Great care is exercised to ensure that water is excluded from the lime, as hydration liberates heat and causes expansion, both of which could be dangerous.

Air pressure discharge vehicles are able to blow directly into the storage bunker, which is fitted with a filter to remove dust from the conveying air. The filter should be weatherproof and watertight. The collected dust can be discharged back into the bunker. A pressure/vacuum relief device fitted to the bunker is a precautionary measure.
All storage containers can be fitted with devices which can positively seal the base of the bunker to enable maintenance work to be done on the discharge mechanism.

Where the amount of quicklime is insufficient to justify storage bunkers the product may be stored on a concrete base, preferably in a separate bay within a building to prevent excessive air slaking.

### 2.2.8.1.2 Storage of hydrated lime

Hydrated lime absorbs carbon dioxide from the atmosphere, forming calcium carbonate and water. Therefore, it is best stored in dry draft-free conditions.

Hydrate bagged in paper sacks is preferably stored under cover to avoid deterioration by moisture, and recarbonation of the hydrated lime. When ‘big bags’ are used, they are also best stored under cover to prevent any damage. Pallets of bagged hydrate have been stored successfully out-of-doors; the pallet covered by a plastic sheet, the bags placed on the sheet and the pack shrink-wrapped.

Bulk hydrate is stored in silos, which must be completely weatherproof. The silo is vented via a fabric filter, which should be weatherproof and be capable of handling the delivered airflow. Where the filter is fitted on top of the silo, the collected dust is discharged back into the silo. The silo top can be fitted with an inspection manhole and a pressure relief valve. A high level indicator or alarm can be fitted to prevent over-filling. It is recommended that the base of the silo be at an angle of at least 60° to the horizontal, have a discharge aperture of not less than 200 mm and that a positive cut-off valve is fitted to the outlet to permit equipment beneath the silo to be maintained.

Because hydrated lime is prone to ‘arching’, suitable arch-breaking devices, such as aeration pads, vibrators and mechanical devices are fitted to prevent this. Conversely, precautions need to be taken to prevent ‘flooding’ of aerated powder.

### 2.2.8.1.3 Storage of milk of lime

Many customers requiring the addition of slaked lime to their process have found that milk of lime is a convenient form to store and handle. Providing certain precautions are taken, it can be handled as a liquid.

Any storage and handling system has to pay proper attention to the fact that when milk of lime is diluted with water, or when hydrated lime is dispersed in water, any carbonate hardness in the water will be deposited as calcium carbonate. Unless appropriate action is taken, this will result in scaling on the walls of pipes and on the impeller and casing of pumps. Two approaches can be adopted. Either the system can be designed to cope with scale formation, or action can be taken to prevent or minimise scaling.

It is important to prevent settling in milk of lime systems as the resulting putty can be difficult to redisperse. Storage tanks should therefore be agitated. The degree of agitation can be low and should avoid forming a vortex, which would increase absorption of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere.

The discharge pipe from a storage vessel inevitably constitutes a dead-leg and provision can be made for back-flushing with water to remove any blockages.
2.2.8.2 Handling

Many types of equipment are suitable for transferring the product and new ones are continually being developed. The following measures/techniques have been used successfully, but may not be suitable for all applications.

*Skip hoists* can be used for all granular and lump grades but are more suitable for particles greater than 100 mm. *Elevators* - both belt-and-bucket and chain-and-bucket elevators have been used for all grades of quicklime. *Drag-link conveyors* are suitable for granular and fine quicklime. They are generally used for horizontal or inclined transfer. *Conveyor belts* are widely used for transferring lump and granular grades horizontally and on an upward slope. *Screw conveyors* are widely used for fine quicklime. *Vibrating trough conveyors* have been used for particle sizes up to 40 mm. They operate more successfully when there is a slight downward slope from the feed to the discharge point.

*Pneumatic conveying* can be used for products with a maximum size of up to 20 mm and often has a lower capital cost than alternatives, but the operating costs are higher. The product is fed into a rotary blowing seal connected to a blower. The pipeline bore, and volume/pressure of the blowing air, is designed taking into account the size of lime being conveyed, the transfer rate and the length/route of the pipeline. The receiving silo is equipped with an air filter and a pressure relief valve.

2.2.9 Other types of lime

2.2.9.1 Production of hydraulic limes

Natural hydraulic limes are produced from siliceous or argillaceous limestone containing more or less silica, alumina and iron. Typical levels in the limestone are SiO₂: 4 to 16 %, Al₂O₃: 1 to 8 % and Fe₂O₃: 0.3 to 6 %. The calcium plus magnesium carbonate content can range from 78 to 92 %.

The limestone is generally calcined in shaft kilns which must be controlled closely to ensure that as much of the silica and alumina as possible reacts, without sintering the free lime. Typical calcining temperatures are 950 – 1250 °C: the required temperature rises as the cementation index increases (i.e. from feebly to eminently hydraulic limes).

The calcined lime is hydrated with sufficient water to convert the free CaO into Ca(OH)₂. If the free CaO content is greater than 10 to 15 %, the hard sintered lumps disintegrate into a powder. Otherwise, the lime must be ground before hydration. It may also be necessary to grind the hydrated product to achieve the required degree of fineness and setting rate.

‘Special’ natural hydraulic limes are produced by intimately blending powdered natural hydraulic limes with powdered pozzolanic or hydraulic materials. Artificial hydraulic limes are produced by intimately blending powdered hydrated limes with pulverised pozzolanic or hydraulic materials.

2.2.9.2 Production of calcined dolomite

Dolomite is calcined in both shaft and rotary kilns. Three qualities of calcined dolomite are produced – light burned, dead burned and half burned.

Light burned dolomite is generally produced in either rotary or shaft kilns. The principles of making light burned dolomite are similar to those of making high calcium quicklime. Less heat is used owing to the lower heat of calcination and the lower dissociation temperature of dolomite (MgCO₃).
Dead burned dolomite is produced in two grades. A high purity grade, used for the manufacture of refractories, is made by calcining dolomite at temperatures of up to 1800 °C in either rotary or shaft kilns. A “fettling” grade is produced by the calcination of dolomite with 5 to 10 % iron oxide at 1400 to 1600 °C, usually in a rotary kiln. The exhaust gases from both of these processes are at higher temperatures than from other lime kilns; they are generally cooled to below 420 °C using heat exchangers, tempering air or injection of atomised water.

Half-burned dolomite (CaCO₃•MgO) is produced by the slow calcination of dolomite at about 650 °C. It is produced in relatively small quantities and Germany is the only country in Europe to manufacture it.

2.2.10 General considerations for captive lime kilns

In many other industrial sectors, such as iron and steel, sugar and paper as well as in the chemical sectors, lime is needed and some of these sectors have their own lime kilns for lime production.

2.2.10.1 Lime kilns in the iron and steel industry

Most of the lime used in the iron and steel industry is for fluxing impurities in the basic oxygen furnace. Lime is also used in smaller quantities in the sinter strand process for the preparation of iron ore, in the desulphurisation of pig iron, as a fluxing agent in other oxygen steelmaking processes, in the electric arc steelmaking process and in many of the secondary steelmaking processes.

The lime kilns in the iron and steel industry are mainly shaft kilns of different designs and capacities. They do not differ in emission/consumption patterns from the non-captive lime kilns.

Useful information regarding the iron and steel industry can be found in the Reference Document on the Best Available Techniques in the Production of Iron and Steel [87, European Commission, 2001].

2.2.10.2 Lime kilns in the Kraft pulp industry

In 2001, there were about 100 lime kilns in the European paper industry. They are all rotary kilns with capacities of between 30 – 400 tonnes of burned lime per day. Most of the kilns are long rotary kilns, but there are also some modern preheater rotary kilns.

The long rotary lime kilns are usually fed with a slurry of calcium carbonate with a water content of 30 %. The basic fuel is normally natural gas or oil. In addition, non-condensable gases produced in several areas of the pulping process are usually burned, increasing the content of H₂S, organic sulphur compounds and SO₂ in the stack gases. In some cases, sawdust and gases obtained by gasification of biomass are also used as fuel.

Venturi type wet scrubbers and electrostatic precipitators (for particular matter) are normally installed to clean the exhaust gases.

Useful information regarding the pulp and paper industry can be found in the Reference Document on the Best Available Technique in the Pulp and Paper Industry [124, European Commission, 2001].
2.2.10.3  Lime kilns in the sugar industry

Most of the lime kilns in the European sugar industry are mixed feed shaft kilns. The majority of the kilns produce 50 to 350 tonnes of quicklime per day during a sugar campaign, which, in the 1997/1998 season, lasted between 63 and 170 days, with an average of 86 days.

The quicklime and the CO₂ in the exhaust gas are both used in sugar factories. The gas produced by the kiln is captured and most of it is dedusted in a wet scrubber before use in the sugar process (carbonatation). Most of the CO₂ recombines with the milk of lime in the limed juice to give CaCO₃.

The most common fuel in sugar industry lime kilns is coke. This is mainly because the product gas contains more CO₂ (40 – 42 % CO₂ by volume) than product gas from oil- or gas-fired kilns (28 – 32 % CO₂ by volume).

The consumption levels (limestone and fuel) for sugar industry lime kilns are about the same as for the same types of lime kiln in other sectors.

Useful information regarding the sugar industry can be found in the Reference Document on the Best Available Techniques in the Food, Drink and Milk Industries (FDM). However, information about the type and amount of lime produced as well as the kilns and fuels used is not yet covered within the FDM BREF [125, European Commission, 2006].
2.3 Current consumption and emission levels

The main environmental issues associated with lime production are air pollution and the use of energy. The lime burning process is the main source of emissions and is also the principal user of energy. The secondary processes of lime slaking and grinding can also be of significance, as well as subsidiary operations (namely crushing, screening, conveying, storage and discharge) and are relatively minor in terms of both emissions and energy usage.

It has to be noted that, if not otherwise mentioned in this document, for this section concerning the lime industry, the standard conditions for measurements of volume flows and concentrations of flue-gases are related to the following definitions, which are also stated in the Glossary:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m³/h</th>
<th>volume flow: if not otherwise mentioned in this document, the volume flows refer to 11 vol-% oxygen and standard state</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mg/m³</td>
<td>concentration: if not otherwise mentioned in this document, the concentrations of gaseous substances or mixtures of substances refer to dry flue-gas at 11 vol-% oxygen and standard state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>standard state</td>
<td>refers to a temperature of 273 K, a pressure of 1013 hPa and dry gas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, it has to be noted that the emissions ranges refer to a reference oxygen level of 11 % although the actual oxygen level within the firing process is much lower than 11 %. The calculation formula for calculating the emissions concentration is shown below:

\[
E_R = \frac{21 - O_R}{21 - O_M} \times E_M
\]

- \( E_R \) (mg/Nm³): emissions concentration related to the reference oxygen level \( O_R \)
- \( O_R \) (vol %): reference oxygen level
- \( E_M \) (mg/Nm³): emissions concentration related to the measured oxygen level \( O_M \)
- \( O_M \) (vol %): measured oxygen level

Additional useful information on monitoring can be found in the Reference Document on the General Principles of Monitoring (MON) [151, European Commission, 2003].

2.3.1 Consumption of limestone

Lime production generally uses between 1.4 and 2.2 tonnes of limestone per tonne of saleable quicklime. Consumption depends on the type of product, the purity of the limestone, the degree of calcination and the quantity of dust carried out from the kiln and the kiln exhaust gases.

2.3.2 Use of energy

2.3.2.1 Limestone calcining

Energy use for a given kiln type also depends on several different factors, such as the quality of the stone used, the degree of conversion of calcium carbonate to calcium oxide, the size of the stone, the moisture, the fuel, the design of the kiln, the control of the process, the air tightness, etc.

The heat of dissociation of calcium limestone is 3.2 GJ/tonne for lime (about 9 % less for dolime). The net heat use per tonne of quicklime varies with kiln design. Rotary kilns generally require more heat than shaft kilns. The heat use tends to increase as the degree of burning increases (see Section 2.2.6.1).

The use of electricity varies from a low range of 5 – 15 kWh/tonne of lime for mixed feed shaft kilns, to 20 – 40 kWh/tonne for the more advanced designs of shaft kilns and for rotary kilns.
Typical heat and electrical power use by various types of lime kilns are shown in Table 2.23. The heat consumption represents about 95 % of the total energy consumption to produce lime.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kiln type</th>
<th>Energy type used for lime and dolime manufacture</th>
<th>Heat use/consumption</th>
<th>Kiln electricity use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long rotary kilns (LRK)</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.0 – 9.2 GJ/tonne</td>
<td>18 – 25 kWh/tonne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary kilns with preheater (PRK)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.1 – 7.8 GJ/tonne</td>
<td>17 – 45 kWh/tonne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallel flow regenerative kilns (PFRK)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.2 – 4.2 GJ/tonne</td>
<td>20 – 40 kWh/tonne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annular shaft kilns (ASK)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.3 – 4.9 GJ/tonne</td>
<td>18 – 35 kWh/tonne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Up to 50 kWh/tonne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed feed shaft kilns (MFSK)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.4 – 4.7 GJ/tonne</td>
<td>5 – 15 kWh/tonne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other kilns (OK)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.5 – 7.0 GJ/tonne</td>
<td>20 – 40 kWh/tonne</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{1)}\) Heat use/consumption represents about 80 % of the total energy consumption to produce lime  
\(^{2)}\) For limestone grain sizes of between 40 and 150 mm  
\(^{3)}\) For limestone grain sizes of <40 mm

Table 2.23: Typical heat and electrical use by kiln types in the EU-27 for lime and dolime manufacture  
[46, Germany, 2006], [54, EuLA, 2006], [64, Czech Republic, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]

The following parameters can influence the energy consumption values given in Table 2.23:

- grain size  
  - to 5 %  
- limestone humidity  
  - 1 to 10 %  
- fuel (dry, efficiency, etc.)  
  - to 5 %  
- CO₂ residual:  
  - 0.5 to 5 %  
- dolime:  
  - up to 9 %/lime  
- hard burned lime:  
  - ~10 %.

The residual CO₂ content in the products can affect the energy consumption. The energy consumption increases with the hardness of the products. The residual CO₂ content determines the use of the lime; lime with a CO₂ content of about 5 % is used in the steel industry, lime with a CO₂ content of around 1.5 % is used for exhaust gas desulphurisation.

In the special case of dead burned dolime, the energy consumption is in the range of 6.5 to 13 GJ/tonne depending on the type of kiln [54, EuLA, 2006].

To reduce the high consumption of fuel energy, different measures/techniques were implemented at different types of lime kilns, such as:

- process optimisation and control  
- reduction of excess air.
2.3.2.2 Lime hydrating

The hydrating process is exothermic, so excess water is added to control the temperature in the hydrators. This excess water, which is low in quantity, is converted into steam, which is discharged into the atmosphere, together with a small amount of air that is drawn into the hydrator to prevent moisture and dust from entering the plant/quicklime feed equipment, and to assist in the evaporation of excess water.

The energy requirements to operate the hydrators, air classifiers and conveying equipment amounts to approximately 5 to 30 kWh/tonne of quicklime.

2.3.2.3 Lime grinding

The energy use in lime grinding varies from 4 to 10 kWh/tonne of quicklime for the coarser grades (for example, those used for soil stabilisation) to 10 to 40 kWh/tonne of quicklime for the finer grades. The amount of energy required also depends on the equipment used. Fine impact mills can be used for the coarser products. Ball mills, ring-roll mills and high pressure mills plus de-agglomerators (with progressively lower specific energy use) are used for making finer products.

2.3.3 Emissions to air

Emissions to air and noise emissions arise during the manufacture of lime. Furthermore, emissions to water, process losses/waste arise and, in rare cases, odours. In this section, ranges of air pollutant emissions are presented for the process of lime production, including other process steps, such as storage and handling of raw materials, fuels or products.

Significant emissions to air in the manufacture of lime arise in the calcination process. The most relevant emissions to air from the calcination of limestone are:

- carbon oxides (CO, CO₂)
- nitrogen oxides (NOₓ)
- sulphur dioxide (SO₂)
- dust (PM).

The nature and concentration of the emissions is influenced by several factors, such as:

- type of kiln and kiln design
- operating or process conditions
- chemistry and quality of the raw material limestone
- the fuels used
- abatement techniques for emissions reduction.

Other emissions to air occur in the production process and these may include:

- hydrogen chloride (HCl)
- hydrogen fluoride (HF)
- organic compounds
- heavy metals
- polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans (PCDD/F)
- hydrogen sulphide (H₂S).
Type of kiln and kiln design

The kiln type is selected, among other things, to be consistent with the size and nature of limestone available and for its ability to meet the particular quality requirements.

Kiln designs have different characteristics, such as:

- their ability to use specific types of limestone (chemistry and range of grain size)
- types of fuels used and their methods of fuel injection into the kiln
- the air to fuel ratio
- their operating temperature profiles
- their heat distribution.

Another important factor related to kiln type is the gas to solid interaction, which depends on the temperature profile in the kiln. It allows certain components in the kiln gases (most notably \( \text{SO}_2 \) and \( \text{HCl} \)) to react with the quicklime or limestone. These components are removed from the gas, covered in the product and leave the kiln as part of the product.

Process conditions

The process parameters are selected to minimise the use of fuels and to avoid unburned limestone. The exact selected process parameters are important to achieve the product quality requirements and have an influence on the emissions.

Chemistry of the limestone

The concentration of impurities and the behaviour of the stone during calcination can influence emissions. The variations of sulphur and chlorine contents in the limestone/dolomite play an important role and have an effect on the range of the \( \text{SO}_2 \) and \( \text{HCl} \) emissions in the exhaust gas. This depends on the type of kiln and the process conditions required to produce a certain quality of products.

Type of fuel

The natural composition, such as solid, liquid and gaseous, the calorific value and combustion characteristics of the fuels used as well as the content of the different components, such as sulphur and chlorine, have an influence on the emissions to air.

An example of a fuel dosing system principle for a shaft kiln is shown in Figure 2.10 in Section 2.2.4.

Abatement equipment

Lime kilns are typically fitted with abatement techniques to reduce emissions to air, e.g. dust. The type of abatement technique used depends on, e.g. the kiln type and the fuels used. Dust emissions do not only occur during the calcination process, but also in other processing operations used in lime manufacturing such as screening, crushing, milling, hydrating and packing.
In 2006, European lime producers reported up-to-date ranges of emissions to air. Typical emissions for European lime kilns are presented in Table 2.24.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Ratio/tonne of lime</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min mg/Nm³</td>
<td>Max mg/Nm³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Particulates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust</td>
<td>1⁻² &lt;10</td>
<td>&gt;250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gases</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOₓ</td>
<td>&lt;50 – &lt;100</td>
<td>&gt;2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>&gt;2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&gt;2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>0.02⁻² – 10</td>
<td>&gt;250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dioxins (average)</td>
<td>0.0155 ng I-TEQ/Nm³</td>
<td>0.0638 ng I-TEQ/Nm³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heavy metals (gaseous and particulate forms)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tellurium</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thallium</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanadium</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&gt;0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&gt;0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimony</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>&gt;1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>&gt;1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Emissions concentrations are averages and are indicative values based on various measurement techniques.

Based on the following typical exhaust dry gas volumes, normal standard state conditions referring to a pressure of 1013 hPa and a temperature of 273.15 K, normalised for O₂ content of 11 %:

- 3000 Nm³/t lime for normal shaft kilns, annular shaft kilns and parallel flow regenerative kilns (min)
- 3700 Nm³/t lime for rotary kilns with preheaters
- 5000 Nm³/t lime for long rotary kilns (max)

1) The lower range corresponds to the detection level for metals
2) Spot measurements

Table 2.24: Examples of typical emissions occurring from lime kilns in Europe
[54, EuLA, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]

### 2.3.3.1 Dust (PM)

#### 2.3.3.1.1 Channelled dust emissions

**Calcining of limestone**

Dust (particulate matter/PM) generation arises from finely divided particles in the limestone feed, from thermal and mechanical (degradation of the lime and limestone) decrepitation within the kiln, and, to a lesser extent, from fuel ash. The levels of dust at the inlet of the dedusting equipment vary widely, depending on kiln design, capacity and raw material used among other things.
Because of the wide range of exhaust gas conditions, a variety of dust collectors are used, including cyclones, wet scrubbers, fabric filters, electrostatic precipitators and gravel bed filters. Typical cyclones remove about 90 % of the dust from lime kilns.

After abatement, emissions typically range between 10 and 250 mg/Nm$^3$, with 20 to 100 mg/Nm$^3$ obtained using wet scrubbers. Figure 2.35 presents spot measurement results and shows that 70 % of the tests on lime kilns using ESPs and fabric filters, give dust emissions of below 20 mg/Nm$^3$ (of these, 60 % are less than 10 mg/Nm$^3$), and that this value is only obtained for 6 % of tests on lime kilns using wet scrubbers. Furthermore, spot dust emissions from the kiln firing process in a range of between 1.4 to 2 mg/Nm$^3$ were also reported [16, EuLA, 2001], [45, Schorcht, 2006], [46, Germany, 2006], [66, Austria, 2006], [68, EuLA, 2006], [71, Hungary, 2006].

![Figure 2.35: Dust emissions measured from different types of lime kilns using different types of dust abatement techniques in the EU-27 (spot measurements as half hourly values)](129, EuLA, 2006)

In Germany, all shaft kilns are equipped with fabric filters. After flue-gas abatement with fabric filters, dust emissions typically range from <10 to <20 mg/Nm$^3$ (spot measurements, standard state, 10 % O$_2$ when using waste). Fabric filters achieving these levels have an air:cloth ratio ranging from <1 to 1.2 m$^3$/m$^2$•min. Rotary kilns are equipped with ESPs. The typical range of emissions is less than 20 mg/m$^3$. The separated dust from fabric filters or ESPs is mostly used as product. Dust emissions in the clean gas after wet scrubbers and gravel bed filters were used in Germany and were typically in the range from 30 to 60 mg/Nm$^3$ measured as daily average values under standard conditions. However, in order to achieve a dust emission level of less than 20 mg/Nm$^3$, wet scrubbers and gravel bed filters were replaced by fabric filters. Measures shows that the dust emission values do not depend on the type of fuel used, i.e. fossil or waste origin. In the case of waste used as fuels, e.g. in shaft kilns, dust emissions range from <5 to <10 mg/Nm$^3$ as daily average values [46, Germany, 2006].

**Lime hydrating**

The gaseous effluent from hydrating plants is rather small in volume; levels are around 800 m$^3$/tonne hydrated lime, but it may contain 2 g/m$^3$ of dust before abatement. Thus, the generation of dust can be about 1.6 kg/tonne hydrated lime. Both wet scrubbers and fabric filters are used to dedust the emissions. The moisture content of the emissions may influence the emission level. For this reason, wet scrubbers are often used in hydrating plants. By using new generations of wet scrubbers, emission levels after abatement range from 10 to 30 mg/Nm$^3$, [42, Germany, 2006].
corresponding to approximately 0.008 to 0.024 kg/tonne hydrated lime. In the UK in 2007, three of the most modern wet scrubbers showed results of 11 mg/Nm$^3$, 17 mg/Nm$^3$ and 18 mg/Nm$^3$. However, dust emissions of up to 60 mg/Nm$^3$ were also reported (expressed in wet conditions) [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [177, EULA, 2008].

**Lime grinding**

Air is drawn through all of the grinding equipment to remove ground/milled lime of the required particle size. The product is separated from the air in fabric filters, often preceded by cyclones. Thus, dust collection is an integral part of the process. After abatement, dust emissions typically range between <10 and 50 mg/Nm$^3$. In Germany, emission values from the lime grinding process are typically below 20 mg/Nm$^3$.

**Connected and subsidiary processes/operations**

Nearly all connected and subsidiary processes of a lime plant can generate dust emissions, such as crushing, screening, conveying, slaking, storage and discharge. Dust emissions are controlled by containment. The air is passed through and regularly separated in fabric filters, e.g. for the following processes:

- primary/secondary crusher
- limestone storage
- grinding mills for quicklime
- screening machines
- quicklime storage silos
- storage of solid fuels (e.g. pulverised lignite)
- handling of quicklime.

The collected dust is generally returned to the product. Equipment for the grinding and handling of quicklime is kept under slight suction. Dust emission values from these sources are reported to be in a range of less than 10 to 20 mg/m$^3$ which can safely be achieved.

**2.3.3.1.2 Diffuse dust emissions**

Diffuse dust emissions mainly arise from the storage and handling of raw materials, fuels and lime and from vehicles at the manufacturing site. A simple and linear site layout is advisable to minimise possible sources of diffuse dust.

Diffuse dust from, for example, stock piles of raw materials and solid fuels can cause problems, which can be reduced by using the following measures/techniques:

- sufficient humidification of stockpiles, charging points and discharging points
- use of conveyor belts with adjustable heights.

Conveyors and elevators are constructed as closed systems, if dust emissions are likely to be emitted from dusty material. Roads within the plant area used by lorries are paved and cleaned periodically in order to avoid diffuse dust emissions. In addition, spraying with water at the installation site is also carried out. Wherever possible, closed storage systems are used. To reduce diffuse dust emissions during loading burned lime, an example is to use flexible filling pipes equipped with a dust extraction system. These flexible filling pipes are equipped with exhausting devices that guarantee dust-free loading. They are positioned to the loading floor of the lorry from where they move automatically upwards until a programmed filling height is reached. After the lorry moves forward about 30 cm, the flexible filling pipe is positioned and loading starts again [46, Germany, 2006], [66, Austria, 2006].
2.3.3.2 Nitrogen oxides

NO and NO$_2$ are the dominant nitrogen oxides (NO$_x$) detected in the flue-gas of rotary kilns producing lime, although they can arise from the fuel during combustion. There are two main sources for the formation of NO$_x$:

- thermal NO$_x$ is mainly produced by the high temperature reaction of nitrogen and oxygen in the combustion air (thermal NO$_x$), a process which is highly dependent on temperature and fuels used
- fuel NO$_x$ results from the reaction between the nitrogen compounds present in the fuel with the oxygen of the combustion air.

Thermal NO$_x$ forms at temperatures above 1000 °C, i.e. in the burning zone of the kiln, where the temperatures are sufficiently high. The amount of thermal NO$_x$ produced increases with higher temperatures and growing oxygen contents in the burning zone. Since kilns producing hard or dead burned lime with low reactivity have to be operated with higher temperatures in the burning zone, they tend to generate more thermal NO$_x$ than kilns producing soft burned lime with high reactivity. Because of this temperature dependence, NO$_x$ emissions in vertical shaft kilns are generally lower than in rotary kilns, as shown in Figure 2.36.

Fuel NO$_x$ is generated by the oxidation of the nitrogen compounds present in the fuel. Depending on the combustion conditions, fuel nitrogen either combines with other nitrogen atoms to form N$_2$ or reacts with oxygen to form NO$_x$. In the burning zone of rotary kilns, temperatures are usually high enough to promote some oxidisation of the fuel nitrogen into fuel NO$_x$.

![Distribution of NO$_x$ emissions (mg/Nm$^3$ at 11 % O$_2$) from lime kilns](image)

Figure 2.36: NO$_x$ emissions measured from different types of lime kilns in the EU-27 (spot measurements as half hourly values)

[129, EuLA, 2006]

As shown in Figure 2.36, NO$_x$ emissions from rotary kilns range from between 300 and 2000 mg/Nm$^3$ depending on the kiln type, the fuels used and the lime type produced. Spot measurements show that of these tests, 68 % of the NO$_x$ emissions from rotary kilns are below 500 mg/Nm$^3$. NO$_x$ emissions from shaft kilns range from between <100 and 500 mg/Nm$^3$ depending on the kiln type used and the lime type produced. Spot measurements show that of these tests 60 % of the NO$_x$ emissions from shaft kilns are below 100 mg/Nm$^3$. Furthermore, around 80 % of PFRKs, MFSKs and OSKs and approx. 50 % of ASKs achieve NO$_x$ emission values of <100 mg/Nm$^3$ measured as half hourly values.
Rotary kilns (long rotary kilns (LRK) or rotary kilns with preheater (PRK))

The flame is well defined and flame temperatures are higher than in shaft kilns. Because of the heat transfer processes, the maximum temperature of the kiln gases is also high, resulting in increased thermal NOx levels.

Dead burned dolime is mainly produced in rotary kilns. The process requires higher temperatures, therefore resulting in still higher NOx levels.

NOx emissions for these kilns range usually from 300 up to 2000 mg/Nm$^3$ depending on the type of lime/dolime produced (soft to hard burned) and are dependent upon the content of nitrogen in the fuels, the process temperatures, excess air and the manufactured product. Based on a specific flue-gas flow of:

- 5000 Nm$^3$/t at 11 % O$_2$ for LRK
- 4000 Nm$^3$/t at 11 % O$_2$ for PRK,

the specific nitrogen oxide flow is in the range of between 1.5 and 10 kg/t lime for LRK and 1.2 and 8 kg/t lime for PRK.

Shaft kilns (other kilns (OK), mixed feed (MFSK), annular (ASK), and parallel flow regenerative (PFRK))

Shaft kiln types emit less NOx than rotary kilns because temperatures in shaft kilns are usually below 1400 °C, so that formation of thermal NOx is comparatively lower.

In addition, combustion processes usually generate relatively lower flame temperatures than rotary kilns which, together with low intensity mixing conditions, result in lower levels of fuel NOx.

NOx emissions for these kilns range usually from 100 up to 500 mg/Nm$^3$ depending on the type of lime/dolime produced. Based on a specific flue-gas flow of:

- 3000 Nm$^3$/t (11 % O$_2$) for PFRK and ASK
- 2500 Nm$^3$/t (11 % O$_2$) for MFSK and OK,

the specific nitrogen oxide flow is in the range of between 0.3 and 1.5 kg/t lime for PFRK/ASK and 0.25 and 1.3 kg/t lime for MFSK/OK.

However, where shaft kilns are used to produce hard burned calcium lime, or dead burned dolomite, higher NOx emission levels of up to 2000 mg/Nm$^3$ can be found.
2.3.3.3 Sulphur dioxide

In the majority of lime burning operations, the quicklime captures most of the sulphur from the limestone and the fuel. The efficient contact between the kiln gases and the quicklime usually ensures efficient absorption of sulphur dioxide. Typical SO$_2$ emissions of less than 50 mg/Nm$^3$ as a half hourly average value are reported from lime kilns in the EU-27, and these depend on the kiln used for lime production as shown in Figure 2.37.

As shown in Figure 2.37, SO$_x$ emissions from rotary kilns range from between 50 and 2000 mg/Nm$^3$ depending on the kiln and the fuel type used and the lime type produced. Spot measurements show that of these tests, 63 % of the SO$_x$ emissions from rotary kilns are below 500 mg/Nm$^3$. SO$_x$ emissions from shaft kilns range from between <50 and 1000 mg/Nm$^3$ depending on the kiln type used and the lime type produced. Spot measurements show that of these tests, 87 % of the SO$_x$ emissions from shaft kilns are 50 mg/Nm$^3$ or below. Furthermore, spot measurements show that of these tests, more than 90 % of the SO$_x$ emissions from PFRK are <50 mg/Nm$^3$ and 90 % of the SO$_x$ emissions from OSK are <200 mg/Nm$^3$ (measured as half hourly values). Furthermore, nearly 90 % of rotary kilns with preheaters (PRK), approximately 78 % of annular shaft kilns (ASK), other shaft kilns (OSK) and mixed feed shaft kilns (MFSK) achieve a value of 50 mg/Nm$^3$ or below (measured as half hourly value).

It has to be noted that by using waste fuels for firing, 100 % of PFRK and ASK, 90 % of PRK and approx. 80 % of LRK achieve SO$_x$ emissions of 50 mg/Nm$^3$ or less (measured as a half hourly average value) as shown in Figure 2.38.
Long rotary kilns (LRK)

Long rotary kilns have the ability to produce low sulphur quicklime in a reducing atmosphere. In this case, part of the sulphur in the fuel and limestone is expelled as sulphur dioxide mainly in the exhaust gases.

SO₂ emissions for these kilns range usually from 50 up to 1500 mg/Nm³ depending on the type of fuel used and on the reducing or oxidising atmosphere in the kiln which is necessary for different product qualities. The higher emissions are linked to high sulphur fuels as low sulphur fuels are not always available to the operator. Based on the specific flue-gas flow of 5000 Nm³/t (11 % O₂) the specific sulphur oxide flow is in the range of between 0.3 and 7.5 kg/t lime.

Rotary kilns with a preheater (PRK)

Rotary kilns with a preheater also have the ability to produce low sulphur quicklime in a reducing atmosphere but, in this case, part of the sulphur in the fuel is mainly captured by lime and in the dust. Therefore, the sulphur emissions are lower than for the LRK.

SO₂ emissions for these kilns range usually from 50 up to 400 mg/Nm³ depending on the type of fuel used and on the reducing or oxidising atmosphere in the kiln which is necessary for different product qualities. Based on the specific flue-gas flow of 4000 Nm³/t (11 % O₂) the specific sulphur oxide flow is in the range of between 0.2 and 1.6 kg/t lime.

Shaft kilns (other kilns (OK), mixed feed (MFSK), annular (ASK), and parallel flow regenerative (PFRK))

In shaft kilns, efficient contact between kiln gases and quicklime usually ensures efficient absorption of sulphur dioxide (which can lead to high sulphur quicklime with high sulphur content fuel).
SO\textsubscript{x} emissions for these kilns range usually from 50 up to 400 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} depending on the type of fuel used, except for ASK where the maximum is 1000 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}. Based on a specific flue-gas flow of:

- 3000 Nm\textsuperscript{3}/t (11 % O\textsubscript{2}) for PFRK and ASK
- 2500 Nm\textsuperscript{3}/t (11 % O\textsubscript{2}) or MFSK and OK,

The specific sulphur oxide flow is in the range of between 0.2 and 1.2 kg/t lime for PFRK/ASK and 0.1 and 1.0 kg/t lime for MFSK/OK.

### 2.3.3.4 Carbon oxides (CO\textsubscript{x})

#### 2.3.3.4.1 Carbon dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2})


The basic mineralogical reaction in lime/dolime manufacturing can be expressed by:

- **lime:** $\text{CaCO}_3 + \text{energy} \rightarrow \text{CaO} + \text{CO}_2 \text{ process} + \text{CO}_2 \text{ combustion}$
- **dolime:** $\text{CaCO}_3 \cdot \text{MgCO}_3 + \text{energy} \rightarrow \text{CaO} \cdot \text{MgO} + 2\text{CO}_2 \text{ process} + \text{CO}_2 \text{ combustion}$

(CO\textsubscript{2} process) determined from lime/dolime production
(CO\textsubscript{2} combustion) determined from energy consumption
Quantities of process and combustion CO₂, by type of kiln, are shown in Table 2.25.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of kiln ¹⁾</th>
<th>Tonne of process CO₂ per tonne of lime (CaO) ²⁾</th>
<th>Tonne of process CO₂ per tonne of dolime (CaO.MgO) ²⁾</th>
<th>Tonne of combustion CO₂ per tonne of lime/dolime</th>
<th>Total activity CO₂ emissions factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long rotary kilns (LRK)</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td>0.913</td>
<td>0.365 – 1.062</td>
<td>Lime 1.150 – 1.847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dolime 1.278 – 1.975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lime 1.054 – 1.402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dolime 1.182 – 1.530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary kilns with preheater (PRK)</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td>0.913</td>
<td>0.269 – 0.617</td>
<td>Lime 0.987 – 1.210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dolime 1.115 – 1.338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallel flow regenerative kilns (PFRK)</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td>0.913</td>
<td>0.202 – 0.425</td>
<td>Lime 1.009 – 1.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dolime 1.137 – 1.378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annular shaft kilns (ASK)</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td>0.913</td>
<td>0.224 – 0.465</td>
<td>Lime 1.009 – 1.493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dolime 1.137 – 1.621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed feed shaft kilns (MFSK)</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td>0.913</td>
<td>0.224 – 0.708</td>
<td>Lime 1.009 – 1.291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dolime 1.137 – 1.419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other kilns (OK)</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td>0.913</td>
<td>0.224 – 0.508</td>
<td>Lime 1.009 – 1.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dolime 1.137 – 1.378</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹⁾ The type of kiln depends on the required product quality, limestone quality and granulometry input
²⁾ Process CO₂ emissions factor defined by UNFCCC IPCC guidelines. More information can be found in the ‘2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Volume 3, Industrial Processes and Product Use’.

Table 2.25: CO₂ emissions factors from different kiln types in the lime industry
[54, EuLA, 2006]

Until 2008, the emissions of carbon dioxide per tonne of quicklime were reduced in most countries of the EU-27, not only by replacing old kilns with more thermally efficient designs, but also by an improvement in the use of energy (for example, through better process control) and by increasing the productivity (e.g. by reducing the amount of waste dust produced).
2.3.3.4.2 Carbon monoxide (CO)

Typical CO emissions from lime plants are shown in Figure 2.39. CO emissions from lime kilns range from between less than 100 up to 2500 mg/Nm³ depending on the kiln type and the type of fuel used. Around 50% of the spot test results show CO emission values of less than 100 mg/Nm³.

![Distribution of CO emissions (mg/Nm³ at 11% O₂) from different lime kilns](image)

Experiments carried out at lime kilns show that, by using thermal oxidation, CO concentrations of 2 vol-% can safely be managed. However, the CO emissions concentration in the clean gas is always <100 mg/Nm³ [142, EnvNGO/Tebert, 2007].

The concentration of CO is measured at the bottom of the stack. When it enters the atmosphere, it will be quickly oxidised to CO₂ and dispersed.

When resulting from incomplete combustion, carbon monoxide (CO) emissions generally represent a loss of efficiency. Therefore, the operator tends to limit the CO emissions from their kilns.

**Rotary kilns**

CO emissions are continuously monitored at the extremity of the tube, on the exhaust gases side. CO emissions are linked to the targeted quality of lime, when sulphur is present in the limestone and/or fuel.

CO emissions for these kilns range usually from 100 to 400 mg/Nm³ depending on the type of fuel used. Based on a specific flue-gas flow of:

- 5000 Nm³/t at 11% O₂ for LRK
- 4000 Nm³/t at 11% O₂ for PRK,
Shaft kilns (other kilns (OK), mixed feed (MFSK), annular (ASK), and parallel flow regenerative (PFRK))

Annular shaft kilns (ASK) are operated, because of their basic design, with an excess of oxygen in the lower burner level and under stoichiometric conditions in the upper burner level. Therefore, the typical emissions range from 100 to 2500 mg/Nm³. Based on the specific flue-gas flow of 3000 Nm³/t (11 % O₂), the specific carbon monoxide flow is in the range of between 0.3 and 7.5 kg/t lime.

For other shaft kilns (OSK), the quantity of measurements does not allow consistent ranges for CO emissions to be quoted.

For mixed feed shaft kilns, it has to be noted that – in contrast to other combustion processes – the CO emission level of lime kilns does not automatically indicate incomplete combustion. The operating conditions of lime kilns are always determined by product requirements, i.e. by requested properties of the burned lime. As higher CO emissions correspond to a higher energy consumption of the kiln (+1 % CO in the waste gas is equal to an additional energy consumption of about 200 kJ/kg lime), lime kilns are usually operated in a way that keeps CO emissions as low as possible, depending on the individual products. In the German lime industry in general, the CO level in the waste gas is kept below 3 % by process optimisation measures/techniques. In order to reduce CO emissions, process optimisation is the only choice [46, Germany, 2006]. Furthermore for mixed feed shaft kilns, CO emissions in the range of between 1 to 6 % can be observed when producing certain products. In these cases, controlled levels of carbon monoxide are necessary to produce the required product quality. However, some lime applications require hard burned lime, which is mainly produced in mixed feed shaft kilns. The CO emissions of mixed feed shaft kilns are determined by on the so-called Boudouard reaction as shown in Figure 2.40.

For parallel flow regenerative kilns (PFRK), very short CO peaks appear at the beginning of the cycles. At the end of the cycle, fuel injection is stopped, and before ‘reversal’, only air is injected for a time, to ensure all the fuel is burned. Experience shows that, despite this, a small quantity of fuel may remain under the lances. After reversal, it continues burning in unfavourable conditions, producing a ‘peak’ of CO.

CO emissions for these kilns range usually from 100 to 400 mg/Nm³ depending on the type of fuel used. Based on the specific flue-gas flow of 3000 Nm³/t (11 % O₂) the specific carbon monoxide flow is in the range of between 0.3 and 1.2 kg/t lime.
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Figure 2.40: Chemical reactions and energy use in a mixed feed shaft kiln (Boudouard reaction) [46, Germany, 2006]

The Boudouard reaction is mainly determined by the temperature profile of the fuel in the oxygen-free atmosphere. Other relevant factors are the grain size, the reactivity of the fuel, the homogeneity of the feedstock, the air velocity and the initial CO₂ content. The CO generation rate rises with higher temperatures in the reduction zone. Therefore, prevention of CO emissions arising from mixed feed shaft kilns may be difficult and, to some extent, impossible [46, Germany, 2006].

**Hydraulic lime production**

Some limestone contains carbon, which can also lead to higher CO emissions from the lime burning process, such as in the case of natural hydraulic lime plants (see Section 2.2.2) using OSK where the limestone contains 65 – 90 % CaCO₃ and MgCO₃. The purity of this raw material is different from raw materials which are used for the production of other types of lime. During periodic measurements (twice a year) between 2003 and 2006, CO emission values in a range of between 5904 to 12560 mg/Nm³ (spot measurement, 8 % O₂, standard state) were measured (respectively 4541 – 9555 mg/Nm³ at 11 % O₂) [128, Portugal, 2007].
2.3.3.5 Organic compounds/total organic carbon (TOC)

VOC emissions are typically measured as total organic carbon (TOC). Specific analysis has shown that part of the TOC is mainly due to methane, however, benzene was also found as a measurement result. From mixed feed shaft kilns, 80 – 90 % methane and 2 % benzene are observed from emissions [46, Germany, 2006] [54, EuLA, 2006]. Typical emissions of organic compounds measured as total organic carbon (TOC) from different types of lime kilns (LRK, PFRK, ASK) are shown in Figure 2.41.

![Distribution of TOC emissions (mg/Nm³ at 11 % O₂) from different lime kilns](image)

**Figure 2.41:** TOC emissions occurring from different types of lime kilns in the EU-27 [129, EuLA, 2006]

Emissions of VOCs are often related to emissions of CO, resulting from incomplete fuel combustion. Because the operator tends to limit the CO emissions of the kilns, the VOCs emissions remain generally low. In a very limited number of cases where the raw material, limestone contains organic matter of up to 0.1 %, volatile organic compounds can be emitted continuously.

For all kiln types except parallel flow regenerative kilns (PFRK) and mixed feed shaft kilns (MFSK), these emissions occur for short periods during start-up, or upset conditions thus leading to emissions of below 10 mg/Nm³ (eqC). For PFRK, the process entails cyclic changes of combustion conditions every 10 to 12 minutes. Therefore, the levels of VOC emissions are slightly above the ones observed for other types of kilns. With older MFSKs, higher TOC emissions can occur because of the special process conditions which exist in the upper part of the kiln (reductive areas).

**Hydraulic lime production**

The emissions situation in the case of natural hydraulic lime production is different. The purity of the raw material used is different from raw material which is used for the production of other types of lime. The high content of organic matter in the raw material is due to the fossilisation of organisms within the material instead of converting them into carbon dioxide and water over the centuries. The organic material content (expressed in TOC) is in a typical range of between 0.8 – 5 %. A case study from 2005 shows that, where the raw material content of organic matter was in a range of between 2.8 and 5 %, the content of TOC in the calcined product was in a range of between 0.7 and 1.2 %. In a limited number of cases where the limestone contains a
significant amount of organic matter, volatile organic carbon (expressed as TOC) may be emitted continuously. During periodic measurements (twice a year) between 2003 and 2006, TOC emission values in a range of between 593 to 2169 mg/Nm³ (spot measurement, 8 % O₂, standard state) have been measured (respectively 456 – 1668 mg/Nm³ at 11 % O₂) [127, Portugal, 2007].

2.3.3.6 Polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDD) and polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDF)

Raw materials or fuels that contain chlorides may potentially cause the formation of PCDD/F in any of the process zones where the temperatures range from 300 to 450 °C.

Data reported in the document ‘Identification of Relevant Industrial Sources of Dioxins and Furans in Europe’ indicate that lime production plants are of minor significance for the total PCDD/F emissions in Europe [31, LUA NRW, 1997].

The lime industry has performed measurements, most of them for internal purposes, in order to get a better overview of the PCDD/F situation. Data available in 2006 were quite representative of lime production in the EU-27, as shown in Table 2.26.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kiln type</th>
<th>Average emissions PCDD/F (ng I-TEQ/Nm³ (11% O₂))</th>
<th>Number of kilns monitored</th>
<th>Total number of kilns in the EU-27</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long rotary kilns (LRK)</td>
<td>0.0638</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary kilns with preheater (PRK)</td>
<td>0.0698</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallel flow regenerative kilns (PFRK)</td>
<td>0.0198</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annular shaft kilns (ASK)</td>
<td>0.0155</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed feed shaft kilns (MFSK)</td>
<td>0.0532</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other kilns (OK)</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.26: Average PCDD/F emissions for different types of kilns in the EU-27 [54, EuLA, 2006]
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2.3.3.7 Hydrogen chloride and hydrogen fluoride (HCl and HF)

Examples of typical HCl and HF emissions from various types of lime kilns are shown in Table 2.27.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kiln type</th>
<th>mg HCl/Nm³ 1)</th>
<th>mg HF/Nm³ 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quicklime (soft to hard burned)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long rotary kilns (LRK)</td>
<td>&lt;5 – &lt;50</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary kilns with preheater (PRK)</td>
<td>&lt;5 – &lt;20</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallel flow regenerative kilns (PFRK)</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annular shaft kilns (ASK)</td>
<td>&lt;20 – &lt;40</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed feed shaft kilns (MFSK)</td>
<td>&lt;15 – &lt;20</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other kilns (OK)</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dolime (soft burned to sintered)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long rotary kilns (LRK)</td>
<td>&lt;150</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary kilns with preheater (PRK)</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed feed shaft kilns (MFSK)</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Use of waste</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different kiln types</td>
<td>10³)</td>
<td>1³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60⁴)</td>
<td>4⁴)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Emissions concentrations are measured as half hourly average values and related to 10 % O₂ to make values comparable
2) Grate preheater rotary kiln
3) Daily average value
4) Half hourly average value

Table 2.27: Typical HCl and HF emissions from various types of lime kilns in the EU-27
[46, Germany, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]

The behaviour of HCl in lime kilns is very similar to that of SO₂. Thus, in the majority of lime burning operations, most of the chlorine which is present in the raw material (limestone) and the fuel is captured by the quicklime. The efficient contact between the kiln gases and the lime/dolime usually ensures efficient absorption of HCl. This is especially the case for vertical kilns where there is an efficient contact between the kiln gases and the lime/dolime. However, the HCl emissions from shaft kilns are mainly dependent upon the content of chlorine compounds in the limestone. HCl emissions tend to be higher when dry limestone is burned. In some cases, HCl emissions may be reduced by injecting water into the flue-gas.

As the chlorine content is higher in dolomite (100 to about 1000 ppm) than in limestone (10 to 150 ppm), the associated HCl emissions are, in general, higher when dolime is produced. Thus, for rotary kilns producing dolime, it was observed that these emissions can exceed an emission value of 10 mg/Nm³ (threshold of the WID), even if no chlorinated fuel was used [59, European Union, 2000].

The distribution of typical emissions of HCl from different types of lime kilns (LRK, PFRK, ASK) are shown in Figure 2.42.
In some cases, by using wastes as fuels and/or raw materials, emission values of 10 mg/Nm$^3$ for HCl and 1.0 mg/Nm$^3$ for HF are set as daily average values (10 % oxygen content). These emission levels also have to be kept during spot or periodic measurements [46, Germany, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007].

### 2.3.3.8 Hydrogen sulphide (H$_2$S)

Because of the strong reducing conditions occurring in the upper part of mix feed shaft kilns, H$_2$S emissions arise only from this kiln type. Emissions of up to 20 mg/Nm$^3$ were observed.

However, no link was found between the sulphur content in the kiln feed, such as stone and fuel, and the H$_2$S emissions. This is due to the fact that parts of sulphur (in the kiln feed) are present in reduced form, e.g. pyrite, organic bound sulphur or elemental sulphur, when the remaining part is in oxidised forms, e.g. sulphate. The proportion of both is usually not known as the typical sulphur analysis indicates, only the total of both. However, during the chemical processes, which take place at the top of the mix feed shaft kiln, only the reduced or elemental forms of the sulphur lead to the formation of H$_2$S [46, Germany, 2006], [54, EuLA, 2006].
2.3.3.9 Metals

Large quantities of data are now available for the following metals: antimony, arsenic, cadmium, chromium, cobalt, copper, lead, manganese, mercury, nickel, selenium, tellurium, thallium, vanadium and zinc. Furthermore, from the use of waste materials, emissions of metals can also arise, as shown from an example in Section 4.3.4 (see Table 4.40).

Data for the emissions of metals in the EU-27 are shown in Table 2.28. In this table, the results (C) refer to an oxygen content of 11 % and they are the compilation of metals in vapour form and particulate form. In cases when the measured concentration was less than the detection limit, it was assumed that the result is equal to the detection limit. As a conclusion for most of these measurements, the emissions are below the analytical detection limits even in the case of using waste fuels. Therefore, emission values are within a range of 0.01 – 0.1 mg/Nm³. For lead and zinc, in some specific cases with higher dust emissions, values of up to 0.40 mg/Nm³ can be observed.

Detailed figures regarding the distribution of different types of metal concentrations in the flue-gas of lime kilns in the EU-27 are shown in Section 4.3.1 [56, EuLA, 2006].
### Results of metal emissions (C) in mg/Nm³

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metals</th>
<th>Results of metal emissions (C) in mg/Nm³</th>
<th>All types of fuels, kilns, dedusting systems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C&lt;0.010</td>
<td>0.010&lt;C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic (As)</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium (Cd)</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt (Co)</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium (Cr)</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper (Cu)</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury (Hg)</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese (Mn)</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel (Ni)</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead (Pb)</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimony (Sb)</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium (Se)</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin (Sn)</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tellurium (Te)</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thallium (Tl)</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanadium (V)</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metals</th>
<th>Results of metal emissions (C) in mg/Nm³</th>
<th>All types of fuels, kilns, dedusting systems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C&lt;0.1</td>
<td>0.1&lt;C&lt;0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc (Zn)</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.28: Number of plants achieving different metal emissions in the EU-27 [56, EuLA, 2006]
2.3.3.10 Impact on emissions behaviour by using waste materials

2.3.3.10.1 Emissions examples for the use of waste oil

A German example plant shows typical analysis results for emissions occurring from a shaft kiln using waste oil as fuel. This shaft kiln is equipped with a fabric filter. Within the 10 combustion chambers of an annular shaft kiln, typical temperatures range from 1140 to 1300 °C. The incinerated waste oil is delivered by a special waste oil collecting and blending facility. Before feeding the waste oil to the burner, it passes through a filtration sieve with a mesh size of 200 μm. The quality of the waste oil (calorific value approx. 0.030 – 0.039 GJ/kg and water content is approx. 4 – 13 % by weight) incinerated in the annular shaft kiln is shown in Table 2.29. This table shows typical analysis results from 2002.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Typical content of pollutants in waste oil after filtration (200 μm)(^1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur</td>
<td>% by weight</td>
<td>0.34 – 0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total chlorine</td>
<td>% by weight</td>
<td>0.03 – 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>0.2 – 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Metals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metals</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Typical content of pollutants in waste oil after filtration (200 μm)(^1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Σ Sb, As, Pb, Cr, Co, Cu, Ni, Mn, V, Sn</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>42 – 244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ 6 PCB 28, 52, 101, 153, 138, 180</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Typical analysis results from 2002

Table 2.29: Typical analysis results from the quality of waste oil used in German shaft kilns [46, Germany, 2006]

Table 2.30 shows German results of individual emissions measurements from 2002. Waste oil was the only fuel used during the measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Range of individual half hourly measurements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dust</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>1 – 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC/VOC</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>g/Nm³</td>
<td>0.06 – 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO\textsubscript{x}</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>110 – 240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>1 – 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>11 – 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCDD/F</td>
<td>ng I-TEQ/Nm³</td>
<td>&lt;0.004(^{11})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heavy metals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heavy metals</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Range of individual half hourly measurements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Σ Cd, Ti</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ Sb, As, Pb, Cr, Co, Cu, Ni, Mn, V, Sn</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>&lt;0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Oxygen content 10 %, 273.15 K, 101.3 kPa, dry gas

\(^{11}\) For PCDD/F, the sampling period is 6 hours

Table 2.30: Individual emissions measurements from a German shaft kiln using waste oil [46, Germany, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]
Investigations showed that emissions of metals are very low, regardless of kiln type and incinerated fuel. Measurements from all types of kilns have shown typical values for cadmium (Cd) within a range of <0.001 – 0.05 mg/Nm³. For the total of the metals arsenic (As), lead (Pb), chromium (Cr), copper (Cu), nickel (Ni), selenium (Se) and tellurium (Te), typical emission levels from shaft kilns within a range of 0.01 – 0.04 mg/Nm³ were observed. At rotary kilns, emissions of the metals arsenic (As), lead (Pb), chromium (Cr), copper (Cu), nickel (Ni), selenium (Se) and tellurium (Te) were higher in some cases, up to 0.16 mg/Nm³. Mercury emissions from all types of kilns in general were <0.05 mg/Nm³. Special attention has to be paid to the possible contamination of waste fuels used with mercury (e.g. waste wood). Because of the volatility of mercury, relevant higher mercury emissions may occur. Therefore, the mercury input via waste fuels has to be limited.

For the use of waste, the measured emissions for mercury were observed to be below 0.03 mg/Nm³ measured as a daily average value, or 0.05 mg/Nm³ measured as a half hourly average value. For the total of cadmium and thallium, the measured emissions were observed to be below 0.05 mg/Nm³ measured as a half hourly average value, and for the sum of arsenic, cobalt, chromium, copper, manganese, lead, antimony, tin and vanadium, the measured emissions were observed to be below 0.5 mg/Nm³ measured as a half hourly average value (oxygen content 10 %) [46, Germany, 2006].

2.3.3.10.2 Examples of emissions from the use of solid waste in rotary kilns

In four rotary kilns of an example plant in Germany, solid waste is used. The types of solid waste materials selected and used depend on the technical requirements of the burning process and the product quality. As an example, the quality control of these solid waste materials is described in Section 4.3.4. All rotary kilns are equipped with emissions reduction techniques, e.g. cyclones, an ESP for dust emissions reduction and the SNCR technique for NOx emissions reduction.

Continuous measurements for the following emissions and reference factors are taken from the kiln stacks:

- dust (incl. PM₁₀)
- nitrogen oxides (NOx)
- sulphur oxides (SO₂) (started 2007)
- flue-gas volume flow
- flue-gas humidity
- flue-gas pressure
- flue-gas temperature
- oxygen content.

The measuring instruments and analysing units comply with DIN/ISO 14181. The measured values are recorded and transferred online to the monitoring authority. Table 2.31 shows continuous measurements of dust and NOx recorded in 2006. The split of the measurement periods is due to changes in the national legislation. Until the end of 2006, SOx was measured periodically and continuous measurements for SOx started at the beginning of 2007.
### Table 2.31: Continuous emissions measurements taken in 2006 from a German rotary kiln using solid fuels

[156, Germany, 2007, 164, Rheinkalk, 2007]

Furthermore related to national legislation, besides continuous measurements different emissions parameters are also measured periodically. Table 2.32 shows individual emissions measurements (daily average values).

### Table 2.32: Periodical individual emissions measurements taken in 2006 from a German rotary kiln using solid fuels

[164, Rheinkalk, 2007]

#### 2.3.4 Process losses/waste

The calcination of limestone can produce the by-product lime with particulate sizes and decarbonation levels which do not always correspond to the market requirements. This by-product can be collected directly from the kiln outlet during start-up and shutdown procedures or by the screening or dedusting of the flue-gas. This by-product accounts for 1 to 5% of the total yearly production. Small quantities of partially calcined material are produced when the kiln is started up from cold, and during shutdown. Such events may occur at frequencies ranging from once every 6 months to once every 10 years.

The separated solid fraction from limestone washing, for example, natural impurities such as silica, clay and ultrafine particles, can be utilised and re-used:

- for recultivation or covering of contaminated (e.g. acid) grounds
- as raw material in the cement industry or
- for soil improvement in agriculture.
The dust collected from fabric filters can be used in different kinds of commercial products [46, Germany, 2006]

2.3.5 Water use and purification

The raw materials from the quarry may contain small amounts of clay and sand. In this case, the limestone is washed with water before entering the kiln. The water demand for limestone washing is 0.5 to 2 m³ per tonne of raw material, depending on the nature and the amount of impurities. After being used, the washing water contains 50 to 200 ml suspended solids per litre, corresponding to a solids content of 5 to 20 g/l. In general, the washing water is not loaded with any other pollutants.

The water used for limestone washing is usually taken from surface waters or from lowering of the groundwater during excavation. Other common sources for washing water are rainfall and wells. The purified water from both systems is re-used in the washing process. The water recycling rate is about 85%; only 15% constitutes process losses and needs to be replaced by fresh water.

Treatment in the thickener and mechanical dehydration generate press cakes with a residual moisture content of 10 to 20% [46, Germany, 2006].

2.3.6 Noise

Noise emissions occur throughout the whole lime manufacturing process, from extraction and preparing the raw materials via the lime production process and material storage up to dispatch as well as shipping the final products. For example, during the lime production process, charging lumps of limestone into lime kilns can result in noise and/or vibration at levels which require abatement. However, sources of noise emissions can particularly originate from:

- heavy machinery
- chutes and hoppers
- any operations involving fracture, crushing, milling and screening of lime
- exhaust fans
- blowers
- duct vibration.

Plants are required to comply with noise reduction standards in compliance with national legislation.

2.3.7 Odours

Emissions of odour may occur in lime plants using mixed feed shaft kilns because of the hydrogen sulphide (H₂S) emissions produced. By using a fuel mix of 30% coke and 70% anthracite, H₂S emissions ranges of between 6 and 9.5 mg/Nm³ were reported. With exclusive use of anthracite, reduced H₂S emissions of between not detectable and <1.6 mg/Nm³ were reported.

Furthermore, waste materials used as raw materials or fuels may lead to odour emissions, especially during different process steps such as preparation and storage.
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2.3.8 Monitoring

According to the European Lime Association, for vertical lime kilns, experience shows that the process conditions are generally stable. The level of emissions can only change if the chemical composition of the limestone and/or the fuel and the process conditions required to ensure a certain product quality, are modified.

Additional useful information regarding monitoring can be found in the Reference Document on the General Principles of Monitoring [151, European Commission, 2003]

2.3.8.1 Continuous measurements

Emissions measurements do indeed confirm the stability of the emissions. To control the kiln firing process, several process parameters are continuously monitored, demonstrating the process stability, e.g.

- temperature
- O₂ content
- airflow
- pressure.

Moreover, some of these process parameters are representative and therefore linked to the emissions parameters, e.g. intensity of the ESP and dust emissions. Additionally, continuously taking measurements may be appropriate and have been reported in some particular cases for the following parameters:

- NOₓ
- SO₂
- dust
- CO.

However, if waste materials are used as fuels, continuous emissions monitoring according to the Waste Incineration Directive is normally required, such as for emissions of dust and NOₓ, and – in some cases – SO₂ and VOC/TOC. In this context, further information and requirements which have to be specified when using waste are available in the Waste Incineration Directive 2000/76/EC. When co-incinerating waste, the requirements of the Waste Incineration Directive (WID) have to be met [59, European Union, 2000].

It could also be appropriate to monitor the emissions of large rotary kilns due to the variability of some of their process parameters. Furthermore, depending on the fuels used, the process conditions and the relevance of the emissions, it may be necessary to carry out additional measurements [46, Germany, 2006], [54, EuLA, 2006].
2.3.8.2 Periodic measurements

Periodic measurements are carried out for the following pollutants, unless monitored continuously:

- dust
- sulphur dioxide (SO₂)
- nitrogen oxide (NOₓ).

Depending on the input fuels especially in the case of waste used, process conditions and the relevance of the emissions, additional measurements are carried out for the following pollutants:

- carbon oxide (CO)
- total organic carbon (TOC)
- hydrogen chloride (HCl)
- hydrogen fluoride (HF)
- heavy metals
- polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDD) and polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDF).

The monitoring of benzo-a-pyrene (C₂₀H₁₂), (category 2A, probably carcinogenic for humans according to IARC classification) is carried out in some cases.
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2.4 Techniques to consider in the determination of BAT

This section sets out techniques considered generally to have the potential for achieving a high level of environmental protection in the industries within the scope of this document. Management systems, process-integrated techniques and end-of-pipe measures/techniques are included, but a certain amount of overlap exists between these three when seeking the optimum results. In addition to these measures/techniques, the consumption of limestone, the use of energy and the choice of fuels used are discussed in this section.

Prevention, control, minimisation and recycling procedures are considered as well as the re-use of materials and energy.

Measures/techniques may be presented singly or as combinations to achieve the objectives of the IPPC Directive. Annex IV to this Directive lists a number of general considerations to be taken into account when determining BAT, and measures/techniques within this section will address one or more of these considerations. As far as possible, a standard structure is used to outline each measure/technique, to enable comparison of measures/techniques and an objective assessment against the definition of BAT given in the IPPC Directive.

The content of this section is not an exhaustive list of measures/techniques and others may exist or be developed which may be equally valid within the framework of IPPC and BAT.

The standard structure used to outline each measure/technique is shown in Table 2.33. As the boundaries between the elements mentioned in this table may overlap to a certain extent, the structure is kept flexible, reflecting the particular individual case.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of information considered</th>
<th>Type of information included</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Technical description of the measure/technique (including drawings, schematics if necessary)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achieved environmental benefits</td>
<td>Main environmental benefits (including energy, water, raw material savings, as well as production yield increases, energy efficiency, etc.) addressed by the measure/technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-media effects</td>
<td>Main environmental side effects and disadvantages to other media caused by using the measure/technique. Details of the environmental effects of the measure/technique in comparison with others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational data</td>
<td>Data on consumption and emission levels from operational plants using the measure/technique (including any reference conditions and monitoring methods used). Any other relevant information on how to operate, maintain and control the measure/technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicability</td>
<td>Indication of the type of plants in which the measure/technique may be applied, considering, e.g. plant age, (new or existing), plant size (large or small), measures/techniques already installed and type or quality of product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economics</td>
<td>Information on costs (both investment and operational) and any possible savings (e.g. reduced raw material or energy consumption, waste charges) or revenues including details on how these costs/savings or revenues have been calculated/estimated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driving force for implementation</td>
<td>Local conditions or requirements which lead to or may stimulate implementation. Information on reasons other than environmental ones for implementation (e.g. increase in productivity, safety)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example plants</td>
<td>Reference to (a) plant(s) in which the measure/technique is applied and from which information has been collected and used in writing the section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference literature</td>
<td>Literature or other reference material (e.g. books, reports, studies, websites) that was used in writing the section and that contains more details on the measure/technique</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.33: Information breakdown for each measure/technique described in this section
The three big cornerstones – the limestone, the process and the product – form the basis of a matrix being dependent on each other to produce a product responding with different market demands. Different measures/techniques and special kiln types as well as different types of fuels are used. The quality of the raw materials used, i.e. limestone, CaCO₃ and dolomite CaMg(CO₃)₂ respectively plays a particular role in the production process and essentially affects both the kiln design (including the type of emissions reduction measures/techniques) and the final quality of the lime. Further main factors influencing the choice of kiln design and kiln technique are the price of available fuels and the capacity of the kiln. These factors again influence considerably the properties of the lime produced. Therefore, during the last 30 years, various kiln types in combination with the optimal kiln/fuel combination for various lime qualities as well as in combination with the use of abatement measure/techniques were developed worldwide.

Table 2.34 gives an overview of measures/techniques that have a positive effect on, that is reduce, the emissions from the manufacture of lime. The measures/techniques listed are described in more detail in the following sections.

The costs for abatement measures/techniques have to be evaluated in relation to the type of lime kiln used, the size of the installations, and the effectiveness of the measures/techniques and the circumstances of the individual application. In this context, Section 2.4.5.3.5 gives examples about investment costs, maintenance costs and operational costs for the abatement of dust by using different abatement measures/techniques.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kiln types</th>
<th>Measures/techniques</th>
<th>Substances</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary kilns:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• long rotary kilns (LRK)</td>
<td>Process control and optimisation</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• rotary kilns with preheater (PRK)</td>
<td>Fuel selection</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kiln burner design</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Absorbent addition technique (currently¹ not applied in the lime industry)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Centrifugal separators/cyclones</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ESPs</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fabric filters</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wet dust separators</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SNCR (currently¹ only applicable to a certain type of PRK)²</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCR (currently¹ not applicable in the lime industry)</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diffuse dust abatement</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaft kilns:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• parallel-flow regenerative kilns (PFRK)</td>
<td>Process control and optimisation</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• annular shaft kilns (ASK)</td>
<td>Centrifugal separators/cyclones</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• mixed-feed shaft kilns (MFSK)</td>
<td>ESPs</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• other Kilns (OK)</td>
<td>Fabric filters</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wet dust separators (wet scrubber)</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diffuse dust abatement</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water injection</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milling plants</td>
<td>ESPs</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fabric filters</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrating plants</td>
<td>Fabric filters</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wet dust separators (wet scrubber)</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ In 2008
² In 2008, one lime kiln in the EU-27 was equipped with SNCR
³ HCl

Table 2.34: Overview of measures/techniques applicable in the lime industry
2.4.1 Consumption of limestone

**Description and achieved environmental benefits**
The minimum consumption and a sustainable use of limestone result from maximising the yield of kiln stone from the quarry by optimising the mining (drilling and blasting) and the processing techniques and of saleable quicklime from the kiln stone. This can be achieved by using optimised kiln techniques which can operate with a wider range of limestone grain sizes, e.g. fine lime PFRK and/or rotary kilns.

**Cross-media effects**
No cross-media effects.

**Operational data**
Operational parameters for different types of lime kilns can be found in Table 2.15.

**Applicability**
Generally applicable in the lime industry but stone processing and kiln techniques are dependent on the limestone quality.

**Economics**
No data available.

**Driving force for implementation**
Finite availability of limestone.
Environmental benefit due to the extension of the lifetime of quarries (sustainability).
Legal requirements.

**Example plants and reference literature**
Lime plants in the EU-27.
[54, EuLA, 2006]

2.4.2 Reduction of energy consumption (energy efficiency)

**Description and achieved environmental benefits**
An energy management system for monitoring the energy use of the kilns is applicable in the lime industry.

If only the energy efficiency and the CO₂ emissions are considered, the vertical kilns in general and the parallel flow regenerative kilns (PFRK) in particular are the most efficient kilns. However, even if energy and CO₂ considerations play a fundamental role, the other specifications have to be considered before making a decision on the choice of kiln (see Sections 2.2.7 and 2.3.2) or raw material. In some cases, the specifications can create a technical advantage to rotary kilns, especially with upgraded rotary kilns.

In most cases, new kilns replace old kilns, but some existing kilns have been modified to reduce fuel energy use. Such modifications range from minor modifications to major changes in the configuration of the kiln, depending on the technical feasibility, cost and actual need, as for example:

- the installation of heat exchangers for long rotary kilns to recover surplus heat from flue-gases or to permit the use of a wider range of fuels
- the use of surplus heat from rotary kilns to dry limestone for other processes such as limestone milling
- in some cases, where shaft kilns have ceased to be economically viable, it has been feasible to convert them to modern designs, for example by converting a simple shaft kiln to the annular shaft design or by linking a pair of shaft kilns to create a parallel flow
regenerative kiln. Conversion extends the life of expensive items of equipment, such as the kiln structure, the stone feed system and the lime handling/storage plant

- in exceptional cases, it may be economic to shorten long rotary kilns and to fit a preheater, thus reducing fuel use
- electrical energy use can be minimised through the utilisation of energy efficient equipment.

The energy efficient measure/techniques listed here have a positive effect on energy use:

- process control, e.g. excess of air combustion, fuel flowrate
- maintenance of the equipment, e.g. air tightness, erosion of refractory
- optimised grain size of stone.

Furthermore, Table 2.35 lists options for energy efficiency improvement in lime kilns sorted by kiln system components.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kiln system component</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>LRK</th>
<th>PRK</th>
<th>PFRK</th>
<th>ASK</th>
<th>MFSK, OK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Combustion system</td>
<td>Highly efficient and flexible burner technique to adapt the temperature profile to the product requirement</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combustion system</td>
<td>Online combustion monitoring and excess air reduction</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combustion system</td>
<td>Combustion control through flue-gas analysis</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combustion system</td>
<td>Highly flexible combustion system including possible fuel blends with waste fuels</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooler</td>
<td>Efficient cooler with homogeneous air distribution and product discharge to minimise the quantity of cooling air which is required</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooler</td>
<td>Reliable cooler level measurement device</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flue-gas circuit</td>
<td>Heat recovery system</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input control</td>
<td>Regular fuel and stone sampling and analysis as well as adaptation of the process accordingly</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input control</td>
<td>Stone re-screen before kiln feed to control stone gradation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input control</td>
<td>Reliable weighing/metering devices to control fuel, stone and air flow rate</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiln design</td>
<td>Optimised length:diameter ratio</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiln itself</td>
<td>Refractory internals inside the rotating part to promote heat exchange and minimise product segregation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiln itself</td>
<td>Efficient insulating lining to minimise the shell heat losses</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiln itself</td>
<td>Air in-leakage reduction by installing seals at kiln hood and kiln feed</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiln itself</td>
<td>Channel cleaning on a regular basis</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiln and preheater</td>
<td>Air in-leakage reduction to control excess air</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiln operation</td>
<td>Automatic control loops for hood draft, excess air, fuel rate, tonne/kiln revolution, adjustment, etc.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiln operation</td>
<td>PLC and supervision system with key parameter trends</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiln operation</td>
<td>Uniform operating conditions</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiln operation</td>
<td>Analysis of shutdown causes and repairs</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preheater</td>
<td>Optmise pressure drop versus heat exchange</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality follow up</td>
<td>Regular lime sampling and analysis as well as kiln adjustment</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Only applicable to new kilns

Table 2.35: Options for energy efficiency improvement in lime kilns
[168, TWG CLM, 2007], [177, EULA, 2008]
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Cross-media effects
As the access to natural resources becomes more difficult, a balance has been found on a local level between the optimal use of energy and the best use of the deposit.

Operational data
Generally, fuel and energy are the most costly factors in the burning of lime, and energy efficiency improvements have been made to minimise production costs. In some cases in Germany, electricity consumption for lime grinding was minimised by replacing quicklime mills with mills operating like high pressure grinding (e.g. roller mill). The use of high pressure grinding mills was reported to generate electric energy savings of 2.5 kWh/t quicklime. Furthermore in one specific case, a reduction of electricity consumption was also achieved by replacing wet scrubbers with fabric filters. In this case, electrical energy savings of 2.9 kWh/t quicklime were reported [46, Germany, 2006].

Applicability
Applicable, in principle, to all types of lime kilns.

Vertical kilns can usually only burn coarse limestone pebbles. However, rotary kilns with a higher energy consumption can also valorise small fractions and new vertical kilns tend to burn small granules from 10 mm. The bigger granules of kiln feed stone is used more in vertical kilns than in rotary kilns.

Economics
No data available.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.
Natural resources.
Reduction of costs.

Example plants and reference literature
Lime plants in the EU-27.
[46, Germany, 2006], [54, EuLA, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]
In this context, useful information can also be found in the Reference Document on Best Available Techniques for Energy Efficiency (ENE) [181, European Commission, 2008].

2.4.3 Process control optimisation

Description
Optimisation is applicable through process automation of most process steps in the whole lime manufacturing process. Maintaining kiln control parameters close to their optimum values has the effect of reducing all consumption and emissions parameters in the lime burning process. This is due to, among other things, reduced numbers of shutdowns and upset conditions. Management systems can be operated to ensure that good operating and maintenance practices are adopted and followed by all concerned, and that their observance is monitored.

Achieved environmental benefits
Process control optimisation measures/techniques have a positive effect on energy use and on emissions.

Cross-media effects
None.

Operational data
Depending on the optimisation task.
Cement, Lime and Magnesium Oxide Manufacturing Industries
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Cement, Lime and Magnesium Oxide Manufacturing Industries

Applicability
Applicable to all lime plants to varying degrees.

Complete process automation is generally not achievable due to the uncontrollable variables, i.e. quality of the limestone.

Economics
Depending on the optimisation task.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.

Example plants and reference literature
Lime plants in the EU-27.
[54, EuLA, 2006]

2.4.4 Choice of fuels (including waste fuels)

Description
The lime industry is an energy intensive industry (see Section 2.2.4). Depending on the chemical composition of the fuel and the type of kiln used, the choice of appropriate fuels or a fuel mix can lead to emissions reductions and improved firing efficiency, such as:

- low sulphur content fuels lead to a reduction in energy linked SO₂ emissions. However, as sulphur from the fuels is chemically absorbed by the lime, some fuels with a higher sulphur content can be used in lime production without increasing the SO₂ emissions
- biomass contributes to saving fossil fuels
- waste fuels reduce the amount of fossil fuels used and the related CO₂ emissions (see Section 2.2.5) while, however, solid fossil fuels contribute to higher CO₂ emissions.

Except for mixed feed shaft kilns, all types of kilns can operate with all types of fuels. Some kilns operate with only one type of fuel while the majority of kilns operate with a mixture of fuels. Depending on the kiln type, gaseous, solid and liquid fuels may be used. Solid fuels can be further divided into powder and lump fuels which also can be used in combination depending on kiln type.

Up until 2008, the most common fuels used in the EU are natural gas and solid fuels, such as lignite, coal, petcoke and coke. However, liquid fuels as well waste fuels and/or biomass with a high calorific value and a high reactivity are also used.

The selection of suitable waste fuels for the process and burner (e.g. waste oil, used solvents, animal fat, liquid waste) along with certain characteristics, criteria and constant qualities (e.g. high calorific value, high reactivity, low content of sulphur, chlorine, metals and ash as well as quantitative availability) reduces the amount of fossil fuels (see Section 2.2.5). To guarantee the characteristics of the waste fuel, a quality assurance system is required. In particular, this includes provisions for the sampling, the sample preparation, the analysis and the external monitoring. More useful information can be found in the technical specifications of the European Committee for Standardisation, such as CEN/TC 343 ‘Solid Recovered Fuels’.

Achieved environmental benefits
The choice of appropriate fuels or fuel mix can lead to emissions reduction and improved firing efficiency.
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Cross-media effects
Higher emissions may occur depending on the chemical composition of the fuel and the type of kiln.

It has to be noted that by using wastes it may be possible that different types of additional emissions occur.

Furthermore, a variation in the fuel mix often induces a variation in the specific energy consumption per tonne of lime. Depending on the types of waste fuels used and their calorific value, the annual average specific thermal energy demand can increase, as observed in the cement industry (see Section 1.3.3 regarding the cement industry). This observation from the cement industry is comparable with the use of waste in the lime industry.

Operational data
When changing the fuel mix used, the specific energy consumption per tonne of lime can vary due to various reasons, depending on the type of fuel and the calorific value of the fuels used. Literature shows that fossil fuels have calorific values (average, net) of, e.g. 26 – 30 MJ/kg for typical coal and 40 – 42 MJ/kg for typical fuel oil, while solid waste like plastic material has a high calorific value of between 17 and 40 MJ/kg. It has to be noted that, of these wastes the calorific values vary very widely, from 3 to 40 MJ/kg. However, fuels with a calorific value of less than 18 MJ/kg are not suitable for the lime industry because of the ash and the moisture content (see also examples shown in Table 4.41). Calorific values of animal meal (carcase meal) used in lime kilns is in a range of between 14 and 21.5 MJ/kg as reported.

Applicability
Each type of kiln is, in principle, capable of firing different types of fuels, but needs the technical equipment to actually do so. This may also include receiving and storing the fuels. The kilns should also be equipped with the fuel-specific burning technique or lances. Most of the plants within the EU are more or less fuel flexible depending on their location and the economic factors mentioned above. However, a change of fuel type may require significant investments.

It has to be noted that by using liquid wastes, low viscosity of these waste fuels is needed and often fuel preheating is necessary (60 to 70 ºC). Furthermore, by using animal fat this waste material solidifies at temperatures of 40 ºC due to its viscosity and may plug pipes and fittings. Handling of animal fat above temperatures of above 40 ºC is necessary by, e.g. using additional heating.

Solid fluffy waste fuels can only be used in rotary kilns. Furthermore, pulverised waste fuels can be used in rotary kilns, in parallel flow regenerative kilns and in special types of shaft kilns. However, pulverised waste fuels are not available in 2008 for the use in the lime industry because of high costs for pulverising fluffy wastes by crushing.

The selection of the appropriate fuels depends on the type of kilns used, the desired product qualities, availability of the fuels and on the technical possibilities of injecting them into the selected kiln. Their use is mainly limited by:

- physico-chemical properties that do not always meet the relevant physical, chemical or process-specific requirements
- availability on the market and guaranteed supply of overtime
- economic considerations
- level of emissions.

Information concerning the use of waste fuels can be found in Section 2.2.5.
Economics

The most important economic parameters are:

- cost of the fuel itself, i.e. the cost of fuel per tonne of lime can represent 30 to 60% of the production cost
- spread between natural gas and solid fuels prices which varies over time
- impact of CO2 allowance costs
- investment costs for the equipment required for the use of a particular fuel, e.g. storage, handling, drying, milling, injection, and safety.

Driving force for implementation

Legal requirements.
Economic requirements.
Local conditions.

Example plants and reference literature

Lime plants in the EU-27.
[54, EuLA, 2006], [143, Williams, 2005], [155, Germany, 2007], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]

2.4.5 Emissions of dust (particulate matter)

In this section, measures/techniques to prevent channelled (see Section 2.3.3.1.1) and diffuse (see Section 2.3.3.1.2) dust emissions are described.

In this context, useful information can also be found in the Reference Document on Best Available Techniques on Emissions from Storage of bulk or dangerous materials and in the Reference Document on Best Available Techniques on Common Waste Water and Waste Gas Treatment/Management Systems in the Chemical Sector [58, European Commission, 2003], [96, European Commission, 2006].

2.4.5.1 Measures/techniques for dusty operations

Description

Several different measures/techniques are presented here, which can be applied individually or in combination (see Section 2.3.3.1.2):

- enclosure/encapsulation of dusty operations, such as grinding, screening and mixing
- covered conveyors and elevators, which are constructed as closed systems, if dust emissions are likely to be released from dusty material
- storage silos with adequate capacity, level indicators with cut out switches and with filters to deal with dust-bearing air displaced during filling operations
- a circulation process is favoured for pneumatic conveying systems
- material handling in closed systems maintained under negative pressure and deducting of the suction air by a fabric filter before being emitted into the air
- reduction of air leakage and spillage points, completion of installation
- proper and complete maintenance of the installation
- use of automatic devices and control systems
- use of continuous trouble free operations
- use of flexible filling pipes equipped with a dust extraction system for loading burned lime which are positioned to the loading floor of the lorry.
Achieved environmental benefits
Reduction of primarily diffuse dust emissions.
Noise reduction is also possible by enclosing machinery.

Cross-media effects
Increase in energy consumption from the use of vacuum systems.
When maintenance is carried out, additional waste may occur.

Operational data
Depending on the particular individual case related measure/technique.

Applicability
These measures/techniques can, in principle, be carried out in the lime industry.
In raw material preparation operations, like crushing and sieving, dust separation is not normally applied, because of the moisture content of the raw material. To reduce emissions of dust, raw materials can be humidified by additionally spraying of water during processing.

Economics
Depending on the particular individual case related measure/technique.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.
Health and safety requirements for the workplace.

Example plants and reference literature
Lime plants in the EU-27.
[46, Germany, 2006], [54, EuLA, 2006]

2.4.5.2 Measures/techniques for bulk storage areas and stockpiles

Description and achieved environmental benefits
In order to primarily reduce diffuse dust emissions from bulk storage, from stockpiles from the locations of dusty raw materials or from fuels into the open air, storage locations can be enclosed with screening, walling or with vertical greenery (artificial or natural wind barriers for open pile wind protection).

Product silos and closed fully automated raw material storages are considered to be the most efficient solution for the problem of diffuse dust generated by high volume stocks. These types of storage are equipped with one or more fabric filters to prevent diffuse dust formation in loading and unloading operations (such as closed storage with an automatic handling system). Diffuse dust emissions at stockpiles can be reduced by using sufficient humidification of stockpile charging and discharging points and the use of conveyor belts with adjustable height. If diffuse dust emissions at charging or discharging points of storage sites cannot be avoided, they can be reduced by matching the discharge height to the varying height of the heap, if possible automatically, or by reduction of the unloading velocity.

Additionally, the locations can be kept wet by using spraying devices, especially in dry areas (if the point source of dust is locally limited, a water spray injection system can be installed), and can be cleaned by cleaning lorries. Furthermore, when using humidification or spraying measures/techniques, the ground can be sealed and the surplus water can be gathered, and if necessary this can be treated and used in closed cycles.

To prevent the formation of diffuse dust emissions during removal operations, vacuum systems can be used. New buildings can easily be equipped with stationary vacuum cleaning systems, while existing buildings are normally better fitted with mobile systems and flexible connections.
To reduce diffuse dust emissions arising in areas used by lorries, these areas can be paved when possible and the surface should be kept as clean as possible. Wetting the roads can reduce diffuse dust emissions, especially during dry weather. Good housekeeping practices can be used in order to keep diffuse dust emissions to a minimum.

Cross-media effects
Water consumption increases when keeping locations wet.
Energy consumption increases from the use of vacuum systems.
When maintenance is carried out, additional waste may occur.

Operational data
Depending on the particular individual case related measure/technique.

Applicability
The measures/techniques can, in principle, be carried out in the lime industry.

Economics
Depending on the particular individual case related measure/technique.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.
Health and safety requirements for the workplace.

Example plants and reference literature
Lime plants in the EU-27.
[46, Germany, 2006], [54, EuLA, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]

2.4.5.3 Reduction of channelled dust emissions

This section describes techniques which are aimed exclusively at dust removal (see Section 2.3.3.1.1). There are different main sources of dust emissions from lime plants, such as from raw material preparation, calcining of limestone, lime hydrating, lime grinding and from connected and subsidiary processes. Depending on the kiln type used for lime manufacturing, different dedusting techniques are used. The dedusting measures/techniques are based on filtering, on electrostatic separation and on wet scrubbing (flue-gas cleaning with a filter). These techniques are linked to the following characteristics of the flue-gas:

- temperature
- flowrate
- humidity
- dust concentration at the filter inlet.
Table 2.36 presents a summary of the operational data which are available within the text of this section. This table gives an overview and should be read in conjunction with the corresponding sections and paragraphs below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure/technique</th>
<th>Applicability</th>
<th>Emissions data(^3)</th>
<th>Costs(^3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mg/Nm(^3) (^1)</td>
<td>kg/tonne (^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>million EUR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESP</td>
<td>All kiln systems, milling plants, subsidiary processes</td>
<td>&lt;10 – &lt;20</td>
<td>0.015 – 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabric filter</td>
<td>All kiln systems</td>
<td>&lt;10 – &lt;20</td>
<td>0.015 – 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet dust separator</td>
<td>All kiln systems, hydrating plants</td>
<td>10 – 30</td>
<td>0.06 – 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrifugal separator</td>
<td>Only suitable as pre-separators: all kiln systems, mills, subsidiary processes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse dust abatement</td>
<td>All plants</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) For kiln systems normally referring to daily averages, dry gas, 273 K, 101.3 kPa and 11 % O\(_2\)

\(^2\) kg/tonne lime based on:
- 3000 Nm\(^3\)/t lime for ASK and PFRK
- 3700 Nm\(^3\)/t lime for PRK
- 5000 Nm\(^3\)/t lime for LRK

\(^3\) Referring to different kiln capacities, see Figure 2.46 and Table 2.37

\(^4\) Emissions data can be found in the corresponding paragraph of this section

Table 2.36: Overview of techniques for controlling dust in the lime production process

ESP and fabric filters both have a very high dedusting efficiency during normal operation of more than 99 % particulate retention, although both depend on particle size. Depending upon the exhaust gas temperature, different types of filter media have to be used. Both types of filter systems have to be properly (periodically) maintained to ensure the adequate efficiency which is higher than in the case of wet dust separators. Wet dust separators consume additional energy as well as water and produce waste water which then has to be treated. The efficiency of ESPs may be affected by CO trips; however, CO trips can be minimised as per Section 4.2.6. Centrifugal separators are also used but are only suitable as pre-separators; however, they can perform more efficiently with higher pollutant loadings. For diffuse dust abatement, see Sections 2.4.5.1 and 2.4.5.2.

2.4.5.3.1 Electrostatic precipitators (ESPs)

Description and achieved environmental benefits
In an electrostatic precipitator, the flue-gases are passed through a chamber with two electrodes. A high voltage (up to 100 kV) is applied to the first electrode, which ionises the flue-gases. The ions generated adhere to the dust particles in the flue-gases, and as a result these particles become electrically charged. Electrostatic forces repel the charged dust particles from the first electrode and attract them to the second, on which they are deposited. So the dust particles are removed from the flue-gas stream.

Electrostatic precipitators generate an electric field across the path of particulate matter in the air stream. The particles become negatively charged and migrate towards positively charged collection plates. The collection plates are rapped or vibrated periodically, dislodging the material and allowing it to fall into collection hoppers below. ESPs are characterised by their ability to operate under conditions of high temperature (up to approximately 400 °C) and high
humidity. Factors affecting efficiency are flue-gas flowrate, strength of the electric field, conductivity of the dust, SO₂ concentration, moisture content, and shape and area of the electrodes. In particular, the electric field can be unfavourably reduced by a build-up of material that forms an insulating layer on the collection plates as shown in Figure 2.43.

Figure 2.43: Schematic view of a conventional electrostatic precipitator (ESP) [54, EuLA, 2006].

Because of explosion risks, the CO safety concentration at the inlet of the ESP is usually limited to 1 to 2 % which is well below the explosion limit of 10 %. Therefore, CO trips must be avoided, thus requiring the CO levels to be monitored continuously before the filter. The number of CO trips can be reduced by using modern control systems with fast measuring and control equipment which allows a higher switch-off criterion. In this context, a guideline regarding the control of CO trips can be found in Section 4.2.6.

When justified, quenching towers before the ESP can be installed to improve cleaning of emissions like PCDD.

**Cross-media effects**
Explosion risks arise at high CO concentrations.
The energy consumption increases due to the operation of the ESP (but a lower pressure drop compared to other filter systems leads to lower electrical energy consumption of flue-gas fans). Additional waste occurs.

**Operational data**
During stable operating conditions, electrostatic precipitators can reliably achieve dust emissions of between 5 and 20 mg/Nm³ (see Section 2.3.3.1.1). In 2005, examples from Finnish lime plants showed that dust emissions of less than 5 mg/Nm³ were achievable on spot measurements by using coke and coal as fuels in rotary kilns.

The efficiency of an existing ESP can be improved by the addition of chambers (electrical fields) in line. However, this improvement is only realistic if the actual dust emission level is high and providing that there is sufficient space.

**Applicability**
Electrostatic precipitators are suitable for use at temperatures above the dew point and up to 400 °C (with mild steel construction). Furthermore, it is also possible to use ESPs close to, or below, the dew point.
Because of high volume flows and relatively high dust loads, mainly rotary kilns without preheaters but also rotary kilns with preheaters are equipped with ESPs. In some cases, this is because of the high exhaust gas temperature, in others it is because the kilns have large production capacities and consequently produce large volumes of gases. In the case of combination with a quenching tower, excellent performance can be achieved.

**Economics**
The investment costs of ESPs relative to fabric filters increase with the kiln size and the daily production capacity as shown in Figure 2.46.

**Driving force for implementation**
Legal requirements.
Flue-gas temperature and flowrate.
Investments (local circumstances).
Running operational cost.
Energy consumption.
Proven technique.

**Example plants and reference literature**
Lappeenranta, Nordkalk Oy (Finland), Rheinkalk GmbH Flandersbach (Germany), Hönnetal (Germany), lime plants in the EU-27.
[54, EuLA, 2006], [63, Finland, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]

### 2.4.5.3.2 Fabric filters

**Description and achieved environmental benefits**
In a fabric filter, the basic principle of filtration is to use a fabric membrane which is permeable to gas but will retain the dust particles. Initially, dust is deposited both on the surface and within of the depth of the fabric. As the surface layer builds up, the dust particles themselves become the dominating filter medium. As the dust layer thickens, the resistance to gas flow (pressure drop) increases.

Periodic cleaning of the medium is therefore necessary to control the gas pressure drop across the filter. Common cleaning methods include reverse airflow, mechanical shaking, vibration and compressed air pulsing (jet cleaning).

The cleaning system, the airflow and the aerial load of the medium are key elements for an efficient filtration. The filter should have multiple compartments (which have an impact on investment costs and on the size of the filter – surface and volume) which can be isolated in cases of bag failure and there should be sufficient of these to allow adequate performance to be maintained if a compartment is taken off line, e.g. for maintenance. Furthermore, there should be ‘burst bag detectors’ on each compartment to indicate the need for maintenance when this happens.

The dust will be stored in a bunker, a so-called ‘dust hopper’ (part of the compartment), and extracted by screw conveyors, flaps and or rotating valves as shown in Figure 2.44.

Fabric filters can be operated overpressure as well as under suction conditions.
Depending on the exhaust gas temperature, different types of filter medium can be used. The cause for short lifetimes of the bags can be:

- Start-up temperature conditions
- shutdown temperature conditions
- temperature excursions
- flue-gas composition
- lime dust behaviour (clogging).

In one case, the cause of the short lifetimes of the bags was considered to be the insufficient size of the filter. The problem was solved by enlarging the filter and it was then achieving less than 5 mg/Nm³ with a 2 year lifetime guarantee on the bags. Bags of special materials and qualities have also been used, and they have also had problems with short lifetimes in some cases. At one plant, the periodically excessive operating temperature was deemed to cause the problems [24, Junker, 2001].

**Cross-media effects**

Increasing the specific energy consumption due to the high pressure drop (fabric filter medium and dust layer on the surface).

Increasing the energy consumption and CO₂ emissions due to additional heating of flue-gases with a high moisture content and low temperature (<120 °C) in order to prevent the corrosion of the filter and the clogging of the bags.

Operation of fabric filters, especially with pressure pulse regeneration, may cause noise emissions.

In some cases, small defaults in the weldings and starting of corrosion (which are difficult to detect) can create a bypass and lead to increased dust emissions above the level which is observed during routine operations.

Insufficient filter area will cause too high a filtration speed when cooling air is bleeding into the filter.
Additional waste occurs.

**Operational data**

Fabric filters are generally operated at temperatures above the dew point – up to 180 – 200 °C for special cloth, and up to 250 °C for filter media such as fibreglass and ‘Teflon’. However, they can be used at the dew point for treating emissions from hydrating plants.

For well designed, operated and maintained fabric filters (continuous dedusting of the filter bags), dust emissions of less than 10 mg/Nm³ (60 % from the EU-27) are achieved and dust emissions of below 20 mg/Nm³ are recorded. In one Finnish lime plant, dust emissions, measured once a year, ranged from 0.7 – 1.1 mg/Nm³ (4.3 % O₂) in 2004 and from 1.7 – 2.2 mg/Nm³ (4.3 % O₂) in 2005. These emissions were achieved in an annular shaft kiln using fabric filters and heavy and light fuel for combustion. Furthermore in Finland in 2004, one PFRK achieved dust emissions of 6 mg/Nm³ (short term, 9.6 % O₂) by using fabric filters and coke gas as fuel (see also Table 4.37). In 2005, dust emissions ranges of between 1.7 and 2.2 mg/Nm³ were achieved in an annular shaft kiln by using a fabric filter (single measurements).

The efficiency and the bag loading of the fabric filters are generally monitored by measuring the pressure drop between the raw and clean gas. Fabric filter plants achieve high particulate retention, typically over 98 and up to 99.9 % depending on the particle size and they can be used in all types of kilns (see Section 4.3.2.3).

**Applicability**

Fabric filters for dust removal from off-gases can, in principle, be applied in the lime industry to all types of lime kilns and are widely used for dedusting. They are well suited for kilns, milling and grinding plants for quicklime as well as for limestone; hydration plants; material transport; and storage and loading facilities. Often a combination with cyclone prefilters is useful.

The operation of fabric filters is limited by the flue-gas conditions such as temperature, moisture, dust load and chemical composition. There are various fabric materials available to resist mechanical, thermal and chemical wear to meet those conditions.

The operation temperatures can be as high as 250 °C for kiln flue-gases using thermo-resistant media. Temperatures above this require cooling of the gas by quenching with water or fresh air.

Flue-gases with a high moisture content and low temperature (<120 °C) need to be heated in order to prevent the corrosion of the filter and the clogging of the bags. This fact requires an additional heating system to be installed before the filter. The filter casing is often insulated and critical parts are heated and/or made of stainless steel.

The high velocity of the flue-gases will reduce the effectiveness of the bag cleaning. Consequently, the pressure of the cleaning air has to be increased to get the bags cleaned and this will shorten the life duration of the bags. A filtration speed of 0.9 – 1.2 m/min has been recommended.

It is necessary to have complete control of the laminar flow into the filter to avoid damage to the filter bag and to the steel construction. This is not simulated by models but has to be found out by operating experience, e.g. how to position the muffles. This is a question of which type of filter materials used as well as of the gas flow distribution within the filter. It is also important to operate above the dew point as otherwise the steel construction will corrode. In some cases when (large) problems with corrosion occur, some parts of the filter have to be built in stainless steel.
Economics
The investment costs for fabric filters increase with the kiln size and the daily capacity as shown in Figure 2.46 (see Section 2.4.5.3.5). The maintenance and energy costs are shown in Figure 2.47 and Figure 2.48 (see Section 2.4.5.3.5).

In Austrian lime plants, investment costs for installing a fabric filter were between EUR 350000 and 500000, without the filter itself and without peripheries. Operating costs are about EUR 0.5 – 1.0 per tonne [66, Austria, 2006].

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.
Flue-gas temperature and flowrate.
Investment costs (local circumstances).
Running operational costs.
Energy consumption.
Proven technique.

Example plants and reference literature
Lime plants in the EU-27, Tytyri plant in Finland.
[24, Junker, 2001] [45, Schorcht, 2006], [54, EuLA, 2006], [63, Finland, 2006], [66, Austria, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]

2.4.5.3.3 Wet dust separators

Description and achieved environmental benefits
With wet dust separators, dust is eliminated from off-gas streams by bringing the gas flow into close contact with a scrubbing liquid (usually water), so that the dust particles are retained in the liquid and can be rinsed away. Wet dust separators can be divided into various types according to their design and working principles (for instance, venturi type), but the most common types used for lime kilns are multi-cascade/multistage scrubbers, as shown in Figure 2.45.
The raw gases from the kiln pass through the multi-cascade/multistage scrubber before being discharged to the air. Water is pumped to the unit and then cascades down through the several sections where the dust is entrained and finally drains away to the water treatment plant. The sludge produced from a kiln wet scrubber can be sold as a neutralising product or is disposed of after dewatering. The water is generally recycled.

There are a number of different types of wet scrubbers available for dust removal. The main types that have been used in lime kilns are multi-cascade/multistage wet scrubbers, dynamic wet scrubbers and venturi wet scrubbers. The majority of wet scrubbers used on lime kilns are multi-cascade/multistage wet scrubbers as shown in Figure 2.45. In order to achieve the lower clean gas loadings, the units may need to be oversized for the duty, have additional cascade sections or have a low inlet dust burden due to washed stone.

By using the venturi scrubber, the gas is forced to pass through a pipeline, in which velocities reach 60 to 120 m/s. Water added upstream of the pipeline is shattered into fine drops by pneumatic forces, and is thus intimately mixed with the gas. Dust particles, trapped by the droplets, become heavier and are easily removed in an entrainment separator (usually cyclonic) attached to the venturi scrubber.

The collected material is in the form of sludge so there are no diffuse dust emissions when handling this material. Given a constant water feed, the performance of the wet scrubber will remain constant and does not require consumable parts to be replaced.
Cross-media effects
Water consumption will be increased.
Clean gases content of higher moisture.
At the kiln chimney, a plume may be visible as a result of its high moisture content depending on atmospheric conditions and relative humidity.
Additional waste water may occur.
Scrubbing liquid and process waste water cleaning, sludge handling, utilisation and disposal have also to be taken into consideration.
Additional energy may be used for waste water treatment.
Noise may occur.
In order to achieve lower clean gas loadings, the units may need to be oversized, have additional cascade sections or have a low inlet dust burden due to washed stone.
Additional investment and maintenance costs may occur.

Operational data
By using new generations of wet scrubbers, emission levels after abatement range from 10 to 30 mg/Nm³, corresponding to approximately 0.008 to 0.024 kg/tonne hydrated lime. In the UK in 2007, the most modern wet scrubbers showed results of 11 mg/Nm³, 17 mg/Nm³ and 18 mg/Nm³. However, dust emissions of up to 60 mg/Nm³ were also reported (expressed in wet conditions) [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [177, EULA, 2008].

When operated efficiently, multi-cascade/multistage wet scrubbers can achieve clean gas concentrations of less than 30 mg/Nm³; however, in most cases the dust emissions are higher than 30 mg/Nm³. Figure 2.35 (see Section 2.3.3.1.1) shows that only approximately 30% of the wet scrubbers achieved emission levels of below 30 mg/Nm³, approximately 12% below 20 mg/Nm³ and none below 10 mg/Nm³. However, the lower range is achieved by efficient operation of multi-cascade/multistage wet scrubbers and/or by using new generations of wet scrubbers.

In comparison with ESPs and fabric filters, the achievable emission level of wet scrubbers may be significantly higher.

The typical water consumption of a multi-cascade/multistage wet scrubber is around 100 l/t lime.

Applicability
Wet scrubbers are chosen when the exhaust gas temperatures are close to, or below the dew point. They may also be chosen when space is limited.

Wet scrubbers are sometimes used with higher temperature gases, in which case, the water cools the gases and reduces their volume.

Economics
Regarding operating costs, scrubbing liquid and process waste water cleaning both have to be taken into consideration.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.

Example plants and reference literature
Shapfell Limeworks, UK.
[54, EuLA, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [177, EULA, 2008], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]
2.4.5.3.4 Centrifugal separators/cyclones

Description and achieved environmental benefits
In a centrifugal separator/cyclone, the dust particles to be eliminated from an off-gas stream are forced out against the outer wall of the unit by centrifugal action and then eliminated through an aperture at the bottom of the unit. Centrifugal forces can be developed by directing the gas flow in a downward spiral motion through a cylindrical vessel (cyclonic separators) or by a rotating impeller fitted in the unit (mechanical centrifugal separators). However, they are only suitable as pre-separators because of their limited particle removal efficiency and they relieve ESPs and fabric filters from high dust loading, and reduce abrasion problems.

Cross-media effects
The operation of centrifugal separators is a significant source of noise.

Operational data
Typically cyclones remove about 90% of the dust from lime kilns. As pre-separators/product separators they can typically only achieve dust emission values of less than 150 mg/Nm³.

Centrifugal separators perform more efficiently with higher pollutant loadings, provided that the device does not become blocked.

Applicability
Cyclones are relatively inexpensive and easy to operate, but particles with small diameters are not captured effectively. The application of centrifugal separators/cyclones may be limited when the particle size is too small. Because of their inherently limited particle removal efficiency, they are only suitable as pre-separators and are mainly used to pre-clean the exhaust gases from mills, kilns and other processes.

Economics
Cyclones are relatively inexpensive compared with ESPs and fabric filters. For fabric filters and ESPs, the investment costs (depending on kiln size and the daily capacity) are shown in Figure 2.46 and the maintenance and energy costs are shown in Figure 2.47 and Figure 2.48 (see Section 2.4.5.3.5).

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.
Raw material savings.

Example plants and reference literature
Lime plants in the EU-27.
[16, EuLA, 2001], [54, EuLA, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]

2.4.5.3.5 Examples of cost data for different flue-gas cleaning techniques
Some examples of cost data regarding the abatement of dust emissions by using different abatement techniques are shown in Table 2.37.

There are three main dedusting techniques used for lime kilns:

- fabric filters
- electrostatic precipitators (ESPs)
- wet scrubbers.
Investments costs are affected by the size of the filter and the operating conditions. Temperatures and gas components can require several additional installations such as:

- insulation
- heating/cooling
- protection against corrosion and wear.

Therefore, a wide variation of investment costs exists. The main cost drivers are investments, maintenance and energy.

The investment costs of ESPs relative to fabric filters increase with the kiln size and the daily production capacity as shown in Figure 2.46.

![Investment Cost](image_url)

**Figure 2.46:** Investment costs as a function of kiln capacity for ESPs and fabric filters – EU-27 [54, EuLA, 2006]

The investment costs for the equipment range from EUR 300000 to 4000000 depending on kiln capacity and the site-specific influences. The maintenance and energy costs are found to increase as the emission level lowers as shown in Figure 2.47 and Figure 2.48.

![Simulation dusting maintenance costs](image_url)

**Figure 2.47:** Simulation dusting maintenance costs vs. dust emission limits for a rotary kiln (RK) with an ESP – EU-27 [54, EuLA, 2006]
In 2007 in Italy, a survey was carried out at 33 Italian PFRKs and the dedusting costs which depend on dust emissions ranges of PFRKs were investigated. This investigation showed a parabolic correlation between the costs for dedusting and the dust emissions concentration. The ratio of costs is around 2 for dust emissions ranges of between 20 and 50 mg/Nm$^3$ as well as for dust emissions ranges of between 10 and 20 mg/Nm$^3$, as shown in Figure 2.49.

Table 2.37 below shows examples of cost calculations for dust abatement techniques from a French reference lime plant. The investigated dust abatement techniques of ESPs and fabric filters have been considered. Variable operating costs include electricity costs, labour costs and dust disposal costs and are mentioned at this table. Furthermore, data on wet scrubbers are included.
## Investments and costs of dust abatement techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>ESP&lt;sup&gt;0&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Fabric filter&lt;sup&gt;0&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Wet scrubber</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description of reference installation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>t of lime/d</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>t/yr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant factor</td>
<td>h/yr</td>
<td>7680</td>
<td>7680</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaust gas flow</td>
<td>Nm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;/h&lt;sup&gt;1)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>23333</td>
<td>23333</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment costs</td>
<td>k EUR</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>6 – 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annualised capital costs</td>
<td>k EUR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest rate</td>
<td>%/100/yr</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime of the control equipment</td>
<td>yr</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>k EUR</td>
<td>73.97</td>
<td>86.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>k EUR/tonne lime</td>
<td>1.65E-03</td>
<td>1.93E-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed operating costs</td>
<td>%/yr&lt;sup&gt;2)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.0 – 5.0E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>k EUR</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>(0.1 – 0.5 EUR/tonne lime)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable operating costs</td>
<td>k EUR/tonne lime</td>
<td>8.06E-04</td>
<td>9.03E-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs per tonne of lime</td>
<td>k EUR/tonne lime</td>
<td>2.99E-03</td>
<td>3.45E-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs per tonne of PM&lt;sup&gt;3)&lt;/sup&gt; abated</td>
<td>k EUR/t PM abated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unabated emissions factor</td>
<td>t PM/t lime</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abated emissions factor</td>
<td>t PM/t lime</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>k EUR/t PM abated</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Determination of the variable operating costs

- **Electricity costs<sup>4)</sup>**
  - Additional electricity demand (λ<sub>5)</sub> kWh/t lime: 6.86 8.57
  - Electricity price (c<sub>e</sub>) EUR/kWh: 0.0569 0.0569
  - Total: k EUR/tonne lime 3.90E-04 4.88E-04

- **Labour costs<sup>6)</sup>**
  - Labour demand (λ<sub>1)</sub> man-year/t lime: 1.12E-05 1.12E-05
  - Wages (c<sub>1</sub>) k EUR/man-year: 37.234 37.234
  - Total: k EUR/tonne lime 4.16E-04 4.16E-04

- **Dust disposal costs<sup>7)</sup>**
  - Total: k EUR/tonne lime 0 0
  - Total variable operating costs: k EUR/tonne lime 8.06E-04 9.03E-04

K EUR = EUR thousand

<sup>0</sup> 2000 data

<sup>1)</sup> 10 % O<sub>2</sub> and dry gas

<sup>2)</sup> Of investment

<sup>3)</sup> Repartition 50 % of ESP and 50 % of fabric filter; use of average between ESP and fabric filter

<sup>4)</sup> Electricity costs = λ<sub>5</sub> \* c<sub>e</sub> \* 10<sup>3</sup> (k EUR/t)

<sup>5)</sup> Additional electricity demand = new total consumption-old total consumption

<sup>6)</sup> Labour costs = λ<sub>1</sub> \* c<sub>1</sub> (k EUR/t)

<sup>7)</sup> Dust disposal costs = λ<sub>d</sub> \* c<sub>d</sub> \* e<sub>funabated</sub> \* g/10<sup>3</sup> (k EUR/t)

E<sub>funabated</sub>: unabated emissions factor of pollutant (t pollutant/t)

λ<sub>d</sub>: demand for dust disposal (t/t pollutant removed)

c<sub>d</sub>: specific dust disposal cost (EUR/t)

g: removal efficiency (1 - e<sub>funabated</sub>/e<sub>fabated</sub>)

---

Table 2.37: Investments and costs of dust abatement techniques

[61, France, 2006, 62, France/DFIU/IFARE Karlsruhe, 2004]
Related to the cost calculation from Table 2.37 and especially regarding the different costs included in the operational costs, the proportions of them are shown in Table 2.38 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proportional costs included in variable operating costs</th>
<th>Abatement measure/technique</th>
<th>ESP</th>
<th>Fabric filter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of costs</td>
<td>Unit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity costs</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>48.42</td>
<td>53.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour costs</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>51.58</td>
<td>46.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust disposal costs</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total variable operating costs</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.38: Proportions of different kinds of costs included in operating costs for abatement measures/techniques
[61, France, 2006]

In Austria, installation costs for a fabric filter were between EUR 350000 and 500000. The costs of the peripheries are not included within these installation costs [66, Austria, 2006].

2.4.6 Gaseous compounds

2.4.6.1 Reduction of NOx emissions

The highest NOx emissions are observed for rotary kilns. Therefore, the priority is given to control the NOx emissions of rotary kilns (see Section 2.3.3.2).

The possibilities to reduce NOx emissions can be divided into:

- primary measures/techniques aiming to reduce the formation of NOx and
- secondary measures/techniques aiming to destroy nitrogen oxides by reducing them to N2.

Primary measures/techniques involve in particular:

- fuel selection (limitation of nitrogen content in the fuel)
- process optimisation (flame shaping and temperature profile)
- burner design (low NOx burner)
- air staging.

These measures/techniques are the most cost effective, but their efficiency is limited especially by the design of the combustion chamber of the rotary kilns and by the temperature levels required to maintain the quality of lime.

Secondary measures/techniques can be split into:

- selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR) and
- selective catalytic reduction (SCR).

Both measures/techniques use nitrogen compounds, which react with the nitrogen oxides, so as to reduce them and to form N2. Catalytic processes usually involve high investment costs.
Table 2.39 presents NOₓ reduction measures/techniques which can be applicable to certain lime kilns.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure/technique</th>
<th>Applicability</th>
<th>Reduc- tion efficiency</th>
<th>Emissions data</th>
<th>Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>EUR million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process optimisation</td>
<td>PFRK, ASK, MFSK, OSK</td>
<td>&lt;100 – &lt;350³⁰,³¹</td>
<td>0.3 – 1.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LRK, PRK</td>
<td>&lt;200 – &lt;500³⁰,³¹</td>
<td>0.74 – 2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air staging (in 2007, not applied in the lime industry in the EU-27)</td>
<td>LRK, PRK</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>In the range of conventional burner techniques</td>
<td>In the range of conventional burner techniques</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low NOₓ burners</td>
<td>LRK, PRK</td>
<td>0 – 20</td>
<td>In the range of conventional burner techniques</td>
<td>In the range of conventional burner techniques</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNCR¹¹)</td>
<td>PRK (Lepol grate)</td>
<td>30 – 80</td>
<td>&lt;500³⁰</td>
<td>0.5 – 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCR (in 2007, not applied in the lime industry in the EU-27)</td>
<td>LRK, PRK</td>
<td>6)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ For kiln systems normally referring to daily averages, dry gas, 273 K, 101.3 kPa and 11 % O₂
² kg/t lime based on:
- 3000 Nm³/t lime for ASK and PFRK
- 3700 Nm³/t lime for PRK
- 5000 Nm³/t lime for LRK
³ Referring to different kiln capacities, see Figure 2.46 and Table 2.37
⁴ Standard state, 11 % oxygen
⁵ Emissions data can be found in the corresponding paragraph of this section
⁶ Depending on the catalyser used, see Section 2.4.6.1.5
⁷ Financial estimations based on the preliminary tests referring to a kiln capacity of 1000 t/day and initial emissions of up to 1500 mg NOₓ/Nm³
⁸ Similar to the cement industry (see Table 1.34 in Section 1.4.5.1, Section 1.4.8.2 and Section 2.4.6.1.5), however, related to higher specific volume in lime kilns, investment costs are expected to be higher in the lime industry compared to the cement industry
⁹ Level can be higher depending on product specifications, see Section 2.3.3.2 and Figure 2.36
¹⁰ Depends on the initial NOₓ level
¹¹ In 2007, SNCR was only applicable to Lepol grate kilns; one lime kiln (Lepol grate) was equipped with SNCR

Table 2.39: Overview of NOₓ reduction measures/techniques in the lime industry

Furthermore, Figure 2.36 (Section 2.3.3.2) shows that NOₓ emissions in vertical shaft kilns are generally lower than in rotary kilns because of the temperature dependence which results from the production of different types of lime by using different types of lime kilns. As also shown in Figure 2.36, around 68 % of the NOₓ emissions from rotary kilns are below 500 mg/Nm³ (spot measurements as half hourly average values) and around 60 % of the NOₓ emissions from shaft kilns are below 100 mg/Nm³. Also, around 80 % of PFRKs, MFSKs and OSKs and approx. 50 % of ASKs achieve NOₓ emission values of <100 mg/Nm³ measured as half hourly values (see Section 2.3.3.2).
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2.4.6.1 Process optimisation

Description
Optimisation of the process, such as smoothing and optimising the plant operation and/or homogenisation of the fuel and raw material feedings, can be applied for reducing NO\textsubscript{x} emissions. Significant parameters for process control optimisation in a lime kiln which can have a positive effect on NO\textsubscript{x} emissions by being optimised are:

- heating rate
- level of firing temperature
- excess air
- secondary air temperature
- pressure of jet air
- fineness of pulverised coal
- volatile content in the fuel
- position, length and temperature of the flame.

Achieved environmental benefits
Reduction of emissions and energy usage.

Cross-media effects
No cross-media effects reported.

Operational data
As shown in Figure 2.36, around 68 \% of the NO\textsubscript{x} emissions from rotary kilns are below 500 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} (spot measurements as half hourly values) and around 60 \% of the NO\textsubscript{x} emissions from shaft kilns are below 100 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}. Furthermore, around 80 \% of PFRKs, MFSKs and OSKs and approx. 50 \% of ASKs achieve NO\textsubscript{x} emission values of <100 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} measured as half hourly values (see Section 2.3.3.2).

Applicability and economics
Optimisation of process and process control can be applied in lime manufacturing, but it should be noted that in practice, heating curves of the firing process in the lime industry are optimised in line with product quality and energy consumption. Therefore, heating curves can only be changed if the technical properties of the final product allow this and additional costs should be taken into account when altering heating curves for emissions reasons.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.

Example plants and reference literature
Lime plants in the EU-27.
[54, EuLA, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]

2.4.6.1.2 Air staging

Description and achieved environmental benefits
Air staging can, in principle, be applied to rotary lime kilns. A reducing zone is created by reducing the oxygen supply in the primary reaction zones. High temperatures in this zone are particularly favourable for the reaction which reconverts the NO\textsubscript{x} to elementary nitrogen. At later combustion zones, the air and oxygen supply is increased to oxidise the gases formed. Effective air/gas mixing in the firing zone is required to ensure CO and NO\textsubscript{x} are both maintained at low levels.

Cross-media effects
No further information is available.
Operational data
In 2007, air staging had not yet been tested in the EU lime industry.

Applicability
In 2007, air staging had never been applied in the lime sector in the EU-27; however, tests have shown that it is applicable, in principle, to rotary kilns, but not to rotary kilns where hard burned lime is produced. Air staging is not applicable to shaft kilns.

Economics
No data available.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.

Example plants and reference literature
In 2008, there were no example lime plants in the EU-27.
[54, EuLA, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]

2.4.6.1.3 Low NOx burners

Description and achieved environmental benefits
Emissions of nitrogen oxides depend mainly on the quality of lime produced and the design of the kiln and arise from firing process at temperatures above 1300 °C. These NOx emissions can be minimised by the operation of special low NOx burners. These burners are useful for reducing the flame temperature and thus reducing thermal and (to some extent) fuel derived NOx. The NOx reduction is achieved by supplying rinsing air for lowering the flame temperature or pulsed operation of the burners.

The combustion air coming via a burner into the kiln, the so-called ‘primary air’, is divided into two streams, the ‘swirl air’ and the ‘axial air’. The axial air flows almost parallel towards to the burner axis whereas the swirl air has an axial and a tangential component. Both the swirl air and the axial air are necessary for the shape of the flame and to ensure the stability of the flame.

Low NOx burners are designed to reduce the primary air portion which leads to lower NOx formation whereas common multi-channel burners are operated with a primary air portion of 10 to 18 % of the total combustion air. The higher portion of the primary air leads to a short and intensive flame by the early mixing of hot secondary air and fuel. This results in high flame temperatures along with a creation of a high amount of NOx formation which can be avoided by using low NOx burners.

For the use of different types of waste, it is important to adjust the burner technique very well to the incinerated fuel, especially in the case of fuel changes or use of liquid waste fuels.

Cross-media effects
No issues.

Operational data
With an annular shaft kiln, positive experience has been gained with burners (30 – 150 kg/h fuel flowrate) atomising the fuel by high pressure air injection (6 bar). Additionally, the flowrate of combustion air and the shape of the flame are optimised. In 2005 and 2006, NOx emission levels of <200 mg/Nm³ (standard state, 10 % oxygen) were measured. As the nitrogen and the water content of fuels may influence the NOx emission level, it has to be noted that heavy fuel oil was used as fuel [46, Germany, 2006], [157, Germany, 2007].
Applicability
Low NOₓ burners have been fitted to rotary kilns and can also be applied to annular shaft kilns for some specific conditions (high primary air). The direct transfer of the low NOₓ burner technique from cement kilns to lime kilns is not straightforward. In cement kilns, flame temperatures are higher and low NOₓ burners have been developed for reducing high initial levels of ‘thermal NOₓ’. In most lime kilns, the levels of NOₓ are lower and the ‘thermal NOₓ’ is probably less important.

The burner technique has to be adjusted to the fuels used, i.e. conventional fossil or waste fuels. PFRKs have flameless combustion, thus rendering low NOₓ burners not applicable to this kiln type.

Economics
The investment costs of low NOₓ burners are in the range of the costs for conventional burner techniques.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.

Example plants and reference literature
German lime plant (annular shaft kiln).
[38, UK, 1996], [46, Germany, 2006], [54, EuLA, 2006], [157, Germany, 2007]

2.4.6.1.4 Selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR)

Description and achieved environmental benefits
In the selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR) process, nitrogen oxides (NO and NO₂) from the flue-gases are removed by selective non-catalytic reduction and converted into nitrogen and water by injecting a reducing agent into the kiln which reacts with the nitrogen oxides. Ammonia or urea is typically used as the reducing agent. The reactions occur at temperatures of between 850 and 1020 °C, with the optimal range typically between 900 to 920 °C.

A good mixing of the flue-gases and the reducing agent, an optimised injection temperature and a sufficient residence time in the window of optimal temperature is required. Because these conditions are not always easy to achieve during the technical processes for lime manufacture it is necessary to use an excess of the reducing agent to ensure the sufficient reduction efficiency.

However, too high NH₃:NO ratios can lead to unwanted ammonia emissions, the so-called ‘ammonia slip’. Since the flue-gases of lime kilns are usually not used, i.e. for drying materials, there is no possibility to further capture or adsorb the ammonia downstream. Thus ammonia slip can occur much easier in lime kilns than in other industrial processes.

The main reactions of the SNCR process and the temperature range for the injection of NH₃ are as follows:

\[
4\text{NO} + 4\text{NH}_3 + \text{O}_2 \xrightarrow{850 - 1080^\circ C} 4\text{N}_2 + 6\text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

\[
4\text{NH}_3 + 5\text{O}_2 \xrightarrow{>980^\circ C} 4\text{NO} + 6\text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

Temperature is an important parameter. The reactions quoted above represent the main reactions among a multitude of other competing reactions. The first reaction shows the desired reduction of nitrogen oxides to nitrogen. The second describes the undesirable reaction where ammonia is oxidised. Usually this reaction takes place at a higher temperature and with a higher oxygen content at the injection location. Instead of liquid ammonia, liquid urea can be injected. The advantage of urea is that it is not hazardous and therefore much easier to handle.
Cross-media effects
Too high NH₃:NO ratios can lead to ammonia emissions (ammonia slip).

Depending on the limited injection locations in lime kilns, a higher consumption of reducing agent becomes necessary to achieve the desired abatement levels. This again increases the risk of ammonia slip.

Operational data
Since July 2006 in the German lime industry, a trial with selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR) is being carried out for the first time at one plant using fossil and waste fuels. Urea solution (45%), 24.5% ammonium hydroxide and ammonia containing waste water can be used as the reducing agent which are quality controlled to avoid unwanted substances. The reducing agent is injected in the grate preheater (Lepol grate). The choice of the injection place (nozzles) is important for the efficiency and is the significant criterion for the best aeration of the waste gas, such as between kiln inlet and grate. The reaction temperature is in the range of 1080 to 1150 °C. Relations between temperature, NH₃ slip and NOₓ reduction are shown in Figure 2.50.

![Figure 2.50: NOₓ abatement depending on the temperature](image)

Theoretical operational data for the SNCR process used in the lime industry such as temperature window and reduction efficiency are shown in Table 2.40.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Catalyster</th>
<th>Temperature window for the DeNOₓ reaction (°C)</th>
<th>NOₓ reduction efficiency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SNCR</td>
<td>No catalytic converter NH₃ or (NH₃)₂CO (urea) injected directly into the combustion chamber</td>
<td>850 – 1150</td>
<td>30 – 80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.40: Theoretical operational data for the SNCR process [93, EuLA, 2006], [152, Germany, 2007]
At an example plant in Flandersbach, Germany, it is possible to achieve reduction efficiencies of 50 to 70%, thus enabling the level of NO\textsubscript{x} emissions to remain below 500 mg NO\textsubscript{x}/Nm\textsuperscript{3} as a daily average (standard state, 10% O\textsubscript{2}). Higher abatement ratios are, in principle, possible, but they lead immediately to massive ammonia slips. Measurements carried out under different process and injection conditions have shown that the emissions of nitrous oxide (N\textsubscript{2}O) always remain below 10 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} [93, EuLA, 2006], [152, Germany, 2007].

Applicability
In lime manufacturing, SNCR is applicable to preheater rotary kilns (Lepol grate).

For vertical kilns (which represent more than 90% of the total amount of kilns in the EU-27), in 2007, it is technically not yet feasible to carry out an SNCR treatment since the temperature of the flue-gas is far below 200 °C.

In long rotary kilns, the application of the SNCR technique is not practical as the zone with the optimal window of temperatures is located within the rotating part of the kiln. In 2007, the access to this part of the kiln is not possible and only primary measures/techniques are possible.

In preheater rotary kilns, theoretically, the process conditions allow the application of the SNCR technique, but only in some specific areas where the temperatures are in the ideal range of 950 to 1050 °C (conditions are not met by all PRKs, only by Lepol grate kilns). Thus, the possibilities to adjust and to optimise the injection location (so as to inject the reducing agent at the right temperatures and oxygen concentrations) are rather limited. Furthermore, a minimum residence time of the flue-gas in this temperature window is required in order:

- to evaporate the reducing agent (urea or liquid ammonia)
- to distribute it as homogeneously as possible over the whole section
- to let the reducing agent react with the nitrogen oxides.

In practice, both conditions are not necessarily met in preheater rotary kilns. Indeed for those equipped with vertical preheaters, the residence time of the flue-gas in the ideal temperature window is likely to be too short to enable the complete reaction with the nitrogen oxides over the whole section. For rotary kilns equipped with grade preheaters, the conditions are more easily fulfilled. However, practical experience also shows that the efficiency of the SNCR can be much lower than expected as shown in the following example from Germany, where DeNO\textsubscript{x} trials were carried out on a preheater kiln that was basically identical to the German kilns mentioned above (see operational data). The amount of urea injected above the Lepol grate had to be limited to a molar ratio NH\textsubscript{2}:NO\textsubscript{2} of 1:2 in order to limit the massive emissions of ammonia and ammonium salts at the stack. In fact, the test was stopped immediately after a white plume appeared at the stack. The continuous monitoring of the NO\textsubscript{x} emissions showed a slight decrease of the NO\textsubscript{x} emissions during the injection of urea from about 400 to approximately 300 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}. Ammonia emissions arising from the kiln without urea injection were in the range of between 3 and 8 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}, compared to less than 0.7 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} as usually measured on rotary kilns. However, to apply SNCR to preheater rotary kilns with a Lepol grate, comprehensive testing phases and adaptations are needed and have to be carried out in advance.

Economics
For the example plant in Flandersbach, Germany, investment costs were similar to those mentioned by the cement industry, i.e. between EUR 0.5 million and 1.2 million see Section 1.4.8.2). At this plant, the equipment was only in operation for a short period (few weeks) in 2007 and it is difficult to assess precisely the operating costs at the moment. Financial estimations based on the preliminary tests show that the operating costs could be similar to those reported by the cement industry, i.e. EUR 0.1 to 1.7 per tonne of lime referring to a kiln capacity of 1000 t/day and initial NO\textsubscript{x} emissions of up to 1500 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.
Example plants and reference literature
Flandersbach lime plant, Germany.
[46, Germany, 2006] [54, EuLA, 2006], [57, European Commission, 2005], [93, EuLA, 2006], [152, Germany, 2007], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]

2.4.6.1.5 Selective catalytic reduction (SCR)

Description and achieved environmental benefits
The selective catalytic reduction technique (SCR) operates in a temperature range of between 300 – 400 ºC. NO and NO₂ is reduced to N₂ by using NH₃ and a catalyst.

Irrespective of the type of reducing agent used, the SCR process requires a good mixing of the flue-gas and the agent, an optimised injection temperature and a sufficient residence time in the window of optimal temperatures. The use of a reducing agent ensures sufficient reduction efficiency. In general, SCRs can be operated nearly stoichiometrically and high reduction efficiencies will still be achieved. The flue-gases of lime kilns are usually not used, i.e. for drying materials. It is not possible to further capture or adsorb the ammonia downstream. See also Section 1.4.5.1.8.

Cross-media effects
The use of catalysts increase the operational costs and have to be recycled or disposed of.

In cases of high dust concentrations, electrical energy demand may increase due to the internal dedusting system of the SCR reactor and additional pressure losses.

Low dust exhaust gas systems require reheating the exhaust gases after dedusting, which may cause additional energy costs and pressure losses.

Operational data
Theoretical operational data, such as temperature ranges and reduction rates necessary for the use of this NOₓ reduction technique in the lime industry are shown in Table 2.41.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Temperature window for the DeNOₓ reaction (ºC)</th>
<th>NOₓ reduction efficiency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCR</td>
<td>Metal oxidised on ceramic bodies Molecular sieves</td>
<td>280 – 450 380 – 480</td>
<td>70 – &gt;90 70 – 90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.41: Theoretical temperature ranges and reduction rates necessary for the SCR process [93, EuLA, 2006]

Applicability
Selective catalytic reduction can, in principle, be applicable for rotary lime kilns in order to reduce NOₓ emissions. However in 2007, the European lime industry did not have any experience with this technique for NOₓ reduction because SCR is not yet used.

Regarding the use of SCR in the lime industry, several parameters have to be investigated, e.g.:

- high dust charge
- high specific gas volume
- question on metal alkalis
- investment, operational and maintenance costs.
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Economics
Cost data for SCR used in the cement industry are shown in Section 1.4.5.1.8 and Section 1.4.8.2.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.

Example plants and reference literature
In 2008, there were no example lime plants available in the EU-27. [54, EuLA, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]

2.4.6.2 Reduction of SO\textsubscript{2} emissions

Description and achieved environmental benefits
SO\textsubscript{2} emissions, principally from rotary kilns, depend on the sulphur content of the fuel, the design of kiln and the required sulphur content of the lime produced. The selection of fuels with a low sulphur content can therefore limit the SO\textsubscript{2} emissions, and so can the production of lime using fuels with higher sulphur contents.

There are absorbent addition techniques for the reduction of SO\textsubscript{2} emissions available, such as cascade-type packed bed adsorbers, module adsorber systems, and dry flue-gas cleaning with a filter (fabric filter or electrostatic precipitator). The use of absorbents to reduce SO\textsubscript{2} emissions is well established in other industries; however, it has not yet been applied to rotary lime kilns. For the use in rotary kilns, the following techniques may be considered:

- use of fine limestone: At a straight rotary kiln fed with dolomite it has been observed that significant reductions in SO\textsubscript{2} emissions can occur with feedstones which either contain high levels of finely divided limestone or are prone to break up on heating. The finely divided limestone calcines, is entrained in the kiln gases and removes SO\textsubscript{2} en route to, and in, the dust collector
- lime injection into the combustion air: A patented technique (EP 0 734 755 A1) describes the reduction of SO\textsubscript{2} emissions from rotary kilns by injecting finely divided quick or hydrated lime into the air fed into the firing hood of the kiln
- injection of an absorbent into the exhaust gases: A recognised technique for reducing SO\textsubscript{2} concentrations in gaseous emissions is to:
  - inject an absorbent (e.g. hydrated lime or sodium bicarbonate) into the gas stream, and
  - provide sufficient gas residence time between the injection point and the dust collector (preferably a fabric filter) to obtain efficient absorption.

In 2007, there were no abatement measures/techniques installed in any European plants. However, in some cases, injection of hydrated lime is used to reduce SO\textsubscript{2} emissions [54, EuLA, 2006].

In this context, useful information about sorption plants can be found in the Reference Document on Best Available Techniques on Common Waste Water and Waste Gas Treatment/Management Systems in the Chemical Sector [58, European Commission, 2003].

Cross-media effects
No data available.

Operational data
Test measurement results show that, except from a few long rotary kilns, of which there are fewer than 26 in the EU-27, the majority of lime kilns (PFRK, ASK, MFSK, OSK, PRK) achieve less than 50 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}, due to the natural propensity of lime to capture sulphur (see
Furthermore, by using waste as fuel, the majority of lime kilns can achieve less than 50 mg/Nm³.

**Applicability**
Absorbent addition techniques for the reduction of SO₂ emissions can, in principle, be applied in the lime industry. However in 2007, they were not applied.

The measures/techniques described above may merit further investigation so that they can be adapted for use for rotary lime kilns.

**Economics**
No data available.

**Driving force for implementation**
Legal requirements.

**Example plants and reference literature**
Lime plants in the EU-27.
[54, EuLA, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]

### 2.4.6.3 Reduction of CO emissions

**Description**
The selection, when possible, of raw materials with a low content of organic matter also reduces the emissions of CO. However, raw material selection depends on the kiln type used and/or the type of lime produced, e.g. hydraulic lime production.

**CO trip management**

During elevated CO levels in the flue-gases, ESPs will have to be shutdown for safety reasons.

The CO trip management technique being developed for cement kilns fitted with electrostatic precipitators (ESPs) may be applicable in some circumstances to rotary lime kilns equipped with ESPs. However, the rate of dust emissions (kg/tonne of product) following the deactivation of the ESP is generally very much lower in the case of lime kilns than in that of cement kilns, owing to the relatively coarse size of the limestone and the absence of any dust recycling.

Information regarding the control of CO trips and a guideline can be found in Section 1.4.5.3 and in Section 4.2.6.

**Achieved environmental benefits**
Reduction of CO trips, CO and dust emissions.

**Cross-media effects**
CO trips can lead to explosions in the ESPs.

**Operational data**
CO emissions in the range of \(<100 – <500 \text{ mg/Nm}^3\) (spot test results) have been reported from PFRKs, LRKs, PRKs, OSKs (see Table 2.39 in Section 2.3.3.4.2). For ASKs and MFSKs higher CO emission levels will be necessary for technical reasons.

In the special case of hydraulic lime production, the organic carbon content of raw material is typically in the range of 0.8 – 5 %.
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Applicability
CO trip management can, in principle, be applied to rotary kilns.

Economics
No data available.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.

Example plants and reference literature
Lime plants in the EU-27.
[54, EuLA, 2006], [83, CEMBUREAU, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]

2.4.6.4 Reduction of total organic carbon emissions (TOC)

Description and achieved environmental benefits
VOC emissions are typically measured as total organic carbon (TOC) and they are often related to emissions of CO, resulting from incomplete fuel combustion. Because the operator tends to limit the CO emissions of the kilns, the VOC emissions remain generally low. Optimisation of the process, such as smoothing and optimising the plant’s operation, the firing process and/or homogenisation of the fuel and raw material feedings, can be applied for keeping TOC emissions low.

Cross-media effects
No issues.

Operational data
In a very limited number of cases where the raw material limestone contains organic matter of up to 0.1 %, volatile organic compounds can be emitted continuously.

For ASKs, LRKs and PRKs, VOC emissions only occur for short periods during start-up, or upset conditions thus leading to emissions of below 10 mg/Nm$^3$ (eqC). For PFRKs, the process entails cyclic changes of combustion conditions every 10 to 12 minutes. Therefore, the levels of VOC emissions are slightly above the ones observed for other types of kilns.

Raw materials used for hydraulic lime production contain high organic matter in a typical range of 0.8 – 5 % (expressed as TOC) which lead to higher TOC emissions than those for conventional lime production.

Applicability
These measures/techniques are, in principle, applicable to lime kilns.

Economics
No data available.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.

Example plants and reference literature
Lime plants in the EU-27.
[129, EuLA, 2006], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]
2.4.6.5 Reduction of hydrogen chloride (HCl) and hydrogen fluoride (HF)

Description and achieved environmental benefits
The use of fuels containing low chlorine and low fluorine levels can reduce chlorine and fluorine emissions. Most of the chlorine which is present in the raw materials and fuels is captured by the quicklime.

In vertical kilns, the efficient contact between the kiln gases and the lime/dolime ensures efficient absorption of HCl. However, HCl emissions from shaft kilns mainly depend on the chlorine compounds in the limestone. When burning dry limestone, HCl emissions tend to be higher. In some cases, HCl emissions may be reduced by injecting water into the flue-gas.

Cross-media effects
No issues.

Operational data
The chlorine content is higher in dolomite (100 to about 1000 ppm) than in limestone (10 to 150 ppm).

For the use of wastes as fuels, HCl emissions of 10 mg/Nm³ and HF emissions of 1 mg/Nm³ were reported (see Table 2.27 in Section 2.3.3.7).

Applicability
These measures/techniques are, in principle, applicable in the lime industry.

Economics
No data available.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.
Local conditions.

Example plants and reference literature
Lime plants in the EU-27.
[46, Germany, 2006], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]

2.4.7 Reduction of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDD) and polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDF) emissions

Description and achieved environmental benefits
Raw materials or fuels that contain chlorides can potentially cause the formation of PCDD and PCDF in any of the process zones where the temperatures range from 300 to 450 °C.

If waste is used as fuel, the content of chlorine in fuels as well as the emissions may increase.

From relevant literature on lime production, the following key rules concerning the formation of PCDD/F are known:

- limit the residence time of flue-gases in zones where the temperature range from 300 to 450 °C
- limit the oxygen content in these same zones
- limit the copper input through the fuel because of its catalytic properties in the synthesis of PCDD/F.
Based on these rules, an action plan could be envisaged according to the flow diagram shown in Figure 2.51 and Figure 2.52.

Figure 2.51: Flow diagram for the PCDD/F emissions in vertical lime kilns
[54, EuLA, 2006]
Cross-media effects
The use of wastes may influence the content of chlorine in fuels and the emissions may increase.
Operational data
PCDD/F emissions data in a range of between 0.005 and 0.07 ng I-TEQ/Nm$^3$ were reported from different types of lime kilns (see Section 2.3.3.6). As far as has been observed from the data provided, the measured average emissions of PCDD for all types of lime kilns in the EU-27 are less than 0.1 ng I-TEQ/Nm$^3$. This emission value is relevant when using wastes as fuels for the process. When co-incinerating waste, the requirements of the Waste Incineration Directive (WID) have to be met [59, European Union, 2000].

However, investigations are ongoing so as to improve the understanding of PCDD formation in lime kilns in order to ensure that in the future, the emissions will always remain below 0.1 ng I-TEQ/Nm$^3$ when using fuels with a higher chlorine content.

Applicability
These measures/techniques can, in principle, be applied at lime kilns. Waste fuels are analysed before they are used.

Economics
No data available.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.

Example plants and reference literature
Lime plants in the EU-27.
[54, EuLA, 2006], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]

2.4.8 Reduction of metal emissions

Description and achieved environmental benefits
Feeding fuels with a high content of metals into the kiln system should be avoided. Furthermore, the use of materials with a high content of volatile metals, such as Hg and Tl, should especially be controlled.

Careful selection and guaranteed characteristics of substances entering the kiln can reduce emissions, e.g. using a quality assurance system to guarantee the characteristics of the waste fuels used (see Section 2.4.4). Furthermore, special attention has to be given to mercury. Because of the volatility of mercury, relevant higher levels of mercury emissions may occur. Therefore, the mercury input via waste fuels has to be controlled and, if necessary, limited (see Section 2.3.3.10).

An effective dust removal reduces metal emissions because the emitted metals (except part of the mercury) are, to a large extent, bound to dust. Furthermore, absorption on activated carbon is an option.

Cross-media effects
Dust removal devices increase the consumption of electrical energy due to a higher pressure loss.

Operational data
As shown in Table 2.28 (see Section 2.3.3.9), metal emission values are within a range of 0.01 – 0.1 mg/Nm$^3$. For lead and zinc, in some specific cases with higher dust emissions, values of up to 0.40 mg/Nm$^3$ can be observed.

For the use of waste, the measured emissions for mercury were observed to be below 0.03 mg/Nm$^3$ measured as a daily average value, or 0.05 mg/Nm$^3$ measured as a half hourly average value. For cadmium and thallium together, the measured emissions were observed to be below
0.05 mg/Nm³ measured as a half hourly average value, and for the sum of arsenic, cobalt, chromium, copper, manganese, lead, antimony, tin and vanadium, the measured emissions were observed to be below 0.5 mg/Nm³ measured as a half hourly average value (oxygen content 10 %) (see Section 2.3.3.10.1) [46, Germany, 2006].

**Applicability**
This measure/technique can be applied in the lime industry.

**Economics**
An effective dust removal can avoid high costs for activated carbon filters. A required quality assurance system to guarantee the characteristics of materials fed to the kiln may result in additional costs.

**Driving force for implementation**
Legal requirements.

**Example plants and reference literature**
Lime plants in the EU-27. 
[46, Germany, 2006], [51, EuLA, 2006], [54, EuLA, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]

### 2.4.9 Process losses/waste

#### 2.4.9.1 General considerations concerning re-use of solid process losses/waste as raw material

This section deals with possibilities for the reduction of solid waste occurring in the several steps during the lime manufacturing processes.

Dust collected while loading, unloading, conveying, mechanically handling and processing raw materials can normally be re-used as raw material. For instance, in the storage of raw materials, any filter dust can be fed back directly to the production process or to the silo, when local air cleaning by a silo top-filter is used.

The separated dust collected from fabric filters of grinding plants, screening/sieving plants, grinding mills, hydrate plants or loading is burned lime that can be mixed with the product or is re-used in cement plants, especially when cement and lime plants are located on one site [66, Austria, 2006].

Dust originating from a flue-gas cleaning system can be re-used only under certain circumstances, because this dust can contain pollutants, such as high concentrations of sulphur, fluorine and metals, especially when waste is co-incinerated. In most cases, the collected dust is principally calcium carbonate, with varying amounts of calcium oxide, fuel ash and clay. The uses for the collected dust range from incorporation into commercial products (for example, building lime, lime for soil stabilisation, hydrated lime and pelletised products) to landfill.

The collected suspension generated during flue-gas cleaning by using wet scrubbers, is settled, the liquor is generally recycled and the wet solids are, in most cases, landfilled. Therefore, a separate conduction of different off-gas streams facilitates the optimal utilisation of dusty process losses. Gypsum generated during flue-gas cleaning, cannot be re-used in the lime production process, but is used in the cement industry as a hardening regulator.

Materials which cannot be recycled internally leave the plant to be used in other industries or to be supplied to external waste recycling or waste disposal facilities.
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2.4.10 Noise

Description and achieved environmental benefits
This section deals with possibilities for the reduction of noise occurring in the several steps during the lime manufacturing processes. Noise abatement is of particular importance, as lime plants are often situated close to residential areas.

A reduction of noise emissions can often be achieved by directly applying measures/techniques at the source of the noise. Sources of noise are, for example, extraction and processing of limestone as well as lime production inevitably crushing units, grinding and preparation units, the lime kilns themselves, the feeding systems kilns, belt conveyors, filter units, the cooling systems of the kilns, etc.

Various measures/techniques for noise abatement can be applied at lime plants in order to reduce the noise levels for neighbourhood protection. The most effective combination of measures/techniques has to be identified individually for each plant or production site.

The following technical measures/techniques are applied in the lime industry:

- appropriate choice of location for noisy operations
- enclosure of noisy operations, e.g. kiln feeding, lime discharge, product shipping
- installation of noise barriers and building up noise protection walls, e.g. at the dumper loading facility
- using internal and external lining made of resistant material for chutes
- soundproofing buildings to shelter any operations involving material transformation equipment
- sound insulation of machine buildings
- sound insulation of wall breaks, e.g. by installation of a sluice at the entrance point of a belt conveyor
- installation of sound absorbers at air outlets, e.g. the clean gas outlet of dedusting units
- reduction of flowrates in ducts
- sound insulation of ducts
- outlet silencers for exhaust stacks
- lagging of ducts and finally blowers which are situated in soundproofed buildings
- decoupled arrangement of noise sources and potentially resonant components, e.g. of compressors and ducts.

Existing or older plants are upgraded to comply with the national legislation requirements and the older designs may create difficulties such as lack of space to place linings, access for maintenance to be thought about, etc.

If the above-mentioned noise protection measures/techniques cannot be applied and if a transfer of noisy units inside a building is not possible, e.g. because of the sizes of kilns and their facilities, secondary noise protection measures/techniques, e.g. constructing of buildings or growing trees and bushes between the protected area and the noisy activity, e.g. the kiln or the storage area, have to be carried out. Doors and windows of covered areas have to keep closed during noisy operations.

Noise abatement schemes

A practice for reducing noise emissions from lime plants is to develop a noise abatement scheme for the whole site, taking into account all noise sources at the production site, noise abatement costs, legal requirements as well as neighbourhood concerns.
The most effective noise abatement scheme does not necessarily include noise reduction measures/techniques at the loudest unit, because noise levels decrease significantly with distance to the source. Accordingly, a combination of measures/techniques at noise sources close to the affected areas may be more efficient. In some cases organisational measures/techniques, such as reducing night time activities, may be sufficient to reduce nuisances for the neighbourhood and to comply with legal requirements.

Development of a noise abatement scheme usually includes the following steps:

- listing all major sound sources and determining their sound pressure levels
- determination of the affected areas, e.g. housing areas
- computer-based calculation of the noise propagation (based on three-dimensional modelling of the site and its surroundings)
- ranking of the noise sources according to their relevance for individual affected areas (separately for day and night time)
- evaluation of noise abatement measures/techniques with regard to their relevance for the noise level in affected areas and estimated costs
- identification of the most cost-effective combination of measures/techniques that ensures compliance with the legal requirements as well as acceptance in the neighbourhood [46, Germany, 2006]

Cross-media effects
Additional investment and maintenance costs can occur due to the noise protection measures/techniques.

Operational data
No data available.

Applicability
Measures/techniques for noise reduction can, in principle, be applicable in the cement industry (see Section 2.3.6).

Economics
Additional investment costs can occur due to the noise protection measures/techniques.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.

Example plants and reference literature
Lime plants in Germany, lime plants in the EU-27.
[46, Germany, 2006]
2.4.11 General considerations for odours

Odours can occur in lime plants using mixed feed shaft kilns because of the hydrogen sulphide (H$_2$S) emissions produced. This depends on the part of the reduced or elemental forms of sulphur which can lead to H$_2$S emissions. The use of a regenerative afterburner in order to reduce the H$_2$S in the flue-gas stream can be successful. In 2008 in Europe, only one lime plant was equipped with a thermal afterburner to reduce H$_2$S emissions; however, this was only for a 6 months working period. No further regenerative afterburners are operational in the European lime industry.

Reducing the sulphur content in the fuels may be possible for reducing odour emissions.

Odours can also be caused by wastes which are used as fuels. Storages of waste materials can be covered or waste storage systems can be used.

2.4.12 Environmental management tools

Environmental management tools are described in the cement chapter in Section 1.4.12 of this document.
2.5 Best available techniques for the lime industry

In understanding this section and its contents, the attention of the reader is drawn back to the preface of this document and in particular the fifth section of the preface: ‘How to understand and use this document’. The measures/techniques and associated emission and/or consumption levels, or ranges of levels, presented in this section have been assessed through an iterative process involving the following steps:

- identification of the key environmental issues for the sector; for the manufacture of lime these are energy use including the use of wastes as fuel and emissions to air
- examination of the measures/techniques most relevant to address those key issues
- identification of the best environmental performance levels, on the basis of the available data in the European Union and worldwide
- examination of the conditions under which these performance levels were achieved; such as costs, cross-media effects, main driving forces involved in implementation of these measures/techniques
- selection of the best available techniques (BAT) and the associated emission and/or consumption levels for this sector in a general sense all according to Article 2(12) and Annex IV to the Directive.

Expert judgement by the European IPPC Bureau and the relevant Technical Working Group (TWG) has played a key role in each of these steps and in the way in which the information is presented here.

On the basis of this assessment, best available techniques, and as far as possible emission and consumption levels associated with their use, are presented in this section that are considered to be appropriate to the sector as a whole and in many cases reflect current performance of some installations within the sector. Where emission or consumption levels ‘associated with best available techniques’ are presented, this is to be understood as meaning that those levels represent the environmental performance that could be anticipated as a result of the application, in this sector, of the measures/techniques described, bearing in mind the balance of costs and advantages inherent within the definition of BAT. However, they are neither emission nor consumption limit values and should not be understood as such. In some cases it may be technically possible to achieve better emission or consumption levels but due to the costs involved or cross-media considerations, they are not considered to be appropriate as BAT for the sector as a whole. However, such levels may be considered to be justified in more specific cases where there are special driving forces.

The emission and consumption levels associated with the use of BAT have to be seen together with any specified reference conditions (e.g. averaging periods).

The concept of ‘levels associated with BAT’ described above is to be distinguished from the term ‘achievable level’ used elsewhere in this document. Where a level is described as ‘achievable’ using a particular measure/technique or combination of measures/techniques, this should be understood to mean that the level may be expected to be achieved over a substantial period of time in a well maintained and operated installation or process using those measures/techniques.

Where available, data concerning costs have been given together with the description of the measures/techniques presented in the previous Section 2.4. These give a rough indication about the magnitude of costs involved. However, the actual cost of applying a measure/technique will depend strongly on the specific situation regarding, for example, taxes, fees, subsidies and the technical characteristics of the installation concerned. It is not possible to evaluate such site-specific factors fully in this document. In the absence of data concerning costs, conclusions on economic viability of measures/techniques are drawn from observations on existing installations.
The BAT (including the associated emission and consumption levels) given in this section are ‘BAT in a general sense’ (i.e. considered to be appropriate to the sector as a whole). It is intended that they are a reference point against which to judge the current performance of an existing installation or to judge a proposal for a new installation. In this way, they will assist in the determination of appropriate ‘BAT-based’ conditions for the installation or in the establishment of general binding rules under Article 9(8) of the IPPC Directive. It is foreseen that new installations can be designed to perform at or even better than the BAT levels presented in this section. It is also considered that existing installations could move towards the BAT levels presented in this section or do better, subject to the technical and economic applicability of the measures/techniques in each case.

While the BAT reference documents do not set legally binding standards, they are meant to give information for the guidance of industry, Member States and the public on achievable emission and consumption levels when using specified measures/techniques. The appropriate permit conditions for any specific case will need to be determined taking into account the objectives of the IPPC Directive and the local considerations.

‘Best Available Techniques’ for a specific installation will usually be the use of one individual or a combination of the BAT listed in this section.

Where measures/techniques identified individually as BAT can be used in combination, the effects of such combinations should be considered when drawing conclusions on BAT based permit conditions for individual cases.

Recommendation to help users/readers of this document:

It is strongly recommended to read this Section 2.5 in conjunction with Section 2.4, because the considerations regarding the applicability of the measures/techniques as mentioned in Section 2.4 have to be taken into account. To help the reader in this, references to Section 2.4 have been included in Section 2.5.

Emission levels given in this section are expressed on a daily average basis and standard conditions, except for hydrating plants for which conditions are as emitted. The following definitions regarding the standard conditions apply for volume flows and concentrations of kiln exhaust gases, and are also stated in the Glossary:

| m³/h | volume flow: if not otherwise mentioned in this section, the volume flows refer to 11 vol-% oxygen and standard state |
| mg/Nm³ | concentration: if not otherwise mentioned in this section, the concentrations of gaseous substances or mixtures of substances refer to dry flue-gas at 11 vol-% oxygen and standard state |
| standard state | refers to a temperature of 273 K, a pressure of 1013 hPa and dry gas |

As described in the Preface, this document does not propose emission limit values. The best available techniques and presented ranges of emission or consumption levels associated with best available techniques (BAT-AEL ranges) are related to installations with different kiln sizes, different kinds of kiln operation, e.g. continuously or discontinuously, and different operational hours per year. In this document, special local considerations cannot be taken fully into account. The determination of appropriate permit conditions will involve taking account of local, site-specific factors such as the technical characteristics of the installation concerned, its geographical location and the local environmental conditions. Even the single objective of ensuring a high level of protection for the environment as a whole will often involve making trade-off judgements between different types of environmental impacts, and these judgements will often be influenced by local considerations.
Although an attempt is made to address some of these issues, it is not possible for them to be considered fully in this document. The measures/techniques and levels presented here in Section 2.5 will therefore not necessarily be appropriate for all installations. On the other hand, the obligation to ensure a high level of environmental protection including the minimisation of long-distance or transboundary pollution implies that permit conditions cannot be set on the basis of purely local considerations. It is therefore of the utmost importance that the information contained in this document is fully taken into account.

2.5.1 Environmental management system (EMS)

A number of environmental management techniques are determined as BAT. The scope (e.g. level of detail) and nature of the EMS (e.g. standardised or non-standardised) will generally be related to the nature, scale and complexity of the installation, and the range of environmental impacts it may have.

29. BAT is to implement and adhere to an Environmental Management System (EMS) that incorporates, as appropriate to the local circumstances, the following features (see Section 1.4.12 of the cement chapter):

(a) commitment of top management (commitment of the top management is regarded as a precondition for a successful application of other features of the EMS)

(b) definition of an environmental policy that include continuous improvement for the installation by top management

(c) planning and establishing the necessary procedures objectives and targets, in conjunction with financial planning and investment

(d) implementation of the procedures, paying particular attention to:
   ○ structure and responsibility
   ○ training, awareness and competence
   ○ communication
   ○ employee involvement
   ○ documentation
   ○ efficient process control
   ○ maintenance programme
   ○ emergency preparedness and response
   ○ safeguarding compliance with environmental legislation.

(e) checking performance and taking corrective action, paying particular attention to
   ○ monitoring and measurement (see also the Reference Document on the General Principles of Monitoring [151, European Commission, 2003])
   ○ corrective and preventive action
   ○ maintenance of records
   ○ independent (where practicable) internal auditing in order to determine whether or not the environmental management system conforms to planned arrangements and has been properly implemented and maintained

(f) review of the EMS and its continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness by top management.
Three further features are listed below, and while these features have advantages, an EMS without them can be BAT:

- having the management system and audit procedure examined and validated by an accredited certification body or an external EMS verifier
- preparation and publication (and possibly external validation) of a regular environmental statement describing all the significant environmental aspects of the installation, allowing for year-by-year comparison against environmental objectives and targets as well as with sector benchmarks as appropriate
- implementation of and adherence to an internationally accepted voluntary system such as EMAS and EN ISO 14001:2004. This voluntary step could give higher credibility to the EMS. In particular EMAS, which embodies all the above-mentioned features, gives higher credibility. However, non-standardised systems can, in principle, be equally effective provided that they are properly designed and implemented.

Specifically for the lime manufacturing industry, it is also important to consider the following potential features of the EMS:

- the environmental impact from the eventual decommissioning of the unit at the stage of designing a new plant
- the development of cleaner technologies, and to follow developments
- where practicable, the application of sectoral benchmarking on a regular basis, including energy efficiency and energy conservation activities, choice of input materials, emissions to air, discharges to water, consumption of water and generation of waste.

### 2.5.2 General primary measures/techniques

30. **BAT is to achieve a smooth and stable kiln process, operating close to the process parameter set points, that is beneficial for all kiln emissions as well as the energy use by applying the following measures/techniques:**

   a) process control optimisation, including computer-based automatic control systems
   b) using modern, gravimetric solid fuel feed systems.

31. **BAT is to carry out a careful selection and control of substances entering the kiln in order to reduce and/or avoid emissions.**

32. **BAT is to carry out monitoring and measurements of process parameters and emissions on a regular basis, such as:**

   a) continuous measurements of process parameters demonstrating the process stability, such as temperature, O2 content, pressure, flow rate and CO emissions
   b) monitoring and stabilising of critical process parameters, i.e. fuel feed, regular dosage and excess oxygen
   c) continuous or periodic (as an indication: at least once a month and at the time of the highest expected emissions) measurements of dust, NOx, SOx, HCl and HF emissions and NH3 slip when SNCR is applied
   d) periodic measurements of PCDD/F, metal (see Section 2.4.8 and BAT 52 in Section 2.5.9) and TOC emissions.

In this context, when co-incinerating waste, the requirements of the Waste Incineration Directive (WID) have to be met [59, European Union, 2000].
2.5.3 Energy consumption

General considerations regarding energy consumption can be found in Sections 2.3.2, 2.4.2 and 2.4.3.

33. BAT is to reduce/minimise thermal energy consumption by applying a combination of the following measures/techniques:

a) applying improved and optimised kiln systems and a smooth and stable kiln process, operating close to the process parameter set points by applying:
   I. process control optimisation
   II. heat recovery from exhaust gases, if applicable
   III. modern, gravimetric solid fuel feed systems
   In this context, see Section 2.4.2, where several different measures/techniques are presented, which can be applied to kiln systems, individually or in combination

b) using fuels with characteristics which have a positive influence on thermal energy consumption. When replacing fossil fuels by waste fuels, lime kilns and burners have to be suitable and optimised for burning wastes (see Section 2.4.4)

c) limiting excess air.

In this context, see also the Reference Document on Best Available Techniques for Energy Efficiency [181, European Commission, 2008]

The following thermal energy consumption levels are associated with BAT:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kiln type</th>
<th>Thermal energy consumption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long rotary kilns (LRK)</td>
<td>6.0 – 9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary kilns with preheater (PRK)</td>
<td>5.1 – 7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallel flow regenerative kilns (PFRK)</td>
<td>3.2 – 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annular shaft kilns (ASK)</td>
<td>3.3 – 4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed feed shaft kilns (MFSK)</td>
<td>3.4 – 4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other kilns (OK)</td>
<td>3.5 – 7.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The energy consumption depends on the type of product, the product quality, the process conditions and the raw materials

Table 2.42: BAT associated levels for thermal energy consumption in the lime and dolime industry

In this context, see the Reference Document on the Best Available Techniques for Energy Efficiency [181, European Commission, 2008].

34. BAT is to minimise electrical energy consumption by applying the following measures/techniques individually or in combination:

a) using power management systems
b) using optimised grain size of limestone
c) using grinding equipment and other electricity based equipment with high energy efficiency (see Section 2.4.2).
2.5.4 Consumption of limestone

General considerations regarding limestone consumption can be found in Sections 2.2.3, 2.2.3.2, 2.3.1 and 2.4.1.

35. BAT is to minimise limestone consumption by applying the following measures/techniques individually or in combination:

   a) specific quarrying, crushing and well-directed use of limestone (quality, grain size)
   b) selecting kilns with optimised kiln techniques operating with a wider range of limestone grain sizes to make optimum use of quarried limestone.

2.5.5 Selection of fuels

General considerations regarding the use of fuels can be found in Sections 2.3.2.1 and 2.4.4.

36. BAT is to carry out a careful selection and control of fuels entering the kiln, such as selecting fuels with low contents of sulphur (for rotary kilns in particular), nitrogen and chlorine in order to avoid/reduce emissions.

2.5.5.1 Use of waste fuels

General considerations regarding the use and choice of waste fuels can be found in Sections 2.2.5 and 2.4.4. In this context, when using waste fuels, the requirements of the Waste Incineration Directive (WID) have to be met [59, European Union, 2000].

2.5.5.1.1 Waste quality control

37. BAT is:

   a) to apply quality assurance systems to guarantee the characteristics of wastes and to analyse any waste that is to be used as fuel in a lime kiln for:
      I. constant quality
      II. physical criteria, e.g. emissions formation, coarseness, reactivity, burnability, calorific value
      III. chemical criteria, e.g. chlorine, sulphur, alkali and phosphate and relevant metals content

   b) to control the amount of relevant parameters for any waste that is to be used as fuel in a lime kiln, such as total halogen content, relevant metals (e.g. total chromium, lead, cadmium, mercury, thallium) and sulphur.
2.5.5.1.2 Waste feeding into the kiln

38. BAT is:

a) to use appropriate burners for feeding suitable wastes depending on kiln design and kiln operation

b) to operate in such a way that the gas resulting from the co-incineration of waste is raised in a controlled and homogeneous fashion and even under the most unfavourable conditions, to a temperature of 850 °C for 2 seconds

c) to raise the temperature to 1100 °C if hazardous wastes with a content of more than 1 % of halogenated organic substances, expressed as chlorine, are co-incinerated

d) to feed wastes continuously and constantly

e) to stop co-incinerating waste for operations such as start-ups and/or shutdowns when appropriate temperatures and residence times cannot be reached, as mentioned in b) – c) above.

2.5.5.1.3 Safety management for the use of hazardous waste materials

39. It is BAT to apply safety management for the handling, e.g. storage, and/or feeding of hazardous waste materials (see Section 2.4.4).

2.5.6 Dust emissions

2.5.6.1 Diffuse dust emissions

General considerations regarding diffuse dust emissions can be found in Sections 2.3.3.1.2, 2.4.5.1 and 2.4.5.2.

40. BAT is to minimise/prevent diffuse dust emissions by applying the following measures/techniques individually or in combination:

a) measures/techniques for dusty operations. In this context, see Section 2.4.5.1, where several different measures/techniques are presented, which can be applied individually or in combination

b) bulk storage area measures/techniques. In this context, see Section 2.4.5.2, where several different measures/techniques are presented, which can be applied individually or in combination.

2.5.6.2 Channelled dust emissions from dusty operations

This section presents BAT for dust emissions arising from dusty operations other than those from kiln firing processes. General considerations regarding channelled dust emissions can be found in Sections 2.3.3.1.1 and 2.4.5.3.

41. BAT is to apply a maintenance management system which especially addresses the performance of filters of these sources. Taking this management system into account, BAT is to reduce channelled dust emissions from dusty operations (see Section 2.4.5.3) to less than 10 mg/Nm³ (BAT-AEL), as the average over the sampling period (spot measurements, for at least half an hour) by applying fabric
filters or to <10 – 20 mg/Nm³ (BAT-AEL), as the average over the sampling period (spot measurements, for at least half an hour) by applying wet scrubbers.

Wet scrubbers are mainly used for hydrating lime plants. It has to be noted that for small sources (<10000 Nm³/h) a priority approach has to be taken into account.

In this context, see Section 2.4.5.3, where several different measures/techniques are presented, which can be applied individually or in combination.

2.5.6.3 Dust emissions from kiln firing processes

This section presents BAT for dust emissions arising from kiln firing processes. General considerations regarding channelled dust emissions can be found in Sections 2.3.3.1.1 and 2.4.5.3.

42. BAT is to reduce dust (particulate matter) emissions from the flue-gases of kiln firing processes by applying exhaust gas cleaning with a filter (see Section 2.4.5.3). By applying fabric filters, the BAT-AEL is less than 10 mg/Nm³, as the daily average value. By applying ESPs or other filters, the BAT-AEL is less than 20 mg/Nm³, as the daily average value.

In exceptional cases where the resistivity of dust is high, the BAT-AEL could be higher, up to 30 mg/Nm³, as the daily average value.

In this context, when co-incinerating waste, the requirements of the Waste Incineration Directive (WID) have to be met [59, European Union, 2000].

2.5.7 Gaseous compounds

2.5.7.1 General primary measures/techniques for reducing gaseous compounds

General considerations regarding emissions of gaseous compounds can be found in Section 2.4.6.

43. BAT is to reduce the emissions of gaseous compounds (i.e. NOₓ, SOₓ, HCl, CO, TOC/VOC, metals) from the flue-gases of kiln firing processes by applying the following primary measures/techniques individually or in combination:

a) careful selection and control of substances entering the kiln
b) reducing the pollutant precursors in fuels and, if possible, in raw materials, i.e.
   I. selecting fuels, where available, with low contents of sulphur (rotary kilns in particular), nitrogen and chlorine (see Sections 2.4.4 and 2.4.6.2)
   II. selecting raw materials, if possible, with low contents of organic matter (see Section 2.4.6.3)
   III. selecting suitable waste fuels for the process and the burner (see Sections 2.2.5 and 2.4.4 and 2.5.5.1)
c) using process optimisation measures/techniques to ensure an efficient absorption of sulphur dioxide, i.e. efficient contact between the kiln gases and the quicklime (see Sections 2.3.3.3 and 2.4.3).

In this context, when co-incinerating waste, the requirements of the Waste Incineration Directive (WID) have to be met [59, European Union, 2000].
2.5.7.2 NO\textsubscript{x} emissions

General considerations regarding NO\textsubscript{x} emissions can be found in Sections 2.3.3.2 and 2.4.6.1.

44. **BAT is to reduce the emissions of NO\textsubscript{x} from the flue-gases of kiln firing processes by applying the following measures/techniques individually or in combination (see Section 2.4.6.1):**

   a) primary measures/techniques, such as:
      
      I. appropriate fuel selection along with limitation of nitrogen content in the fuel (see Sections 2.4.4 and 2.4.6.1.4)
      
      II. process optimisation (flame shaping and temperature profile, see Section 2.4.6.1.1)
      
      III. burner design (low NO\textsubscript{x} burner, see Section 2.4.6.1.3)
      
      IV. air staging applicable to PRK (see Section 2.4.6.1.2)

   b) SNCR applicable to Lepol rotary kilns (see Sections 2.4.6.1.4).

The following emission levels of NO\textsubscript{x} are BAT-AELs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kiln type</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>BAT-AEL (daily average value, stated as NO\textsubscript{2})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PFRK, ASK, MFSK, OSK</td>
<td>mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td>100 – &lt;350\textsuperscript{1)} 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRK, PRK</td>
<td>mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td>&lt;200 – &lt;500\textsuperscript{1,2)}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{1)} The higher ranges are related to the production of dolime and hard burned lime
\textsuperscript{2)} For LRK and PRK with shaft producing hard burned lime, the upper level is up to 800 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}
\textsuperscript{3)} Where primary measures/techniques as indicated in a) I. above are not sufficient and where secondary measures/techniques are not available to reduce the NO\textsubscript{x} emissions to 350 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}, the upper level is 500 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}, especially for hard burned lime

Table 2.43: BAT associated emission levels for NO\textsubscript{x} from flue-gases of kiln firing processes in the lime industry

45. **When SNCR is applicable, BAT is:**

   a) to apply an appropriate and sufficient reduction efficiency along with a stable operating process
   
   b) to apply a good stoichiometric ratio and distribution of ammonia in order to achieve the highest efficiency of NO\textsubscript{x} reduction and to reduce the ammonia slip
   
   c) to keep the emissions of NH\textsubscript{3} slip from the flue-gases as low as possible, but below 30\textsuperscript{1)} mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}, as the daily average value. The correlation between the NO\textsubscript{x} abatement efficiency and the NH\textsubscript{3} slip has to be considered (see Section 2.4.6.1.4 and Figure 2.50).

\textsuperscript{1)} This BAT-AEL is related to experiences taken from one lime installation (four kilns).
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2.5.7.3 SO\textsubscript{x} emissions

General considerations regarding SO\textsubscript{x} emissions can be found in Sections 2.3.3.3 and 2.4.6.2.

46. **BAT is to reduce the emissions of SO\textsubscript{x} from the flue-gases of kiln firing processes by applying the following measures/techniques individually or in combination (see Section 2.4.6.2):**

a) using process optimisation measures/techniques to ensure an efficient absorption of sulphur dioxide, i.e. efficient contact between the kiln gases and the quicklime (see Sections 2.3.3.3 and 2.4.3)
b) selecting fuels, if available, with a low sulphur content for use in long rotary kilns (LRK)
c) using absorbent addition techniques (e.g. absorbent addition, dry flue-gas cleaning with a filter, wet scrubber, activated carbon).

The following emission levels of SO\textsubscript{x} are BAT-AELs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kiln type</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>BAT-AEL\textsuperscript{1)}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PFRK, ASK, MFSK, OSK, PRK</td>
<td>mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td>50 – 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRK</td>
<td>mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td>50 – 400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{1) The level depends on the initial SO\textsubscript{x} level in the exhaust gas and on the reduction measure/technique used

Table 2.44: BAT associated emission levels for SO\textsubscript{x} from flue-gases of kiln firing processes in the lime industry

2.5.7.4 CO emissions and CO trips

2.5.7.4.1 CO emissions

General considerations regarding CO emissions can be found in Sections 2.3.3.4, 2.3.3.4.2 and 2.4.6.3.

47. **BAT is to reduce the emissions of CO by applying the following primary measures/techniques individually or in combination:**

a) selecting raw materials with a low content of organic matter, if possible (see Section 2.4.6.3)
b) using process optimisation measures/techniques to achieve a stable and complete combustion (see Section 2.4.6.3).

In this context, see also BAT 30, 31 and 32 on general primary measures/techniques in Section 2.5.2.

The following emission levels of CO are BAT-AELs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kiln type</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>BAT-AEL\textsuperscript{1)}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PFRK, OSK, LRK, PRK</td>
<td>mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td>&lt;500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{1) It can be higher depending on raw materials used and/or type of lime produced, e.g. hydraulic lime

Table 2.45: BAT associated emission levels for CO from the flue-gas of the kiln firing processes
2.5.7.4 Reduction of CO trips

General considerations regarding CO trips can be found in Section 2.4.6.3 and in the cement chapter in Section 1.4.5.3.

48. When using electrostatic precipitators (ESPs), BAT is to minimise the frequency of CO trips by applying the following measurements/techniques (see Section 2.4.6.3):

a) reducing the ESP downtime. In this context, see Section 2.4.6.3 and Section 1.4.5.3 where several different measures/techniques are presented, which can be applied individually or in combination

b) taking continuous automatic CO measurements

c) using fast measuring and control equipment including a CO monitoring system with short response time and situated close to the CO source.

In this context, see Section 4.2.6, where a guideline regarding the control of CO trips is presented.

2.5.7.5 Total organic carbon emissions (TOC)

General considerations regarding TOC emissions can be found in Sections 2.3.3.5 and 2.4.6.4.

49. BAT is to reduce the emissions of TOC from the flue-gases of the kiln firing processes by applying the following measures/techniques individually or in combination:

a) applying general primary measures/techniques (see also BAT 30 – 32 in Section 2.5.2)

b) avoid feeding raw materials with a high content of volatile organic compounds into the kiln system (except for hydraulic lime production).

The following emission levels of TOC are BAT-AELs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kiln type</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>BAT-AEL (average over the sampling period)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LRK&lt;sup&gt;1)&lt;/sup&gt;, PRK&lt;sup&gt;1)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>mg/Nm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASK&lt;sup&gt;1)&lt;/sup&gt;, MFSK&lt;sup&gt;1)&lt;/sup&gt;, PFRK&lt;sup&gt;1)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>mg/Nm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1)</sup> Level can be higher depending on the raw materials used and/or the type of lime produced, e.g. hydraulic lime

<sup>2)</sup> In exceptional cases, the level can be higher

Table 2.46: BAT associated emission levels for TOC from the flue-gas of the kiln firing processes

In this context, when co-incinerating waste, the requirements of the Waste Incineration Directive (WID) have to be met [59, European Union, 2000].
**2.5.7.6 Hydrogen chloride (HCl) and hydrogen fluoride (HF) emissions**

General considerations regarding HCl and HF emissions can be found in Sections 2.3.3.7 and 2.4.6.5.

50. When using wastes, BAT is to reduce the emissions of HCl and the emissions of HF by applying the following primary measures/techniques:

   a) using fuels containing a low chlorine and fluorine content (see also Sections 2.4.6.5)
   b) limiting the amount of chlorine and fluorine content for any waste that is to be used as fuel in a lime kiln (see Sections 2.4.4 and 2.4.6.5).

   The BAT-AEL for HCl is <10 mg/Nm$^3$, as the daily average value or the average value over the sampling period (spot measurements, for at least half an hour) and the BAT-AEL for HF is <1 mg/Nm$^3$, as the daily average value or the average value over the sampling period (spot measurements, for at least half an hour).

   In this context, when co-incinerating waste, the requirements of the Waste Incineration Directive (WID) have to be met [59, European Union, 2000].

**2.5.8 PCDD/F emissions**

General considerations regarding PCDD/F emissions can be found in Sections 2.3.3.6 and 2.4.7.

51. BAT is to prevent or reduce the emissions of PCDD/F by applying the following primary measures/techniques individually or in combination:

   a) selecting fuels with a low chlorine content
   b) limiting the copper input through the fuel
   c) minimising the residence time of the flue-gases and the oxygen content in zones where the temperatures range between 300 and 450 °C.

   The BAT-AELs are <0.05 – 0.1 ng PCDD/F I-TEQ/Nm$^3$, as the average over the sampling period (6 – 8 hours).

   In this context, see Section 2.4.7, where different measures/techniques are presented for vertical and rotary lime kilns, which can be applied individually or in combination. Furthermore in this context, when co-incinerating waste, the requirements of the Waste Incineration Directive (WID) have to be met [59, European Union, 2000].

**2.5.9 Metal emissions**

General considerations regarding metal emissions can be found in Sections 2.3.3.9, 2.3.3.10, 2.4.8.

52. BAT is to minimise the emissions of metals from the flue-gases of the kiln firing processes by applying the following measures/techniques individually or in combination:

   a) selecting fuels with a low content of metals
   b) using a quality assurance system to guarantee the characteristics of the waste fuels used (see Sections 2.2.5.4 and 2.4.8).
   c) limiting the content of relevant metals in materials, especially mercury
d) using effective dust removal measures/techniques. In this context, see Section 2.4.5.3, where different measures/techniques for dust removal are presented, which can be applied individually or in combination.

When using wastes, the following emission levels of metals are BAT-AELs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metals</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>BAT-AEL (average over the sampling period)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∑ (Cd, Tl)</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∑ (As, Sb, Pb, Cr, Co, Cu, Mn, Ni, V)</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this context, low levels were reported (see Sections 2.3.3.9, 2.3.3.10.1 and 4.3.4) when applying measures/techniques as mentioned in BAT 52 a – d above.

Table 2.47: BAT associated emission levels for metals from the flue-gases of the kiln firing processes

In this context, when co-incinerating waste, the requirements of the Waste Incineration Directive (WID) have to be met [59, European Union, 2000]. Furthermore in this context, see also BAT 37 (Section 2.5.5.1.1) and BAT 38 (Section 2.5.5.1.2).

2.5.10 Process losses/waste

General considerations regarding process losses/waste can be found in Sections 2.3.4 and 2.4.9.

53. BAT is:

   a) to re-use collected dust/particulate matter in the process wherever practicable

   b) to utilise dust, off specification quicklime and hydrated lime in selected commercial products.
2.5.11 Noise

General considerations regarding noise can be found in Sections 2.3.6 and 2.4.10.

54. BAT is to reduce/minimise noise emissions during the lime manufacturing processes by applying a combination of the following measures/techniques (see Section 2.4.10):

   a) appropriate choice of location for noisy operations
   b) enclosing noisy operations/units
   c) using vibration insulation of units
   d) using internal and external lining made of resistant material for chutes
   e) soundproofing buildings to shelter any operations involving material transformation equipment
   f) installing noise barriers and building up noise protection walls, e.g. construction of buildings or natural barriers, such as growing trees and bushes between the protected area and the noisy activity
   g) using sound insulation of machine buildings
   h) using sound insulation of wall breaks, e.g. by installation of a sluice at the entrance point of a belt conveyor
   i) installing sound absorbers at air outlets, e.g. the clean gas outlet of dedusting units
   j) reducing flowrates in ducts
   k) using sound insulation of ducts
   l) using outlet silencers for exhaust stacks
   m) lagging ducts and finally blowers which are situated in soundproofed buildings
   n) applying decoupled arrangement of noise sources and potentially resonant components, e.g. of compressors and ducts
   o) closing doors and windows of covered areas.
2.6 Emerging techniques in the lime industry

2.6.1 Fluidised bed calcination

The calcination of finely divided limestone in a fluidised bed has been practised on a relatively small scale for many years. It has several potential advantages, including:

- the use of surplus grades of fine limestone
- low NO\textsubscript{x} emissions, and
- low SO\textsubscript{2} emissions, when using high sulphur fuels.

However, the technique does not have a particularly low specific heat use, the finely divided products are not suitable for many applications and the residual calcium carbonate level is relatively high. Initial problems with using the technique for kilns with productive capacities in excess of 150 tonnes per day appear to have been resolved.

Figure 2.53 shows a schematic diagram of a fluidised bed kiln. Fine limestone is fed to a preheater vessel using air heated by the kiln exhaust gas via a heat exchanger. The preheated limestone then enters the first fluidised bed vessel where the temperature is increased and limestone starts to be calcined. As the limestone is calcined, the lighter quicklime flows over the weir wall into the next fluidised bed vessel where calcination is completed. The quicklime then passes through the cooler where it is cooled by ambient air.

![Fluidised bed kiln](image)

Although fluidised bed technique may offer lower pollutant releases than other lime kiln technique, it is not well proven and can only produce fine lime with a high reactivity.

2.6.2 Flash calciner/suspension preheater

The technique of feeding finely divided limestone via a suspension preheater into a flash calciner was developed in the cement industry. However, it is only suitable for a limited range of limestone qualities and has been used in a very small number of installations. Two kilns in Australia are in operation using this technique. In one, the product from the flash calciner is passed through a short rotary kiln. The rotary section is designed to control the % CaCO\textsubscript{3} and the reactivity of the lime within customer specifications.
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The technique is particularly suitable for ‘sandy’ limestone as the flash calciner kiln accepts feedstone in the 0 – 2 mm range. It is understood to have high capital costs, which are likely to restrict its use to relatively large output levels (for example, about 500 tonnes/day).

2.6.3 Ceramic filters

Ceramic filters are not used on lime kilns in 2008. However, they are able to remove dust efficiently from gases at very high temperatures, and it is possible that, with kilns such as rotary kilns producing dead burned dolomite, dedusting high temperature gases might enable certain heat recovery systems to become viable.

2.6.4 Post-combustion of flue-gases from mixed feed shaft kilns

For the treatment of the flue-gases from mixed feed shaft kilns, two main abatement techniques can be considered:

- **the recuperative thermal oxidiser:**
  The main technical advantage of this technique is its relatively low sensitivity to dust concentrations of up to 30 mg/Nm³. In addition, ammonium salts which lead to clogging and corrosion issues cannot form because of the high temperatures existing in the oxidiser. However, even under the best operation at conditions, this technique requires a significant input of additional energy (up to 0.85 GJ/t output). Practical experience about pollutant abatement efficiency and operating costs of such equipment were not available in the lime industry in 2008.

- **the regenerative thermal oxidiser:**
  Pilot tests using a small regenerative oxidiser have been carried out at several plants equipped with mixed feed shaft kilns burning different solid fuels. The oxidiser was installed between the fabric filter and the stack in a partial flue-gas (flue-gas stream: Q <1500 Nm³/h), separated from the main flue-gas stream as shown in Figure 2.54.

![Figure 2.54: Example flow diagram of a post-combustion technique](54, EuLA, 2006)
The compositions of the flue-gas at the inlet and at the outlet of the oxidiser were closely monitored over several hours. The main results of these tests are summarised and shown in Table 2.37.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Average inlet concentration (dry) (mg/Nm³)</th>
<th>Average outlet concentration (dry) (mg/Nm³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case 1</td>
<td>CO 4900</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOC 32</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H₂S &lt;0.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2</td>
<td>CO 14650</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOC 120</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H₂S 2</td>
<td>&lt;0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 3</td>
<td>CO 6270</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOC 338</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H₂S 1.4</td>
<td>&lt;1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 4</td>
<td>CO 10810</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOC 37</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H₂S /</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 5</td>
<td>CO 14230</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOC 34</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H₂S 20</td>
<td>&lt;0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 6</td>
<td>CO 14450</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOC 53</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H₂S 33</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.48: Flue-gas composition monitored over several hours
[54, EuLA, 2006]

From these tests it can be concluded that, if well designed, the oxidiser can run and operate in auto thermal conditions (i.e. without the need of additional energy) if the CO concentration exceeds a range of 1.5 to 2 % in the flue-gas before treatment. In this case, the abatement efficiency of generally 98 % can be achieved for carbon monoxide, TOC and hydrogen sulphide. The CO emissions concentration in the clean gas is always <100 mg/Nm³ [142, EnvNGO/Tebert, 2007]. However, and on the contrary to the recuperative system, this equipment requires very low dust concentrations in the flue-gas (generally below 5 mg/Nm³). If temperatures at the outlet are too low (e.g. below 200 °C), ammonium salts can be formed. Corrosion of pipes and/or uncontrolled emissions of dust can then be expected. The tests have also shown that, if the CO levels exceed a range of 2 to 3 %, too much energy is generated in the oxidiser by the oxidation of the pollutants. This can lead to serious trouble during the daily operation of the equipment.

The decision about the technique which should be used needs to be based on the following (non exhaustive) list of criteria:

- characteristics of the flue-gas (e.g. flowrate, oxygen, carbon monoxide and TOC contents, temperature)
- variability of these parameters over time
- characteristics of the dedusting system.

Therefore, the best solution depends on the local conditions [54, EuLA, 2006].
2.7 Conclusions and recommendations

Timing of the work process

The kick-off meeting for the review of the cement and lime BREF document was held in September 2005. The TWG agreed to extend the scope of this document and to add an additional industrial sector on magnesium oxide (MgO/magnesia) production using the dry process route to the cement and lime BREF. After the period for collecting information and data, a second TWG meeting was held in February 2007 in order to verify and agree on information and data that should be used for the revision of the BREF document. Furthermore, the TWG asked for a procedural exception for the review process of this document in order to split the discussions on Sections 1 – 4 and the BAT sections for the three chapters on cement, lime and magnesium oxide.

Based on the TWG request and taking into account the generic schedule for the review of BREFs (December 2005), the IEF agreed to split the discussions on Sections 1 – 4 and the BAT sections for the three chapters on cement, lime and magnesium oxide.

The first draft covering the revised Sections 1 – 4 and 6 of the three chapters cement, lime and magnesium oxide was issued for consultation in September 2007. The second part of the first draft covering the sections on techniques to consider in the determination of BAT (Sections 1.4, 2.4 and 3.4) and the BAT sections (Sections 1.5, 2.5 and 3.5) of the three chapters were issued for consultation in May 2008. The final TWG meeting was held in September 2008.

The project on the first revision of this BREF document, which was the pioneer for the review of the first series of BREFs, generated huge interest throughout. At the time of the final plenary meeting, there were more than 110 TWG members.

Based on the generic schedule for the review of BREFs (December 2005), the revision of the cement and lime BREF document did not entail a complete redraft of the first document adopted in December 2001 but updated the existing, and included additional information which was reviewed (for instance regarding techniques) as it could have had an impact on BAT conclusions. The information exchange and data gathering was based on the wishes of the TWG and on the conclusions agreed at the second TWG meeting (January 2007). The guidance document on data collection agreed upon by the IEF in April 2008 was not used for this process.

Sources of information, development of this lime chapter and information gaps

The revised lime chapter is based on 35 documents providing information from both industry and Member States. The reports were submitted by EuLA, the industry association representing the majority of European lime manufacturers and the Member States Germany, Austria, France, Finland, Portugal, Italy, Spain, Hungary and the Czech Republic. These reports can be considered as the building blocks of this revised lime chapter of this document.

Additional useful information was obtained by visiting lime manufacturing sites in Austria, Germany and Finland.

Based on the information and data provided, and the comments received after the consultation periods, this lime chapter was revised by updating information and data. Furthermore, additional sections were developed, e.g. sections on the use of waste as fuels and/or raw materials as well as their consumption during the lime making process, types of lime kilns and their design and techniques, additional sections regarding several types of emissions to air, impacts on emissions behaviour by using waste materials, examples of costs data for different flue-gas cleaning measures/techniques and environmental management tools.
Despite much very useful information and many comments regarding several different issues, some data problems and some of the corresponding consequences for this lime chapter have to be pointed out:

- there is a lack of information on energy consumption along with best performance data related to kiln types and different types of products; however energy consumption was brought on board for the BAT conclusions
- there is a lack of information regarding emissions monitoring and monitoring frequencies used, e.g. regarding periodic measurements
- for NO\textsubscript{x} emissions reduction and abatement, primary measures/techniques are used. Furthermore in 2008, SNCR was only applied to Lepol kilns (one installation with four kilns). This SNCR has been in a test phase and in operation for a total of 2 years. For the use of SNCR in the lime industry, there is a lack of information and data from long term experiences, e.g. reduction efficiency along with best performance NO\textsubscript{x} emission data, NH\textsubscript{3} slip. In 2008, there was no information available as to whether, except for Lepol kilns, SNCR for NO\textsubscript{x} abatement could be applicable to other types of rotary kilns used for lime production
- for CO emissions, there is a lack of information regarding the types of other shaft kilns (OSK) which currently have emissions exceeding the BAT-AEL. For some of these shaft kiln types, their specific technical characteristics might prevent achieving the agreed BAT-AEL for CO. Such technical characteristics could be taken into consideration by competent authorities when setting permit conditions according to article 9(4) of the IPPC Directive 2008/1/EC.
- there is a lack of information regarding frequency and duration of CO trips when ESPs are applied so the corresponding BAT conclusion is based on a qualitative approach
- to a certain extent, there is a lack of information on the issue of metal emissions and the link between metal emissions (mercury) and the valuable technical options which are available and could be used to reduce them
- regarding mixed feed shaft kilns (MFSKs), there is a lack of information regarding TOC emissions and abatement. Furthermore, high CO emissions of around 2500 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} arising from MFSKs have been reported which are influenced and determined by several factors (e.g. Boudouard reaction, see Figure 2.40). Prevention may be difficult and, to some extent, impossible. As an emerging technique, post-combustion of flue-gases could be used, however, this technique has to be further developed and researched
- as an emerging technique, fluidised bed calcination for lime manufacturing is described (see Section 2.6.1); however, there was no information provided by the TWG as to how this technique could be/can be further developed.

Level of consensus at the final TWG meeting

The conclusions of the work regarding the lime chapter were agreed at the final TWG meeting in September 2008. The main discussion items at this final TWG meeting were the BAT conclusions. The BAT proposals for the lime industry were discussed case by case.

At this final TWG meeting, a high level of consensus was achieved on BAT (best available techniques) for the lime industry, and no split views were recorded. Therefore – as also seen retrospectively over the whole information exchange period – the information exchange process can be considered successful.
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Recommendations for future work

The information exchange and its result for the lime industry, i.e. the lime chapter of this document, present an important step forward in achieving the integrated prevention and control of pollution for lime manufacturing. Further work on the following issues could continue the process:

- collect data by taking into account the guidance set out in the IEF guidance document issued in April 2008 to allow an assessment of the performance of certain techniques at the plant level, especially potential BAT
- collect information regarding the relationship between process type, waste (type, amounts) used and emissions
- collect costs data (e.g. investment, operational costs) regarding all techniques to consider in the determination of BAT
- collect costs data along with the reduction efficiencies of abatement techniques
- collect information and data regarding options for minimising energy consumption or for increasing energy efficiency
- collect information on energy consumption along with best performance data related to kiln types used and different types of products produced
- collect information regarding emissions monitoring and monitoring frequencies, e.g. investigate periodic emissions monitoring, so that authorities are able to check permit obligations/conditions
- investigate the revision of the Reference Document on the General Principles of Monitoring
- collect information and data regarding the use of waste (e.g. composition, input criteria)
- collect information and data regarding the use of SNCR in the lime industry for NO\textsubscript{x} emissions reduction, e.g. reduction efficiency, NH\textsubscript{3} slip
- collect more and detailed data on metal emissions and research techniques which could be used for the reduction of metals (especially mercury)
- investigate and collect data regarding frequency and duration of CO trips when applying ESPs
- for PFRKs, more investigation needs to be carried out to find a solution for dealing with the issue of TOC emissions
- for MFSKs, further information regarding CO and TOC emissions have to be collected (especially related to the development of post-combustion as described in Section 2.6.4)
- collect information regarding the fluidised bed lime manufacturing technique.

Suggested topics for future R&D work

In particular regarding the techniques described in the Emerging Techniques section (Section 2.6), some future R&D work will still be necessary and useful, so that these techniques can be considered in future in the determination of BAT for the lime industry.

Besides that, the EC is launching and supporting, through its RTD programmes, a series of projects dealing with clean technologies, emerging effluent treatment and recycling technologies and management strategies. Potentially these projects could provide a useful contribution to future BREF reviews. Readers are therefore invited to inform the EIPPCB of any research results which are relevant to the scope of this document (see also the preface of this document).
Chapter 3

3 MAGNESIUM OXIDE INDUSTRY (DRY PROCESS ROUTE BASED ON MINED NATURAL MAGNESITE)

3.1 General Information

3.1.1 Introduction

Magnesium oxide is the most important industrial magnesium compound with its main application in the steel and refractory industry. It is also largely used in many other industrial sectors including the food and animal feed industries. The raw materials for the production of magnesium oxide are both natural magnesium carbonate and brucite or magnesium chloride from seawater and brines.

For the production of magnesium oxide (MgO/magnesia), two general production process routes are used:

- starting from magnesium carbonate, by a high temperature decarbonisation reaction, magnesium carbonate itself may be obtained from magnesite mines (natural or dry process route)
- starting from magnesium chloride, through various processes like the high temperature hydrolysis reaction and magnesium hydroxide (Mg(OH)₂) precipitation. Magnesium chloride may be obtained from brines, the dissolution of magnesium rich minerals with hydrochloric acid (HCl) and seawater (synthetic or wet process route) [108, European Commission, 2006].

The use of the process route starting from magnesium chloride mentioned above (wet process route) is decreasing because the energy requirements are three times higher than those of the magnesium oxide production process starting from magnesium carbonate (dry process route). More information regarding the wet process route can be found in the Reference Document on Best Available Technique for the Manufacture of Large Volume Inorganic Chemicals – Solids and Others Industry (LVIC – S) where this wet process for the production of magnesium oxide is described [108, European Commission, 2006].

This chapter covers the production of magnesium oxide (MgO/magnesia) of which there are different types – DBM, CCM, FM (see Section 3.1.2.1.1) – by using the dry process route based on mined natural magnesite (magnesium carbonate MgCO₃). In Europe, brucite is not used for the production of magnesium oxide; however, the production steps and burning conditions required are exactly the same. The wet process route using magnesium chloride as the starting material is not covered within this document because of the differences in the use of process structure, techniques and raw materials.

However, in some cases such as for market reasons, these two magnesia products cannot be separated. If these cases occur, an appropriate remark is given in this document.
3.1.2 The magnesite industry worldwide and in Europe

Sintered or dead burned magnesia (DBM) is produced and traded worldwide along with caustic calcined (CCM) and fused magnesia (FM). Figure 3.1 gives an overview of the worldwide sources and the producers of magnesium oxide.

Figure 3.1: Global sources of magnesium oxide and producer countries
[109, RHI AG, 2006], [165, EUROMINES, 2006]

Figure 3.2 shows the worldwide raw magnesite production figures and production figures for the EU-25 using the dry process route from 1981 until 2003.

Figure 3.2: Raw magnesite production in the EU-25 and worldwide
[109, RHI AG, 2006] [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008], [165, EUROMINES, 2006]
Table 3.1 shows this worldwide production data from 2000 to 2005 related to the producer countries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Raw magnesite production (t)</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albania¹</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>349933</td>
<td>540000</td>
<td>540000</td>
<td>553847</td>
<td>586393</td>
<td>590000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>725832</td>
<td>680534</td>
<td>728235</td>
<td>766525</td>
<td>715459</td>
<td>693754</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>300000</td>
<td>265749</td>
<td>310000</td>
<td>330000</td>
<td>350000</td>
<td>386759</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>270000</td>
<td>300000</td>
<td>200000</td>
<td>150000</td>
<td>140000</td>
<td>120000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>4230000</td>
<td>4300000</td>
<td>4400000</td>
<td>4500000</td>
<td>4650000</td>
<td>4800000.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIS – Asia</td>
<td>250000</td>
<td>260000</td>
<td>150000</td>
<td>120000</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIS – Europe</td>
<td>2250000</td>
<td>2340000</td>
<td>1350000</td>
<td>1080000</td>
<td>1080000</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>7100</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>6900</td>
<td>6700</td>
<td>6500</td>
<td>6300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic²</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPR Korea</td>
<td>650000</td>
<td>650000</td>
<td>450000</td>
<td>580000</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>492780</td>
<td>483296</td>
<td>558057</td>
<td>549049</td>
<td>499474</td>
<td>500000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guatemala³</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>340000</td>
<td>330000</td>
<td>280000</td>
<td>300000</td>
<td>320000</td>
<td>380000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>140000</td>
<td>133778</td>
<td>128565</td>
<td>91700</td>
<td>91700</td>
<td>120000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya³</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>650000</td>
<td>650000</td>
<td>450000</td>
<td>580000</td>
<td>600000</td>
<td>800000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico³</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepal⁴</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>3610</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>4430</td>
<td>4700</td>
<td>4900</td>
<td>3029</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines⁵</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>54830</td>
<td>23000</td>
<td>24000</td>
<td>33000</td>
<td>35000</td>
<td>37000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia (Europe)</td>
<td>1400000</td>
<td>1380000</td>
<td>1350000</td>
<td>1080000</td>
<td>900000</td>
<td>885000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia (Asia)</td>
<td>1400000</td>
<td>1380000</td>
<td>150000</td>
<td>120000</td>
<td>100000</td>
<td>95000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serbia and Montenegro</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>73000</td>
<td>70000</td>
<td>60000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>1535200</td>
<td>1537000</td>
<td>1464500</td>
<td>1640900</td>
<td>965900</td>
<td>1555000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>97446</td>
<td>98000</td>
<td>99000</td>
<td>100000</td>
<td>120000</td>
<td>130000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>423605</td>
<td>438194</td>
<td>462200</td>
<td>468900</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand⁶</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>2672089</td>
<td>2738086</td>
<td>2800000</td>
<td>3224278</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USSR⁷</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US⁸</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yugoslavia</td>
<td>800000</td>
<td>780000</td>
<td>75000</td>
<td>73000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
<td>5800</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>6200</td>
<td>6400</td>
<td>6500</td>
<td>6800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For different countries, data are only available within the following periods:

¹ Data available from 1988 – 1994
² Data available from 1981 – 1994
³ Data available from 1984 – 1992
⁴ Data available from 1984 – 1992
⁵ Data available from 1988 – 1994
⁶ Data available from 1983 – 1992
⁷ Data available from 1984 – 1994
⁸ Data available from 1988 – 1992
⁹ Data available from 1981 – 1994
¹⁰ Data available from 1981 – 1983
n.a.: no data available

Table 3.1: Worldwide production of raw magnesite (magnesium carbonate) from 2000 to 2005
[109, RHI AG, 2006], [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008], [165, EUROMINES, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]
The world’s production of magnesite was around 12.5 million tonnes in 2003. In the EU-27, about 2.3 million tonnes were produced in 2003 which was 18.4% of the world’s production. In 2003, the world’s production of magnesium oxide (magnesia) by using the dry process route was around 5.8 million tonnes. In comparison to 1981, when the countries in Europe were still responsible for 32% of the world’s production, this share between world’s production and EU production significantly decreased. Therefore in 1995 and 2005, the European Commission published Council Regulations on protection against dumped imports from countries not members of the European Community and imposed a definitive anti-dumping duty on imports of magnesium oxide [166, European Union, 1995], [167, European Union, 2005].

The estimated production of sintered magnesium oxide/magnesia in 2003 is shown in Table 3.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of magnesium oxide produced</th>
<th>Estimated magnesium oxide production (million t)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural magnesium oxide</td>
<td>5.8&lt;sup&gt;1)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthetic magnesium oxide</td>
<td>0.6&lt;sup&gt;2)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total magnesium oxide production</td>
<td>6.4&lt;sup&gt;3)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1)</sup> Magnesium oxide production by using the dry process route
<sup>2)</sup> Wet process route
<sup>3)</sup> Σ total production dry and wet process route

Table 3.2: Worldwide production of sintered magnesium oxide/magnesia in 2003 [109, RHI AG, 2006], [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008], [165, EUROMINES, 2006]

The worldwide production of different magnesium oxide (magnesia) modifications, such as sintered or dead burned (DBM), caustic calcined (CCM) and fused magnesia (FM) varied between 6.4 and 6.7 million tonnes in 2003 due to statistical inaccuracies. Figure 3.3 gives the production distribution overview of the different modifications/types of magnesium oxide (magnesia) which was produced worldwide in 2003. The total production was 6.7 million tonnes.

![Production of magnesia related to modifications](image)

Figure 3.3: Worldwide production of magnesium oxide modifications in 2003 [109, RHI AG, 2006], [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008], [165, EUROMINES, 2006]

There are not so many magnesium oxide producers in the EU-27 so there is a correspondingly low number of plants producing sintered magnesia and these are shown in Table 3.3. In the EU-27, there are only known to be nine producers using 14 plants. On average, the number of kilns per plant is one to three, except for one producer who operates with eight kilns in a single plant. The economic activities of the magnesium oxide industry are restricted to a small area.
Table 3.3: Producers of sintered magnesia in the EU-27
[109, RHI AG, 2006], [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008], [165, EUROMINES, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Number of producers</th>
<th>Number of plants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\(^1\) Assumption

Table 3.4 shows the production of raw magnesite from mines and the production of magnesia by using the dry process route in the EU-25 in 2003. More than 98 % of the mined magnesite is used for the production of the different magnesia products DBM, CCM and FM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Magnesite(^1) production in 2003 (t)</th>
<th>Magnesia production capacity in 2003 (t)</th>
<th>Dead burned magnesia (DBM)</th>
<th>Caustic calcined magnesia (CCM)</th>
<th>Fused magnesia (FM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EU-25</td>
<td>2398574</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>549049</td>
<td>84635</td>
<td>92350</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>468900</td>
<td>60000</td>
<td>150000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>766255</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>33000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>900000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Mined raw ore, the raw material for magnesium oxide production

Table 3.4: Production of magnesite and magnesia by using the dry process route in the EU-25 in 2003
[109, RHI AG, 2006], [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008], [165, EUROMINES, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]

Raw magnesite is traded only to a small extent. Special grades are used in the ceramics and the steel industry and as a magnesium source for the production of other magnesium compounds. In 2003, these markets represented about 2 % of the referred production of magnesite [109, RHI AG, 2006], [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008], [165, EUROMINES, 2006].

3.1.2.1 Types of magnesium oxide (magnesia) products

3.1.2.1.1 Magnesia

Magnesia is chemically pure magnesium oxide (MgO), also known as ‘periclase’. The melting point of magnesia is at around 2800 °C. This high melting point is the reason for the preferred use of magnesia as the raw material for refractory products which are used in high temperature processes for the steel, cement, lime, glass and non-ferrous metals industries.

Magnesia can be produced and modified as follows:

- sintered or dead burned magnesia (DBM)
- caustic calcined magnesia (causter, CCM)
- fused magnesia (FM).

The differences in these types of magnesia products are the physico-chemical characteristics. The different grades of magnesia produced are defined by chemical purity. Depending on the origin, magnesia generally contains magnesium oxide (MgO) in a range of 55 – 98 %. The most
important impurities that occur are SiO$_2$, Fe$_2$O$_3$, CaO and Al$_2$O$_3$. These impurities affect the quality of the magnesia product. In caustic calcined magnesia, considerable amounts of CO$_2$ remain in the product [67, Austria, 2006],[109, RHI AG, 2006],[110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008],[165, EUROMINES, 2006].

3.1.2.1.1 Sintered/dead burned magnesia (DBM)

Sintered magnesia (MgO = dead burned magnesia: DBM) is produced through a sinter burning process at temperature ranges of 1600 – 2200 °C. Different types are defined by the content of lime in the raw material which is in the range of <2 – 35 % and the SiO$_2$ content, which in general is required to be low. Special uses also require very low contents of iron. The product is characterised by a cubic crystal structure with an apparent density as a rule in the range of 3.05 – 3.45 g/cm$^3$ and with crystal dimensions in a range of 30 – 200 μm. Sintered magnesia or dead burned magnesia is produced as grains or briquettes.

3.1.2.1.2 Caustic calcined magnesia (CCM)

Caustic calcined magnesia (MgO = caustic calcined magnesia: CCM) is partially de-acidiffied magnesite or calcinated Mg(OH)$_2$, where the original crystal modification is retained, e.g. the crystal structure of the original substance is retained during calcination at the decomposition temperature of between 600 – 800 °C and the CO$_2$ retention points are free (gaps in the crystal structure system). As a result, CCM has a high specific surface, which is the reason for the highly reactive behaviour of cauter in comparison to DBM or FM. CCM generally occurs in loose form as powder (fine, porous) or as pieces in very loose chunks. Calcination at temperatures as high as 1300 °C is used for the production of various grades of CCM.

3.1.2.1.3 Fused magnesia (FM)

Fused magnesia is produced by a fusing process in an electric arc kiln by temperatures of 2800 °C. The difference between sintered and fused magnesia is, on the one hand, in the apparent density (fused magnesia has a higher density of 3.43 – 3.54 g/cm$^3$ than sintered magnesia) and, on the other hand, on the crystal size which is in the range of 200 to 2000 μm. Fused magnesia is used as refractory material and for some special applications, such as for nuclear reactors. In Europe, fused magnesia is produced in very small quantities. Therefore, the production of fused magnesia is not described in detail in this document.

3.1.3 The use of magnesia

Sintered or dead burned magnesia is primarily used in the refractory industry. Example areas of application for refractory products using magnesia are:

- the steel industry, e.g. for electric arc furnaces, basic oxygen furnaces or other furnaces, steel converters, hot metal transport and machinery
- the cement industry, e.g. for the inlets of preheaters, cement kilns and coolers
- the non-ferrous metal industries, e.g. for furnaces
- the lime industry, e.g. for the inlets of lime kilns
- the glass industry, e.g. for melting furnaces, regenerator chambers.
For caustic calcined magnesia, the main applications can be found in:

- the agricultural industry as feed or fertiliser
- the steel production industry as slag conditioner
- the construction industry as floor covering and for insulation
- the manufacture of cellulose, paper, chemicals, pharmaceuticals, flame-proofing and sweeping materials
- the environmental protection industry.

Based on a worldwide magnesia production of 6.7 million tonnes in 2003, Figure 3.4 shows the sector specific consumption of magnesia in different industries including the synthetic magnesia industry. Magnesia is mainly used for the production of refractory products. 65 % of magnesia used for refractories is produced in order to be used in the steel industry, 15 % in the cement industry, 7 % of magnesia production is used for other refractory applications, such as in the non-ferrous metals industries or in the glass industry and finally 13 % of total production is used for other applications. These other applications are very varied and a total of 80 applications are known – most of them are for CCM. The main applications can be found in agriculture as feed or fertiliser, in the construction industry as floor covering and for insulation, in the manufacture of cellulose, paper, chemicals, and pharmaceuticals, flame-proofing and sweeping materials as well as in environmental protection.

![Consumption of magnesia (sector specific)](image)

Figure 3.4: Sector specific consumption of magnesia worldwide in 2003
[109, RHI AG, 2006], [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008], [165, EUROMINES, 2006]

No statistics/assessments are available showing the distribution and the use of natural magnesia. However, it can be assumed that there are no significant differences to synthetic magnesia.

Worldwide, the specific use of refractory products containing magnesia is decreasing; however, worldwide steel production is increasing with the result that, from a global perspective, the demand for refractory products containing magnesia is still continuously increasing as shown in Figure 3.5. This figure also includes magnesia (MgO) used for slagging (10 % of the overall MgO consumption).
In 1994, worldwide production of raw steel was around 700 million tonnes while in 2005, steel production capacity achieved more than 1000 million tonnes. In the same period, the specific magnesia demand continuously decreased (-24% between 1994 and 2004) from around 5 to 4.2 kg/t steel. However, globally seen and in combination with the increased steel production worldwide, the requirements for magnesia and also magnesite are increasing continuously. Parallel to an increased use of magnesia in the steel industry, the production of magnesite has also increased from 9.4 (1994) up to 12.5 (2003) million tonnes per year, as also shown in Figure 3.2 [67, Austria, 2006], [109, RHI AG, 2006], [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008], [165, EUROMINES, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007].
3.2  Applied processes and techniques

3.2.1  Raw materials and preparation

For the production of magnesia products (DBM, CCM, FM), the most important raw materials are:

- magnesite (magnesium carbonate) = MgCO₃ (dry process)
- brucite (magnesium hydroxide) = Mg(OH)₂ (dry process)
- bischofite (magnesium chloride) = MgCl₂ • 6 H₂O (wet process)
- seawater and dolomite (wet process).

This document only covers the dry process route for the production of dead burned magnesia from magnesite, since the use of magnesium hydroxide (brucite) only plays a minor role. The production process based on magnesium chloride, the wet process route, will not be covered within this document. More information regarding the wet process route can be found in the Reference Document on Best Available Technique for the Manufacture of Large Volume Inorganic Chemicals – Solids and Others Industry (LVIC – S) where this process for the production of magnesium oxide is described [108, European Commission, 2006].

The raw materials are mined from open pit and underground mines and the first size reduction and preliminary treatment is achieved during the mining operation. Standard processing units are used for the preparation of raw materials such as crushing, grinding or milling and sieving plants. Depending on the nature of the rock, e.g. hardness, sizes, various types of primary and secondary crushers are used. However, the size of the stones should not be too small and only a small amount of fines should be the result.

For preparing magnesite, heavy sludge preparation is sometimes used. Raw materials are sometimes washed in order to exclude impurities. Furthermore, permanent magnet separators are used for magnetic preparation tasks.

Sintered or dead burned magnesia is usually ground, milled and, to some extent, handled magnetically following the firing process. Caustic calcined magnesia is often milled following the firing process and is then a finished product. Different types of machinery can be used in the milling process, e.g. ball mills, roll mills, swing mills and high pressure grinding rolls. Briquette presses are usually used for the production of caustic briquettes (two-phase heat treatment).

The mining process is also not covered in this document. Useful information regarding mining/quarrying can be found in the Reference Document on Best Available Techniques for Management of Tailings and Waste-Rock in Mining Activities [47, European Commission, 2004].

3.2.1.1  Magnesite

The term ‘magnesite’ denotes natural magnesium carbonate (MgCO₃). Magnesite is partially derived from rock formation materials and it mostly occurs together with dolomite and forms deposits, which are generally very old (>400 million years old). In Europe, magnesite can be found in many places; however, few deposits of commercial value and producers exist. One of the largest deposits known is in the greywacke zone (‘Grauwacken zone’) in the ‘Alps’ mountains. Generally, two types can be distinguished, differing mainly in their iron content as follows:

- spar magnesite (type Veitsch, iron rich with 4 – 6 %)
- gel magnesite (type Kraubath, with a low iron content of <0.5 %).
Spar magnesite is characterised by crystal or surface crystal formations (macrocrystalline type) and typically the content of iron is high. Usually, the content of Fe₂O₃ ranges between 5 and 8%. The formation is usually metasomatic, i.e. one mineral was replaced by another without melting and the chemical composition was changed by fluid interactions. Significant deposits are found in Austria, Slovakia, North Korea, Brazil, the Asian parts of the CIS countries and China, where, to some extent, the raw material also contains a low amount of Fe₂O₃.

Gel magnesite does not have a macroscopic structure (microcrystalline or cryptocrystalline type). Its formation is a result of changes that have occurred in magnesium rich rocks (largely serpentine). The iron content is very low at less than 1%. Significant deposits are found in Turkey, Greece and Australia.

3.2.2 Fuels

In 2007, the following different types of fuels were mainly used in the firing process:

- natural gas
- petroleum coke (petcoke)
- heavy fuel oil.

However, depending on the availability and economics, other fuels are also used, such as anthracite or coal. There is a good relationship between the efficiency and the price of petroleum coke (see also Section 3.4.5 and Figure 3.13). However, because of the high thermal energy demand and the high temperatures required for the production process, it is necessary in some cases to add other combustibles.

Air is usually added to the combustion process. When high temperatures are required for the sinter or dead burn process, the combustion air could be enriched with technically pure oxygen.

In 2007, waste material was not used as fuel due to the impurities that would then have been found either in the product or in the exhaust gases. Most of the products have to be pure and clean, without any impurities. The use of waste may have an effect on the product quality that has to be avoided because the refractory characteristics of the sintered or dead burned magnesia to be used for refractory bricks would be reduced. However, for the future and due to new measures/techniques for co-incinerating waste materials and due to certain magnesia products, the magnesium oxide industry considers the use of different types of waste, e.g. plastics or wood. In this context, general and quality control aspects for the use of waste in both the cement and lime sectors can be found in Sections 1.2.4 and 2.2.5 of this document respectively.

3.2.3 General description of the production process of magnesia from magnesite (naturally sintered/dead burned magnesia)

Magnesia is produced by firing the treated and prepared natural stone raw material in a multiple hearth furnace (MHF), a shaft kiln or a rotary sintering kiln. The chemical reaction can be expressed as follows:

\[
\text{MgCO}_3 \rightarrow \text{MgO} + \text{CO}_2
\]

The chemical reaction is endothermic, depends on a high firing temperature and is very energy intensive. The input of energy is high (Δ H = + 113 kJ/mol MgO). The process starts at a temperature of around 550–800 °C where the magnesite is de-acidified and carbon dioxide is released. The result from this reaction is the product caustic calcined magnesia (CCM), so-called ‘causer’. In the next process step, CCM is further heat treated (fired) at temperatures of between 1600 to 2200 °C in one or two phases to sintered or dead burned magnesia. The temperatures, along with the duration of the treatment, are the decisive controlling factors in
obtaining a product which is well crystallised and which can achieve a high density. In this process, the crystal system of the magnesite (trigonal) changes to a cubic structure and a high increase in density is achieved.

The process scheme of the natural pathway for the production of the different types of magnesia is shown in Figure 3.6.

Figure 3.6: Process scheme of the natural pathway for magnesia production [67, Austria, 2006], [109, RHI AG, 2006], [165, EUROMINES, 2006]

3.2.3.1 Firing processes

3.2.3.1.1 Direct firing process (single phase firing)

Raw magnesite is burned to sintered or dead burned magnesia in only one cycle by using shaft or rotary sintering kilns. The advantage of this process is that the energy requirement is lower compared to the two phase heating process. However, the qualities of the sinter product depend on the raw material and cannot be changed by adding different materials such as, e.g. zirconium dioxide or chrome oxide.

The first process is generally performed with the raw material being analysed in batches and then separated and stored in quality classes. A cycle of charges is made by mixing different quality classes from raw materials. This raw material mix is then fired in a shaft or rotary sintering kiln by temperatures of between 1450 and 2200 °C. The product is so-called ‘raw sinter’. After this firing process, this raw sinter magnesia can be further processed and treated.

3.2.3.1.1.1 Preparation of the ‘raw sinter’

In most cases, preparation and treatment of ‘raw sinter’ is necessary. Iron rich magnesia is prepared and treated in two stages:

- crushing and milling (density, chromatic, flotation; treatment before the kiln burning process)
- magnetic preparation (treatment after the kiln burning process).
Finally, the cooled raw sinter can be treated magnetically at an ambient temperature. The separating characteristic is the lime content of the sinter material. A low lime content of the sinter material is a requirement for the production of moulded refractory products (bricks). Magnetic separation is carried out by using either a permanent magnet separator or an electromagnetic separator. Magnesia ferrite (MgFe$_2$O$_4$) is a mineral phase that is used as the magnetising carrier which is formed when the magnesia cools down from temperatures of 1200 to 900 °C.

The decisive principle in this process is: the faster the cooling process, the more the magnesia ferrite is formed. Thus this results in an improved magnetic preparation process, i.e. the more magnesia ferrite that is available the better and the magnetic preparation process is improved.

A physical characteristic is used that in CaO poor material, more magnesia ferrite is formed than in CaO rich material. The separation is made through the relative proportion of magnesia ferrite. Thus, the CaO poor particles are more strongly magnetisable and have a better stickability to the magnetic drum than the CaO rich particles.

The result of this magnetic sinter preparation is:

- CaO poor sintered magnesia (magnesia ferrite rich with good magnetising properties) and
- CaO rich sintered magnesia (higher CaO content with poor magnetising properties).

The output varies significantly in dependence on the raw sinter charge and the position of the separator on the drum discharge.
Figure 3.7 shows an example process diagram of magnesia production using the dry process route.

3.2.3.1.2 Two phase firing process

Various units and kiln types can be used in the first step of this process such as overlying bed type kilns, shaft or rotary sintering kilns, multiple hearth furnaces (MHF) and especially constructed kiln types to produce the caustic calcined magnesia (CCM).

First of all, the raw magnesite is de-acidified in a kiln by temperatures of up to 1000 °C. The caustic calcined material (CCM) can then be ground. Grinding is very important for the achievement of a high density DBM. This ground material is formed into briquettes which are then burned in a rotary or a shaft kiln to sintered/dead burned magnesia (DBM).

In contrast to the single phase firing process, the characteristics of the sintered material can be influenced significantly in crystal size and unprocessed density by the addition of various materials, the so-called ‘doping agents’ such as, e.g. chromium oxide, zirconium oxide.
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3.2.3.2 Types of kilns – techniques and design

Various types of mineral deposits demand, to some extent, very varied production methods. It has to be noted that no standard kiln unit exists for the production of magnesia from magnesite (natural process). Furthermore, standardisation in the processes and techniques used do not exist as well. However, a wide range of processes, techniques and kilns are used for the production of magnesia.

The type of kiln to be used is determined by different parameters, such as:

- characteristics of magnesite which is available at the site
- type of product which is produced (sintered magnesia, fused magnesia, caustic magnesia)
- quality and characteristics of the product, e.g. density, purity, crystal size
- price and/or availability of the fuels used.

The kiln type is very often specific to the raw material itself and production capacities, and the quality of the product depends very much on the kiln type and the type of process. Different types of kilns can be used, but rotary or shaft kilns are the most commonly used kilns. For the single phased heat processing of sintered magnesia, shaft or rotary sintering kilns (long rotary kilns, Lepol kilns) are used. By using a two phase process for producing sintered magnesia, for the first phase, the same types of kilns are used as for pure caustic production, such as shaft or rotary sintering kilns. Furthermore, for the subsequent sintering process, shaft or rotary kilns are used. Rotary kilns, overlying bed type kilns and especially constructed kiln types are used for the production of caustic calcined magnesia. Electric arc furnaces are used for the production of fused magnesia. These kiln facilities (except electric arc kilns and especially constructed kiln types) use the counter flow principle in order to achieve high energy efficiencies.

In shaft kilns usually coarse broken magnesite with grain sizes of more than 50 mm or CCM briquettes can be used. Fine grain magnesite is either fired in rotary kilns or is caustered, made into briquettes and fired in shaft kilns or in rotary kiln or is dumped, as shown in Figure 3.8.

![Figure 3.8: Pathway of coarse and fine magnesite for magnesia production](image)

[109, RHI AG, 2006], [165, EUROMINES, 2006]
3.2.3.2.1 Rotary kilns

3.2.3.2.1.1 Rotary kilns with a preheater

In rotary kilns with a preheater (Lepol kiln), raw materials are fed into the feeding and preheating zone of the kiln via a preheating grid. First of all, raw materials are preheated and partially de-acidified by hot exhaust gases by the counter flow principle. The partially de-acidified magnesite is moved into the kiln where de-acidification is completed before the burning zone of the kiln. During the preheating phase, parts of the raw materials in the form of fine-grained MgO caustic fall through the Lepol grid and are then directly fed into the burning zone of the kiln. In the burning zone, the materials are sintered by temperatures of between 1600 and 2200 °C. The kiln cycle time is between 8 and 16 hours. For further processing, the sintered material is cooled down to 200 °C in the cooling zone of the kiln. The operating principle of a rotary kiln with a preheater used for the dry process of magnesia production is shown in Figure 3.9. Rotary kilns with a preheater used for the production of sintered/dead burned magnesia (DBM) have a length of between 60 and 80 m and a diameter of between 2 and 4 m [67, Austria, 2006], [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008], [109, RHI AG, 2006], [165, EUROMINES, 2006].

3.2.3.2.1.2 Long rotary kilns

In long rotary kilns, preheating, de-acidification and sintering take place entirely inside the kiln. This is very largely the reason why this kiln type is significantly longer than rotary kilns with a preheater. Long rotary kilns used for DBM production have a length of between 80 and 120 m and a diameter of between 2 and 4 m.

As a result of the rotation and the horizontal declivity of the kiln, the materials in the kiln are transferred to the sintering zone and are preheated and de-acidified, as a result of the exhaust gas temperature profile before reaching the sintering zone. The sintering process takes place in the sintering zone of the long rotary kiln at temperatures of between 1600 and 2200 °C where the magnesia sinter material obtains the required density. At the kiln discharge, the product is
transported into the cooling tube. Cooling takes place by using a secondary air current that is sucked in by the counter flow principle. This preheated air from the cooler is then used again as combustion air. Figure 3.10 shows the operating principle of a long rotary sintering kiln used for the dry process of magnesia production.

The cooled sintered product is transported by transport conveyor to further processing.

![Diagram of long rotary kiln](image)

Figure 3.10: Operating principle of long rotary kilns in magnesia production
[109, RHI AG, 2006], [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008] [165, EUROMINES, 2006]

### 3.2.3.2.2 Shaft kilns

Different types of shaft kilns can be used for magnesia production.

#### 3.2.3.2.2.1 Shaft kilns with a rotating plate

Fine ground refractory raw material which is prepared in defined mixtures, is placed in a rotating pelleting drum formed into pellets (Ø 20 – 30 mm) by adding water. The pellets are dried in a continuous flow process before they are fired in a shaft kiln to temperatures of approximately 1750 °C. The sinter product is discharged via a rotating plate and stored in an intermediate storage prior to further processing. The operating principle of a shaft kiln used in magnesia production is shown in Figure 3.11.

Also natural magnesite rock with a size of between 20 – 200 mm can be burned by using this process.
3.2.3.2.2 **Shaft kilns with a rotating grate**

By using this type of shaft kiln, a special type of briquettes is produced. The briquettes have a composition of caustered magnesite and chrome ore and are pressed in a roller bricking process by adding water as a binder. In order to achieve the required sinter quality in this type of kiln, temperatures of 2200 °C are used. Furthermore, an oxygen enriched combustion air blast is required for this purpose. In the kiln, the compact material column is transported by a rotating discharge and is then discharged from the kiln.

This sinter product is finely ground and sieved before it is further processed into refractory products.

3.2.3.2.3 **Double shaft kiln**

By using this kiln type, raw magnesite is placed into one shaft at the top of the kiln, the so-called ‘preheating shaft’ where raw magnesite is preheated and de-acidified. Following the preheating zone, the shaft is divided into two sections – called the double shaft – where sintering and the cooling processes take place. After passing the preheating zone, the raw magnesite is sintered by temperatures of 1600 – 2200 °C. In the cooling zones at the bottom of the double shaft kiln, the sintered magnesia product is cooled down to temperatures of less than 200 °C by using cooling air. The cooled sintered magnesia product is then discharged from the kiln. Figure 3.12 shows the operating principle of a double shaft kiln used in magnesia production.
3.2.4 Production of fused magnesia

Fused magnesia is produced in special electric arc kilns which operate in batch processes. In principle, the kiln consists of a platform car where the kiln outlet (frustum) is placed.

The raw material is fused inside this kiln outlet by an electric arc which is fired between two graphite electrodes. The fusing process is vertical from the bottom to the top. During the fusing process, the raw material is fed in batches into the kiln from the top. No casting is done. The fused material remains on the platform car within the frustum where the cooling process takes place as well. After fusing, the car is moved from the electrodes and the next cycle can start. Some 5 to 8 tonnes of fused magnesia are generally obtained per product block. Raw stone, caustic calcined or sintered/dead burned magnesia can be mixed and used as the raw process material. It has to be noted that during the cooling process impurities form at the edges (in the crust) and the chemically purest parts are available in the centre of the fused product block.

After cooling, the product block is toppled, reduced in size by grinding and is often sorted manually. The main production country is China. However, a few production locations can also be found within the European Union where the quantities produced are very low. Because of this, details regarding the production of fused magnesia is not covered in this document [109, RHI AG, 2006], [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008], [165, EUROMINES, 2006].

Figure 3.12: Operating principle of double shaft kilns used in magnesia production [109, RHI AG, 2006], [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008], [165, EUROMINES, 2006]
3.3 Current consumption and emission levels

The main environmental issues for the production of different types of magnesia are the use of energy and emissions to air. The burning process of magnesia is the principal user of energy and the main source of emissions. The secondary grinding process as well as subsidiary operations (such as crushing, screening, conveying, storage and discharge) can also be significant.

It has to be noted that, if not otherwise mentioned in this document, for this section concerning the magnesium oxide industry, the standard conditions for measurements of volume flows and concentrations are related to the following definitions which are also stated in the Glossary:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m³/h</th>
<th>volume flow: if not otherwise mentioned in this document, the volume flows refer to 10 vol-% oxygen and standard state</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mg/m³</td>
<td>concentration: if not otherwise mentioned in this document, the concentrations of gaseous substances or mixtures of substances refer to dry flue-gas at 10 vol-% oxygen and standard state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>standard state</td>
<td>refers to a temperature of 273 K, a pressure of 1013 hPa and dry gas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, it has to be noted that the emissions ranges refer to a reference oxygen level of 10 % although the actual oxygen level within the firing process is much lower than 10 %. The calculation formula for calculating the emissions concentration is shown below:

\[
E_R = \frac{21 - O_R}{21 - O_M} \times E_M
\]

- \(E_R\) (mg/Nm³): emissions concentration related to the reference oxygen level \(O_R\)
- \(O_R\) (vol %): reference oxygen level
- \(E_M\) (mg/Nm³): emissions concentration related to the measured oxygen level \(O_M\)
- \(O_M\) (vol %): measured oxygen level

Additional useful information on monitoring can be found in the Reference Document on the General Principles of Monitoring (MON) [151, European Commission, 2003].

3.3.1 Consumption of raw materials (unprocessed magnesite) and water

At high temperatures, magnesite (MgCO₃) is thermally decomposed to magnesia (MgO) and carbon dioxide (CO₂). Taking as a basis the molecular weight of magnesite (84.31 g/mol), the following quantities of magnesia and carbon dioxide result from the decomposition as shown in Table 3.5:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Mg (g/mol)</th>
<th>C (g/mol)</th>
<th>O (g/mol)</th>
<th>Total amount (g/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Magnesite (MgCO₃)</td>
<td>24.31</td>
<td>12.01</td>
<td>48.00</td>
<td>84.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon dioxide (CO₂)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.01</td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td>44.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesia (MgO)</td>
<td>24.31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>40.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.5: Amounts of magnesia and carbon dioxide after decomposition [109, RHI AG, 2006], [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008], [165, EUROMINES, 2006]
This result can also be presented in relative terms as a material share/composition from magnesite as shown in Table 3.6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Share in magnesite (MgCO₃) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Magnesia (MgO)</td>
<td>47.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon dioxide (CO₂)</td>
<td>52.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.6: Yield of magnesia and carbon oxide in magnesite
[109, RHI AG, 2006], [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008], [165, EUROMINES, 2006]

During the decomposition of 1 kg magnesite, approximately 522 g of carbon dioxide and 478 g of magnesia are produced in this process. This means that for the production of one tonne of magnesia (MgO), 1.092 tonnes carbon dioxide result from the carbonate decomposition. However, this issue is theoretical because magnesite has different impurities, such as SiO₂, CaO, Fe₂O₃. It has to be noted that, as a general rule, for the production of one tonne of magnesia an amount of between 2.1 to 2.4 tonnes of magnesite is required according to the magnesite ore, quality produced and the type of kiln used [109, RHI AG, 2006] [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008], [165, EUROMINES, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007].

**Water consumption**

The use of process water is low since only a small quantity of water is used, e.g. for washing the raw material (magnesite) in order to exclude impurities or to cool the magnesia product in the cooling zone of the kiln and for the heavy media separation process. There are some operations with exhaust gas washing systems, however, and the emissions gases are washed with water. Water is required in a quantity of around 5 – 12 m³/t magnesia product [109, RHI AG, 2006], [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008], [165, EUROMINES, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007].

### 3.3.2 Energy consumption

The manufacture of magnesia (dry process) is energy intensive as magnesia, mainly DBM, is manufactured by very high temperatures.

Magnesite (MgCO₃) is thermally decomposed to magnesia (MgO) and carbon dioxide (CO₂). The process is highly endothermic and its theoretical reaction enthalpy is 2803 MJ/kg MgO. The decomposition of magnesite begins at a temperature of 550 °C and is completed at a temperature of below 800 °C if it contains significant amounts of other carbonates.

Natural gas, petroleum coke and fuel oil are used for the firing process. Table 3.7 shows the fuel requirement for the production of one tonne of sintered magnesia by using a direct heat process. The higher values mentioned in this table are required for sintered or dead burned magnesia production.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuels</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural gas</td>
<td>176 Nm³/t</td>
<td>310 Nm³/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petroleum coke</td>
<td>240 kg/t</td>
<td>393 kg/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel oil</td>
<td>190 kg/t</td>
<td>330 kg/t</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.7: Fuel requirements for the production of one tonne magnesia (MgO)
[109, RHI AG, 2006], [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008] [165, EUROMINES, 2006]
The energy demand for magnesia production ranges between 6 and 12 GJ/t MgO and is determined by different factors, such as the characteristics and moisture content (wet or very dry) of raw magnesite.

In order to achieve the very high combustion temperature of above 2000 °C that is required, oxygen can also be used. The amount of oxygen required is between 15 and 150 Nm³/t sintered magnesia. It has to be noted that, if special types of sintered magnesia with very high levels of purities or large crystal dimensions are to be produced, the values can be significantly higher - up to 150 Nm³/t.

Electrical energy is used for mechanical machinery, e.g. for the ventilation system, the briquette process and for pumps. Electricity is usually purchased from electricity providers (national grid). The electricity requirement can vary depending on the machinery in use and is typically in the range of between 75 and 180 kWh/t (270 – 648 MJ/t) sintered magnesia. The values are similar for the production of caustic magnesia.

Significantly more electrical energy (electric arc furnaces) in a range of 3500 – 4500 kWh/t is required for the production of fused magnesia. However, for the production of very pure grade magnesia the value for the energy requirement can be doubled or can even be above that [109, RHI AG, 2006], [165, EUROMINES, 2006].

### 3.3.3 Emissions

Emissions to air, water and land (process losses/waste) and also noise emissions arise during the manufacture of magnesium oxide/magnesia using the dry process route. In this section, ranges of air pollutant emissions are presented for the dry process of magnesium oxide/magnesia manufacture.

#### 3.3.3.1 Emissions to air

Significant emissions to air arise from the firing process, such as dust, NOₓ, SOₓ and CO. These emissions partially derive from the magnesite used as raw material and partially from the fuels used.

Due to the high firing temperatures in the kiln, SO₂ from fuels will normally not be covered in the product. However, when lower firing temperatures are used for kiln firing, SO₂ is only partially absorbed by the product and covered within the dust arising from the firing process (see Section 3.4.7.3). SO₂ emissions originating from the raw material (magnesite) depend on the content of sulphur in the raw material. They are, in principle, low because of the low content of SO₂ in most of the raw materials. However, in some cases, the SO₂ emissions from raw materials can be 50 % of the total SO₂ emissions. Furthermore, the sulphur content in the raw material is related to the particle or grain size of the raw material. In Table 3.8, examples are given for the relationship between the grain size and the sulphur content in the raw materials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grain size of the raw materials (mm)</th>
<th>Sulphur content in the raw materials (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02 – 1</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.8: Examples of the relationship between grain size and sulphur content in the raw materials
[110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]
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The comparison of different energy sources based on specific costs in EUR/GJ and the sulphur content from these fuels is shown in Figure 3.13. Petcoke contains the highest amount of sulphur followed by hard coal and anthracite.

The so-called ‘CO₂ process emissions’ result from the decomposition of magnesite (MgCO₃) to magnesia (MgO) and around 1 t CO₂/t magnesia may occur. Furthermore, CO₂ emissions also result from the fuel combustion process, e.g. by using natural gas or petcoke. Around 0.4 to 1.3 t CO₂/t sintered magnesia are contributed from the fuel combustion process to the CO₂ emissions.

Emissions to air do not only occur during the firing process, but also during other manufacturing steps, such as:

- storage and handling of raw materials, fuels or products – dust emissions can arise
- grinding and milling processes – dust emissions can arise.

Diffuse dust emissions mainly arise from the storage and handling of raw materials and fuels and from vehicles used at the plant. Dust emissions from the packaging and dispatch of the magnesia product can also be significant. Simple and linear site layouts as well as organisational measures/techniques are advisable to minimise possible sources of diffuse dust [67, Austria, 2006], [109, RHI AG, 2006], [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008] [165, EUROMINES, 2006].

Values of different air emissions resulting from the sintering process by typical exhaust gas volume flows of between 4000 and 12000 m³/t sintered magnesia are shown in Table 3.9. It has to be noted that not all emissions concentrations shown in Table 3.9 refer to standard conditions because for some data reference conditions were not provided.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emissions component</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Medium concentration⁹</th>
<th>Maximum concentrations⁹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dust</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>20 – 118</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOₓ</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>650 – 2500³</td>
<td>5000⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>10⁷ – 3350⁹</td>
<td>5000⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>33 – 1000</td>
<td>1323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>g/Nm³</td>
<td>165 – 350</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁹ Average concentrations achieved by a long observation period, measured once a year for one week

³ Higher values are related to sulphur rich fuels and installations with the lowest/least installed abatement measures/techniques

⁴ Use of natural gas

⁵ Higher values for high temperature DBM process

Table 3.9: Concentrations of emissions components occurring from the magnesium oxide industry in the EU-27

[67, Austria, 2006], [109, RHI AG, 2006], [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008], [165, EUROMINES, 2006]

Depending on the type of fuel used in the process, up to 50 % of the sulphur dioxide may result from fuels (see also Section 3.4.5 and Figure 3.13 for the sulphur content in fuels). By producing caustic magnesia in a rotary kiln, about 40 – 50 % of the sulphur dioxide resulting from the fuel is recovered mainly from the filter dust. It has been reported that injection of reactive MgO grades into the flue-gas stream reduces the SO₂ emissions to below 1500 mg/Nm³ for an initial SO₂ value of 3000 mg/Nm³ [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008].

3.3.3.2 Process losses/waste

Process losses/waste originating from magnesia manufacture are different types of magnesium carbonate dusts which are separated in off-gas cleaning units, e.g. the dust precipitator. The dust types are, e.g. magnesium carbonate containing various proportions of caustic calcined and
sintered magnesia. Packaging waste (plastic, wood, metal, paper, etc.) arises from the packaging step.

Some of the dust types can be recycled and re-used within the process. Furthermore, collected dust can also be used within other environmental applications, e.g. industrial waste water treatment, metal capture in landfilling. The techniques used for the dusts and other wastes at waste disposal facilities range from re-use in marketable products and recycling through to disposal.

Washing fluids used for wet washing go through a phased sedimentation process to separate the solid materials. The solids that are obtained in this process are stored at an intermediate storage site for later use within the process while water is re-used and fed back into the system.

Sludge resulting from the wet process of the flue-gas desulphurisation (settling and/or filtration methods; one plant exists in Austria) can be re-used in the process or in other sectors.

### 3.3.3.3 Emissions to water

Water is used in different stages of the process. Water for washing the raw materials (magnesite) and for the heavy media separation process is re-used in the process after sludge decantation and clarification. Furthermore, water is used to cool the product in the cooling zone of the kiln. However, this water is evaporated because of the high process temperatures. Water is also used for some operations with exhaust gas washing systems where emissions gases are cleaned.

No waste water is produced by the magnesia production processes using the dry process route. Regarding the wet process of the flue-gas desulphurisation (one plant exists in Austria), waste water is produced. This waste water has to be treated with settling and/or filtration methods to separate the sulphite/sulphate sludge. This sludge can be re-used in the process or in other sectors.

### 3.3.3.4 Noise

The extraction and processing of raw material as well as magnesia production inevitably includes noisy operations. The operation of large and heavy machinery and large fans can give rise to noise and/or vibration emissions.

Natural noise barriers, such as office buildings, walls, trees or bushes may be used in order to reduce noise emissions. Plants are required to comply with reduction standards in compliance with national legislation.

### 3.3.4 Monitoring

To control kiln processes, continuous measurements are recommended for the following parameters:

- temperature
- pressure
- $O_2$ content
- CO content.
Additionally, continuously taking measurements may be appropriate for the following parameters:

- NO\textsubscript{x} content
- SO\textsubscript{2}
- dust.

For establishing and in order to accurately quantifying the emissions, periodic measurements of the following parameters are reported:

- NO\textsubscript{x} content
- dust
- SO\textsubscript{2}
- (CO\textsubscript{2} – is generally calculated).

Additional useful information regarding monitoring can be found in the Reference Document on the General Principles of Monitoring [151, European Commission, 2003].
3.4 Techniques to consider in the determination of BAT

This section sets out measures/techniques considered generally to have the potential for achieving a high level of environmental protection in the industries within the scope of this document. Management systems, process-integrated measures/techniques and end-of-pipe measures/techniques are included, but a certain amount of overlap exists between these three when seeking the optimum results.

Prevention, control, minimisation and recycling procedures are considered as well as the re-use of materials and energy.

Measures/techniques may be presented singly or as combinations to achieve the objectives of the IPPC Directive. Annex IV to this Directive lists a number of general considerations to be taken into account when determining BAT, and measures/techniques within this section will address one or more of these considerations. As far as possible, a standard structure is used to outline each measure/technique, to enable comparison of measures/techniques and an objective assessment against the definition of BAT given in the IPPC Directive.

The content of this section is not an exhaustive list of measures/techniques and others may exist or be developed which may be equally valid within the framework of IPPC and BAT.

The standard structure used to outline each measure/technique is shown in Table 3.10. As the boundaries between the elements mentioned in this table may overlap to a certain extent, the structure is kept flexible, reflecting the particular individual case.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of information considered</th>
<th>Type of information included</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Technical description of the measure/technique (including drawings, schematics if necessary)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achieved environmental benefits</td>
<td>Main environmental benefits (including energy, water, raw material savings, as well as production yield increases, energy efficiency, etc.) addressed by the measure/technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-media effects</td>
<td>Main environmental side effects and disadvantages to other media caused by using the measure/technique. Details of the environmental effects of the measure/technique in comparison with others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational data</td>
<td>Data on consumption and emission levels from operational plants using the measure/technique (including any reference conditions and monitoring methods used). Any other relevant information on how to operate, maintain and control the measure/technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicability</td>
<td>Indication of the type of plants in which the measure/technique may be applied, considering, e.g. plant age, (new or existing), plant size (large or small), measures/techniques already installed and type or quality of product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economics</td>
<td>Information on costs (both investment and operational) and any possible savings (e.g. reduced raw material or energy consumption, waste charges) or revenues including details on how these costs/savings or revenues have been calculated/estimated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driving force for implementation</td>
<td>Local conditions or requirements which lead to or may stimulate implementation. Information on reasons other than environmental ones for implementation (e.g. increase in productivity, safety)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example plants</td>
<td>Reference to (a) plant(s) in which the measure/technique is applied and from which information has been collected and used in writing the section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference literature</td>
<td>Literature or other reference material (e.g. books, reports, studies, websites) that was used in writing the section and that contains more details on the measure/technique</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.10: Information breakdown for each measure/technique described in this section
The costs for abatement measures/techniques have to be evaluated in relation to the type of kiln used, the size of the installations, the effectiveness of the measures/techniques and the circumstances of the individual application.

3.4.1 General considerations concerning consumption of raw materials

Recycling and/or re-use of fine grain materials (application in shaft kilns and in combination with rotary kilns) and reduction and recycling of process losses/waste reduce the total consumption of raw materials.

3.4.2 General considerations concerning water

Washing fluids used for wet washing go through a phased sedimentation process to separate the solid materials. Water is re-used and fed back into the fresh water circuit.

3.4.3 Reduction of energy consumption (energy efficiency)

Description
An improved design of kilns, the optimisation of the process and the highest level of recovery and re-use of excess heat from kilns and coolers can reduce the consumption of energy and fuels. In addition, the use of oxygen (oxygen enriched combustion air) for the firing process can increase the efficiency of the firing process thus significantly improving the effectiveness of the kiln. This is coupled with a reduction in the air requirement and thus a reduction of the N₂ ballast in the kiln. The energy requirement can sustainably be reduced by this means.

Heat recovery from exhaust gases by the preliminary heating of the magnesite is used in order to minimise fuel energy use. Heat losses achieved from the kiln can be used for drying fuels, raw materials and some packaging materials.

Electrical energy use is minimised by utilisation of electricity based equipment with high energy efficiency.

Achieved environmental benefits
Reduction of energy usage and an increase in energy efficiency. As an additional effect, CO₂ emissions resulting from fuels are reduced when the process becomes more efficient.

Cross-media effects
An increase of NOₓ emissions may be caused by using a higher flame temperature for the burning process.

Operational data
Specific energy consumption of between 6 and 12 GJ/t has been reported. The theoretical reaction enthalpy of this highly endothermic process is 2803 MJ/kg (see Section 3.3.2).

Applicability
Reduction of energy consumption is, in principle, applicable to the magnesia industry.

Economics
Energy costs can constitute 35 – 40 % of total costs. Reduction of energy consumption may reduce total costs and CO₂ emissions. By using oxygen, the overall energy costs and energy balance have to be considered.
Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.
Reduction of energy requirement and costs.
Reduction of CO₂ emissions.
Reduction of costs.

Example plants and reference literature
Plants in Austria, Spain and the EU-27.
In this context, useful information can also be found in the Energy Efficiency Techniques reference document (ENE).
[67, Austria, 2006], [109, RHI AG, 2006], [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008], [165, EUROMINES, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]

3.4.4 Process control optimisation

Description
A smooth and stable kiln process, operating close to the stoichiometric air required, is beneficial for all kiln emissions as well as energy use. Usually in practice, the excess of oxygen is about 1 – 3 % to obtain the required quality of the products and for optimal combustion. This can be obtained by applying process control optimisation. The firing process is optimised in order to reduce the heat consumption and to improve/keep the product quality of the sintered, caustic calcined or fused magnesia, such as by low specific energy consumption and low specific emissions. Generally, the kilns are equipped with a process control system for monitoring and controlling the whole process from a central point. Some parameters of the process are continuously recorded, such as energy consumption, temperature and sometimes NOₓ. Reduction of emissions, such as CO, NOₓ, SO₂ and dust, may be the secondary effects of this optimisation.

Since several different products are usually produced in the same kiln, the operating characteristics of the kiln have to be aligned with these products. The operating conditions for a kiln unit can vary greatly depending on loads and the quality produced.

Achieved environmental benefits
Reduction of emissions, such as CO, NOₓ, SO₂ and dust may be possible.

Cross-media effects
No issues.

Operational data
No data submitted.

Applicability
Process control optimisation is applicable to all kiln types used in the magnesia industry. However, a high sophisticated process control system may be necessary.

Economics
A complete process control system for a sinter plant or calcination plant has investment costs of about EUR 1 million.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.

Example plants and reference literature
Plants in Austria, Spain and the EU-27.
[109, RHI AG, 2006], [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008], [165, EUROMINES, 2006]
3.4.5 Fuel selection

Description and operational data
The manufacture of magnesia using the dry process route is an energy intensive industry (see Section 3.3.2). The fuels mainly used in the EU are natural gas, petroleum coke and heavy fuel oil. However, coal (anthracite and lignite) can also be used in the firing process.

Careful selection and control of substances entering the kiln is necessary and can influence and reduce emissions, in particular SO₂. Thus, fuels with low contents of sulphur should be selected if the price is reasonable and the fuel is available.

Achieved environmental benefits
Reduction of SO₂ emissions.

Cross-media effects
By using petcoke and hard coal for firing, SO₂ emissions may be increased in comparison to the use of natural gas and/or fuel oil (see Figure 3.13). Natural gas may generate higher NOₓ emissions due to higher flame temperatures; however, CO₂ emissions are lower due to a lower C:O-ration of natural gas compared to coke or coal.

Operational costs
35 – 40 % of the production costs are related to energy costs.

Applicability
The fuels can principally be used in kilns used for magnesia production. However, several fuels, e.g. natural gas, may not be available in all regions so that these measures/techniques can only be applied where possible. The kiln has to be equipped with the fuel specific burning technique or lances. However, solid fuels, such as petcoke, are not applicable for shaft kilns with a rotating plate or a rotating grate, as they are described in Section 3.2.3.2.2.1 and Section 3.2.3.2.2.2.

In 2007, waste materials were not used as fuels due to the impurities which would then be covered by the product. The product has to be pure and clean, without any impurities. However, for the future and due to new techniques to co-incinerate waste materials and due to certain magnesia products, the magnesia industry considers the use of different types of waste, e.g. plastics or wood. In this context, general and quality control aspects for the use of waste in both the cement and lime manufacturing industries can be found in Sections 1.2.4 and 2.2.5 of this document respectively.
Economics
The comparison of different energy sources based on specific costs in EUR/GJ and the sulphur content from these fuels is shown in Figure 3.13. Petcoke contains the highest amount of sulphur followed by heavy fuel oil and then hard coal and anthracite.

![Comparison of energy sources (specific costs based on EUR/GJ and sulphur content)](image)

**Figure 3.13:** Fuel comparison with regard to specific costs and sulphur content
[130, Drnek/Maier, 2007], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]

There is a good relationship between efficiency and the price of petroleum coke (see Figure 3.13). However, energy costs have reached a high level of between 35 – 40 % and the economic factor has to be taken into consideration.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.
SO₂ reduction.

Example plants and reference literature
Plants in Austria, Spain and the EU-27.
[109, RHI AG, 2006], [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008], [165, EUROMINES, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]

3.4.6 Emissions of dust (particulate matter)

3.4.6.1 Measures/techniques for dusty operations

Description and achieved environmental benefits
Diffuse dust emissions mainly arise from the storage and handling of raw materials and fuels and from vehicles at the plant. Particulate releases from the packaging and dispatch of the product can also be significant. Simple and linear site layouts as well as organisational measures/techniques are advisable to reduce and minimise possible sources of diffuse dust.
Several different measures/techniques are presented here, which can be applied individually or in combination (see Section 3.3.3.1):

- good housekeeping of buildings and roads, along with proper and complete maintenance of the installation
- watering of raw material piles
- enclosure/encapsulation of dusty operations, such as grinding and screening
- covered conveyors and elevators, which are constructed as closed systems, if dust emissions are likely to be released from dusty material
- storage silos with adequate capacities and equipped with filters to deal with dust-bearing air displaced during filling operations
- a circulation process is favoured for pneumatic conveying systems
- reduction of air leakage and spillage points
- use of automatic devices and control systems
- use of continuous trouble free operations.

**Cross-media effects**
Increase in energy consumption from the use of filter systems. When maintenance is carried out, additional waste may occur. Noise reduction is also possible by enclosing machinery.

**Operational data**
No data submitted.

**Applicability**
These measures/techniques can, in principle, be carried out in the magnesia industry.

**Economics**
Depending on the particular individual case related measure/technique.

**Driving force for implementation**
Legal requirements.
Health and safety requirements for the workplace.

**Example plants and reference literature**
Plants in Austria, Spain and the EU-27.
[109, RHI AG, 2006], [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008], [165, EUROMINES, 2006]

### 3.4.6.2 Reduction of channelled dust emissions

Dust emissions occur from the firing process, but also during the storage and handling of raw materials and fuels (e.g. conveyors or elevators), and from grinding and milling processes.

The following dedusting devices can be applied individually or in combination:

- electrostatic precipitators (ESPs)
- cyclones
- wet dust separators
- fabric filters.

In screening, grinding and milling units, fabric filters are used to collect the product and to dedust the conveying air.

Kilns used in the magnesia industry are generally equipped with measures/techniques for dust reduction taking into account the relatively high exhaust gas temperatures from kiln firing. Of
these kilns, several are equipped with electrostatic precipitators and/or a combination of a cyclone and an ESP and/or a cyclone and a wet scrubber, on account of the relatively high exhaust gas temperatures. Furthermore, fabric filters are used which are very efficient for the reduction of dust emissions. However, for the use of fabric filters, the high temperature of the flue-gas is very important and can be critical because, depending on the filter material used, it can inflame at higher temperatures.

3.4.6.2.1 Electrostatic precipitators (ESPs)

**Description and achieved environmental benefits**
Electrostatic precipitators (ESPs) can be used for dust extraction for exhaust gas temperatures above the dew point and up to 370 – 400 °C. CO trips have to be avoided because of the explosion risks. CO is measured continuously for process control and safety reasons. The frequency of CO trips can be reduced by using modern process control systems with measuring and regulation devices that respond very quickly. Information and a guideline regarding the control of CO trips can be found in the cement chapter of this document, Section 1.4.5.3 and in Section 4.2.6.

More technical information and explanations about the operation of ESPs can be found in the cement chapter, Section 1.4.4.3.1 and in the lime chapter, Section 2.4.5.3.1. However, operational data related to ESPs used in the magnesia industry are shown below.

**Cross-media effects**
Explosion risks arise, particularly if dry ESP systems are applied.
The energy consumption increases due to the operation of the ESP compared to, e.g. the use of cyclones.
When maintenance is carried out, additional waste may occur.

**Operational data**
By an entry temperature of the flue-gases to the ESP of approximately 400 °C, dust emissions of 75 mg/Nm³ have been observed, measured discontinuously as an average value from one week per year. However, ESPs are able to achieve dust emission levels of less than 10 mg/Nm³, depending on the operational mode.

**Applicability**
ESPs are mainly used in rotary kilns.
They can be used for exhaust gas temperatures above the dew point and up to 370 – 400 °C.

**Economics**
Relatively high operational costs have to be taken into consideration when operating an ESP.

**Driving force for implementation**
Process requirements.
Legal requirements.

**Example plants and reference literature**
Two plants in the EU-27.
[67, Austria, 2006], [109, RHI AG, 2006] [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008], [165, EUROMINES, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]
3.4.6.2.2 Centrifugal separators/cyclones

Description and achieved environmental benefits
Cyclones use centrifugal force to separate particulate matter from the gas flow. They are robust and they have a wide operational temperature range with a low energy requirement [86, EURITS, 2006].

In a centrifugal separator, the dust particles to be eliminated from an off-gas stream are forced out against the outer wall of the unit by centrifugal action and then eliminated through an aperture at the bottom of the unit. Centrifugal forces can be developed by directing the gas flow in a downward spiral motion through a cylindrical vessel (cyclonic separators) or by a rotating impeller fitted in the unit (mechanical centrifugal separators).

Cross-media effects
The operation of centrifugal separators/cyclones is a significant noise source. When maintenance is carried out, additional waste may occur.

Operational data
In other sectors, such as in the lime industry, centrifugal separators/cyclones are used as pre-separators/product separators. They can typically only achieve dust emission values of less than 150 mg/Nm³ (see Section 2.4.5.3.4). In the cement industry, cyclones are combined with an air/heat exchanger for temperature reduction and a fabric filter for dust removal from, e.g. cooling exhaust gases. A cyclone can reduce the dust concentration by 70 %. In combination with an air heat exchanger and a fabric filter, high cleaning efficiencies of up to 99.99 % are achievable along with low emission values of 5 – 7 mg/Nm³ and these have been reported from a cement plant in South Africa (see cement chapter, Section 1.4.4.3.2).

Centrifugal separators perform more efficiently with higher pollutant loadings, provided that the device does not become blocked.

Applicability
Particles with a low grain sizes are not effectively retained by centrifugal separators/cyclones. Because of the system-dependent limited degree of separation, cyclones are mainly used as preliminary separators for coarse dust and exhaust gases.

Economics
Centrifugal separators/cyclones are relatively inexpensive and easy to operate.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.
Process requirements.

Example plants and reference literature
Plants in Austria, Spain and the EU-27.
[86, EURITS, 2006], [109, RHI AG, 2006], [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008], [165, EUROMINES, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]

3.4.6.2.3 Wet dust separators

Description and achieved environmental benefits
With wet dust separators, dust is eliminated from off-gas streams. Wet dust separators (also called wet scrubbers) can be divided into various types according to their design and working principles, such as the venturi type. This type of wet dust separator has a number of applications in the magnesia industry, including when gas is directed through the narrowest section of the venturi tube, the so-called ‘venturi neck’, and gas velocities of between 60 and 120 m/s can be achieved. The washing fluids which are fed into the venturi tube neck are diffused into a mist of
very fine droplets and are intensively mixed with the gas. The particles separated onto the water droplets become heavier and can be readily drawn off using a drop separator installed in this venturi wet dust separator.

**Cross-media effects**
With the wet separation technique, pollutants are transferred from air to water so a second installation for cleaning the wet waste product (suspension) is essential, and therefore additional energy consumption is also possible.

**Operational data**
When operated efficiently, modern multi-cascade wet scrubbers can achieve clean gas concentrations of between 10 and 20 mg/Nm$^3$ (see Section 2.4.5.3.3 of the lime chapter).

**Applicability**
This venturi type of wet dust separator removes dust, volatile substances, HCl and SO$_2$ from the exhaust gas stream. No disadvantages or side effects are caused by implementing this technique.

**Economics**
Regarding operating costs, scrubbing liquid and process waste water cleaning both have to be taken into consideration.

**Driving force for implementation**
Process requirements.
Legal requirements.

**Example plants and reference literature**
Two plants in the EU-27.
[109, RHI AG, 2006], [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008], [165, EUROMINES, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]

**3.4.6.2.4 Fabric filters**

**Description and achieved environmental benefits**
In a fabric filter, the off-gas is passed through a filter bag and the dust particles are deposited on the filter surface in form of a filter cake. Fabric filters receive high particle retention, typically over 98 and up to 99 % depending on the particle size. This technique offers the best efficiency on particle collection in comparison to other dust abatement measures/techniques used in the magnesia industry. However, because of the high temperatures of the kiln exhaust gases, special filter materials which can tolerate high temperatures have to be used.

**Cross-media effects**
Operation of fabric filters, especially with pressure pulse regeneration, may cause noise emissions and they might also increase the electricity consumption due to their high pressure drop. When maintenance is carried out, additional waste may occur.

**Operational data**
Fabric filters are available in different types of woven filters and some of them can operate with, and tolerate, quite high temperatures. Information about the technical application of different types of fabrics can be found in Section 1.4.4.3.2 (cement chapter) of this document.

From dusty operations other than from the kiln firing process, dust emissions ranges of less than 5 – 10 mg/Nm$^3$ can be achieved in other sectors by using fabric filters. For well designed, operated and maintained fabric filters (continuously dedusting of the filter bags), dust emissions of less than 10 mg/Nm$^3$ are achieved in the lime industry (see 2.4.5.3.2). The separation efficiency can be higher than 99.9 %, and therefore emissions of less than 5 mg/Nm$^3$ can be achieved (dry gas, 273 K, 10 % O$_2$) in the cement industry (see 1.4.4.3.2).
Furthermore in the magnesium oxide industry, dust emission levels of less than 20 mg/Nm$^3$ were reported from kiln firing processes by using fabric filters [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008].

**Applicability**

Fabric filters for dust removal from off-gases can, in principle, be applied for all units in the magnesium oxide manufacturing process, especially for dusty operations, screening, grinding and milling. They can be used for exhaust gas temperatures above the dew point and up to 280 °C.

Because of the high temperatures of the exhaust gases of between 250 and 400 °C and the corrosive nature and the high volume of the exhaust gases occurring from the kiln firing process for the production of caustic calcined magnesia (CCM) and sintered/dead burned magnesia (DBM), special fabric filters with high temperature resistant filter material have to be used. However, experience from the magnesia industry producing DBM shows that no suitable equipment, e.g. filter material, is available for exhaust gas temperatures of approximately 400 °C for magnesia production.

In DBM manufacture, filter materials operating with temperatures up to 250 °C are used, such as PTFE (Teflon) filter material. This filter material shows good resistance to acids or alkalis and a lot of corrosion problems have been solved [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008].

**Economics**

The investment costs of filter materials tolerating high flue-gas temperatures are relatively high in comparison to other filter material.

The operational costs are higher for fabric filters than for ESPs because of the higher pressure drop of the filter materials.

Information about the technical application and costs of different types of fabrics can be found in Section 1.4.4.3.2 (cement chapter) of this document.

**Driving force for implementation**

Legal requirements.

**Example plants and reference literature**

Three plants in the EU-27.

[109, RHI AG, 2006], [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008], [165, EUROMINES, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]

### 3.4.7 Gaseous compounds

#### 3.4.7.1 Reduction of NO$\textsubscript{x}$ emissions

**Description**

The significant influential factor for NO$\textsubscript{x}$ emissions is the firing temperature which is necessary for the firing process in the magnesia industry. Generally, this has a direct relationship to the quality of the sintered/dead burned magnesia produced. This firing temperature is generally very high compared to other applications of the same unit. However, the firing temperatures vary depending on the product. Because of the characteristics of the product that has to be produced and the direct relationship between the product and the firing temperature, this firing temperature cannot be reduced.
There is one source for the formation of NO\textsubscript{x}:

- thermal NO\textsubscript{x} is due to the reaction at high temperatures between N\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{2} from the combustion air.

Thermal NO\textsubscript{x} forms at temperatures above 1000 °C, i.e. in the burning zone of the kiln, where the temperatures are sufficiently high. The amount of thermal NO\textsubscript{x} produced increases with higher temperatures and growing oxygen contents in the burning zone.

Different measures/techniques can be used to reduce NO\textsubscript{x} emissions, such as primary or secondary measures/techniques or a combination of both. Primary measures/techniques reduce the formation of NO\textsubscript{x} while secondary measures/techniques destroy nitrogen oxide and reduce them to N\textsubscript{2}.

Primary measures/techniques are process-integrated measures/techniques and involve in particular:

- process optimisation
- improved firing technique
- staged combustion/air staging
- burner design.

In 2007, no stage combustion was used in the magnesium oxide industry.

Secondary measures/techniques for NO\textsubscript{x} reduction involve as follows:

- selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR)
- selective catalytic reduction (SCR).

In 2007, no secondary measures/techniques for the reduction of NO\textsubscript{x} were used in the magnesium oxide industry.

**Achieved environmental benefits**
Reduction of NO\textsubscript{x} emissions.

**Cross-media effects**
As shown in Section 1.4.5.1.7 and in Section 2.4.6.1.4 (cement chapter), if SNCR is considered to be used the ammonia slip has also to be considered. The relationship between temperature, NH\textsubscript{3} slip and NO\textsubscript{x} reduction are shown in Figure 2.50 (see Section 2.4.6.1.4 of the lime chapter) [46, Germany, 2006]. However, in 2008, no SNCR technique is used in the magnesia industry (see applicability).

**Operational data**
See Section 3.3.3.1 and Table 3.9. By using only primary NO\textsubscript{x} reduction measures/techniques, NO\textsubscript{x} emissions of between 650 – 2500 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} were reported in 2006. However, the higher value is related to the high temperature DBM process.

**Applicability**

*Primary measures/techniques*
Primary measures/techniques are, in principle, applicable to most types of kilns used for magnesia manufacture.

However, some primary measures/techniques used in other industries cannot be used in the magnesia industry, such as low NO\textsubscript{x} burners and flame cooling. Low NO\textsubscript{x} burners are not/cannot be used because of the high firing temperature which is necessary for DBM production. This type of burner operates with temperatures at a maximum of 1600 °C while the...
firing temperature for DBM production is up to 2200 °C. Flame cooling is can also not be used because of these high temperatures.

To be used in the magnesia industry, stage combustion/air staging has to be further developed. In 2007, no stage combustion was used in the magnesium oxide industry.

**Secondary measures/techniques**

In the EU-27 in 2008, *selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR)* for NOₓ reduction was not used in the magnesia industry because the SNCR technique operates within a temperature window of between 800 – 1000 °C while the DBM production process is an endothermic process which does not reach this temperature window. During the process where the material is de-acidified, the temperature is in a range of between 500 and 800 °C. A stable temperature above 800 °C is not achievable without high amounts of energy which additionally has to be used in order to achieve the temperature where the SNCR technique operates. Beside others, a significant increase in additional energy costs would arise.

From the evaluation study carried out in the late 1990s, it appeared that *selective catalytic reduction (SCR)* for NOₓ reduction in the DBM industry was uncertain technically as well as economically. This was mainly due to the uncertainty in the life-time of the catalysts and the strong pollutive nature of the off-gases [108, European Commission, 2006].

The SCR technique operates in a temperature window of between 300 and 400 °C. NO and NO₂ is reduced to N₂ by using NH₃ and a catalyst. The process requires a good mixing of the flue-gas and the agent, an optimised injection temperature and a sufficient residence time in the window of optimal temperatures.

In the EU-27 in 2008, SCR technique for NOₓ reduction was not used in the magnesia industry. The catalysts are very sensitive to magnesia. Because of a high dust load, the exhaust gas has to be dedusted, e.g. by using fabric filters, before passing the catalysts of the SCR. However, because of the high temperature needed, the exhaust gas has to be cooled down to a maximum of 250 °C before being dedusted by special fabric filters. For the use of the SCR technique, the exhaust gas has then to be reheated to the temperature window of between 300 and 400 °C where the SCR operates. This requires additional energy and may cause additional emissions, e.g. CO₂.

**Economics**

Catalytic processes usually involve high investment costs.

**Driving force for implementation**

Legal requirements.

**Example plants and reference literature**

3.4.7.2 Reduction of CO emissions

Description and achieved environmental benefits
The selection, if possible, of raw materials with a low content of organic matter also reduces CO emissions. Continuous controlling of the firing temperature and controlled fuel addition can also influence CO emissions, e.g.:

- using weight feeders and precision rotary valves for petcoke feeding and/or
- using flowmeters and precision valves for heavy oil or gas feeding regulation to the kiln burner.

A complete and correct combustion is essential to reduce CO emissions. Air supply from cooler and primary air as well as the draught of the stack fan can be controlled in order to keep an oxygen level of between 1 (sinter) and 1.5 % (caustic) during the combustion. Periodic flue-gas analysis can detect high concentrations of CO (150 mg/Nm³) while a change of air and fuel charge can reduce these emissions.

Furthermore, CO emissions can be decreased by changing the depth of the burner [108, European Commission, 2006]. CO emissions are measured in order to optimise the process (process optimisation) and to check the combustion (100 % combustion) of the fuels.

In some cases and under certain circumstances, the CO trip management measure/technique that has been developed for cement kilns which are equipped with ESPs may also be applicable to the magnesia industry. Information and a guideline regarding the control of CO trips can be found in the cement chapter of this document, Section 1.4.5.3 and in Section 4.2.6.

Cross-media effects
No issues.

Operational data
CO emissions of between 33 and 1000 mg/Nm³ were reported.

Total CO₂ per tonne MgO product is the sum of process CO₂ and fuel related CO₂. The CO₂ proportion which originates from the fuel is, depending on the product, in a range of 0.35 – 0.59 t_CO₂/t_MgO for gas and about 0.813 t_CO₂/t_MgO for ‘OECD mix’. The CO₂ proportion which originates from the process is 1 t_CO₂/t_MgO (calcination of magnesite to magnesium oxide).

Applicability
This measure/technique is, in principle, applicable to the magnesia industry.

Economics
No data submitted.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.

Example plants and reference literature
Magnesia plants in the EU-27.
3.4.7.3 Reduction of SO\textsubscript{2} emissions

**Description**
SO\textsubscript{2} emissions mainly depend on the content of sulphur in the fuel. Emissions of sulphur from the raw material (magnesite) depend on the content of sulphur in the raw material. They are, in principle, low because of the low content of sulphur in most of the raw materials. However, in some cases the SO\textsubscript{2} emissions from raw materials can be 50 and up to 100 % of the total SO\textsubscript{2} emissions.

In the magnesia industry, different flue-gas desulphurisation measures/techniques are used for SO\textsubscript{2} reduction, such as:

- wet dust separator (wet scrubber)
- spray dry scrubber
- sorbent injection process (injection of solid or liquid adsorbents in the flue-gas stream)
- regenerating process.

Technical information and explanations about the operation of wet scrubbers can be found in the cement chapter, Section 1.4.5.2.2.

By using the sorbent injection process, a sufficient gas residence time between the injection point and the dust collector is very important to obtain highly efficient absorption. Reactive MgO grades are already being used as efficient sorbents for SO\textsubscript{2} in other sectors, e.g. thermal power generation plants. Despite its lower efficiency compared to other measures/techniques, the use of this measure/technique in the magnesia industry has a double advantage: lower investment costs and the filter dust is not contaminated by other substances. The collected filter dust can then be re-used and added to the products in order to minimise waste generation.

Furthermore, technical information and explanations about the sorbent injection process (adsorbent addition) in other sectors can be found in the cement chapter in Section 1.4.5.2.1 and in the lime chapter in Section 2.4.6.2 of this document.

**Achieved environmental benefits**
Reduction of SO\textsubscript{2} emissions. Volatile substances and dust emissions along with HCl and SO\textsubscript{2} emissions can be reduced by using wet dust separators using a venturi scrubber.

**Cross-media effects**
Minimisation of waste generation (re-use of the filter dust).
By using wet dust separators (wet scrubbers), emissions to water may occur.

**Operational data**
By using sulphur rich fuels, such as petcoke, and a wet scrubber for SO\textsubscript{2} abatement, SO\textsubscript{2} emissions in a range of between 17.1 – 50 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} are observed from spot measurements. By using natural gas, SO\textsubscript{2} emission levels of 10 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} has been achieved [109, RHI AG, 2006].

By producing caustic magnesia in a rotary kiln, about 40 % of the sulphur dioxide resulting from the fuel is recovered mainly from the filter dust.

**Applicability**
These measures/techniques can, in principle, be carried out in the magnesia industry. As described in Section 3.4.6.2.3, wet dust separators using a venturi scrubber, along with HCl and SO\textsubscript{2}, also remove volatile substances and dust. This measure/technique is considered to be efficient in other sectors.

In order to obtain highly efficient absorption by using the sorbent injection process, a sufficient gas residence time between the injection point and the dust collector is very important.
Economics
In the MgO industry, the installation of a wet scrubber may involve investment costs of about EUR 2 million – 3 million. Furthermore, example cost data for SO₂ abatement measure/techniques in the cement industry can be found in Sections 1.4.5.2 and 1.4.8.3 of this document.

Depending on the measure/technique used, flue-gas desulphurisation processes may involve high investments costs.

Driving force for implementation
Legal requirements.

Example plants and reference literature
Plants in Austria, Spain and EU-27.
[109, RHI AG, 2006] [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008], [165, EUROMINES, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]

3.4.8 General considerations concerning process losses/waste
Process losses, such as various types of magnesium carbonate dusts which are collected should be recycled back into the production processes whenever practicable or should be re-used in marketable products. Furthermore, sludge resulting from the wet process of flue-gas desulphurisation (settling and/or filtration methods) should be re-used in the process or in other sectors if possible due to specification.

Alternative uses may be found for material that cannot be recycled, e.g. packaging waste materials or wastes resulting from the maintenance of certain installation devices.

3.4.9 Noise
Description and achieved environmental benefits
The extraction and processing of raw material as well as DBM production inevitably includes noisy operations. Noise emissions occur throughout the whole process and can arise at different stages of the process, such as from crushing and milling units, grinding and preparation units, from the kilns and the feeding systems of the kilns, belt conveyors, filter units, the cooling systems of the kilns, etc. The operation of large and heavy machinery and large fans can give rise to noise and/or vibration emissions. By directly applying measures/techniques at the source of the noise, a reduction of these emissions can often be achieved, such as:

- enclosure of the noisy unit
- minimising noise by using soundproofed buildings
- lagging of ducts and finally blowers which are situated in soundproofed buildings
- noise protection walls
- outlet silencers for exhaust stacks
- silencers for fans of filters
- use of soundproofed modules for technical devices (e.g. compressors)
- using rubber shields for mills (avoiding the contact of metal against metal).

If the above-mentioned noise protection measures/techniques cannot be applied and if a transfer of noisy units inside a building is not possible, e.g. because of the sizes of kilns and their facilities, secondary noise protection measures/techniques, e.g. constructing buildings or growing trees and bushes between the protected area and the noisy activity (the kiln or the storage area) have to be carried out. Doors and windows of covered areas have to be kept closed during noisy operations.
Natural noise barriers, such as office buildings, walls, trees or bushes may be used in order to reduce noise emissions. Plants are required to comply with reduction of noise standards in compliance with national legislation.

More information about noise abatement and a noise abatement scheme can be found in the lime Chapter in Section 2.4.10 of this document.

**Cross-media effects**
Additional investment and maintenance costs can occur due to the noise protection measures/techniques.

**Operational data**
No data available.

**Applicability**
Measures/techniques for noise reduction can, in principle, be applicable to the magnesia industry (see Section 3.3.3.4).

**Economics**
Additional investment costs can occur due to the noise protection measures/techniques.

**Driving force for implementation**
Legal requirements.

**Example plants and reference literature**
Magnesium oxide (magnesia) plants in Austria, Spain and the EU-27.
More information about noise abatement and a noise abatement scheme can be found in the lime Chapter, Section 2.4.10.
[45, Schorcht, 2006] [109, RHI AG, 2006], [110, Spanish MgO producers, 2006/2008], [165, EUROMINES, 2006]

3.4.10 **Environmental management tools**

Environmental management tools are described in the cement chapter in Section 1.4.12 of this document.
3.5 Best available techniques for the manufacture of magnesium oxide by using the dry process route based on mined natural magnesite

In understanding this section and its contents, the attention of the reader is drawn back to the preface of this document and in particular the fifth section of the preface: ‘How to understand and use this document’. The measures/techniques and associated emission and/or consumption levels, or ranges of levels, presented in this section have been assessed through an iterative process involving the following steps:

- identification of the key environmental issues for the sector; for the manufacture of magnesium oxide. These are energy use and emissions to air
- examination of the measures/techniques most relevant to address those key issues
- identification of the best environmental performance levels, on the basis of the available data in the European Union and worldwide
- examination of the conditions under which these performance levels were achieved; such as costs, cross-media effects, main driving forces involved in implementation of these measures/techniques
- selection of the best available techniques (BAT) and the associated emission and/or consumption levels for this sector in a general sense all according to Article 2(12) and Annex IV to the Directive.

Expert judgement by the European IPPC Bureau and the relevant Technical Working Group (TWG) has played a key role in each of these steps and in the way in which the information is presented here.

On the basis of this assessment, best available techniques, and as far as possible emission and consumption levels associated with their use, are presented in this section that are considered to be appropriate to the sector as a whole and in many cases reflect current performance of some installations within the sector. Where emission or consumption levels ‘associated with best available techniques’ are presented, this is to be understood as meaning that those levels represent the environmental performance that could be anticipated as a result of the application, in this sector, of the measures/techniques described, bearing in mind the balance of costs and advantages inherent within the definition of BAT. However, they are neither emission nor consumption limit values and should not be understood as such. In some cases it may be technically possible to achieve better emission or consumption levels but due to the costs involved or cross-media considerations, they are not considered to be appropriate as BAT for the sector as a whole. However, such levels may be considered to be justified in more specific cases where there are special driving forces.

The emission and consumption levels associated with the use of BAT have to be seen together with any specified reference conditions (e.g. averaging periods).

The concept of ‘levels associated with BAT’ described above is to be distinguished from the term ‘achievable level’ used elsewhere in this document. Where a level is described as ‘achievable’ using a particular measure/technique or combination of measures/techniques, this should be understood to mean that the level may be expected to be achieved over a substantial period of time in a well maintained and operated installation or process using those measures/techniques.

Where available, data concerning costs have been given together with the description of the measures/techniques presented in the previous Section 3.4. These give a rough indication about the magnitude of costs involved. However, the actual cost of applying a measure/technique will depend strongly on the specific situation regarding, for example, taxes, fees, subsidies and the technical characteristics of the installation concerned. It is not possible to evaluate such site-specific factors fully in this document. In the absence of data concerning costs, conclusions on
economic viability of measures/techniques are drawn from observations on existing installations.

The BAT (including the associated emission and consumption levels) given in this section are ‘BAT in a general sense’ (i.e. considered to be appropriate to the sector as a whole). It is intended that they are a reference point against which to judge the current performance of an existing installation or to judge a proposal for a new installation. In this way, they will assist in the determination of appropriate ‘BAT-based’ conditions for the installation or in the establishment of general binding rules under Article 9(8) of the IPPC Directive. It is foreseen that new installations can be designed to perform at or even better than the BAT levels presented in this section. It is also considered that existing installations could move towards the BAT levels presented in this section or do better, subject to the technical and economic applicability of the measures/techniques in each case.

While the BAT reference documents do not set legally binding standards, they are meant to give information for the guidance of industry, Member States and the public on achievable emission and consumption levels when using specified measures/techniques. The appropriate permit conditions for any specific case will need to be determined taking into account the objectives of the IPPC Directive and the local considerations.

In this document, the BAT for the magnesium oxide manufacturing industry (using the dry process route) consider generic and specific measures/techniques applicable to the magnesia industry. ‘Best Available Techniques’ for a specific installation will usually be the use of one individual or a combination of the BAT listed in this section.

Where measures/techniques identified individually as BAT can be used in combination, the effects of such combinations should be considered when drawing conclusions on BAT based permit conditions for individual cases.

Recommendation to help users/readers of this document:

It is strongly recommended to read this Section 3.5 in conjunction with Section 3.4, because the considerations regarding the applicability of the measures/techniques as mentioned in Section 3.4 have to be taken into account. To help the reader in this, references to Section 3.4 have been included in Section 3.5.

Emission levels given in this section are expressed on a daily average basis and standard conditions. See the following definitions regarding the standard conditions for volume flows and concentrations of kiln exhaust gases, and are also stated in the Glossary:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>m³/h</td>
<td>volume flow: if not otherwise mentioned in this section, the volume flows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>refer to 10 vol-% oxygen and standard state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>concentration: if not otherwise mentioned in this section, the concentrations of gases refer to dry flue-gas at 10 vol-% oxygen and standard state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>standard state refers to a temperature of 273 K, a pressure of 1013 hPa and dry gas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As described in the Preface, this document does not propose emission limit values. The best available techniques and presented ranges of emission or consumption levels associated with best available techniques (BAT-AEL ranges) are related to installations with different kiln sizes, different kinds of kiln operation, e.g. continuously or discontinuously, and different operational hours per year. In this document, special local considerations cannot be taken fully into account. The determination of appropriate permit conditions will involve taking account of local, site-specific factors such as the technical characteristics of the installation concerned, its geographical location and the local environmental conditions. Even the single objective of ensuring a high level of protection for the environment as a whole will often involve making
trade-off judgements between different types of environmental impacts, and these judgements will often be influenced by local considerations.

Although an attempt is made to address some of these issues, it is not possible for them to be considered fully in this document. The measures/techniques and levels presented here in Section 3.5 will therefore not necessarily be appropriate for all installations. On the other hand, the obligation to ensure a high level of environmental protection including the minimisation of long-distance or transboundary pollution implies that permit conditions cannot be set on the basis of purely local considerations. It is therefore of the utmost importance that the information contained in this document is fully taken into account.

3.5.1 Environmental management system (EMS)

A number of environmental management techniques are determined as BAT. The scope (e.g. level of detail) and nature of the EMS (e.g. standardised or non-standardised) will generally be related to the nature, scale and complexity of the installation, and the range of environmental impacts it may have.

55. BAT is to implement and adhere to an Environmental Management System (EMS) that incorporates, as appropriate to the local circumstances, the following features (see Section 1.4.12 of the cement chapter):

(a) commitment of top management (commitment of the top management is regarded as a precondition for a successful application of other features of the EMS)

(b) definition of an environmental policy that include continuous improvement for the installation by top management

(c) planning and establishing the necessary procedures, objectives and targets, in conjunction with financial planning and investment

(d) implementation of the procedures, paying particular attention to:
   ○ structure and responsibility
   ○ training, awareness and competence
   ○ communication
   ○ employee involvement
   ○ documentation
   ○ efficient process control
   ○ maintenance programme
   ○ emergency preparedness and response
   ○ safeguarding compliance with environmental legislation.

(e) checking performance and taking corrective action, paying particular attention to
   ○ monitoring and measurement (see also the Reference Document on the General Principles of Monitoring [151, European Commission, 2003])
   ○ corrective and preventive action
   ○ maintenance of records
   ○ independent (where practicable) internal auditing in order to determine whether or not the environmental management system conforms to planned arrangements and has been properly implemented and maintained

(f) review of the EMS and its continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness by top management.
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Three further features are listed below, and while these features have advantages, an EMS without them can be BAT:

- having the management system and audit procedure examined and validated by an accredited certification body or an external EMS verifier
- preparation and publication (and possibly external validation) of a regular environmental statement describing all the significant environmental aspects of the installation, allowing for year-by-year comparison against environmental objectives and targets as well as with sector benchmarks as appropriate
- implementation of and adherence to an internationally accepted voluntary system such as EMAS and EN ISO 14001:2004. This voluntary step could give higher credibility to the EMS. In particular EMAS, which embodies all the above-mentioned features, gives higher credibility. However, non-standardised systems can, in principle, be equally effective provided that they are properly designed and implemented.

Specifically for the magnesium oxide manufacturing industry, it is also important to consider the following potential features of the EMS:

- the environmental impact from the eventual decommissioning of the unit at the stage of designing a new plant
- the development of cleaner technologies, and to follow developments
- where practicable, the application of sectoral benchmarking on a regular basis, including energy efficiency and energy conservation activities, choice of input materials, emissions to air, discharges to water, consumption of water and generation of waste.

3.5.2 General primary measures/techniques – monitoring

56. BAT is to carry out monitoring and measurements of process parameters and emissions on a regular basis, such as:

   a) continuous measurements of process parameters demonstrating the process stability, such as temperature, O₂ content, pressure, flow rate
   b) monitoring and stabilising critical process parameters, i.e. raw material and fuel feed, regular dosage and excess oxygen
   c) continuous or periodic (for at least once a month and at the time of the highest expected emissions) measurements of dust, NOₓ, SOₓ and CO emissions.

3.5.3 Energy consumption

General considerations regarding energy consumption can be found in Sections 3.4.3 and 3.4.5.

57. BAT is to reduce thermal energy consumption depending on the process and the products to 6 – 12 GJ/t by applying a combination of the following measures/techniques:

   a) applying improved and optimised kiln systems and a smooth and stable kiln process by applying:
      I. process control optimisation
      II. heat recovery from exhaust gases from kiln and coolers
   b) using fuels with characteristics which have a positive influence on thermal energy consumption (see Sections 3.2.2 and 3.4.5).
   c) limiting excess air.
This range only reflects information provided for the magnesium oxide chapter of this document. More specific information about best performing measures/techniques along with the products produced was not provided (see also Section 3.7).

In this context, see Sections 3.4.3 and 3.4.5, where several different measures/techniques are presented, which can be applied to kiln systems, individually or in combination. Furthermore in this context, see also the Reference Document on Best Available Techniques for Energy Efficiency [181, European Commission, 2008].

58. BAT **is to minimise electrical energy consumption by applying the following measures/techniques individually or in combination:**

- a) using power management systems
- b) using grinding equipment and other electricity based equipment with high energy efficiency (see Section 3.4.3).

### 3.5.4 Dust emissions

#### 3.5.4.1 Diffuse dust emissions

General considerations regarding diffuse dust emissions can be found in Section 3.4.6.1.

59. **BAT is to minimise/prevent diffuse dust emissions by applying measures/techniques for dusty operations individually or in combination.**

In this context, see Section 3.4.6.1, where several different measures/techniques are presented, which can be applied individually or in combination.

#### 3.5.4.2 Channelled dust emissions from dusty operations

This section presents BAT for dust emissions arising from dusty operations other than those from kiln firing processes. General considerations regarding channelled dust emissions can be found in Sections 3.3.3.1 and 3.4.6.2.

60. **BAT is to reduce channelled dust emissions from dusty operations (see Section 3.4.6.2) to less than 10 mg/Nm³ (BAT-AEL), as the average over the sampling period (spot measurements, for at least half an hour), by applying flue-gas cleaning with a filter.**

It has to be noted that for small sources (<10000 Nm³/h), a priority approach has to be taken into account.

In this context, see Section 3.4.6.2, where several different measures/techniques are presented, which can be applied individually or in combination.
3.5.4.3 Dust emissions from kiln firing process

This section presents BAT for dust emissions arising from the kiln firing process. General considerations regarding channelled dust emissions can be found in Sections 3.3.3.1 and 3.4.6.2.

61. BAT is to reduce dust (particulate matter) emissions from the flue-gases of kiln firing processes to <20 – 35 mg/Nm³ (BAT-AEL), as the daily average value or average over the sampling period (spot measurements, for at least half an hour), by applying exhaust gas cleaning with a filter.

In this context, see Section 3.4.6.2, where several different measures/techniques are presented, which can be applied individually or in combination.

3.5.5 Gaseous compounds

3.5.5.1 General primary measures/techniques for reducing gaseous compounds

General considerations regarding emissions of gaseous compounds can be found in Sections 3.3.3.1, 3.4.4, 3.4.5 and 3.4.7.

62. BAT is to reduce the emissions of gaseous compounds (i.e. NOₓ, HCl, SOₓ, CO) from flue-gases of kiln firing processes by applying the following primary measures/techniques individually or in combination:

a) careful selection and control of substances entering the kiln
b) reducing the pollutant precursors in fuels and/or raw materials, i.e.
   I. selecting fuels with low contents of sulphur, if available, chlorine and nitrogen (see Section 3.4.5)
   II. selecting raw materials with low contents of organic matter (see Section 3.4.7.2)
   III. selecting suitable waste fuels for the process and the burner (see Sections 3.2.2 and 3.4.5).

   c) using process optimisation measures/techniques to ensure a smooth and stable kiln process, operating close to the stoichiometric required air (see Section 3.4.4).

3.5.5.2 NOₓ emissions

General considerations regarding NOₓ emissions can be found in Sections 3.3.3.1 and 3.4.7.1.

63. BAT is to reduce the emissions of NOₓ from the flue-gases of kiln firing processes to <500 – <1500 mg/Nm³ (BAT-AEL), as the daily average value stated as NO₂, by applying a combination of the following measures/techniques (see Section 3.4.7.1):

a) appropriate fuel selection along with a limited nitrogen content in the fuel, if available (see Section 3.4.5)

b) process optimisation and improved firing technique (see Section 3.4.4 and 3.4.7.1).

The higher BAT-AEL values are related to the high temperature DBM process.

In this context, see Section 3.4.7.1 where several different measures/techniques are presented. However, at the time of writing the chapter on the magnesium oxide industry and this BAT section (2008), there were no known examples of SNCR or SCR applied in this sector. This is due to the fact that the magnesium oxide production process is an endothermic process (500 – 800 °C) not reaching the temperature window of approximately 800 – 1100 °C where SNCR operates. Additional heating of the flue-gases
is required. Furthermore, SCR is not used due to uncertain technical as well as economic reasons, which are mainly due to the uncertainty in the life-time of the catalysts and the strong pollutive nature of the off-gases. A certain amount of research and development has to be carried out before SNCR or SCR can be applied in the magnesium oxide industry.

Because of the high firing temperature of up to 2200 °C which is necessary for magnesium oxide (DBM) production, low NO\textsubscript{x} burners are not used. They only operate with temperatures at a maximum of 1600 °C.

In 2008, stage combustion was not used in the magnesia industry and before it can be used, it has to be further developed.

3.5.5.3 CO emissions and CO trips

3.5.5.3.1 CO emissions

General considerations regarding CO emissions can be found in Section 3.4.7.2.

64. BAT is to reduce the emissions of CO from the flue-gases of kiln firing processes to $<50 – 1000 \text{ mg/Nm}^3$ (BAT-AEL), as the daily average value by applying a combination of the following measures/techniques:

a) selecting, if possible, raw materials with low a content of organic matter
b) process control optimisation
c) feeding fuels controlled, constantly and continuously.

In this context, see Section 3.4.7.2, where several different measures/techniques are presented, which can be applied individually or in combination.

3.5.5.3.2 Reduction of CO trips

General considerations regarding CO trips can be found in Section 3.4.7.2.

65. By applying ESPs, BAT is to minimise the number of CO trips by applying the following measures/techniques (see Sections 3.4.6.2.1 and 3.4.7.2):

a) reducing the ESP downtime
b) taking continuous automatic CO measurements
c) using fast measuring and control equipment, including a CO monitoring system with short response time and situated close to the CO source

In this context, see also the cement chapter (Section 1.4.5.3) and the lime chapter (Section 2.4.6.3), where several different measures/techniques are presented, which can be applied individually or in combination. Furthermore, see Section 4.2.6, where a guideline regarding the control of CO trips is presented.
3.5.4 \textbf{SO}_x \text{ emissions}

General considerations regarding \text{SO}_x \text{ emissions can be found in Sections 3.3.3.1, 3.4.4, 3.4.5 and 3.4.7.3.}

66. \textbf{BAT is to reduce the emissions of \text{SO}_x from the flue-gases of kiln firing processes by applying a combination of the following primary and secondary measures/techniques:}

\begin{itemize}
  \item[a)] using process optimisation measures/techniques (see Sections 3.4.4)
  \item[b)] selecting fuels with a low sulphur content, if available (see Section 3.4.5)
  \item[c)] using absorbent addition techniques, e.g. absorbent addition, dry flue-gas cleaning with a filter, wet scrubber, activated carbon (see Section 3.4.7.3).
\end{itemize}

The following emission levels of \text{SO}_x are BAT-AELs:

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|}
\hline
Parameter & Unit & BAT-AEL$^{1)}$ (daily average value) \\
\hline
\text{SO}_x \text{ expressed as } \text{SO}_2 \text{ (Sulphur content in the raw material } <0.10 \% & \text{mg/Nm}^3 & <50 \\
\hline
\text{SO}_x \text{ expressed as } \text{SO}_2 \text{ (Sulphur content in the raw material } 0.10 \text{ – } 0.25 \% & \text{mg/Nm}^3 & 50 – 250 \\
\hline
\text{SO}_x \text{ expressed as } \text{SO}_2 \text{ (Sulphur content in the raw material } >0.25 \% & \text{mg/Nm}^3 & 250 – 400$^{2)}$ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

$^{1)}$ The ranges depend on the content of sulphur in the raw materials, e.g. for the use of raw materials with a lower content of sulphur, lower levels within the ranges are BAT and for the use of raw materials with a higher content of sulphur, higher levels within the ranges are BAT,

$^{2)}$ Related to raw material compositions, \text{SO}_2 \text{ emission levels could be higher than 400 mg/Nm}^3 \text{ in exceptional cases}

$^{3)}$ Cross-media effects should be taken into account to assess the best combination of BAT to reduce \text{SO}_x \text{ emissions}

Table 3.11: BAT associated emission levels for \text{SO}_x from flue-gases of kiln firing processes in the magnesia industry

3.5.6 \textbf{Process losses/waste}

General considerations regarding process losses/waste can be found in Sections 3.3.3.2 and 3.4.8.

67. \textbf{BAT is to re-use collected particulate matter (various types of magnesium carbonate} dusts) in the process wherever practicable.

68. \textbf{When various types of collected magnesium carbonate dusts are not recyclable the utilisation of these dusts in other marketable products, when possible, is BAT.}

69. \textbf{BAT is to re-use sludge resulting from the wet process of the flue-gas desulphurisation in the process or in other sectors.}
3.5.7 Noise

General considerations regarding noise can be found in Sections 3.3.3.4 and 3.4.9.

70. BAT is to reduce/minimise noise emissions from magnesium oxide manufacturing processes by applying a combination of the following measures/techniques (see Section 3.4.9):

a) enclosing the noisy operations/units
b) minimising noise by using soundproofed buildings
c) lagging ducts and finally blowers which are situated in soundproofed buildings
d) using noise protection walls and/or natural noise barriers
e) using outlet silencers for exhaust stacks
f) using silencers for filter fans
g) using soundproofed modules for technical devices (e.g. compressors)
h) using rubber shields for mills (avoiding the contact of metal against metal)
i) constructing buildings or growing trees and bushes between the protected area and the noisy activity
j) closing doors and windows of covered areas.

3.5.8 Use of wastes as fuels and/or raw materials

General considerations regarding the use of wastes can be found in Sections 3.2.2 and 3.4.5. In this context, see also the WID [59, European Union, 2000].

71. When using wastes, BAT is:

a) to select suitable wastes for the process and the burner (see Sections 3.2.2 and 3.4.5)

b) to apply quality assurance systems to guarantee the characteristics of wastes and to analyse any waste that is to be used for:

   I. availability
   II. constant quality
   III. physical criteria, e.g. emissions formation, coarseness, reactivity, burnability, calorific value
   IV. chemical criteria, e.g. chlorine, sulphur, alkali and phosphate content and relevant metals content

c) to control the amount of relevant parameters for any waste that is to be used, such as total halogen content, metals (e.g. total chromium, lead, cadmium, mercury, thallium) and sulphur.

   In this context when co-incinerating waste, the requirements of the Waste Incineration Directive (WID) have to be met [59, European Union, 2000].
3.6 Emerging techniques

No emerging techniques for the magnesium oxide industry using the dry process route have been provided yet.
3.7 Conclusions and recommendations

Timing of the work process

The kick-off meeting for the review of the cement and lime BREF document was held in September 2005. The TWG agreed to extend the scope of this document and to add an additional industrial sector on magnesium oxide (MgO/magnesia) production using the dry process route to the cement and lime BREF. After the period for collecting information and data, a second TWG meeting was held in February 2007 in order to verify and agree on information and data that should be used for the revision of the BREF document. Furthermore, the TWG asked for procedural exception for the review process of this document in order to split the discussions on Sections 1 – 4 and the BAT sections for the three chapters on cement, lime and magnesium oxide.

Based on the TWG request and taking into account the generic schedule for the review of BREFs (December 2005), the IEF agreed to split the discussions on Sections 1 – 4 and the BAT sections for the three chapters on cement, lime and magnesium oxide.

The information exchange for the magnesium oxide industry using the dry process route was carried out from 2006 to 2008 within the international technical working group. The development of the MgO chapter of this document was based on the information provided and comments arising from two consultations and the final meeting.

The first draft covering the revised Sections 1 – 4 and 6 of the three chapters cement, lime and magnesium oxide was issued for consultation in September 2007. The second part of the first draft covering the sections on techniques to consider in the determination of BAT (Sections 1.4, 2.4 and 3.4) and the BAT sections (Sections 1.5, 2.5 and 3.5) of the three chapters were issued for consultation in May 2008. The final TWG meeting was held in September 2008.

The project on the first revision of this document, which was the pioneer for the review of the first series of BREFs, generated huge interest throughout. At the time of the final plenary meeting, there were more than 110 TWG members.

Based on the generic schedule for the review of BREFs (December 2005), the revision of the cement and lime BREF document did not entail a complete redraft of the first document adopted in December 2001 but updated the existing, and included additional information which was reviewed (for instance regarding techniques) as it could have had an impact on BAT conclusions. The information exchange and data gathering was based on the wishes of the TWG and on the conclusions agreed at the second TWG meeting (January 2007). The guidance document on data collection agreed upon by the IEF in April 2008 was not used for this process.

Sources of information, development of this MgO chapter and information gaps

The MgO chapter was developed from scratch and is based on six documents and on five sources of information from both industry and one Member State. The reports were submitted by EUROMINES, the industry association representing the European MgO manufacturers and the Member State Austria. These documents can be considered as the building blocks of the MgO chapter of this document.

Additional useful information was obtained by visiting one MgO manufacturing site in Austria.

It has to be noted that in 2008 in the EU-27, on the basis of the information available, there were only nine known magnesium oxide producers using 14 plants so there is a correspondingly low number of plants producing sintered magnesium oxide.
Despite much very useful information and many comments regarding several issues, some information and data problems and some of the corresponding consequences for this MgO chapter have to be pointed out:

- there is a lack of information on energy consumption for different kiln types along with specific products and best performance data. Regarding the BAT conclusion on energy consumption (BAT 58, Section 3.5.3), the range only reflects information provided for this BREF document. More specific information about best performing techniques along with the products produced was not provided.
- there is a lack of information regarding monitoring and monitoring frequencies, e.g. for periodic measurements.
- for NOx emissions abatement and reduction, in 2008, only primary measures/techniques were used. Secondary measures/techniques, e.g. SCR, SNCR are not yet applicable in the MgO industry for different technical reasons; however, this issue has to be investigated. Regarding this issue, an explanation has been added to the BAT conclusion on NOx emissions (BAT 63, Section 3.5.5.2).
- there is a lack of information regarding SOx emissions coming from the raw material on the one hand, and from the fuels on the other hand, along with information on abatement techniques.
- there is a lack of information regarding frequency and duration of CO trips when ESPs are applied so that the corresponding BAT conclusion is based on a qualitative approach.
- there is no information regarding emerging techniques.

Level of consensus at the final TWG meeting

The conclusions of the work regarding the MgO chapter were agreed at the final TWG meeting in September 2008. The main discussion items at this final TWG meeting were the BAT conclusions. The BAT proposals for the MgO industry were discussed case by case.

Another discussion point was to explicitly mention in the conclusions and recommendations section the difficulties in handling the information which was received very late during the final TWG meeting and after the final meeting. This is particularly relevant for information and data regarding the use of sulphur rich petroleum coke (petcoke), the corresponding initial SOx emissions and the availability and applicability of SOx reduction techniques. It was pointed out and concluded by the TWG that, in general, for reducing gaseous compounds, it is BAT to apply general primary measures/techniques individually or in combination (see Section 3.5.5.1, BAT 62). Furthermore, it was concluded by the TWG that for reduction of SOx emissions, it is BAT to apply a combination of primary and secondary measures/techniques (see Section 3.5.5.4, BAT 66).

At the final meeting, there was also a detailed discussion regarding the BAT-AELs for SOx emissions, especially in the case of a sulphur content of >0.25 % in the raw material (see BAT 66 in Section 3.5.5.4). Several TWG members representing the magnesium oxide industry were of the opinion that this BAT-AEL cannot be achieved because of very high initial SOx levels, mainly resulting from the use of sulphur rich fuels. However, detailed convincing technical arguments specified that by using a combination of primary and secondary measures/techniques (see BAT 62 and BAT 66), SOx emissions of 400 mg/Nm³ (as a daily average value) can be achieved. No rationale for supporting a SOx BAT-AEL higher than 400 mg/Nm³ could be provided by TWG members. However, the TWG agreed that in exceptional cases, due to raw material compositions, SO2 emission levels could be higher than 400 mg/Nm³. TWG members representing the magnesium oxide industry referred to very high initial SOx levels before abatement by using sulphur rich petcoke as the only fuel.

It was pointed out that several types of petcoke are available on the market. Information regarding fuel selection along with fuel comparison with regard to specific costs and sulphur content are available in the BREF document (see Section 3.4.5, Figure 3.13). However, information regarding different types of petcoke containing various amounts of sulphur was not
provided. Furthermore, by applying a fuel switch to a fuel other than petcoke, or even to a type of petcoke containing less sulphur, if possible, the initial SO\textsubscript{x} level could be reduced (see Section 3.5.5.1, BAT 62). Additionally, a combination of primary and secondary measures/techniques has to be applied as concluded in BAT 66 (see Section 3.5.5.4). Furthermore, cross-media effects should be taken into account to assess the best combination of BAT to reduce SO\textsubscript{x} emissions. Article 9 (4) of the IPPC Directive (2008/1/EC) can be used in relation to the local considerations which could justify the permit conditions.

At the final TWG meeting in September 2008, a high level of consensus was achieved on BAT (best available techniques) for the MgO industry and no split views were recorded. Therefore – as also seen retrospectively over the whole information exchange period – the information exchange process can be considered successful.

Recommendations for future work

The information exchange and its result for the magnesium oxide industry, i.e. the magnesium oxide chapter of this document, present an important step forward in achieving the integrated prevention and control of pollution for magnesium oxide manufacturing. Further work on the following issues could continue the process:

- collect data by taking into account the guidance set out in the IEF guidance document issued in April 2008 to allow an assessment of the performance of certain measures/techniques at the plant level, especially potential BAT
- collect costs data (e.g. investment, operational costs) regarding all measures/techniques to consider in the determination of BAT
- collect costs data along with the reduction efficiencies of abatement measures/techniques
- collect information and data regarding options for minimising energy consumption or for increasing energy efficiency
- collect information regarding monitoring and monitoring frequencies and investigate them further, e.g. for periodic measurements, so that authorities are able to check permit obligations/conditions
- investigate the revision of the Reference Document on the General Principles of Monitoring
- collect information on energy consumption for different kiln types along with specific products
- carry out research and further development on primary measures/techniques for NO\textsubscript{x} reduction
- carry out research and development in order to apply SNCR or SCR for the reduction of NO\textsubscript{x} emissions to the magnesium oxide industry and collect information and data regarding this issue
- collect information regarding the sulphur content in raw materials and fuels along with economic data
- investigate further and collect further information regarding the applicability of SO\textsubscript{x} abatement measures/techniques (primary and secondary measures/techniques), their potential cross-media effects, the availability of fuels with a low content of sulphur, the applicability of fuel switch to a fuel with a low content of sulphur and collect information on SO\textsubscript{x} emissions resulting from raw materials and/or fuels
- investigate and collect data regarding the frequency and duration of CO trips when applying ESPs
- investigate whether emerging techniques are available.
Suggested topics for future R&D work

In particular regarding the Emerging Techniques section (Section 3.6), some future R&D work will still be necessary and useful for investigating whether there are any emerging techniques for the magnesium oxide industry, so that these techniques can be considered in future in the determination of BAT for the magnesium oxide industry.

Besides that, the EC is launching and supporting, through its RTD programmes, a series of projects dealing with clean technologies, emerging effluent treatment and recycling technologies and management strategies. Potentially these projects could provide a useful contribution to future BREF reviews. Readers are therefore invited to inform the EIPPCB of any research results which are relevant to the scope of this document (see also the preface of this document).
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## Glossary of Terms and Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English Term</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Achieved environmental benefits</td>
<td>main environmental impact(s) to be addressed by the technique (process or abatement), including emission values achieved and efficiency performance. Environmental benefits of the technique in comparison with others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADEME</td>
<td>Agence de l'Environnement et de la Maîtrise de l'Energie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFR</td>
<td>alternative fuels and raw materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alloy</td>
<td>a metal that is a combination, either in solution or compound, of two or more elements, at least one of which is a metal, and where the resulting material has metallic properties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASK</td>
<td>annular shaft kiln</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATILH</td>
<td>Association Technique de l’Industrie des Liants Hydrauliques</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atm</td>
<td>normal atmosphere (1 atm = 101325 N/m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWDF</td>
<td>agricultural waste derived fuels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bar</td>
<td>bar (1.013 bar = 1 atm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAT</td>
<td>best available techniques</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAT-AEL</td>
<td>BAT associated emission level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best available techniques</td>
<td>BAT (IPPC Directive) – the most effective and advanced stage in the development of activities and their methods of operation which indicate the practical suitability of particular techniques for providing, in principle, the basis for emission limit values designed to prevent and, where that is not practicable, generally to reduce emissions and the impact on the environment as a whole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BREF</td>
<td>best available techniques reference document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUWAL</td>
<td>Bundesamt für Umwelt, Wald und Landschaft, Switzerland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ºC</td>
<td>degree Celsius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>calorific value</td>
<td>expressed in this document as net calorific value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAS #</td>
<td>Chemical Abstract Service registry number; division of the American Chemical Society; link to a wealth of information about a specific chemical substance; unique numerical identifier for chemical compounds, polymers, biological sequences, mixtures and alloys which designates only one substance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>caustic</td>
<td>caustic calcined magnesia/CCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCM</td>
<td>caustic calcined magnesia/caustier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEMs</td>
<td>Continuous Emissions Monitors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEN/TC 343</td>
<td>European Committee for Standardisation Working group CEN/TC 343 ‘Solid Recovered Fuels’ with mandate of the European Commission to develop and produce all necessary standards on solid recovered fuels (SRF), such as technical specification which will be transformed into standards (European Norms (EN) after validation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chalk</td>
<td>amorphous type of calcium carbonate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>channelled emissions</td>
<td>emissions of pollutants into the environment through any kind of pipe, regardless of the shape of its cross-section [151, European Commission, 2003]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIS</td>
<td>Commonwealth of Independent States – Azerbaidzhan, Armenia, Belarus, Gruziia, Kazakstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tadzhikistan, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan, Ukraina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKD</td>
<td>cement kiln dust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL 70 – 80</td>
<td>classification standard: CL 70 = lime defined in EN 459 ‘Building lime’ with a content of CaO + MgO&gt;65 % CL 80 = lime defined in EN 459 ‘Building lime’ with a content of CaO + MgO&gt;75 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cross-media effects</td>
<td>the calculation of the environmental impacts of water/air/soil emissions, energy use, consumption of raw materials, noise and water extraction (i.e. everything required by the IPPC Directive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cSt</td>
<td>centistokes (viscosity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dBA</td>
<td>decibel, unit for the description and measure of sound (noise) levels, using a sound level filter with A scale (corresponding to the inverse of the 40 dB (at 1 kHz) equal-loudness curve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBM</td>
<td>dead burned magnesia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Glossary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENGLISH TERM</th>
<th>MEANING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>diagenesis</td>
<td>the physical, chemical or biological processes that turn sediment into sedimentary rock by modifying the mineralogy and/or texture</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| diffuse emissions  | emissions arising from direct contact of volatile or light dusty substances with the environment (atmosphere, under normal operating circumstances). These can result from:  
  - inherent design of the equipment (e.g. filters, dryers, etc.)  
  - operating conditions (e.g. during transfer of material between containers)  
  - type of operation (e.g. maintenance activities)  
  - or from a gradual release to other media (e.g. to cooling water or waste water)  
  [151, European Commission, 2003]  
  Fugitive emissions are a subset of diffuse emissions. |
| diffuse emissions sources | sources of similar diffuse or direct emissions which are multiple and distributed inside a defined area; they can be point, linear, surface or volume sources  
  [151, European Commission, 2003] |
| DL 80 – 85         | classification standard:  
  DL 80 = dolime defined in EN 459 ‘Building lime’ with a content of CaO + MgO>75 %  
  DL 85 = dolime defined in EN 459 ‘Building lime’ with a content of CaO + MgO>80 % |
| dolomite           | type of limestone the carbonate fraction of which is dominated by the mineral dolomite, calcium magnesium carbonate (CaMg(CO₃)) |
| DPR Korea          | Democratic People’s Republic of Korea                                                                                               |
| EC#                | refers to the seven-digit code (sometimes called the EC number) that has been allocated by the Commission of the European Communities for commercially available chemical substances within the European Union. EC# designation replaced EINECS and ELINCS designations, and this code includes those on the so-called ‘no longer polymers list’, a list of substances that were on the European market between 18 September 1981 and 31 October 1993 and at the time were regarded as polymers, but are no longer regarded as such |
| EEA                | European Environment Agency                                                                                                         |
| EFTA               | European Free Trade Association (established on 3 May 1960)                                                                       |
| e.g.               | for example (exempli gratia, latin)                                                                                                 |
| EGTEI              | Expert Group on Techno-Economic Issues                                                                                              |
| EINICS             | European inventory of existing commercial chemical substances; registry number given to each chemical substance commercially available in the European Union between 1 January 1971 and 18 September 1981. The inventory has been replaced by ELINCS |
| EIPPCB             | European Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control Bureau                                                                       |
| ELINCS             | As from 19 September 1981, the European inventory list of notified chemical substances was replaced by ELINCS. All ‘new’ substances brought to the European market are allocated an ELINCS number after their notification to the European Commission |
| ELV                | emission limit value                                                                                                               |
| EMS                | environmental management system                                                                                                     |
| EPA                | Environmental Protection Agency                                                                                                     |
| eqC                | equivalent carbon                                                                                                                  |
| ESP                | electrostatic precipitator                                                                                                          |
| EU                 | European Union                                                                                                                     |
| EU-15              | Member States of the European Union before 1 May 2004                                                                              |
| EU-25              | Member States of the European Union from 1 May 2004 until 31 December 2006                                                        |
| EU-27              | Member States of the European Union from 1 January 2007                                                                            |
| EU-23+             | refers to Cembureau's Member Countries:  
  Austria, Belgium, Croatia, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania. Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and the United Kingdom |
<p>| EURITS             | European Union for Responsible Incineration and Treatment of Special Waste                                                           |
| EUR                | Euro – European currency                                                                                                            |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENGLISH TERM</th>
<th>MEANING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EWC</td>
<td>European Waste Catalogue – hierarchical list of waste descriptions. This catalogue classifies waste materials and categorises them according to how they were produced and what their characteristics are. The EWC is referred to in a number of EU Directives and Commission Decisions regarding waste management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exhaust gas</td>
<td>off-gas from firing/combustion processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>existing installation</td>
<td>an installation which on 30 October 1999, in accordance with legislation existing before that date, was in operation or was authorised or, in the view of the competent authority, was the subject of a full request for authorisation provided that that installation is put into operation no later than 30 October 2000 (IPPC Directive (2008/1/EC))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGD</td>
<td>flue-gas desulphurisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGT</td>
<td>flue-gas treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flue-gas</td>
<td>off-gas/exhaust gas from firing/combustion processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM</td>
<td>fused magnesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fuel oil EL</td>
<td>fuel oil extra light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fugitive emissions</td>
<td>emissions caused by non-tight equipment/leak: emissions into the environment resulting from a gradual loss of tightness from a piece of equipment designed to contain an enclosed fluid (gaseous or liquid), basically caused by a difference of pressure and a resulting leak. Examples of fugitive emissions: leak from a flange, a pump, a sealed or tightened equipment, etc. [151, European Commission, 2003]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAINS</td>
<td>Greenhouse Gas and Air Pollution Interactions and Synergies model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSC</td>
<td>gas suspension calcination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hydrated lime</td>
<td>calcium hydroxide, Ca(OH)₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i.e.</td>
<td>that is (id est, latin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEF</td>
<td>Information Exchange Forum (informal consultation body in the framework of the IPPC Directive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>immission</td>
<td>occurrence and level of polluting substance, odours or noise in the environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>installation</td>
<td>a stationary technical unit where one or more activities listed in Annex I to the IPPC Directive are carried out, and any other directly associated activities which have a technical connection with the activities carried out on that site and which could have an effect on emissions and pollution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPCC</td>
<td>Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPPC</td>
<td>integrated pollution prevention and control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-TEQ</td>
<td>international toxicity equivalent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IUPAC</td>
<td>International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry nomenclature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kcal</td>
<td>kilocalorie (1 kcal = 4.19 kJ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kg</td>
<td>kilogram (1 kg = 1000 g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kJ</td>
<td>kilojoule (1 kJ = 0.24 kcal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOH</td>
<td>potassium hydroxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kWh</td>
<td>kilowatt-hour (1 kWh = 3600 kJ = 3.6 MJ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>1) potassium; 2) degree Kelvin (0 °C = 273.15 K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leak air</td>
<td>air, which arrives by leakages into the kiln</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>limestone</td>
<td>mineral rock consisting merely of CaCO₃ used as CaCO₃ or as raw material to produce quicklime (calcium oxide) by decarbonisation and hydrated lime (calcium hydroxide) by the hydration of quicklime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.O.I./LOI</td>
<td>loss on ignition, technique for the determination of, e.g. organic matter, carbonate, CO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRK</td>
<td>long rotary kiln</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>metre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m/min</td>
<td>metre/minute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m²</td>
<td>square metre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m³</td>
<td>cubic metre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m³/h</td>
<td>volume flow: if not otherwise mentioned in this document, the volume flows refer to 10 vol-% oxygen and standard state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>magnesia</td>
<td>magnesium oxide (MgO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>magnesite</td>
<td>magnesium carbonate (MgCO₃)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>magnesium oxide</td>
<td>MgO/magnesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENGLISH TERM</td>
<td>MEANING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measures/techniques</td>
<td>see technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µm</td>
<td>micrometre (1 µm = 10^-6 m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFSK</td>
<td>mixed feed shaft kiln</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mg</td>
<td>milligram (1 mg = 10^-3 gram)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mg/m³</td>
<td>concentration: if not otherwise mentioned in this document, the concentrations of gaseous substances or mixtures of substances refer to dry flue-gas at 10 vol-% oxygen and standard state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHF</td>
<td>multiple hearth furnace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mm</td>
<td>millimetre (1 mm = 10^-3 m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mmWG</td>
<td>millimetre water gauge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>monitoring</td>
<td>process intended to assess or to determine the actual value and the variations of an emission or another parameter, based on procedures of systematic, periodic or spot surveillance, inspection, sampling and measurement or another assessment method intended to provide information about emitted quantities and/or trends for emitted pollutants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>morphology</td>
<td>study of the structure and shape of particles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSW</td>
<td>municipal solid waste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt</td>
<td>megatonne (1 Mt = 10^6 tonne)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MJ</td>
<td>megajoule (1 MJ = 1000 kJ = 10^6 joule)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW</td>
<td>megawatt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ng</td>
<td>nanogram (1 ng = 10^-9 gram)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nm³</td>
<td>normal cubic metre (101.3 kPa, 273 K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVC</td>
<td>(net) calorific value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OECD</td>
<td>Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OECD Mix</td>
<td>primary energy mix from OECD countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OK</td>
<td>other kilns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORC</td>
<td>Organic Rankine Cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSK</td>
<td>other shaft kiln</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ω cm</td>
<td>ohm centimetre, unit of specific resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCB</td>
<td>polychlorinated biphenyls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCC</td>
<td>precipitated calcium carbonate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCDD</td>
<td>polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCDF</td>
<td>polychlorinated dibenzofuran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCP</td>
<td>pentachlorophenol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCT</td>
<td>polychlorinated terphenyls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET</td>
<td>polyethylene terephthalate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF</td>
<td>pulverised fuel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFRK</td>
<td>parallel flow regenerative kiln</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>symbol for the acidity or alkalinity of a solution. It is a number equivalent to the logarithm, to the base of 10, of the reciprocal of the concentration of hydrogen ions in an aqueous solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLC</td>
<td>programmable logic controller: digital computer used for automatisation of industrial processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>particulate matter/dust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>podsol</td>
<td>bleaching clay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pollutant</td>
<td>individual substance or group of substances which can harm or affect the environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POPs</td>
<td>persistent organic pollutants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pozzolana</td>
<td>pozzolanas are materials that, though not cementitious in themselves, contain silica (and alumina) in a reactive form able to combine with lime in the presence of water to form compounds with cementitious properties. Natural pozzolana is composed mainly of a fine, chocolate-red volcanic earth. An artificial pozzolana has been developed that combines a fly ash and water-quenched boiler slag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pozzolanic cement</td>
<td>pozzolanic cements are mixtures of Portland cement and a pozzolanic material that may be either natural or artificial. The natural pozzolanas are mainly materials of volcanic origin but include some diatomaceous earths. Artificial materials include fly ash, burned clays, and shale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRK</td>
<td>rotary kiln with preheater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTFE</td>
<td>polytetrafluoroethylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENGLISH TERM</td>
<td>MEANING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVC</td>
<td>polyvinyl chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quicklime</td>
<td>calcium oxide, CaO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAINS</td>
<td>Regional Air Pollution Information and Simulation model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDF</td>
<td>refuse derived fuel; waste fuel derived from pretreated and sorted waste fractions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RK</td>
<td>rotary kiln</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RK burner</td>
<td>rotary kiln burner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROK</td>
<td>run-of-kiln</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>parts per million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCR</td>
<td>selective catalytic reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>scanning electron micrograph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>siliceous limestone</td>
<td>limestone that contains silicon dioxide (SiO₂)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>shaft kiln</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNCR</td>
<td>selective non-catalytic reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spot measurement</td>
<td>sampling of spots, not a continuous measurement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>standard conditions for</td>
<td>referring to a temperature of 273.15 K and a pressure of 1013 hPa and oxygen content of 10 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the cement and</td>
<td>industries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>magnesium oxide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>industries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>standard conditions for</td>
<td>referring to a temperature of 273.15 K and a pressure of 1013 hPa and oxygen content of 11 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the lime industry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>standard state</td>
<td>referring to a temperature of 273.15 K and a pressure of 1013 hPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StDev</td>
<td>standard deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>tonne (metric)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t₆₀</td>
<td>reactivity of lime; indicates the time needed for quicklime to be heated from 20 to 60 °C when reacting with water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCDD</td>
<td>tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE</td>
<td>toxicity equivalents (dioxins and furans)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>technique</td>
<td>both the technology uses and the way in which the installation is designed, operated and decommissioned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEQ</td>
<td>international toxicity equivalents (dioxins and furans)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC</td>
<td>total organic carbon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWG</td>
<td>technical working group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBA</td>
<td>Umweltbundesamt/Federal Environmental Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNFCCC</td>
<td>United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDZ</td>
<td>Verein Deutscher Zementwerke/German Cement Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>volatile organic compounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDF</td>
<td>waste derived fuel; waste fuel derived from pretreated and sorted waste fractions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WID</td>
<td>Waste Incineration Directive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% w/w or wt-%</td>
<td>percentage by weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% v/v or vol-%</td>
<td>percentage by volume</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### CHEMICAL SYMBOLS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Formula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>silver</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>aluminium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>aluminium oxide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>arsenic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>barium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be</td>
<td>beryllium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>calcium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCO₃</td>
<td>calcium carbonate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>calcium oxide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(OH)₂</td>
<td>calcium hydroxide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaMg(OH)₄</td>
<td>calcium</td>
<td>magnesium hydroxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>cadmium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>methane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN</td>
<td>cyanide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>cobalt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>carbon monoxide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>carbon dioxide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>chromium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>copper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>fluorine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>iron</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>iron oxide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>hydrochloric acid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>hydrofluoric acid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>mercury</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>water</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂S</td>
<td>hydrogen sulphide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgCO₃</td>
<td>magnesium carbonate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>magnesium oxide/magnesia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>manganese</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>molydenum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>nitrogen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>sodium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>nickel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₃</td>
<td>ammonia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₄</td>
<td>ammonium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>nitrogen monoxide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>nitrogen dioxide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOₓ</td>
<td>nitrogen oxides</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>oxygen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>lead</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>palladium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>platinum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rh</td>
<td>rhodium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb</td>
<td>antimony (stibium)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se</td>
<td>selenium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>silicum dioxide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>tin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>sulphur dioxide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₃</td>
<td>sulphur trioxide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOₓ</td>
<td>sulphur oxides</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te</td>
<td>tellurium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>titanium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tl</td>
<td>thallium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>vanadium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>zinc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4 ANNEXES

4.1 EC environmental legislation applicable to the production of cement and lime in the EU-27

- European Waste Catalogue (EWC), which was developed pursuant to Article 1(a) of Council Directive 75/442/EEC on waste:
## 4.2 Annex cement manufacturing

### 4.2.1 CEMBUREAU's waste fuel groupings versus those of the EWC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group 1: Wood, paper, cardboard</th>
<th>Waste category</th>
<th>Waste description</th>
<th>Hazardous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category 02</td>
<td>02 01 07</td>
<td>Waste from forestry exploitation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 03</td>
<td>02 01 07</td>
<td>Wastes from wood processing and the production of panels and furniture, pulp, paper and cardboard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 02</td>
<td>03 01 02</td>
<td>Fresh sawdust</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 03</td>
<td>03 01 03</td>
<td>Shavings, cuttings, spoiled timber/particle board/veneer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 03</td>
<td>03 03 07</td>
<td>Rejects from paper and cardboard recycling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 15</td>
<td>03 03 07</td>
<td>Waste packaging; absorbents, wiping cloths, filter materials and protective clothing not otherwise specified</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 15</td>
<td>15 01 01</td>
<td>Paper and cardboard packaging</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 15</td>
<td>15 01 03</td>
<td>Wooden packaging</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 17</td>
<td>17 02 01</td>
<td>Construction and demolition wastes (including excavated soil from contaminated sites)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 20</td>
<td>20 01 01</td>
<td>Municipal wastes (household waste and similar commercial, industrial and institutional wastes) including separately collected fractions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 20</td>
<td>20 01 37</td>
<td>Wood containing dangerous substances *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group 2: Textiles</th>
<th>Waste category</th>
<th>Waste description</th>
<th>Hazardous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category 04</td>
<td>04 02</td>
<td>Waste from the textile industry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 15</td>
<td>15 01 09</td>
<td>Textile packaging</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 19</td>
<td>19 12 08</td>
<td>Municipal wastes (household waste and similar commercial, industrial and institutional wastes) including separately collected fractions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 20</td>
<td>20 01 10</td>
<td>Clothes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group 3: Plastics</th>
<th>Waste category</th>
<th>Waste description</th>
<th>Hazardous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category 02</td>
<td>02 01 04</td>
<td>Waste plastics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 12</td>
<td>12 01 05</td>
<td>Plastics particles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 15</td>
<td>15 01 02</td>
<td>Plastic packaging</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 20</td>
<td>20 01 01</td>
<td>Municipal wastes (household waste and similar commercial, industrial and institutional wastes) including separately collected fractions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Annexes

#### Group 4: RDF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste category</th>
<th>Waste description</th>
<th>Hazardous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category 15</td>
<td>Waste packaging; absorbents, wiping cloths, filter materials and protective clothing not otherwise specified</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 01 06</td>
<td>Mixed packaging</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 19</td>
<td>Wastes from physico-chemical treatments of waste (including dechromation, decyanidation, neutralisation)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 02 10</td>
<td>Combustible wastes other than those mentioned in 19 02 08 and 19 02 09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 12 10</td>
<td>Combustible waste (refuse derived fuel)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 20</td>
<td>Municipal wastes and similar commercial, industrial and institutional wastes including separately collected fractions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 03 01</td>
<td>Mixed up waste</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Group 5: Rubber/tyres

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste category</th>
<th>Waste description</th>
<th>Hazardous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category 16</td>
<td>Wastes not otherwise specified in the list</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 01 03</td>
<td>End-of-life tyres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 01 04</td>
<td>Rubber waste (conveyor belts, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 02 16</td>
<td>Components removed from discarded equipment other than those mentioned in 16 02 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Group 6: Industrial sludges (filter cakes, centrifuged, dried, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste category</th>
<th>Waste description</th>
<th>Hazardous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category 03</td>
<td>Wastes from wood processing and the production of paper, cardboard, pulp, panels and furniture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 03 02</td>
<td>Green liquor sludges</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 04</td>
<td>Wastes from the leather, fur and textile industries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 02 19</td>
<td>Sludges from on-site effluent treatment containing dangerous substances           *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 02 20</td>
<td>Sludges from on-site effluent treatment other than those mentioned in 04 02 19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 05</td>
<td>Wastes from petroleum refining, natural gas purification and pyrolytic treatment of coal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05 01 09</td>
<td>Sludges from on-site effluent treatment containing dangerous substances           *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05 01 10</td>
<td>Sludges from on-site effluent treatment other than those mentioned in 05 01 09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 06</td>
<td>Wastes from inorganic chemical processes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06 05</td>
<td>Sludges from on-site effluent treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 07</td>
<td>Wastes from organic chemical processes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07xx 11</td>
<td>Sludges from on-site effluent treatment containing dangerous substances           *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07xx 12</td>
<td>Sludges from on-site effluent treatment other than those mentioned in 07 xx 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 08</td>
<td>Wastes from the manufacture, formulation, supply and use (MFSU) of coatings (paints, varnishes and vitreous enamels), adhesives, sealants and printing inks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 10</td>
<td>Inorganic wastes from thermal processes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 11</td>
<td>Wastes containing inorganic metals from metal treatment and the coating of metals, and non-ferrous hydrometallurgy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 12</td>
<td>Wastes from shaping and surface treatment of metals and plastics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 13</td>
<td>Oil wastes (except edible oils, 05 and 12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 14</td>
<td>Wastes from organic substances used as solvents (except 07 and 08)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Group 7: Municipal sewage sludges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste category</th>
<th>Waste description</th>
<th>Hazardous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category 19</td>
<td>Wastes from waste treatment facilities, off-site waste water treatment plants and the water industry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 03 07</td>
<td>Solidified wastes other than those mentioned in 19 03 06 (used for processed sewage pellets)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 02 05</td>
<td>Sludges from physico-chemical treatment containing dangerous substances           *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Annexes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste category</th>
<th>Waste description</th>
<th>Hazardous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19 02 06</td>
<td>Sludges from physico-chemical treatment other than those mentioned in 19 02 05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 08 05</td>
<td>Sludges from treatment of urban waste water</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Group 8: Animal meal, fats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste category</th>
<th>Waste description</th>
<th>Hazardous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category 02</td>
<td>Wastes from agricultural, horticultural, hunting, fishing and aquacultural primary production, food preparation and processing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 01 02</td>
<td>Animal meal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 02 02</td>
<td>Animal fat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Group 9: Coal/carbon waste

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste category</th>
<th>Waste description</th>
<th>Hazardous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category 05</td>
<td>Wastes from petroleum refining, natural gas purification and pyrolytic treatment of coal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05 06 01</td>
<td>Tars</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05 06 02</td>
<td>Asphalt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 19</td>
<td>Wastes from waste treatment facilities, off-site waste water treatment plants and the water industry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 01 10</td>
<td>Spent activated carbon from flue-gas treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 01 17</td>
<td>Pyrolysis waste</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 09 04</td>
<td>Spent activated carbon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Group 10: Agricultural waste

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste category</th>
<th>Waste description</th>
<th>Hazardous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category 02</td>
<td>Wastes from agricultural, horticultural, hunting, fishing and aquacultural primary production, food preparation and processing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 01 03</td>
<td>Plant tissue waste</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 01 05</td>
<td>Agrochemical wastes</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 01 07</td>
<td>Waste from forestry exploitation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 03</td>
<td>Wastes from wood processing and the production of paper, cardboard, pulp, panels and furniture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 01 01</td>
<td>Waste bark and cork</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 03 01</td>
<td>Bark</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Group 11: Solid waste fuels (impregnated sawdust)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste category</th>
<th>Waste description</th>
<th>Hazardous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category 03</td>
<td>Wastes from wood processing and the production of paper, cardboard, pulp, panels and furniture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 01 02</td>
<td>Impregnated sawdust</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 19</td>
<td>Wastes from waste treatment facilities, off-site waste water treatment plants and the water industry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 12 11</td>
<td>Other waste (including mixtures of materials) from mechanical treatment of waste containing dangerous substances</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Group 12: Solvents and related waste

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste category</th>
<th>Waste description</th>
<th>Hazardous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category 02</td>
<td>Wastes from agricultural, horticultural, hunting, fishing and aquacultural primary production, food preparation and processing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 03 03</td>
<td>Waste from solvent extraction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 07</td>
<td>Wastes from organic chemical processes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 01 04</td>
<td>Other organic solvents, washing liquids and mother liquors</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 01 08</td>
<td>Other still bottoms and reaction residues</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 03 04</td>
<td>Other organic solvents, washing liquids and mother liquors</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 03 07</td>
<td>Halogenated still bottoms and reaction residues</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 03 08</td>
<td>Other still bottoms and reaction residues</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 04 03</td>
<td>Organic halogenated solvents, washing liquids and mother liquors</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 04 04</td>
<td>Other organic solvents, washing liquids and mother liquors</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 04 07</td>
<td>Halogenated still bottoms and reaction residues</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 04 08</td>
<td>Other still bottoms and reaction residues</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 05 03</td>
<td>Organic halogenated solvents, washing liquids and mother liquors</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 05 04</td>
<td>Other organic solvents, washing liquids and mother liquors</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Annexes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Waste description</th>
<th>Hazardous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>07 05 07</td>
<td>Halogenated still bottoms and reaction residues</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 05 08</td>
<td>Other still bottoms and reaction residues</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 06 03</td>
<td>Organic halogenated solvents, washing liquids and mother liquors</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 06 04</td>
<td>Other organic solvents, washing liquids and mother liquors</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 06 07</td>
<td>Halogenated still bottoms and reaction residues</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 06 08</td>
<td>Other still bottoms and reaction residues</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 07 03</td>
<td>Organic halogenated solvents, washing liquids and mother liquors</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 07 04</td>
<td>Other organic solvents, washing liquids and mother liquors</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 07 07</td>
<td>Halogenated still bottoms and reaction residues</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 07 08</td>
<td>Other still bottoms and reaction residues</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08 01 11</td>
<td>Waste paint and varnish containing organic solvents or other dangerous substances</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08 01 12</td>
<td>Waste paint and varnish other than those mentioned in 08 01 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08 01 21</td>
<td>Waste paint or varnish remover</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08 03 01</td>
<td>Waste ink containing halogenated solvents</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08 03 02</td>
<td>Waste ink containing non-halogenated solvents</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08 03 10</td>
<td>Waste organic solvents used for cleaning</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08 04 09</td>
<td>Waste adhesives and sealants containing organic solvents or other other dangerous substances</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08 05 01</td>
<td>Waste isocyanides</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09 01 03</td>
<td>Solvent-based developer solutions</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 01 02</td>
<td>Other halogenated solvents and solvent mixes</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 01 03</td>
<td>Other solvents and solvent mixes</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 02 01</td>
<td>Halogenated solvents and solvent mixes</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 02 02</td>
<td>Solvent mixes or organic liquids free of halogenated solvents</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 02 08</td>
<td>Liquid combustible waste containing dangerous substances</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 01 13</td>
<td>Solvents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 01 27</td>
<td>Paint, inks, adhesives and resins containing dangerous substances</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 01 28</td>
<td>Paint, inks, adhesives and resins other than those mentioned in 20 01 27</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Group 13: Oil and oily waste

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste category</th>
<th>Waste description</th>
<th>Hazardous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category 01</td>
<td>Wastes resulting from exploration, mining, dressing and further treatment of minerals and quarry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 04</td>
<td>Wastes from the leather, fur and textile industries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 05</td>
<td>Wastes from petroleum refining, natural gas purification and pyrolytic treatment of coal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 12</td>
<td>Wastes from shaping and surface treatment of metals and plastics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 13</td>
<td>Oil wastes (except edible oils, 05 and 12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 01 01</td>
<td>Oils (including emulsions), brake fluids, etc.</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 01 02</td>
<td>Other chlorinated hydraulic oils (except emulsions)</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 01 03</td>
<td>Non-chlorinated hydraulic oils (except emulsions)</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 01 04</td>
<td>Chlorinated emulsions</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 01 05</td>
<td>Non-chlorinated emulsions</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 01 06</td>
<td>Hydraulic oils containing only mineral oil</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 01 07</td>
<td>Other hydraulic oils</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 01 08</td>
<td>Brake fluids</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 02 01</td>
<td>Chlorinated engine, gear and lubricating oils</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 02 02</td>
<td>Non-chlorinated engine, gear and lubricating oils</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 02 03</td>
<td>Other engine, gear and lubricating oils</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 03 01</td>
<td>Insulating or heat transmission oils and other liquids containing PCBs</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 14: Others</td>
<td>or PCTs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 03 02 Other chlorinated insulating and heat transmission oils and other liquids</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 03 03 Non-chlorinated insulating and heat transmission oils and other liquids</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 03 04 Synthetic insulating and heat transmission oils and other liquids</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 03 05 Mineral insulating and heat transmission oils</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 04 01 Bilge oils from inland navigation</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 04 02 Bilge oils from jetty sewers</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 04 03 Bilge oils from other navigation</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 05 01 Oil/water separator solids</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 06 01 Oil waste not otherwise specified</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1: CEMBUREAU’s waste fuel groupings versus those of the EWC
[91, CEMBUREAU, 2006]
4.2.2 Cement manufacturing – plant specific data

4.2.2.1 Examples of types of wastes used, the influence on emissions behaviour and concentration ranges for substances in waste materials (input criteria)

Examples of the types and amounts of wastes used in German cement kilns are shown in Table 4.2. Often these types of wastes include hazardous components.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of waste fuel</th>
<th>Quantity used in 2004 (kt/yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tyres/rubber</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste oil</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processed fractions of industrial/commercial waste</td>
<td>863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processed fractions of domestic waste</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal meal and fat</td>
<td>439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrap wood</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvents</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Podsol¹</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewage sludge</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2042</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.2: Types and quantities of waste and waste fuels used in German cement kilns in 2004 [76, Germany, 2006]

These different types of solid and liquid waste fuels which are used, often also include hazardous components as shown in Table 4.3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of fuel</th>
<th>Quantity (kt/yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid waste fuel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste oil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used solvents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End-of-life tyres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal meal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewage sludge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleaching earth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production-specific waste</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separated fractions of mixed municipal waste</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.3: Quantities of different types of waste fuels used in the German cement industry [76, Germany, 2006]

In 2006, example cement plants in Germany already achieved waste substitution rates of more than 80 %; and up to 100 % of the energy consumption can be utilised by waste fuels. At a dry process kiln system, which consists of a rotary kiln with a four stage, two string preheater and planetary cooler, waste fuels have been used for many years. Mainly tyres, waste oil, wood, treated fractions of industrial waste such as plastics, paper or textiles and solvents are used as
fuels. Table 4.4 lists as an example the values accepted for the use of industrial waste and solvents in this plant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Accepted values in industrial waste</th>
<th>Accepted values in solvents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tl</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>700&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Yearly average 1 mg/kg
2) Yearly average 5 mg/kg
3) Yearly average 300 mg/kg

Table 4.4: Examples of accepted values of different parameters for the use of industrial waste and solvents
[76, Germany, 2006]

Conditions and the results of the yearly emissions monitoring are shown in Table 4.5 and Table 4.6. During the measurements, waste fuels made up more than 80 % of the energy. Strict emission levels can be achieved.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Number and period of measurements</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>08:00 – 18:00</td>
<td>08:00 – 18:00</td>
<td>08:00 – 18:00</td>
<td>08:00 – 18:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fossil fuels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste fuels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main firing (coal dust)</td>
<td>t/h</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyres</td>
<td>t/h</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyres</td>
<td>t/h</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvents – line 1</td>
<td>t/h</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvents – line 2</td>
<td>t/h</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiln input</td>
<td>t/h</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw meal</td>
<td>t/h</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>MJ/kg</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>MJ/kg</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvents – line 1</td>
<td>MJ/kg</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvents – line 2</td>
<td>MJ/kg</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastics/wood</td>
<td>MJ/kg</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of energy consumption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvents – line 1</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvents – line 2</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total of waste fuels</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>121</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinker</td>
<td>t/h</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.5: Operational data of a German cement kiln using waste fuels (average of the data for a period of three days)
[76, Germany, 2006]
Table 4.6: Results from emissions monitoring by using waste fuels in a German cement kiln [76, Germany, 2006]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Mill on average</th>
<th>Mill off average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humidity</td>
<td>Vol-%</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume flow</td>
<td>m³/h</td>
<td>275900</td>
<td>2391000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOₓ</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>385²</td>
<td>385²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOₓ</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>18³</td>
<td>18³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>n.d.³</td>
<td>n.d.³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>0.09⁴</td>
<td>0.06⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>0.009⁴</td>
<td>0.033⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ (Cd, TI)</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>n.d.³</td>
<td>n.d.³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ (Sb, As, Pb, Cr, Co, Cu, Mn, Ni, V, Sn)</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>0.055⁴</td>
<td>0.071⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ (As, Benzo-a-pyren, Cd, Co, Cr)</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>n.d.³</td>
<td>n.d.³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCDD/F</td>
<td>ng-ITEQ/Nm³</td>
<td>n.d.³</td>
<td>n.d.³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>mg/Nm³</td>
<td>&lt;1⁴</td>
<td>0.035³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

²) Standard conditions at 1013 hPa and 273 K; after subtraction of water content, and an oxygen content in the flue-gas of 10 vol-%
³) Yearly average value resulting from continuous measurements
⁴) Not detected
⁵) Average value for sampling period of 0.5 h

As an example from an Estonian cement plant, the waste fuel used is a homogenous mixture of liquid burnable hazardous wastes and is based on waste oils. If such a mixture meets the technical requirements shown in Table 4.7, the mixture is called waste fuel with a special name and is foreseen only for usage as a supplementary fuel in cement kilns. The waste fuel batch is a fixed volume of liquid waste fuel, processed as one load before delivery to the end user in certain conditions and supplied with a quality specification. Examples of an analysis of characteristics is shown in Table 4.8. Examples of quality requirements of wastes are shown in Table 4.9 below.
### Annexes

#### Quality data sheet for a waste fuel VA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nr.</th>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Limit value; remarks</th>
<th>Measured value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supplier analyses each batch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Calorific value as received</td>
<td>MJ/kg</td>
<td>&gt;17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Water content as received</td>
<td>mass %</td>
<td>&lt;35; free water in waste is missing at reception</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ash content</td>
<td>mass %</td>
<td>&lt;15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Flashpoint</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Solid particles ≤5mm in VA fuel</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>Missing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Density at 15 °C</td>
<td>kg/Nm³</td>
<td>No norms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>VA viscosity at 40 °C</td>
<td>cSt</td>
<td>Unloading of cargo at reception site is guaranteed without using external means</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Reaction test at mixing with oil shale fuses (coagulation, gases, exothermic reaction)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supplier analyses for each 500 Nm³ VA or at least once per year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sulphur (S)</td>
<td>mass %</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Total halogen content</td>
<td>mass %</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Metals: Cd+Tl +Hg +Sb+As+Pb+Cr+Co+Cu+Mn+Ni+V</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>&lt;15, &lt;5, &lt;2500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supplier analyses for each 2000 Nm³ waste or at least once per year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>PCB</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Specially prepared waste fuel VA is a homogeneous mixture of liquid burnable hazardous wastes and is based on waste oils

2) Polychlorinated biphenyls

Table 4.7: Quality data sheet for a waste fuel from Estonia [75, Estonia, 2006]
### Table 4.8: Analysis of characteristics from an Estonian cement plant

[75, Estonia, 2006]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Analyses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Higher calorific value</td>
<td>kJ/kg</td>
<td>15072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower calorific value</td>
<td>kJ/kg</td>
<td>9211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solids</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>24.3 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal (C)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur (S)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other combustible solids</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash (non-combustible)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>49.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquids</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>36.6 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petrol</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>30.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>69.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenols</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCB</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water content</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>39.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>kg/l</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flashpoint</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ash (non-combustible), oxidised:**

- Chlorine (CL) % traces
- Sodium (Na+K) % 2
- Potassium (K) %
- Calcium (Ca) % 38.5
- Silicon (Si) % 28.8
- Copper (Cu) % traces
- Magnesia (Mg) % 6.5
- Iron (Fe) % 6.1
- Phosphorus (P) % 0.2
- Aluminium (Al) % 7.7
- Cadmium (Cd) % traces
- Vanadium (V) % traces
- Lead (Pb) % traces
- Zinc (ZN) % traces
- Chromium (Cr) % traces
- Nickel (Ni) % traces
- Sulphur (S) % 8.7
- Titanium (Ti) % 0.4
- Others % 1.1
- 1) Dry substances, w = 0 %
- 2) Carbon
- 3) Organic
- 4) Polychlorinated biphenyls

### Table 4.9: Quality requirements for the waste from an Estonian cement plant

[75, Estonia, 2006]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delivery temperature</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity at a temperature of 80 °C</td>
<td>cST1)</td>
<td>5.5 – 6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity at a temperature of 100 °C</td>
<td>cST1)</td>
<td>3.6 – 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calorific value, lower</td>
<td>MJ/kg</td>
<td>not below 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk weight</td>
<td>kg/m³</td>
<td>1130 – 1140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flashpoint in an open crucible</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>not below 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melting temperature</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>up to 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash content</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>up to 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical additives1)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>up to 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water content</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>up to 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid value/factor</td>
<td>mg KOH/3)g</td>
<td>up to 260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Chemical composition:**

- Sulphur (S) % up to 0.2
- Chlorine (Cl) % up to 0.1
- Heavy metals: Na, K, Mn, V, Co, Ni, Cu, Pb, Zn % up to 0.005

1) cST = centistokes
2) Grain size not above 3 mm
3) KOH = potassium hydroxide
Annexes

Table 4.10 shows the increase of the use of waste and waste fuels in an Estonian cement plant from 2000 to 2005.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Consumption of waste fuels (t/yr)</th>
<th>Liquid</th>
<th>Solid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oil shale</td>
<td>Waste oil</td>
<td>Benzoic acid material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>4415</td>
<td>1223</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>16809</td>
<td>3322</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>16304</td>
<td>4020</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>19921</td>
<td>5643</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>19242</td>
<td>4552</td>
<td>3312</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.10: Consumption of waste fuels used in an Estonian cement plant
[75, Estonia, 2006]
### 4.2.2.1.1 Examples of concentration ranges for substances in waste materials/waste input criteria

Table 4.11 to Table 4.13 show examples of typical metal concentration ranges and typical input criteria for different substances of suitable wastes used as fuels and/or raw materials in different cement plants in several countries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Austria(^{11,10})</th>
<th>Switzerland(^{1})</th>
<th>Germany(^{3})</th>
<th>Solvents, spent oil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Combustible waste(^{4,9}) in general</td>
<td>Plastic, paper, textile waste, wood, etc. high calorific fractions from common waste</td>
<td>Solvents, spent oil, waste lacquers</td>
<td>Combustible waste(^{5,8}) in general(^{1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20 (200)(^{3})</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tl</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl (total)</td>
<td>1 %</td>
<td>2 %</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCBs</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Max. values (mg/kg):  

\(^{1}\) Voluntary self-commitment from the cement industry with authorities and relevant ministry  
\(^{2}\) BUWAL, co-processing guidelines from Switzerland  
\(^{3}\) Voluntary self-commitment from the waste industry and regulations from the Government North Rhine Westphalia (NRW) Germany  
\(^{4}\) Net calorific value of 25 MJ/kg  
\(^{5}\) Net calorific average value of 18 MJ/kg  
\(^{6}\) Polyethylene terephthalate (PET)  
\(^{7}\) Special case, flue-gas cleaning for Hg  
\(^{8}\) Other combustible waste in general (not applicable to selected authorised waste streams)  
\(^{9}\) More up-to-date values can be found in the ‘Guideline for Waste Fuels’ of the Federal Ministry of Austria, notified by the European Commission

Table 4.11: Limit values in different permits and regulations in Austria, Switzerland and Germany for used wastes  

[104, HOLCIM/GTZ, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Spain[1]</th>
<th>Belgium[1]</th>
<th>France[1]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calorific values</td>
<td>MJ/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halogens (expressed as Cl)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>50/100[2]</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tl</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>50/100[2]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sum_{\text{Hg}+\text{Cd}+\text{Tl}}$</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sum_{\text{Sb}+\text{As}+\text{Co}+\text{Ni}+\text{Pb}+\text{Sn}+\text{V}+\text{Cr}}$</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>0.50 %</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCBs</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCDDs/PCDFs</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br+I</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanide</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[1\] Limit values set by authorities for individual permits for cement plants in Spain, Belgium and France

\[2\] Update Spain 2007

Table 4.12: Examples of limit values for waste fuels for different countries/regions based on individual permits

[104, HOLCIM/GTZ, 2006], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]
Annexes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Spain</th>
<th>Belgium</th>
<th>France</th>
<th>Switzerland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOC</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>2 %</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total halogens (expressed as Cl)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tl</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ Hg+Cd+Tl</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ Sb+As+Co+Ni+Pb+Sn+V+Cr</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>0.50 %</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCBs</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br+I</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanide</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Limit values set by authorities for individual permits for cement plants in Spain, Belgium and France
2) Limit values for wastes used as raw materials, BUWAL 1998 (Switzerland), Guidelines Disposal of Wastes in Cement Plants

Table 4.13: Examples of limit values for wastes to be used as raw materials in different countries/regions
[104, HOLCIM/GTZ, 2006]

Table 4.14 and Table 4.15 show examples of typical input criteria for substances in wastes used in German cement plants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Concentration (mg/MJ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>0.09 – 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>0.01 – 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>0.09 – 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>0.1 – 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>0.01 – 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thallium</td>
<td>&lt;0.01 – 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>0.5 – 625</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.14: Examples of typical concentration ranges of metals from waste fuels
[60, VDI 2094 Germany, 2003]
### Annexes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Concentration (mg/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>700¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>0.5 – 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimony</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thallium</td>
<td>1 – 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanadium</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Higher values may occur in individual samples

Table 4.15: Examples of input criteria for the use of suitable waste applied in German cement kilns

[76, Germany, 2006]

Table 4.16 and Table 4.17 show permit criteria for different substances for the types of wastes used in Austrian cement plants. In some cases, the selection of types of waste fuels and their input criteria are based on the so-called ‘positive list’, which is a self-commitment of the cement industry. Furthermore, in some cases, the local authorities set more stringent input criteria. All permits contain maximum values, some in relation to the calorific value, others in relation to waste fuel types. In addition to the maximum values, one permit also contains median and 80 percentile values, depending on the type of waste fuel. One permit was issued in 2005.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>15 5 – 10 10 – 20 15 15 - - 0.5 36 0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb</td>
<td>200 20 10 – 100 20 – 30 (800) 20 - - 0.5 8.4 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>150 100 – 500 250 – 800 500 100 – 800 - 500 33.8 2</td>
<td>5 3 – 5 1 – 20 25 – 27 10 – 15 - 5 8 0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>150 100 – 500 50 – 300 300 70 - - 300 97 5</td>
<td>Co 50 50 – 60 3 – 25 20 – 100 14 - 60 128 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>700 350 – 600 500 500 100 – 400 - 600 748 15</td>
<td>Mn 500 700 70 – 100 150 - 300 4250 30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>100 60 40 – 100 200 100 - - 80 200 1.5</td>
<td>Hg 0.5 2 – 3 1 – 2 0.86 – 2 1 - 0.6 0.4 0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>3 3 1 – 5 3 – 10 2 - 5 1 0.6</td>
<td>V 100 100 10 – 100 70 60 - 15 40 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>- 1000 – 2000 500 – 3000 - 1000 20000 2000 11400 150</td>
<td>50 10 – 50 - 70 - - 10 20 1.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>1 wt-%¹ 0.8 wt-% 1 wt-% 2 wt-% 0.5 wt-% - - -</td>
<td>Cl 1 wt-%² 0.8 wt-% 1 wt-% 2 wt-% 0.5 wt-% - - -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>3 wt-% 3 wt-% - - -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Only for polyethylene terephthalate (PET)
² Cl, F
³ From one permit

Table 4.16: Examples of permit criteria (maximum values) for substances in wastes used in Austrian cement plants

[161, Austria, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [170, Austria, 2007]
### Table 4.17: Examples of permit criteria (median and 80 percentile values) for substances in waste used in Austrian cement plants

[161, Austria, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [170, Austria, 2007]

Examples of input criteria for substances in suitable waste used in cement plants in France (minimum and maximum limit values), Spain and Poland are shown in Table 4.18 and Table 4.19.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Maximum value</th>
<th>Minimum value</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg+Cd+Tl</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>&lt;1000</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>&lt;15</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Σ As+Ni+Co+Se+Te+Cr+Pb+Sb+Sn+V</strong></td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>&lt;10000</td>
<td>&lt;2500</td>
<td>1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Σ As+Ni+Co+Se+Te+Cr+Pb+Sb+Sn+V+Cu</strong></td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>&lt;3500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Σ Sb+As+Pb+Cr+Co+Cu+Mn+Ni+V+Mg+Cr+Hg</strong></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
<td>3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Σ As+Ni+Co+Se+Te+Cr+Pb+Sb+Sn+V+Cu+Zn+Mn</strong></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Σ Cd+Hg+Cr+Pb+Sn+V+Co+As+Cu+Mn+Mo+Tl+Tl</strong></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
<td>4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>&lt;12</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCB/PCB+PCT</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCP</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td></td>
<td>6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total chlorine</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other halogens (bromide+iodide+fluoride)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkalis (Na2O+K2O)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>&lt;15</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphates (P2O5)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>&lt;15</td>
<td>&lt;0.75</td>
<td>9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) A value of 10 ppm is relevant for mercury for all cement plant permits
2) A large number of cement plant permits have a limit of 100 ppm for volatiles
3) A case by case situation depending on the local authorities. Three cement plants have no limitations on metals, 10 permits are related to previous EU legislation, some plants received permits with specific lists for metals or specific limitations on specific metals
4) Large range of acceptance of this parameter
5) Only one limit on PCB/PCT
6) Only one limit on PCP
7) Large range of acceptance on this parameter; more stringent constraints may exist on the waste deliveries depending on each kiln
8) PCB: polychlorinated biphenyl; PCT: polychlorinated terphenyl
9) PCP: pentachlorophenol

### Table 4.18: Examples of input criteria for substances for suitable waste fuels used in cement plants with French permits

[168, TWG CLM, 2007], [174, EUCOPRO, 2007]
Table 4.19: Examples of input criteria for substances for suitable waste fuels used in cement plants in Spain and Poland
[168, TWG CLM, 2007], [174, EUCOPRO, 2007]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Maximum value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur</td>
<td>% w/w</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine</td>
<td>% w/w</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorine</td>
<td>% w/w</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromine</td>
<td>% w/w</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iodine</td>
<td>% w/w</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∑ Cadmium+Thallium (total)</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimony</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanadium</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentachlorophenol (PCP)</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solids</td>
<td>% w/w</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>% w/w</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>% w/w</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.20: Input criteria specifications of a waste example
[168, TWG CLM, 2007], [174, EUCOPRO, 2007]
4.2.2.2 Examples of energy consumption

In Austrian cement plants, the consumption of conventional fuels as well as waste fuels used to cover the thermal energy demand, have permanently increased over the years from 1997 to 2004, as shown in Table 4.21.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel</th>
<th>1997 (t/yr)</th>
<th>1998 (t/yr)</th>
<th>1999 (t/yr)</th>
<th>2000 (t/yr)</th>
<th>2001 (t/yr)</th>
<th>2002 (t/yr)</th>
<th>2003 (t/yr)</th>
<th>2004 (t/yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>200241</td>
<td>159331</td>
<td>131580</td>
<td>169065</td>
<td>149154</td>
<td>76504</td>
<td>74523</td>
<td>72218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>74344</td>
<td>43354</td>
<td>11774</td>
<td>35023</td>
<td>38855</td>
<td>62908</td>
<td>69786</td>
<td>62551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel oil</td>
<td>53423</td>
<td>46762</td>
<td>45081</td>
<td>17574</td>
<td>11004</td>
<td>11714</td>
<td>12363</td>
<td>14909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas1)</td>
<td>12618</td>
<td>11595</td>
<td>12394</td>
<td>13438</td>
<td>11343</td>
<td>10735</td>
<td>8727</td>
<td>8528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet coke</td>
<td>3275</td>
<td>11692</td>
<td>24021</td>
<td>10367</td>
<td>8648</td>
<td>51882</td>
<td>50089</td>
<td>57147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other fossil fuels</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>1028</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fossil fuels</td>
<td>273347</td>
<td>233171</td>
<td>221271</td>
<td>239486</td>
<td>215928</td>
<td>210643</td>
<td>209050</td>
<td>213916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary fuels</td>
<td>101063</td>
<td>121719</td>
<td>135065</td>
<td>169888</td>
<td>218048</td>
<td>238959</td>
<td>267822</td>
<td>274032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fuels</td>
<td>374411</td>
<td>354890</td>
<td>356336</td>
<td>409374</td>
<td>433976</td>
<td>449602</td>
<td>476872</td>
<td>487948</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) 1000 m³ (Vn/yr)

Table 4.21: Fuel consumption in the Austrian cement industry [92, Austria, 2006]

4.2.2.3 Examples of emissions data

Emissions data from 2006 from an Estonian cement plant are shown in Table 4.22.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and source of pollutant</th>
<th>Permitted data (t/yr)</th>
<th>Actual emissions data 2006 (t/yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total dust</td>
<td>542.98</td>
<td>245.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dust resulting from</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary kilns in special regime</td>
<td>420.0</td>
<td>89.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary kilns in ordinary regime</td>
<td>149.60</td>
<td>71.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil shale mills</td>
<td>21.60</td>
<td>8.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinker coolers</td>
<td>178.60</td>
<td>63.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinker conveyors</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limestone crushers</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement mills</td>
<td>7.56</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement mills with a separator</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement packing machines</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limestone quarry</td>
<td>50.96</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landfill for industrial wastes</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil shale quarry</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port (different materials)</td>
<td>114.50</td>
<td>2.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>5762.70</td>
<td>1696.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₂ resulting from</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary kilns</td>
<td>5760.00</td>
<td>1696.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil shale mills</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₂ (calculated as NO₂)</td>
<td>3072.6</td>
<td>576.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₂ resulting from</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary kilns</td>
<td>2880.00</td>
<td>534.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil shale mills</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>15.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power station</td>
<td>149.4</td>
<td>26.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>3072.60</td>
<td>510.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO resulting from</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary kilns</td>
<td>4608.00</td>
<td>460.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil shale mills</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>7.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power station</td>
<td>149.40</td>
<td>42.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>787333</td>
<td>745620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen chloride</td>
<td>247.60</td>
<td>5.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen fluoride</td>
<td>12.68</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>307.44</td>
<td>26.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy metals</td>
<td>20.32</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.22: Examples of different emissions data from an Estonian cement plant in 2006 compared with permitted emissions data [75, Estonia, 2006]
Examples of fine dust fractions PM\textsubscript{10} and PM\textsubscript{2.5} emitted from Irish cement plants are shown in Table 4.23.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>PM</th>
<th>Concentrations</th>
<th>Mass emissions rate (kg/h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>As emitted (mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3})</td>
<td>Corrected to 10 % oxygen (mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3})</td>
<td>Standard conditions (mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant 1\textsuperscript{6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw mill (ESP)</td>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>2.67\textsuperscript{7)}</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grate cooler (ESP)</td>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>2.65\textsuperscript{7)}</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mill (fabric filter)</td>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>7\textsuperscript{2)}</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>10.1\textsuperscript{3)}</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.3085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement mill (fabric filter)</td>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>107\textsuperscript{4)}</td>
<td>4.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>73\textsuperscript{4)}</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separator for cement mill (fabric filter)</td>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>3\textsuperscript{5)}</td>
<td>0.4095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>0\textsuperscript{5)}</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant 2\textsuperscript{7)}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement mill (fabric filter)</td>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>0.007 – 0.302</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mill (fabric filter)</td>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>0.007 – 0.302</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{2.5}</td>
<td>&lt;1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw mill and cement mill (ESP)</td>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{2.5}</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiln feed (fabric filter)</td>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{2.5}</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinker conveyor (fabric filter)</td>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{2.5}</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabric filter</td>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>1.11 – 7.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{2.5}</td>
<td>1.11 – 2.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement mill</td>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>5.56 – 3.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{2.5}</td>
<td>1.11 – 2.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silos</td>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{2.5}</td>
<td>&lt;1.1 – 3.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mill feed conveyor</td>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{2.5}</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary crusher</td>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{2.5}</td>
<td>&lt;1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{7} 7 % oxygen, temperature 139 °C, average gas flow 280397 Nm\textsuperscript{3}/h, 3925558 Nm\textsuperscript{3}/day
\textsuperscript{2) 20.9 % oxygen, temperature 216 °C, average gas flow 225272 Nm\textsuperscript{3}/h, 2703269 Nm\textsuperscript{3}/day
\textsuperscript{3) 10 % oxygen, temperature 86 °C, average gas flow 31241 Nm\textsuperscript{3}/h, 656063 Nm\textsuperscript{3}/day
\textsuperscript{4) 20.9 % oxygen, temperature 110 °C, average gas flow 40901 Nm\textsuperscript{3}/h, 940739 Nm\textsuperscript{3}/day
\textsuperscript{5) 20.9 % oxygen, temperature 86 °C, average gas flow 136940 Nm\textsuperscript{3}/h, 2191046 Nm\textsuperscript{3}/day
\textsuperscript{6) Measurements from 2001
\textsuperscript{7) Measurements from 2004

Table 4.23: Examples of fine dust emissions PM\textsubscript{10} and PM\textsubscript{2.5} measured from cement plants in Ireland
[113, Ireland, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008]
Emissions to water

In an example plant in Estonia using the wet process, 602000 m³ of water was used in 2005 for the mud and oil collector. Emissions to water arise in the technical process for slurry production and from the oil collector. Emissions to water and the amounts of pollutants in waste water from the Estonian cement plant are shown in Table 4.24.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount of pollutants in waste water</th>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Slurry and oil collector</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological oxygen demand</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspended solids</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen total</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus total</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphates</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenols I basic</td>
<td>µg/l</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenols II basic</td>
<td>µg/l</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical oxygen demand</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.24: Amounts of pollutants in waste water in from an Estonian cement plant
[75, Estonia, 2006]
4.2.3 Cement manufacturing – cogeneration/recovery of excess heat

4.2.3.1 Cogeneration of electric power via the conventional steam cycle process – Slite cement plant in Sweden

The cement plant (two kilns) at Slite (Island of Gotland/Sweden), which was initially designed for 6000 t/d clinker production, has continuously increased its production which now totals 7000 t/d. The suspended cyclone preheater tower has an off-gas temperature of approx. 440 °C. Furthermore, the plant has favourably low raw material moisture of between 2 – 3 %. Only a part of the heat from the kiln is needed for dry grinding.

The recoverable heat is sent to an existing electricity plant situated adjacent to the cement works, operated by a third party who re-utilises a steam turbine to generate electricity. The steam is generated in a two step heat recovery boiler system, one at the clinker cooler and one in the down duct of the kiln. With the installation as shown in Figure 4.1, the plant is able to supply water steam of a sufficient quality:

- clinker cooler with exhaust gas as a waste heat source (approx. 330 °C)
- electric precipitator on the clinker cooler of gas stream
- waste heat boilers – on the clinker cooler – with the water steam circuit
- second waste heat boiler in the off-gas of the preheater tower as a high dust application
- turbine and generator, in which the power is generated
- seawater operated condenser for re-cooling of the water steam.

![Figure 4.1: Flow diagram of a two step water-based heat recovery system for power cogeneration at the Slite cement plant in Sweden](133, CEMBUREAU/Federhen, 2007)

As the first step, clinker cooler waste heat boilers operate to expectations. However, the preheater off-gas boiler does not generate the steam it was originally designed for – even after modifications. This failure can be seen as a result of the insulating fine dust layer on the heat exchanger tubes. In order to overcome the boiler inefficiency, a more effective dust blower system is needed. An internal survey showed that conventional tube cleaning technique with steam is not economic. Instead, a waste heat boiler with extensive heat exchanger surfaces is needed. This option, however, would generate a much higher pressure drop and increase internal power demand.
In 2007, the plant took approx. 30 MW of heat out of the system; it was initially designed for 9 MW and now supplies, after optimisation, about 6 MW. The investment costs, calculated in 1999, were 8 x EUR 106 for the boiler and steam distribution system, of which 25% was subsidised. However, no costs were stated for the re-used existing steam turbine which contributed significantly to the economics of the installation. The annual electricity production is now approx. 50 GWh equalling ¼ of the plants total power need.

### 4.2.3.2 Cogeneration with the Organic Rankine Cycle (ORC) process – cement plant in Lengfurt in Germany

The Lengfurt plant uses the so-called low temperature Organic Rankine Cycle (ORC) process. This process is essentially based on the use of an organic motive medium (pentane) which evaporates at significantly lower temperatures than water instead of using steam as the motive medium. The basic principles of this technique have been used successfully for a long time in refrigeration techniques. The ORC technique is used mainly for generating power from geothermal heat sources; however, the use of this process in a cement plant is a world first. Figure 4.2 shows the flow diagram of this process.

The ORC process for generating power from low temperature waste heat in the cement plant of Lengfurt could in 2007, after seven years of experience, be regarded as a technically feasible alternative to power generating plants using water steam and, due to regional government funding, economic for the owner.

Its particular advantage lies in the stable and robust operation, the compact structure and the relatively high level of efficiency which can be achieved with heat sources of below 275 °C. However, the process is not typical for cement plants and the operation and maintenance personnel need special training.

The ORC waste heat power generating plant itself consists essentially of several sub-systems:

- a clinker cooler with exhaust gas as a waste heat source
- an ESP to reduce the dust emissions:
  - note: fabric filters are not suitable for the temperature level in such an arrangement
- a waste heat boiler with the pentane circuit
- a turbine and a generator, in which the power is generated
- an air condenser plant for re-cooling the pentane circuit.

![Figure 4.2: Flow diagram of a pentane based waste heat recovery system for power cogeneration at the Lengfurt plant in Germany](image-url)
Annexes

The results have shown that 1.0 MW (net.) electrical power can be generated with the given mode of operation. The achieved availability was 97 % of the operation time of the cement kiln. The clinker cooler has a waste heat output via the clinker cooler exhaust air of 14 MW and an exhaust gas temperature of between 300 – 350 °C of which approx. 9 MW on average is extracted.

At times, due to certain operating conditions of the kiln and kiln grate clinker cooler, the output of the turbine is lower than initially designed for. In 2007, the waste heat power generating plant covered up to 9 %; however, in future this plant will make it possible to cover up to 12 % of the electrical power requirement necessary for a cement plant. In this way, CO₂ emissions from the combustion associated with the generation of power can be reduced by between approx. 3000 to 7620 t/yr.

The total capital costs were EUR 4 million. From these total costs, EUR 2 million were accounted for by the ORC plant with a pentane cooling circuit including installation costs. The rest was used by the waste heat boiler, heat transfer plant, solid construction, electrics, design engineering, commissioning, remaining devices and fees. This resulted in specific capital costs of approx. EUR 4000/kW (net.), or EUR 1300/t CO₂ per year. The electric power generated was about 6000 MWh/yr, as the operation costs were EUR 50000 per year. However, no reserves for major repairs were included in the operation costs, which will be a requirement once the plant gets older [78, E. Baatz + G. Heidt, 2000], [79, Germany, 2001], [133, CEMBUREAU/Federhen, 2007].

4.2.4 Cement manufacturing – examples of NOₓ reduction by using a high efficiency SNCR technique

The application of the SNCR technique at cement kilns has a history of more than 25 years. The first operational trials showed the principal applicability of SNCR at rotary cement kilns, provided that a temperature window of between 900 and 1000 °C was given. In the 1990s, the tests were extended to numerous cement kilns in different countries (Germany, US, Austria, Sweden, Switzerland, etc.). In most cases, NOₓ reduction rates of more than 50 % could be achieved. Now, SNCR is used in the cement industry for reducing NOₓ and is successfully applied at numerous cement kilns in Europe and the US.

4.2.4.1 Example SNCR technique used in Sweden

Process

In Sweden, Cementa AB has been operating high efficiency SNCR for NOₓ reduction since 1997 on three cement kilns with a kiln capacity of 1900 t/d (five stage preheater kilns with satellite cooler) at their Skövde cement plant and kiln capacities of 1200 and 5800 t/d at their Slite cement plant. Figure 4.3 shows the flow diagram from a preheater kiln with satellite cooler in Slite.
For the injection of ammonia to the gas stream, a different number of pairs of nozzles are used. Slite cement plant normally uses three to four pairs of nozzles as shown in Figure 4.4, while the schematic view of the distribution of nozzles for the injection of ammonia solution at Skövde is shown in Figure 4.5.

At both plants the initial NO\textsubscript{x} level was between 800 to 1100 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} thus the reduction rate remained around 80 % as initially found. Since 1998, the long term NO\textsubscript{x} emissions that have been achieved have been around 200 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}, but at the Skövde plant less than 200 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}, measured as yearly averages. Since 1997, about 63500 t ammonia have been consumed in order to abate approximately 45000 t NO\textsubscript{x}. At the Slite cement plant, the ammonia water consumption (25 %) stabilised at around 3.5 to 4.5 l/t clinker produced. At Skövde cement plant, it swayed between 2.5 and 3.5 l/t. Figure 4.6 shows the ammonia water consumption and the NO\textsubscript{x} emissions that were achieved at these plants from 1995 until 2005. The effect of the fluctuating ammonia consumption is most likely due to a change in the clinker sintering conditions and, to a lesser extent, also to the wear of the nozzles in the system. Remarkably, it was not necessary to change the nozzles between 1997 and 2005, and the downtime for the three kilns was less than 200 h.
Molar ratio

In 2001, the initial molar ratio was found to be 1 – 1.2 (mol NH₃ to present mol of NOₓ) at the Skövde plant. With an average removal efficiency of 80 %, these are computed to be 1.2 to 1.4 for the removed NOₓ. At the Slite plant, the ammonia ratio was as initially found to be once again in the region of 1.2 – 1.4 mol/mol (present NOₓ to injected NH₃) or 1.5 – 1.8 (reduced NOₓ to injected NH₃).

Ammonia slip

From 1994 to 1996, tests of ammonia slip and emissions showed that both plants had natural ammonia emissions arising from the raw material of 10 to 30 mg/Nm³ at the Skövde plant and 15 to 20 mg/Nm³ at the Slite plant.

In Skövde, the ammonia slip, once the SNCR was set in operation, was 5 – 10 mg/Nm³. In Slite, the ammonia slip on top of the natural emissions was approx. 5 to 20 mg/Nm³.

In 2003 at the Skövde plant, repeated measurements showed less than 5 mg/Nm³ ammonia emissions without using SNCR and 20 mg/Nm³ by using SNCR.

Significant for both plants is that raw mills were being used. Ammonia was collected within these milling systems. In Skövde, during almost 98 % of the period of operation, the milling systems (raw and coal mills) were in operation. Therefore, the ammonia emissions found there in compound mode (mill operation/mill off) represented the average data.

In contrast, at the Slite cement plant there is a SOₓ scrubber where the ammonia in the scrubber liquor is buffered, hence this equalises peaks and troughs. Together with the gypsum product, only a small fraction of ammonia is taken to the cement milling facilities (3 kg/h). The cement mill operates at low temperatures in a closed circuit and only very occasionally was an ammonia smell detected in the cement silo. Furthermore since 1998, continuous measurements of ammonia emissions were located at the stack of the scrubber. Measured data of ammonia emissions and the consumption of ammonia water at the Slite plant are shown in Figure 4.7.

Figure 4.6: NOₓ emissions and the consumption of ammonia water at the cement plants in Slite and Skövde in Sweden from 1995 until 2005

Ammonia water consumption and NOₓ emissions
SNCR system at Skövde and Slite

- Ammonia water consumption Skövde plant
- Ammonia water consumption Slite plant
- NOₓ emissions Skövde plant
- NOₓ emissions Slite plant

Graph showing NOₓ emissions and ammonia water consumption from 1994 to 2006.
During the operation period without the SO₂ scrubber, the ammonia emissions were between 15 and 35 mg/Nm³.

Since the scrubber has been in operation, short time peaks are higher, even up to 125 mg/Nm³. This is because the scrubber liquor now increases its temperature from 53 to 59 °C, the equilibrium is shifted and some accumulated ammonia is released again. By using the scrubber at the Slite plant, the averaged ammonia level of 20 – 35 mg/Nm³ mostly follows the ammonia water consumption trend. This effect shows that the emphasis should remain – despite the high efficiency of the SNCR systems – also on primary NOx control through to optimised process combustion conditions.

![Graph showing ammonia emissions and consumption](image)

Figure 4.7: NH₃ emissions and ammonia water consumption at two cement kilns at the Slite cement plant in Sweden from 1994 until 2005

[114, Sweden, 2006]

At the Swedish cement plants, special equipment was applied. By using this equipment and an operation of low NOx emissions design, additional ammonia emissions were at a level of 5 – 20 mg/Nm³. However, the ammonia slip does fluctuate to the nature of the cement manufacturing process.

**Costs of operation**

The cost of operating an SNCR system is predominantly dependent on the consumption of ammonia water. Only little pumping energy is needed and the combustion of ammonia in the reduction zone of 900 – 1100 °C compensates for the heat needed to evaporate the water (ammonia carrier).

With costs of approx. EUR 100 per tonne of 25 % ammonia water in 1996 and EUR 130 per tonne in 2006, the reduction costs per tonne clinker produced, rose in Skövde modestly from initially EUR 0.30 to 0.35. Accumulated costs were here then EUR 0.40 to 0.45 per tonne clinker.

In Slite, since the transport costs to the Island of Gotland are higher than on the mainland (in 2007 the cost was EUR 140 per tonne), the cost fluctuated around EUR 0.40 to 0.50 per tonne clinker. In the production cost, electricity, maintenance and depreciation are accumulated to an
additional EUR 0.10 per tonne clinker. As initially calculated, the total costs per tonne clinker remained at around EUR 0.50 to 0.60 per tonne clinker.

In the period of 1997 to 2005, the reduction costs added up to approx. EUR 11 million. At the same time, about 45000 t NOx was abated. The total costs with depreciation, capital costs, energy costs and costs for ammonia related to the NOx abated were EUR 250 per tonne NOx.

4.2.4.2 Example SNCR technique used in Germany

In an example cement plant in Germany, using a modern dry process kiln system, the SNCR process has been used for many years. This plant uses a rotary kiln of 5.5 m diameter and 89 m length, with a four stage two-string preheater and a planetary cooler. The capacity is about 3000 t/d clinker. The kiln can be fired 100 % of the time with waste fuels. A suitable temperature window is given in the riser duct region to apply the SNCR process. The initial NOx emission level is quite low at approximately 400 – 600 mg/Nm³, on a daily basis. However, it can also be up to 1200 mg/Nm³ or more.

The ammonia water (25 % ammonia solution) is stored in a tank with a volume of 100 m³. From there, the ammonia water is pumped to a smaller container and the injection of ammonia water, controlled by a suitable device, takes place over eight lances as shown in Figure 4.8. The arrangement of the lances is determined by the temperature profile in the riser duct.

By using a high efficiency SNCR process, an emission level of 500 mg NOx/Nm³ could constantly be achieved without any significant ammonia slip. Trials were performed to investigate the optimisation of the SNCR plant in order to achieve NOx emissions of below 500 mg/Nm³. In 2007, the latest results from a six months performance test showed that NOx emission values of 350 mg/Nm³ (daily average values) were achieved by applying a NH3:NO molar ratio of 0.7 (interconnected operation/mill on) – 0.8 (direct operation/mill off). Furthermore, NOx emission values of 200 mg/Nm³ (daily average values) were achieved by applying a NH3:NO molar ratio of 1.4 (interconnected operation/mill on) – 1.5 (direct operation/mill off). However, by applying high NH3:NO molar ratios, ammonia emissions have to be carefully considered. During the performance tests, the NH3 emissions were measured
continuously. The NH₃ measurements however resulted in significant ammonia slip by keeping 200 NOₓ mg/Nm³ particularly during mill-off operation (max. 50 – 200 mg/Nm³). Altogether, the ammonia slip was twice as high to achieve the emission level of 200 mg/Nm³ (NH₃: three months average value of 11 mg/Nm³) compared to a level of 350 mg/Nm³ (NH₃: three months average value of 21 mg/Nm³). The mill off operation ratio in this case was about 10 – 20 %. A relevant enrichment of ammonia in the raw meal and the ESP dust could not be observed during the performance test. The tests showed that ammonia emissions should carefully be considered. The ammonia slip, which occurs because of the above process, sets the limits to the over-stoichiometric addition of reducing agents [76, Germany, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007], [173, Germany, 2007], [182, TWG CLM, 2008].

### 4.2.5 Cement manufacturing – example of NOₓ reduction by using the SCR technique

**Description**
The first full scale SCR demonstration plant was built in Germany with government funding. This plant (Solnhofer Portland-Zementwerke) has been in operation since 2001. Solnhofer Portland-Zementwerke decided to implement a high dust SCR system for the following reasons:

- lower consumption of NH₃ compared to SNCR, resulting in lower operational costs
- at the top of the four stage preheater, the waste gas has a temperature of 320 – 350 °C which is suitable for SCR and does not require reheating (as in the case of configuration between the fabric filter and the stack), thus reducing energy costs and pressure loss.

The SCR reactor in Solnhofen allows the installation of six layers of catalytic elements, but only three of these layers have been in use. Each layer consists of six modules, each with 72 catalytic elements. The catalytic elements have a size of 15 x 15 x 90 cm each, a comblike structure and a special composition based on TiO₂ and V₂O₅. A pitch of 13 mm (but 10 mm in the middle layer) was chosen in order to avoid plugging. The integrated dusting system uses preheated, high pressurised air to remove dust accumulations from the catalyst surface. The air nozzles are moved continuously over each catalyst layer, thus no service interruption is necessary to remove dust from the catalyst. By optimisation of the dusting system, the consumption of high pressurised air was reduced from initially 100 to 18 m³ per tonne of cement clinker, resulting in energy costs for the operation of the catalyst of about EUR 0.098 per tonne cement clinker [76, Germany, 2006].

The SCR process from the Solnhofen SCR example plant is shown in Figure 4.9.
Figure 4.9: Flow diagram of the SCR example plant in Germany (Solnhofer Portland-Zementwerke) [76, Germany, 2006]
In order to ensure a maximum lifetime of the SCR reactor, it was designed to facilitate either upstream or downstream operation, as shown in Figure 4.9. Accordingly, the integrated dedusting system is able to blow away dust accumulations either from the upper or the lower surface of each catalyst layer. As long-term experience in Solnhofen did not prove expected advantages for upstream operation, there was no need to switch the gas flow direction. Accordingly, future implementations of high dust SCR could be designed for downstream operation only, thus reducing investment costs.

The reduction agent, a water solution with 25 % NH₃, is added to the off-gas at the upper stages of the preheater in a molar ratio of 0.8 to 0.9 to the stoichiometric demand, according to the waste gas flow, the initial NOₓ concentration and the target value.

The first set of catalysts was in operation for 40000 h without excessive use or loss of activity. Later trials with plate-type catalysts showed higher mechanical use and unexpected losses of activity. In order to ensure full-time emission limit value (ELV) compliance, the Solnhofen plant was equipped with an SNCR system for backup operation in 2006.

**Achieved environmental benefits and operational data**

The NOₓ abatement efficiency of the SCR plant in Solnhofen is usually in the range of 59 to 67 %. Under unusual operating conditions with raw gas concentrations of 3000 mg/Nm³ and higher, NOₓ abatement efficiencies of more than 80 % were observed. In regular operation, the SCR reduces NOₓ emissions from raw gas concentrations of 1000 to 1600 mg/Nm³ to about 400 to 550 mg/Nm³. It has to be noted that the Solnhofen plant was designed to comply with a NOₓ emission limit value of 500 mg/Nm³ and that it has been operated accordingly, but sometimes the emission limit value of 500 mg/Nm³ was exceeded.

With a molar ratio of 0.8 to 0.9, the consumption of NH₃ is significantly lower than by the application of SNCR. It has to be noted that NH₃ from the raw material also serves as the reduction agent in the reactor, thus the emission level of residual NH₃ is very low. At the Solnhofen plant, the SCR usually led to NH₃ emissions of below 1 mg/Nm³.

In one campaign, the NH₃ dosage was increased according to a target NOₓ level of 200 mg/Nm³. In this campaign, an emission level of around 300 mg/Nm³ was achieved. These results may indicate the potential of the technique, especially as they were also achieved with three catalytic layers only, and the emission level of residual NH₃ was still around 1 mg/Nm³.

**Cross-media effect**

The only negative cross-media effect is a minor increase of electrical energy demand due to the internal dedusting system of the SCR reactor and additional pressure losses.

**Applicability**

Up until 2008, SCR has only been tested on preheater and semi-dry (Lepol) kiln systems, but it might be applicable to other kiln systems as well.

**Economics**

The total investment costs of the SCR project in Solnhofen were about EUR 3.5 million, including extra expenditure for development reasons such as the capacity for the six catalyst layers and the ability to operate either in upstream or in downstream mode. The investment costs of a new plant based on the Solnhofen experience are estimated to be approximately EUR 2.2 million for the SCR reactor, including approximately EUR 250000 for the catalysts which have to be calculated with replacement costs each 5 – 6 years. This amount does not include costs for ammonia storage and ductwork, as they vary significantly from site to site. The costs for NOₓ reduction by SCR or by SNCR are estimated by the German federal environmental agency (UBA) for a kiln capacity of 1500 t/d and a NOₓ reduction from 1000 to 500 mg/Nm³ based on practical experience from the Solnhofen plant. As shown in the last two columns of Table 4.26, the cost estimations are extrapolated for a NOₓ reduction to 200 mg/Nm³.
As a result of the German UBA calculations, the operating costs for SNCR and SCR – including replacement costs for the catalyst – are roughly the same for a target NO\textsubscript{x} level of 500 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}, while the total specific costs for SCR are approximately 50 % higher than for SNCR. At a target NO\textsubscript{x} level of 200 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}, SCR seems to be more efficient regarding operating costs, but the total specific costs are still at the same level of magnitude as for SNCR. When comparing these figures, it has to be noted that long-term experience with a NO\textsubscript{x} level of 200 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} is neither available for SCR nor for SNCR yet. In the case of SNCR, higher emissions of NH\textsubscript{3} also have to be taken into account [76, Germany, 2006].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basic parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinker production rate</td>
<td>t/yr</td>
<td>480000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinker production rate</td>
<td>t/d</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation time</td>
<td>h/yr</td>
<td>7680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste gas flow</td>
<td>Nm\textsuperscript{3}/t</td>
<td>2300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial NO\textsubscript{x} level</td>
<td>mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial NO\textsubscript{x} level</td>
<td>kg/t</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH\textsubscript{3} costs (25 % solution)</td>
<td>EUR/t</td>
<td>90.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalyst costs</td>
<td>EUR/Nm\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td>7500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Useful life of catalyst</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>40000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Useful life of investments</td>
<td>yr</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflation rate</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.25: Basic assumptions from the SCR plant in Germany [76, Germany, 2006]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>SNCR</th>
<th>SCR</th>
<th>SNCR</th>
<th>SCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO\textsubscript{x} target value</td>
<td>mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH\textsubscript{3} equivalent</td>
<td>kg/t</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stoichiometric factor</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH\textsubscript{3} (25 %) consumption</td>
<td>kg/t</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH\textsubscript{3} (25 %) consumption</td>
<td>kg/d</td>
<td>4.550</td>
<td>2.150</td>
<td>10.650</td>
<td>4.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of catalytic layers</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Operating costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>SNCR</th>
<th>SCR</th>
<th>SNCR</th>
<th>SCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NH\textsubscript{3} consumption</td>
<td>EUR/t</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical energy consumption</td>
<td>EUR/t</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalyst replacement costs</td>
<td>EUR/t</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>EUR/t</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Investment costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>SNCR</th>
<th>SCR</th>
<th>SNCR</th>
<th>SCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia system</td>
<td>EUR</td>
<td>600000</td>
<td>250000</td>
<td>1000000</td>
<td>350000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCR reactor (except catalysts)</td>
<td>EUR</td>
<td>195000</td>
<td>235000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>EUR</td>
<td>600000</td>
<td>2200000</td>
<td>1000000</td>
<td>2700000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific investment costs\textsuperscript{1}</td>
<td>EUR/t</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total specific costs\textsuperscript{1}</td>
<td>EUR/t</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO\textsubscript{x} abatement costs</td>
<td>EUR/t NO\textsubscript{x}</td>
<td>330.00</td>
<td>540.00</td>
<td>450.00</td>
<td>470.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{1}Specific investments
\textsuperscript{2}Total operating costs and specific investment costs

Table 4.26: Comparison of costs for the NO\textsubscript{x} abatement techniques of SNCR versus SCR from German UBA for a German plant [76, Germany, 2006]

A cost calculation of the German cement industry carried out by the Association of German Engineers (VDZ) comprises a detailed approach concerning the costs of the SCR technique. Besides the investment costs, this study also considers the entire operating costs including the costs for ammonia water, power consumption due to compressed air for the cleaning of the catalyst and the pressure drop due to the catalyst itself along with other typical economic assessment figures for a cement plant. Here it was shown that the costs for SNCR are always
lower than those for SCR if European energy and NH\textsubscript{3} prices are used as a basis. Theoretically, SCR could only become less costly than SNCR at very high reduction rates, if NH\textsubscript{3} prices would rise significantly and if energy prices would decrease. This situation, however, seems not be applicable for the EU region, since ammonia and energy costs are not opposing each other, as they tend to rise and fall concurrently. It could also be shown that efforts to reduce NO\textsubscript{x} by primary measures/techniques (~800 mg/m\textsuperscript{3} which was the average in Germany in 2007) brought about better economics for SNCR, as costs for these are largely influenced by the costs for ammonia.

The SCR results have shown cost levels in a range of EUR 1.25 to 2.00 per tonne, depending on plant size and NO\textsubscript{x} removal efficiency required. In contrast to SNCR, SCR is dominated by the investment costs, which are four to nine times higher than for an SNCR system. Furthermore, the energy consumption is essentially due to pressure drop and cleaning air for the catalyst. The latest specific figures for the SCR system reflect the development of the technique. As an earlier VDZ study stated, EUR 3 per tonne clinker was the specific cost for SCR, although this value figure has decreased to around EUR 1.75 per tonne in 2006 [76, Germany, 2006].

Costs calculated by VDZ for a full scale installation with a kiln capacity of 1500 t clinker/d, initial emissions of 1200 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} and a final level of 200, 500 and 800 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}, is shown in Table 4.27.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Kiln system applicability</th>
<th>Reduction efficiency</th>
<th>Reported costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EUR million</td>
<td>EUR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCR</td>
<td>Possibly all</td>
<td>85 – 95 %</td>
<td>3.2 – 4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.27: Cost calculations for NO\textsubscript{x} abatement by using SCR for a German cement plant with a capacity of 1500 t/d [76, Germany, 2006]
4.2.6 Cement manufacturing – guideline regarding the control of CO trips

In most cases in the dry process of cement manufacturing, the hot exhaust gas provides heat for the raw mill (compound operation). If the raw mill is not running (direct operation), the gases are normally cooled down by water spraying in a conditioning tower before being treated in the dust collector, both to reduce gas volume and to improve precipitation characteristics, where the latter is especially important for electrostatic precipitators.

The kiln/raw mill exhaust gas as such is composed of different constituents, such as CO₂, N₂, water vapour and oxygen. To a much lesser extent, it contains NO and SOₓ as well as CO. CO can be found in flue-gas at concentrations of up to 0.1 % with additional CO originating from carbon in the raw materials.

The term ‘CO trips’ applies to ESPs and, to some extent, to hybrid filters, as they will have to be shut down during elevated CO levels in the flue-gases for safety reasons. Such a shutdown will, in turn, inflict an increase in dust emissions, which will be in the order of a few seconds to some minutes. An automatic CO monitoring device needs to monitor the flue-gas continuously. When this device is optimised for reaction time as well as shutdown procedure, and is properly maintained, the ESP will perform in accordance with its specifications. Applied on kilns with secondary firing, further operational reliability of the filters can be secured through sequential shutdown of the firing system during a CO trip.

4.2.6.1 Objectives for reducing operational interruptions – CO trips

The carbon monoxide originating from any organic content in the raw materials will be emitted with the kiln gases. However, in addition to the CO background level, additional CO trips arise when fuel feed and/or combustion air ratio control is inadequate. CO trips can occur within a couple of minutes, or even seconds, rapidly reaching an operational interruption limit (see Section 1.3.4.1.1).

The main objective is, therefore, to proactively minimise operation disruptions – ultimately rule out ESP explosions – by managerial methods. Consequently, control of the CO level in a cement kiln is important, particularly where ESPs are used for particulate abatement. If the CO trip cannot be suppressed, any ignition sources, particularly the high tension equipment of the ESPs, require special attention. A trip of the high tension equipment as such is the last resort and is undesirable. Other potential ignition sources can be static loads caused by solid/solid frictions or also fans, possible for any dust collection system.

In general, the critical limit is >8 % CO or - CH₄ - in the presence of >6 % O₂. In reality, the CO trip travels through the gas train swiftly and will reach the critical area for an ignition source before the analysing system is able to indicate an alarm. Therefore, the action level has to be significantly lower than the theoretical level, and is further dependent on the CH₄ and H₂ concentrations, especially when natural gas is used as fuel. In certain cases, the ESP is tripped well below the critical limit whilst in others, the ESP systems can be improperly protected.

The high tension equipment trip level depends on the dead time. This is, in turn, dependent on the location, type and arrangement of the analysis equipment. The slower the response time of the analysing equipment and the shorter the hold up time from the analysing point to the ignition source is, the lower the action level has to be.

Surveys show that disruptions predominantly happen during the start-up operation phase. Therefore, for safe operation, it is crucial that the gas analysers for ESP protection are on-line during all operational phases, not only during normal operation. In order to reduce plant downtime, it may also be useful to have a backup system in operation.
4.2.6.2 Strategy of CO minimisation development

In order to reduce ESP downtime, a systematic stepwise approach is needed as described below:

- set objectives and assess the situation in respect of main factors influencing CO trips, such as causes of CO trips due to fuel feed or poor combustion
- compare and evaluate current and optimum situations, identification of optimisation potential and setting priorities – gap analysis
- optimisation of the process, the analysis system, ensuring availability, reliability and speed, optimisation of reaction measures/techniques.

In the assessment phase location, reliability, availability and dynamic behaviour of analysing equipment besides CO development statistics are all needed along with fuel and process information. These can be correlated to identify any cause and address counter measures/techniques.

CO trips can be caused by unstable operation of the combustion system. This can occur with any fuel, but especially with solid fuel. Therefore the fuel feeding systems must be designed to prevent surges of fuel into the kiln system at any time. The conveying properties of solid fuels are particularly critical factors in this respect and must be carefully controlled to prevent hold ups or blockages in the fuel preparation and feeding systems. However, equally important is the need to keep the normal CO emissions as low as possible, thereby increasing the distance to the action level providing more reaction time. This is done by means of improvement in combustion such as optimisation and quality of the fuel feed, burner properties and configuration, kiln draft, combustion temperature and residence time.

4.2.6.3 CO monitoring system

The ideal CO monitoring system has a short response time and should be situated close to the source of the CO, such as at a preheater tower outlet, or at a kiln inlet in the case of a wet kiln application. Still, a dead time analysis of the entire system – including hold up time due to duct volume – needs to be carried out. Ideally the dead time for the CO gas sample to travel from the suction point to the analyser will be in the region of 20 – 30 seconds (analysing lag time). Adding an additional 10 – 30 seconds lag time, as the analysing reaction time, should give the total maximum dead time before approximately 85 % of the maximum value has been reached. The total dead time determines when action has to be taken – not too early and not too late. If a more detailed analysis of the slope of the CO signal is carried out, a more precise prediction can be made when the ESP high tension system has to be shutdown and when it can be put back into full operation again – hence accounting for when the CO trip has left the critical area.

The CO monitoring lag time can be reduced by an increased sample flow, shortening the distance from the sampling point to the analyser, reduced volume in the analysing system and a quick electronic reporting signal. Rapid in situ systems with response times of <3 seconds are available on the market, but these have limitations in high dust-laden gases. In general, a strict maintenance and calibration regime is essential. However, analyser ranges and components have to appropriately address critical limits of up to 5 % for CO and 3 % for CH₄ respectively.
4.2.6.4 Actions to be taken in the event of a CO trip

An example of primary measures/techniques which can be used to prevent CO trips in ESPs is described in Table 4.28. Standard staged actions may firstly include reducing fuel feed and the high tension level. Thereafter, cutting the fuel feed entirely and tripping the high tension transformers automatically. The system then needs to be purged by keeping the fans in operation. The necessary purge time can be determined in the same way as the lag time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measuring point</th>
<th>CO level (%)</th>
<th>Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In the preheater tower</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Alarm, shutdown of precalciner/kiln inlet firing system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>Shutdown of main firing system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Shutdown of high tension electrostatic precipitator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After the preheater tower</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Alarm, shutdown of precalciner/kiln inlet firing system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Shutdown of main firing system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Shutdown of high tension electrostatic precipitator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Restart of ESP high tension equipment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.28: Example of primary measures/techniques to prevent CO trips from ESPs [60, VDI 2094 Germany, 2003], [83, CEMBUREAU, 2006]

4.2.6.5 CO and CH₄ in fabric filters

Fabric filters operated in overcritical atmospheres may also experience problems. Therefore, the operational CO level should also be kept as low as possible. As with ESPs, additional combustible gas components such as CH₄ and H₂ will lower the critical level, i.e. if hydrogen of approximately 2 % is present, the critical level is reduced to ~5 %.

Specific potential ignition sources for fabric filters can be static loads caused by the friction of man-made fibres or – in the event of hybrid filters (combination of an ESP with a fabric filter) – the accumulated static load on the bags. To prevent interruptions, especially for coal mills, bags are fitted with a stainless steel fibre mesh or a conducting surface and are grounded to the baghouse cell plate. Similarly for hybrid dust collectors, the grounding of the bag support cage with the cell plate is recommended.

As with the ESP system, the CO has to be purged out. Purging of coal mills is preferably done by means of inert gas, such as kiln gas, CO₂ or N₂ [83, CEMBUREAU, 2006].
4.3 Annex lime manufacturing

4.3.1 Lime manufacturing – distribution of metal concentrations in the flue-gas of lime kilns in the EU-27

The following figures (Figure 4.10 to Figure 4.25) show the corresponding distributions of different metal concentrations in the flue-gas of lime kilns in the EU-27 (see also Section 2.3.3.9) [56, EuLA, 2006].

Figure 4.10: Distribution of arsenic concentrations in the flue-gas of lime kilns in the EU-27 [56, EuLA, 2006]

Figure 4.11: Distribution of cadmium concentrations in the flue-gas of lime kilns in the EU-27 [56, EuLA, 2006]
Figure 4.12: Distribution of cobalt concentrations in the flue-gas of lime kilns in the EU-27 [56, EuLA, 2006]

Figure 4.13: Distribution of chromium concentrations in the flue-gas of lime kilns in the EU-27 [56, EuLA, 2006]
Distribution of copper (Cu) concentrations (mg/Nm³ at 11 % O₂) in the flue-gas of lime kilns

Copper (Cu) (EuLA - 302 measurements)

Figure 4.14: Distribution of copper concentrations in the flue-gas of lime kilns in the EU-27 [56, EuLA, 2006]

Distribution of mercury (Hg) concentrations (mg/Nm³ at 11 % O₂) in the flue-gas of lime kilns

Mercury (Hg) (EuLA - 286 measurements)

Figure 4.15: Distribution of mercury concentrations in the flue-gas of lime kilns in the EU-27 [56, EuLA, 2006]
Figure 4.16: Distribution of manganese concentrations in the flue-gas of lime kilns in the EU-27 [56, EuLA, 2006]

Figure 4.17: Distribution of nickel concentrations in the flue-gas of lime kilns in the EU-27 [56, EuLA, 2006]
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Figure 4.18: Distribution of lead concentrations in the flue-gas of lime kilns in the EU-27 [56, EuLA, 2006]

Figure 4.19: Distribution of antimony concentrations in the flue-gas of lime kilns in the EU-27 [56, EuLA, 2006]
Distribution of selenium (Se) concentrations (mg/Nm³ at 11% O₂) in the flue-gas of lime kilns

Figure 4.20: Distribution of selenium concentrations in the flue-gas of lime kilns in the EU-27 [56, EuLA, 2006]

Distribution of tin (Sn) concentrations (mg/Nm³ at 11% O₂) in the flue-gas of lime kilns

Figure 4.21: Distribution of tin concentrations in the flue-gas of lime kilns in the EU-27 [56, EuLA, 2006]
Annexes

Distribution of tellurium (Te) concentrations (mg/Nm$^3$ at 11 % O$_2$) in the flue-gas of lime kilns

Figure 4.22: Distribution of tellurium concentrations in the flue-gas of lime kilns in the EU-27 [56, EuLA, 2006]

Distribution of thallium (Tl) concentrations (mg/Nm$^3$ at 11 % O$_2$) in the flue-gas of lime kilns

Figure 4.23: Distribution of thallium concentrations in the flue-gas of lime kilns in the EU-27 [56, EuLA, 2006]
Figure 4.24: Distribution of vanadium concentrations in the flue-gas of lime kilns in the EU-27 [56, EuLA, 2006]

Figure 4.25: Distribution of zinc concentrations in the flue-gas of lime kilns in the EU-27 [56, EuLA, 2006]
4.3.2 Lime manufacturing – plant specific data

4.3.2.1 Examples of raw material characteristics

An example of raw material composition from used limestone in a Hungarian lime plant is shown in Table 4.29.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>54.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₃</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>43.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss on ignition</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>43.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.29: Example of raw material composition used in a Hungarian lime plant [70, Hungary, 2006]
Use of waste as fuels – examples of acceptable pollutant contents in wastes

Examples from Germany listing parameters and pollutant contents which are accepted for different types of waste, i.e. waste oil and solvents, animal fat and animal meal (carcase meal) are shown in Table 4.30. Furthermore, examples of permit criteria for substances along with calorific values for different waste fuels used in German lime plants are shown in Table 4.31.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Minimum – maximum</th>
<th>Pollutant content</th>
<th>Minimum – maximum</th>
<th>Pollutant content</th>
<th>Minimum – maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water content</td>
<td>mass %</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3 – 9</td>
<td>3.0 – 5.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coke residue</td>
<td>mass %</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6 – 1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>mass %</td>
<td>1.0 – 59.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash content</td>
<td>mass %</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.4 – 1.2</td>
<td>3.2 – 38.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur</td>
<td>mass %</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5 – 1.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.01 – 0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine</td>
<td>mass %</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.05 – 0.4</td>
<td>25 – 32</td>
<td>0.18 – 0.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorine</td>
<td>mass %</td>
<td>0.02 – 0.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
<td>mass %</td>
<td>460 – 590</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Metals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Minimum – maximum</th>
<th>Pollutant content</th>
<th>Minimum – maximum</th>
<th>Pollutant content</th>
<th>Minimum – maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 – 0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thallium</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 – 0.1</td>
<td>0.1 – 10.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.01 – 0.8</td>
<td>0.05 – 1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimony</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>0.4 – 10.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>0.7 – 10.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>3.0 – 63.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>0.1 – 9.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>&lt;250</td>
<td>5.2 – 50.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>5.6 – 45.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>1.0 – 39.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanadium</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>1.1 – 20.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
<td>0.3 – 7.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>0.6 – 11.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>0.1 – 1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ Sb, As, Pb, Cr, Co, Cu, Mn, Ni, V, Sn</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>&lt;188</td>
<td>&lt;400</td>
<td>&lt;0.1 – 0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ Ni, V</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total PCB1)</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) According with DIN EN 12 766, part 2, process B
2) Waste fuel: SRM-meal (carcase meal), calorific value:
   - min=14 MJ/kg
   - max=21.5 MJ/kg

Table 4.30: Typical pollutant contents accepted for waste oil solvents, animal fat and animal meal (carcase meal) used in German lime plants

[155, Germany, 2007]
### Table 4.31: Examples of permit criteria (median and maximum values) for substances for different waste fuels used in German lime plants

[50, Germany, 2006]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Waste fuel 1</th>
<th>Waste fuel 2</th>
<th>Waste fuel 3</th>
<th>Waste fuel 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median value</td>
<td>Maximum value</td>
<td>Median value</td>
<td>Maximum value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tl</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>250.0</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>250.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cl</td>
<td>7000.0</td>
<td>10000.0</td>
<td>7000.0</td>
<td>10000.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total F</td>
<td>250.0</td>
<td>500.0</td>
<td>250.0</td>
<td>500.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>400.0</td>
<td>500.0</td>
<td>400.0</td>
<td>500.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCB</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>&lt;10.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>&lt;12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash content</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>&lt;7.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>&lt;9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total S</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(MJ/kg)

Median value: 50 percentile value, based on practical experience taking into consideration the possible variation of the waste fuel composition
Maximum value: 100 percentile value

\(^1\) Min – max

#### 4.3.2.3 Examples of consumptions and emissions data

**Energy consumption**

Table 4.32 shows operating data and specific energy demands for Austrian lime kilns in 2004. These different types of lime kilns use natural gas, heavy fuel oil and/or coke as fuel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Parallel flow regenerative kilns</th>
<th>Annular shaft kilns</th>
<th>Other shaft kilns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capacity of single kilns</td>
<td>t/day</td>
<td>150 – 390</td>
<td>140 – 220</td>
<td>100 – 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific energy demand</td>
<td>MJ/t lime</td>
<td>3500 – 3800</td>
<td>4200 – 4700</td>
<td>3800 – 5100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used fuels</td>
<td></td>
<td>Natural gas, heavy fuel oil</td>
<td>Natural gas, heavy fuel oil</td>
<td>Natural gas, coke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual amount of used fuels (all kilns)</td>
<td>Natural gas</td>
<td>million Nm³</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Heavy fuel oil</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>4500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coke</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.32: Operating data and specific energy demand of Austrian lime kilns in 2004

[66, Austria, 2006]
On average the energy demand of burning lime in Austria is about 3760 MJ/t lime. The correlation between the size of the kiln and the energy demand is not as significant as when producing cement, because radiation losses are lower. One factor influencing the energy demand is the residual content of CO₂ in the products; it depends on the reactivity of the lime product. The energy demand is higher for hard burned lime than for soft burned lime. The energy demand also depends on the residual CO₂. The lower energy demand of the parallel flow regenerative kiln, and partly of other kilns, depends on the high residual content of CO₂ (>5 %) in the products. For producing lime with a low residual CO₂ content, the energy demand increases [66, Austria, 2006], [168, TWG CLM, 2007].

The examples of energy consumption in the manufacture of lime in Spain shown in Table 4.33 are average values. The weighted average value calculated for the Spanish lime kilns is 3.984 GJ/tonne lime. A value of 3.681 GJ/tonne lime is expected for the period 2008 – 2012 [55, Spain, 2006].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kiln type</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Updated energy consumption GJ/tonne lime</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long rotary kilns (LRK)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.534</td>
<td>3.752</td>
<td>3.889</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary kilns with preheater (PRK)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.958</td>
<td>4.156</td>
<td>4.355</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallel flow regenerative kilns (PFRK)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>4.085</td>
<td>4.150</td>
<td>4.268</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annular shaft kilns (ASK)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed feed shaft kilns (MFSK)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other kilns (OK)²</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Companies from outside Spain. An energy consumption value of approximately 6.6 GJ/tonne lime is considered
² Other shaft kilns

Table 4.33: Energy consumption by various types of lime kilns in Spain
[55, Spain, 2006]
Examples of typical examples of emissions from various types of lime kilns in Germany are shown in Table 4.34.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kiln type</th>
<th>Emissions concentration&lt;sup&gt;1)&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th></th>
<th>PCDD/F (ng I-TEQ/Nm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>HCl&lt;sup&gt;5)&lt;/sup&gt; (mg/Nm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>HF (mg/Nm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO&lt;sub&gt;x&lt;/sub&gt; (mg/Nm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>CO (mg/Nm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long rotary kilns (LRK)</td>
<td>≤500</td>
<td>&lt;1000</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary kilns with preheater (PRK)</td>
<td>≤1500</td>
<td>&lt;1000</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallel flow regenerative kilns (PFRK)</td>
<td>&lt;100 – &lt;400</td>
<td>&lt;1000</td>
<td>0.001 – &lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annular shaft kilns (ASK)</td>
<td>&lt;100 – 500</td>
<td>1000 – 3000</td>
<td>0.003 – &lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;40</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed feed shaft kilns (MFSK)</td>
<td>&lt;100 – 500</td>
<td>6000 – 42000</td>
<td>0.01 – &lt;0.1&lt;sup&gt;4)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Kilns (OK):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• multi-chamber shaft kiln</td>
<td>&lt;500</td>
<td>&lt;2000</td>
<td>No data available</td>
<td>No data available</td>
<td>No data available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• double inclined shaft kiln</td>
<td>300 – 500</td>
<td>&lt;2000</td>
<td>0.001 – &lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolime (soft burned to sintered)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long rotary kilns (LRK)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;150</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary kilns with preheater (PRK)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed feed shaft kilns (MFSK)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Nitrogen monoxide and nitrogen dioxide, to be indicated as nitrogen dioxide
2) Grate preheater rotary kiln
3) Emissions concentrations are measured as six hourly average values and related to 10 % O<sub>2</sub> to make values comparable
4) In one case, 0.26 ng I-TEQ/Nm<sup>3</sup> was measured at a mixed feed shaft kiln. This kiln was taken out of operation at the end of 2006
5) Dependent upon the content of chlorine compounds in the limestone (50 – 100 ppm)
6) Daily average value
7) Half hourly average value

Table 4.34: Typical examples of emissions from lime kilns in Germany [46, Germany, 2006]
Examples of emissions were observed in lime plants in several EU Member States using different kilns and fuels as shown in Table 4.35.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EU Member State</th>
<th>Dust emissions (mg/Nm³)</th>
<th>NOₓ emissions (mg/Nm³)</th>
<th>SO₂ emissions (mg/Nm³)</th>
<th>CO emissions (mg/Nm³)</th>
<th>TOC emissions (mg/Nm³)</th>
<th>Kilns used</th>
<th>Fuels used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100 – 150¹</td>
<td>&lt;100²</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Multi-chamber shaft kiln</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>RK</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;5 – &gt;5³</td>
<td>MFSK</td>
<td>ASK</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special example from Germany</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5 – &lt;50³</td>
<td></td>
<td>995</td>
<td>ASK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland⁶</td>
<td>2³</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>PFRK</td>
<td>Heavy fuel oil⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary⁵</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Natural gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic⁵</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>400 t/yr</td>
<td>130 t/yr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ 10 % O₂
² If more than 60 % of the rated thermal capacity comes from waste (more than 40 % in the case of hazardous waste), 50 mg/Nm³ is set as the daily average value, related to 10 % oxygen
³ Fabric filter
⁴ Low content of sulphur at 0.6 % and the raw material contains low sulphur as well
⁵ 2006
⁶ Spot measurements
⁷ Depends on the process conditions, MFSK not suitable for the use of wastes; for double inclined shaft kilns and multi-chamber shaft kilns no data are available
⁸ Kilns of this type that used waste like solvents or waste oil did not have higher emissions of TOC

Table 4.35: Examples of NOₓ emissions observed in EU Member States
[45, Schorcht, 2006] [46, Germany, 2006], [64, Czech Republic, 2006], [71, Hungary, 2006]

Emission values concerning different emissions from Austrian lime kilns are shown in Table 4.36. No measures/techniques were being used in 2006 to reduce NOₓ emissions. Emissions of CO are reported from 0.007 to 0.22 g/Nm³ related to a high residual CO₂ content and up to 5 g/Nm³ related to a low residual CO₂ content. For annular shaft kilns, CO emissions range from 0.1 to 3 g/Nm³, and in other shaft kilns from 0.01 to 5 g/Nm³.
### Table 4.36: Emissions from Austrian lime kilns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit (with exceptions)</th>
<th>Concentration&lt;sup&gt;1,2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Parallel flow regenerative kilns&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flue-gas volume</td>
<td>Nm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;/h</td>
<td>15000 – 45000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen content</td>
<td>vol-%</td>
<td>8 – 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust</td>
<td>mg/Nm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>mg/Nm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.6 – 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>mg/Nm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.01 – 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO&lt;sub&gt;x&lt;/sub&gt; as NO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>mg/Nm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO&lt;sub&gt;x&lt;/sub&gt; as SO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>mg/Nm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>g/Nm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.007 – 0.22 (high residual CO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; content) and up to 5 (low residual CO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total C</td>
<td>mg/Nm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ (Cd, Tl)&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>mg/Nm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.0001 – 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>mg/Nm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.0001 – 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ (Sb, As, Pb, Cr, Co, Cu, Mn, Ni, Sn)&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>mg/Nm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.0001 – 0.0052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCDD/F&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ng I-TEQ/Nm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>mg/Nm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo-a-pyrene&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>mg/Nm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;0.0003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup> Concentrations are single measures/techniques with measuring intervals of 30 minutes to one day

<sup>2</sup> Refers to 10 % oxygen in the flue-gas

<sup>3</sup> Fabric filters are used

<sup>4</sup> The lower value gives the detection limit

Examples of emissions data achieved from several Finnish lime plants using different types of kilns are shown in Table 4.37. Emissions data in Table 4.37 were collected from 1998 to 2006. Coal and coke, heavy or light fuel oil, coke gas or petcoke were used as fuels but no waste fuels were used. In 2005, dust emissions data of less than 5 mg/Nm<sup>3</sup> could be achieved by using an ESP in a rotary kiln and emissions ranges of between 1.7 and 2.2 mg/Nm<sup>3</sup> by using a fabric filter in an annular shaft kiln were achieved. Table 4.38 shows examples of metal emissions from a Finnish lime plant using regenerated fuel oil as fuel.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emissions (mg/Nm³)</th>
<th>Plant Nr.</th>
<th>Kiln</th>
<th>Filter</th>
<th>Fuels</th>
<th>1998</th>
<th>1999</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dust</td>
<td>Plant 1</td>
<td>LRK(1)</td>
<td>ESP(4)</td>
<td>Coal, coke 05</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>32 – 18</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>&lt;5 and 13</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plant 2</td>
<td>LRK(1)</td>
<td>ESP(4)</td>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>156 – 113</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>192 and 9</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>14&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>&lt;5&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plant 3</td>
<td>LRK(1)</td>
<td>ESP(4)</td>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>26 – 40</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63 – 48</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>85 – 114</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plant 4</td>
<td>LRK(1)</td>
<td>ESP(4)</td>
<td>Coal, coke 05</td>
<td>2468</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>130 – 149</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>335&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plant 5</td>
<td>PF PK&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Bag&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Coke gas</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>38 – 54</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plant 6</td>
<td>PF PK&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Bag&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Regenerated fuel oil</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO&lt;sub&gt;x&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Plant 1</td>
<td>LRK(1)</td>
<td>ESP(4)</td>
<td>Coal, coke 05</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>771 ± 120, 910</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>898 ± 135</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plant 2</td>
<td>LRK(1)</td>
<td>ESP(4)</td>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>610&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>730 ± 140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plant 3</td>
<td>LRK(1)</td>
<td>ESP(4)</td>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plant 4</td>
<td>LRK(1)</td>
<td>ESP(4)</td>
<td>Coal, coke 05</td>
<td>338&lt;sup&gt;10&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>590 – 440</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>616&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>411 – 470&lt;sup&gt;11&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plant 5</td>
<td>PF PK&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Bag&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Coke gas</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plant 6</td>
<td>PF PK&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Bag&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Regenerated fuel oil</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Plant 1</td>
<td>LRK(1)</td>
<td>ESP(4)</td>
<td>Coal, coke 05</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>258 ± 40</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>173 ± 34</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plant 2</td>
<td>LRK(1)</td>
<td>ESP(4)</td>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>330&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>340 ± 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plant 3</td>
<td>LRK(1)</td>
<td>ESP(4)</td>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>165 – 126</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>332 – 350</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plant 4</td>
<td>LRK(1)</td>
<td>ESP(4)</td>
<td>Coal, coke 05</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66 – 142</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>851&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plant 5</td>
<td>PF PK&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Bag&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Coke gas</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cement, Lime and Magnesium Oxide Manufacturing Industries
### Table 4.37: Emissions of dust, NO\textsubscript{x}, SO\textsubscript{x}, CO and CO\textsubscript{2} from several Finnish lime plants using different types of lime kilns from 1998 to 2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emissions (mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3})</th>
<th>Plant Nr.</th>
<th>Kiln</th>
<th>Filter</th>
<th>Fuels</th>
<th>1998</th>
<th>1999</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plant 6</td>
<td>PFRK\textsuperscript{1)}</td>
<td>Bag\textsuperscript{2)}</td>
<td>Coke gas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant 1</td>
<td>LRK\textsuperscript{1)}</td>
<td>ESP\textsuperscript{4)}</td>
<td>Coal, coke 05</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LRK\textsuperscript{1)}</td>
<td>ESP\textsuperscript{4)}</td>
<td>Coal, coke 05</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>Plant 2</td>
<td>LRK\textsuperscript{1)}</td>
<td>ESP\textsuperscript{4)}</td>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>424</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant 3</td>
<td>LRK\textsuperscript{1)}</td>
<td>ESP\textsuperscript{4)}</td>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ASK\textsuperscript{5)}</td>
<td>Bag\textsuperscript{6)}</td>
<td>Heavy fuel oil, light fuel oil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>292</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant 4</td>
<td>LRK\textsuperscript{1)}</td>
<td>ESP\textsuperscript{4)}</td>
<td>Coal, coke 05</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant 5</td>
<td>PFRK\textsuperscript{1)}</td>
<td>Bag\textsuperscript{2)}</td>
<td>Coke gas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PFRK\textsuperscript{1)}</td>
<td>Bag\textsuperscript{2)}</td>
<td>Coke gas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant 6</td>
<td>PFRK\textsuperscript{1)}</td>
<td>Bag\textsuperscript{2)}</td>
<td>Regenerated fuel oil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant 1</td>
<td>LRK\textsuperscript{1)}</td>
<td>ESP\textsuperscript{4)}</td>
<td>Coal, coke 05</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LRK\textsuperscript{1)}</td>
<td>ESP\textsuperscript{4)}</td>
<td>Coal, coke 05</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Plant 2</td>
<td>LRK\textsuperscript{1)}</td>
<td>ESP\textsuperscript{4)}</td>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant 3</td>
<td>LRK\textsuperscript{1)}</td>
<td>ESP\textsuperscript{4)}</td>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ASK\textsuperscript{5)}</td>
<td>Bag\textsuperscript{6)}</td>
<td>Heavy fuel oil, light fuel oil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant 4</td>
<td>LRK\textsuperscript{1)}</td>
<td>ESP\textsuperscript{4)}</td>
<td>Coal, coke 05</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>94</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant 5</td>
<td>PFRK\textsuperscript{1)}</td>
<td>Bag\textsuperscript{2)}</td>
<td>Coke gas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PFRK\textsuperscript{1)}</td>
<td>Bag\textsuperscript{2)}</td>
<td>Coke gas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant 6</td>
<td>PFRK\textsuperscript{1)}</td>
<td>Bag\textsuperscript{2)}</td>
<td>Regenerated fuel oil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{1)} Long rotary kiln
\textsuperscript{2)} Annular shaft kiln
\textsuperscript{3)} Parallel flow regenerative kiln
\textsuperscript{4)} Electrostatic precipitator
\textsuperscript{5)} Fabric filter, textile
\textsuperscript{6)} O\textsubscript{2} standard state
\textsuperscript{7)} O\textsubscript{2} \textgreater; 11 \%
\textsuperscript{8)} Excluded filter
\textsuperscript{9)} Burned product: 310 t/d
\textsuperscript{10)} NO\textsubscript{2}
\textsuperscript{11)} NO

References:
[63, Finland, 2006, 65, Finland, 2006]
4.3.3 Lime manufacturing – example for handling waste oil in a shaft kiln (OK)

In order to convert/change a gas-fired shaft kiln (OK) into a waste oil fired shaft kiln, it was necessary to modify the burners and to build a dedicated infrastructure for the unloading and storage of the waste oil.

As shown in Figure 4.26, in this specific case the waste oil is delivered to the lime plant either by rail or by road, e.g. in tank lorries. Two double walled storage tanks with a capacity of 100 m³ each were erected. The waste oil is transferred from the wagons or from the lorries into the storage tanks which are located in a specially designed area to contain any spillage. Surface water (rainwater) is discharged to an oil separator. Since the filling area meets all relevant legal requirements, it is also used to clean and refuel all kinds of road and rail vehicles.

During the unloading of the wagons or tank lorries, the waste oil flows through a hosepipe connection and then through a steel pipe into a first pumping and filtering station. From there, it is pumped through underground double walled pipes into the two 100 m³ tanks. At a kiln output of 250 to 300 t lime/d, this storage capacity is roughly equivalent to one week’s consumption.

From the tanks, the oil flows into a second pumping station as shown in Figure 4.27, which is located in the immediate neighbourhood of the kiln. A feeding pump conveys the oil to another filter and to an electric heating system, which heats the oil up to 60 – 80 °C. These temperatures are necessary to decrease the viscosity of the oil thus improving its atomisation in the burner and its optimal combustion. Ascending pipes lead the heated oil to a dosing and measuring station as shown in Figure 4.28, from where it is distributed to the individual burners. Within the burners, the fuel is mixed to air and both are directly injected into the kiln.

No waste is produced during the combustion of the filtered oil. Waste is only produced during the filtering process of the oil. The filters are cleaned, and any waste is collected in designated containers and safely disposed of [50, Germany, 2006], [51, EuLA, 2006].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Emissions (particles/gas formation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>µg/Nm³</td>
<td>0.05/0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>µg/Nm³</td>
<td>0.30/&lt;1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>µg/Nm³</td>
<td>0.001/0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb</td>
<td>µg/Nm³</td>
<td>0.5/&lt;1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>µg/Nm³</td>
<td>&lt;0.2/1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>µg/Nm³</td>
<td>5.2/6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>µg/Nm³</td>
<td>0.7/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>µg/Nm³</td>
<td>0.14/1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>µg/Nm³</td>
<td>0.32/7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>µg/Nm³</td>
<td>3.6/6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>µg/Nm³</td>
<td>3.0/6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>µg/Nm³</td>
<td>&lt;0.1/37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.38: Metal emissions from a Finnish lime plant [65, Finland, 2006]
Figure 4.26: Flow diagram of waste oil circulation – going from delivery to the shaft kiln (OK) [50, Germany, 2006], [51, EuLA, 2006]

Figure 4.27: Pumping station number 2 with a filter and a waste container for cleaning the filter [50, Germany, 2006], [51, EuLA, 2006]

Figure 4.28: Measuring and dosing station with pneumatic valves [50, Germany, 2006], [51, EuLA, 2006]
4.3.4 Lime manufacturing – example for quality control of solid waste fuels burned in rotary kilns

An example from a German lime plant shows typical requirements for solid wastes used in four preheater rotary kilns. These rotary kilns are equipped with cyclones and an ESP for reducing the dust emissions. Furthermore, the SNCR technique is used for NOx emissions reduction (see Section 4.3.5). In a rotary kiln, typical temperatures are in a range of between 1000 and 1400 °C.

The fuels used are pulverised lignite, natural gas and solid waste materials. Solid wastes are delivered by specialised waste collecting and blending companies. The permit allows a maximum of 60% of the thermal capacity to be replaced by wastes. In 2006, the substitution rate by solid wastes was 10%. Before feeding these solid waste fuels to the burner, the metallic parts are discharged by a magnetic separator.

The selection of waste types for using as fuels in a lime kiln depend on the technical requirements of the burning process and the product quality. In this example, waste fuels consist of solid refuse derived fuel (RDF) from selected wastes with low pollutant contents (mainly plastics) and well defined heating values. Their origin and waste classification according to the European Waste Catalogue (2000/532/EC) are exactly specified [98, European Commission, 2000]. Table 4.39 shows the type of waste that can be used in these rotary kilns [50, Germany, 2006], [51, EuLA, 2006]:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste code</th>
<th>General description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02 01 04</td>
<td>Waste plastics (except packaging)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 01 07</td>
<td>Waste from forestry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 01 01</td>
<td>Waste bark and wood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 01 05</td>
<td>Sawdust, shavings, cuttings, wood, particle board and veneer other than those mentioned in 03 01 04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 03 02</td>
<td>Green liquor sludge (from recovery of cooking liquors)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 03 07</td>
<td>Mechanically separated rejects from pulping of waste paper and cardboard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 03 08</td>
<td>Wastes from sorting of paper and cardboard destined for recycling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 02 09</td>
<td>Wastes from composite materials (impregnated textile, elastomer, plastomer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 02 21</td>
<td>Wastes from unprocessed textile fibres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 02 22</td>
<td>Wastes from processed textile fibres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 02 13</td>
<td>Waste plastic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08 01 02</td>
<td>Waste paint and varnish except those mentioned in 08 01 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08 02 01</td>
<td>Waste coating powders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09 01 07</td>
<td>Photographic film and paper containing silver or silver compounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 01 05</td>
<td>Plastic shavings and turnings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 01 01 + 03</td>
<td>Paper and cardboard, plastic, wooden packaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 01 05 + 06</td>
<td>Composite and mixed packaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 02 03</td>
<td>Absorbents, filter materials, wiping cloths and protective clothing except those mentioned in 15 02 02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 01 03</td>
<td>End-of-life tyres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 02 01</td>
<td>Wood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 02 03</td>
<td>Plastic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 05 01</td>
<td>Non-composted fraction of municipal and similar wastes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 12 01</td>
<td>Paper and cardboard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 12 04</td>
<td>Plastic and rubber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 12 07</td>
<td>Wood other than that mentioned in 19 02 06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 12 08</td>
<td>Textiles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 12 10</td>
<td>Combustible waste (refuse derived fuel)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.39: List of wastes that can be used in these German lime kilns [50, Germany, 2006], [51, EuLA, 2006], [98, European Commission, 2000]
The quality requirements for solid wastes are determined by the permitting authority. For each delivery, the fuel suppliers have to confirm that the ready for use fuels only include the wastes mentioned in Table 4.39.

For the quality control of these fuels, waste fuels are divided into four categories, which define the range of net calorific values and the chemical composition.

Two statistical parameters shown in Table 4.40 are used for regulating the input of metals into the kiln:

- median value (= percentile 50 %) also called ‘practical’ value. It is based on the practical experience and takes into consideration the possible variations of the fuel composition
- maximal values (= percentile 100 %).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Waste fuel 1</th>
<th>Waste fuel 2</th>
<th>Waste fuel 3</th>
<th>Waste fuel 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median value (mg/kg)</td>
<td>Maximal value (mg/kg)</td>
<td>Median value (mg/kg)</td>
<td>Maximal value (mg/kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury Hg</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium Cd</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thallium Tl</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic As</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt Co</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel Ni</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimony Sb</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead Pb</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chrome Cr</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper Cu</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>250.0</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>250.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese Mn</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanadium V</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin Sn</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total chlorine</td>
<td>7000.0</td>
<td>10000.0</td>
<td>7000.0</td>
<td>10000.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fluorine</td>
<td>250.0</td>
<td>500.0</td>
<td>250.0</td>
<td>500.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beryllium Be</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc Zn</td>
<td>400.0</td>
<td>500.0</td>
<td>400.0</td>
<td>500.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% w/w</th>
<th>% w/w</th>
<th>% w/w</th>
<th>% w/w</th>
<th>% w/w</th>
<th>% w/w</th>
<th>% w/w</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>&lt;10.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>&lt;12.5</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>&lt;20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash content</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>&lt;7.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>&lt;9.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>&lt;15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sulphur</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCB</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Percentage by weight

Table 4.40: Median and maximal concentrations of metals for each type of waste fuel
[50, Germany, 2006], [51, EuLA, 2006]
For this example, ranges were defined for the net calorific values of each fuel category as shown in Table 4.41:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Net calorific value (MJ/kg)</th>
<th>Waste fuel 1</th>
<th>Waste fuel 2</th>
<th>Waste fuel 3</th>
<th>Waste fuel 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>Minimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.41: Minimum and maximum net calorific values for each type of waste fuel
[50, Germany, 2006], [51, EuLA, 2006]

Regular sampling of waste fuels is carried out. The individual samples are divided into sub samples, which are combined again later to a mixed sample. After predetermined time intervals, the mixed samples are checked to see if the quality requirements meet those that are indicated in Table 4.40.

By using waste as fuels, quality assurance and warranty is one of the most important issues. Table 4.42 illustrates examples of quality assurance measures/techniques.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process step</th>
<th>Measures/techniques</th>
<th>Additional measures/techniques</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Source of waste (waste producer, sorting facility, mechanical-biological waste treatment) | • listing wastes  
• avoiding impurities  
• stipulations about acceptable qualities of individual waste types  
• declaration analysis  
• documentation of disposed quantity of individual waste types | • instruction of the waste producer by the waste manager  
• regular control of the plant producing waste by the waste manager |
| Waste treatment plant                                   | • (regular) sampling and analysis  
• retain samples  
• documentation of incoming and treated waste quantities | • (regular) sampling and analysis of quantities of incoming treated waste by an external expert |
| Waste treatment plant                                   | • regular sampling and analysis  
• retain samples  
• documentation of outgoing waste quantities |                                                                                   |
| Lime plant                                             | • regular sampling and analysis  
• retain samples  
• documentation of incoming and waste quantities |                                                                                   |

Table 4.42: Examples of measures/techniques for the quality assurance of wastes
[156, Germany, 2007]
4.3.5 Lime manufacturing – example of DeNO\textsubscript{x} treatment by using the SNCR technique in preheater rotary kilns (PRK)

At the Flandersbach plant (Germany), primary and secondary measures/techniques were successively implemented for four preheater rotary kilns. A new burner was installed in one of the kilns, but its impact on the NO\textsubscript{x} emissions was quite limited. Practical experience has shown that the overall oxygen concentration in the burning zone had a much bigger influence on these emissions. Thus, the kiln atmosphere has been adjusted to lower the oxygen concentration. However, it appeared that this measure was not sufficient to ensure that the NO\textsubscript{x} emissions were always below 500 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}. Especially when producing hard burned lime, the NO\textsubscript{x} emissions usually exceeded 1000 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} (in stable kiln conditions) and sometimes 2000 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}, e.g. when more cooling air was needed to avoid an overheating of the lime cooler.

Due to the temperature conditions below the Lepol grate, it is possible to reduce the NO\textsubscript{x} emissions by injecting reducing agents in this area. Therefore, preliminary tests with different liquids, injection locations and injection rates were carried out to optimise the design of the industrial SNCR installation, described as follows:

**General concept**

Liquid reducing agents are delivered by lorries and stored in two tanks. The liquid agent is then pumped to the Lepol grates, where it is sprayed in the hot chambers using pressurised air for the atomisation and mixing with the flue-gases. The facility is designed to handle ammonia water with concentrations of up to 25 %, and has to deal with the regulation of hazardous materials.

**Filling of the tanks**

The tank lorry is unloaded with a pump through a double walled, leakage-supervised hose pipe and a double filter to hold back contraries. The driver gets the clearance to unload after registration at the control room. The filling procedure is not started until the lorry tank is earthed. Refilling is automatically stopped when the tank is full or the differential pressure monitoring of the filters sends a maximum signal. New clearance must be requested by the tank lorry driver after switching to the other tank or to the second filter.

**Storage**

Two tanks, each with a volume of 80 m\textsuperscript{3}, are equipped with safety and control devices, as well as circulation pumps. To avoid overpressure or vacuum, a pressure sensitive valve is installed at the tanks and the pressure in the tanks is monitored.

**Dosing**

For each Lepol grate, one pump controlled by a frequency converter is installed. Each dosing pump can operate alternatively from each of the two tanks. The pumps are equipped with a pressure relief valve to prevent an increase in pressure by a blocked system. One standby pump is installed in case of failure of one of the dosing pumps, to be switched to the respective injection point.

Additional supply ports allow the flushing of the piping and the filters with industrial water to empty the components, e.g. before maintenance.
The atomising nozzles have to be operated at their designated flowrates. To keep the optimal spraying conditions at the atomising nozzles, the flowrate in the dosing lines has to be controlled. If the flowrate drops below a preset value, e.g. when the need of the reducing agent is low, industrial water is added to the system to increase this value. This option also provides the possibility to store highly concentrated agents and dilute the agents to obtain good distribution in the flue-gas stream and therefore optimise efficiency. When the necessary flow of reducing agent increases, e.g. when the nitrogen concentration of the liquids is low and the needed reduction rate is high, a second lance is activated at the injection port. In the control system, the distinguishing of low and high concentration in the tanks is possible and different operation modes for the dosing are implemented.

All piping is isolated and equipped with trace heating to avoid freezing during winter time and crystallisation in the case of the use of liquid urea.

**Injection location**

The location of the injection points was determined during tests in the Flandersbach plant. The chosen ports allow good penetration and mixing of the agent with the flue-gas at a location with little tendency to form coating which might disturb the injection. The connecting points are shown in Figure 4.29.

![Injection location](image)

**Figure 4.29:** Location of injection and connecting points for SNCR in the Flandersbach lime plant in Germany

[93, EuLA, 2006]

**Operation**

The installation of the facility was completed in July 2006 and the start-up phase finished at the end of August 2006. Since then, the installation has been running without major trouble. Necessary improvements resulting from the experience made during start-up and operation since have been implemented.
Annexes

Abatement efficiencies

Reduction efficiencies of 50 to 60% appear to be possible, thus enabling the level of NO\textsubscript{x} emissions to remain below 500 mg NO\textsubscript{x}/Nm\textsuperscript{3} as a daily average. Higher abatement ratios are, in principle, possible but they immediately lead to massive ammonia slips. Measurements carried out under different process and injection conditions have shown that the emissions of nitrous oxide (N\textsubscript{2}O) always remained below 10 mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3}.

Costs

Investment costs are similar to those mentioned by the cement industry, i.e. between EUR 0.5 million and 1.2 million. Because the equipment has only been operational for a short time (few weeks), it is difficult to assess precisely the operating costs. Financial estimations based on the preliminary tests show that the operating costs could be similar to those reported by the cement industry, i.e. EUR 0.1 to 1.7 per tonne lime referring to a kiln capacity of 1000 t/day and initial emissions of up to 1500 mg NO\textsubscript{x}/Nm\textsuperscript{3}. 